49. GRAIN-SIZE AND CARBON/CARBONATE ANALYSES, LEG 37

Gerald W. Bode, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, California

GRAIN-SIZE ANALYSES

Sand-silt-clay distribution was determined on 10-cc sediment samples collected at the time the cores were split and described. The results are listed in Table 1.

The sediment classification used here is that of Shepard (1954) with the sand, silt, and clay boundaries based on the Wentworth (1922) scale (Figure 1). Thus the sand, silt, and clay fractions are composed of particles whose diameters range from 2000 to 62.5 μ m, 62.5 to 3.91 μ m, and less than 3.91 μ m, respectively. This classification is applied regardless of sediment type and origin; therefore, the sediment names used in this table may differ from those used elsewhere in this volume, e.g., a silt composed of nannofossils in this table may be called a nanno ooze in a site chapter.

Standard sieve and pipette methods were used to determine the grain-size distribution. The sediment sample was dried and dispersed in a Calgon solution. If a sediment sample failed to disaggregate, it was treated with a sonic probe and, if necessary, hydrogen peroxide. Sediment samples which resisted the above treatment were not analyzed.

The sand fraction was removed by wet sieving using a 63 μ m sieve, and the silt and clay fractions were analyzed by standard pipette analysis. Sampling depths and times were calculated using equations derived from Stokes settling velocity equation (Krumbein and Pettijohn, 1938, p. 95-96):

$$\frac{D}{t} = V = \frac{2gr^2 (d_1 - d_2)}{9\eta}$$

$$t = \frac{9D\eta}{2gr^2\left(d_1 - d_2\right)}$$

where

V = | velocity, in cm/sec t = | time, in sec*

depth pipette is inserted, in cm

 $g = |gravity, in cm/sec^{2*}$

radius of individual particles, in cm*

 $d_1 = \begin{cases} density & density of solid particles arbitrarily set at 2.675 \\ g/cc \end{cases}$

absolute density of distilled water at different temperatures (Hodgman et al., 1960, p. 2129) TABLE 1 Grain Size Determination for Leg 37

	Participation of the Victor Control				
Sample	Subbottom	C	Cit	Class	
(Interval	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Classification
in cm)	(m)	%	%	%	Classification
Hole 332					
1-2, 130	2.80	53.5	17.4	29.1	Clayey sand
Hole 332A					
1-1, 80	7.80	93.4	0.1	6.4	Sand
2-2, 98	66.48	9.2	28.1	62.7	Silty clay
2-3, 80	67.80	13.8	28.8	57.4	Silty clay
2-4, 76	69.26	9.9	29.5	60.6	Silty clay
3-3, 105	77.55	8.4	6.4	85.2	Clay
3-4, 76	78.76	13.6	27.0	59.5	Silty clay
4-4, 73	88.23	10.8	26.9	62.3	Silty clay
5-2, 68	94.68	17.6	24.6	57.8	Silty clay
5-4, 110	98.10	8.6	30.2	61.3	Silty clay
5-5, 70	99.20	7.1	34.2	58.8	Silty clay
5-6, 66	100.66	5.0	33.2	61.8	Silty clay
6-1, 102	103.02	27.6	22.4	49.9	Sand-silt-clay
5-2, 76	104.26	33.3	20.3	46.4	Sand-silt-clay
Hole 332B					
1-1, 75	139.75	5.2	30.3	64.6	Silty clay
1-2, 81	141.31	5.6	29.8	64.6	Silty clay
1-3, 76	142.76	2.2	28.0	69.8	Silty clay
1-4, 76	144.26	6.8	27.4	65.8	Silty clay
1-5, 30	145.30	5.5	33.9	60.7	Silty clay
Hole 333					
2-1, 80	146.45	5.3	23.6	71.0	Silty clay
2-2, 80	147.95	4.4	20.2	75.4	Clay
2-3, 90	149.55	5.7	19.3	75.1	Clay
2-4, 80	150.95	18.0	31.5	50.5	Silty clay
2-6, 80	153.95	7.4	25.5	67.1	Silty clay
3-1, 80	165.60	6.6	21.0	72.5	Silty clay
3-2, 80	167.10	8.9	26.2	64.9	Silty clay
3-3, 80	168.60	6.9	28.7	64.4	Silty clay
3-4, 69	169.99	7.9	26.4	65.7	Silty clay
3-5, 80	171.60	5.5	29.3	65.2	Silty clay
3-6, 80	173.10	4.2	26.6	69.2	Silty clay
1-1, 80	184.30	10.5	38.2	51.2	Silty clay
1-2, 80	185.80	10.5	35.4	54.1	Silty clay
1-3, 80	187.30	5.7	50.3	44.0	Clayey silt
1-4, 80	188.80	6.1	37.1	56.7	Silty clay
1-5, 80	190.30	4.2	31.7	64.1	Silty clay
5-2, 80	195.30	6.3	28.3	65.0	Silty clay
5-4, 80	198.30	6.3	34.3	59.5	Silty clay
5-1, 80	203.30	6.0	31.5	62.5	Silty clay
5-2, 80	204.80	3.9	32.7	63.4	Silty clay
7-2, 0	213.50	7.6	33.1	59.3	Silty clay
Hole 333A					
1-1, 80	217.80	7.2	32.8	60.0	Silty clay

^{*}Five figures were used in calculations to avoid rounding off variations.

TABLE 1 - Continued


Sample	Subbottom		0-28/3 /		
(Interval	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	T 182500 2000 No.
in cm)	(m)	%	%	%	Classification
Site 334					
1-2, 80	2.30	51.5	14.4	34.1	Clayey sand
2-1, 80	130.60	18.2	26.8	55.0	Silty clay
2-2, 80	132.10	7.5	34.2	58.3	Silty clay
2-3, 80	133.60	7.1	29.7	63.4	Silty clay
2-4, 80	135.10	3.7	27.6	68.7	Silty clay
2-5, 80	136.60	9.6	29.0	61.4	Silty clay
2-6, 80	138.10	7.4	29.7	62.8	Silty clay
3-2, 80	141.30	6.4	28.7	64.9	Silty clay
4-1, 80	149.30	4.1	30.6	65.3	Silty clay
5-3, 80	161.80	14.0	38.1	47.9	Silty clay
5-4, 80	163.30	21.5	42.9	35.6	Sand-silt-clay
6-1, 80	168.30	14.3	42.9	42.8	Clayey silt
6-2, 80	169.80	13.9	43.9	42.2	Clayey silt
7-1,80	178.15	8.1	41.2	50.7	Silty clay
7-2, 80	179.65	8.2	64.0	27.8	Clayey silt
7-3, 80	181.15	10.3	39.9	49.7	Silty clay
7-4, 80	182.65	7.8	28.3	54.0	Silty clay
7-5, 80	184.15	7.5	38.7	53.9	Silty clay
7-6, 80	185.56	11.0	40.0	49.0	Silty clay
8-1,60	187.10	17.4	43.7	38.9	Clayey silt
8-2, 88	188.88	18.1	40.8	41.1	Silty clay
9-2, 91	198.41	2.1	89.9	8.0	Silt
9-3, 80	199.80	9.7	40.0	50.2	Silty clay
9-4, 82	201.32	10.1	37.2	52.7	Silty clay
10-4,90	210.90	7.6	20.9	71.5	Silty clay
11-2, 80	217.30	11.6	23.2	65.2	Silty clay
11-3, 80	218.80	9.3	17.0	73.6	Silty clay
11-4, 80	220.30	9.6	17.6	72.8	Silty clay
12-2, 80	226.80	9.6	23.8	66.6	Silty clay
12-3, 80	228.30	10.4	23.3	66.3	Silty clay
12-4, 81	229.81	12.3	23.5	64.1	Silty clay
13-2, 83	236.53	14.0	22.7	63.3	Silty clay
13-4, 90	239.60	13.8	23.6	62.6	Silty clay
13-5, 80	241.00	12.6	21.2	66.2	Silty clay
13-6, 80	242.50	11.8	22.4	65.7	Silty clay
14-1, 82	244.32	6.6	23.4	70.1	Silty clay
Site 335					
1-2, 80	89.30	10.2	18.2	71.7	Silty clay
1-3, 80	90.80	57.7	15.9	26.4	Clayey sand
1-4, 80	92.30	9.2	15.2	75.5	Clay
2-2, 80	127.30	6.2	25.2	68.5	Silty clay
2-3, 80	128.80	6.6	22.8	70.6	Silty clay
2-4, 80	130.30	7.2	30.6	62.2	Silty clay
2-5, 80	131.80	6.9	25.1	67.9	Silty clay
3-1, 80	220.80	6.1	20.2	73.7	Silty clay
4-2, 80	317.30	8.0	34.4	57.6	Silty clay
4-3, 80	318.80	4.8	30.6	64.6	Silty clay

viscosity of distilled water in poises at different temperatures (Hodgman et al., 1960, p. 2181)

The reproducibility of the grain size analysis has been previously tested (Boyce, 1972), and it was found that over a period of time with several operators the reproducibility for the sand-silt-clay fractions is $\pm 2.5\%$ (absolute). For detailed step-by-step procedures, see Volume 4 of the Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project.

CARBON AND CARBONATE ANALYSES

Leg 37 sediments were analyzed for total carbon and acid-insoluble (organic) carbon using the new LECO WR-12 Analyzer according to the standard technique



TEXTURAL TRIANGLES

Figure 1. Sediment classification after Shepard (1954) with the sand, silt, and clay size fractions based on the Wentworth (1922) Grade Scale: Sand, silt, and clay size particles having respective diameters of 2000 to 62.5 μm, 62.5 to 3.91 μm, and less than 3.91 μm. Shepard's (1954) sediment classification is a function of sand, silt, and clay size percentages and not composition.

TABLE 2 Carbon and Carbonate Analyses, Leg 37

Sample (Interval in cm)	Depth (m)	Total Carbon (%)	Organic Carbon (%)	CaCO-
Hole 332				
1-2, 140	2.9	11.0	0.1	91
1-3, 100	4.0	11.4	0.1	95
Hole 332A	Č.			
1-1, 75	7.8	11.3	0.1	93
2-2, 85	66.4	11.3	0.1	93
2-3, 84	67.8	11.3	0.1	93
2-4, 80	69.3	11.2	0.1	92
2-5, 95	71.0	11.4	0.1	95
2-5, 97	71.0	11.4	0.1	94
3-3, 98	77.5	11.1	0.1	92
3-4, 74	78.7	11.3	0.1	94
4-4, 67	88.2	11.3	0.1	94
4-5, 74	89.7	11.3	0.1	94
5-2, 74	94.7	11.2	0.1	93
5-4, 108	98.1	11.1	0.1	92
5-5, 8	98.6	11.2	0.1	92
5-5, 73	99.2	11.1	0.1	92
5-6, 70	100.7	11.4	0.1	94
6-1, 99	103.0	11.3	0.1	94
6-2, 74	104.2	10.6	0.1	88
Hole 332B				
1-1, 76	139.8	11.3	0.1	94
1-2, 79	141.3	11.3	0.1	94
1-3, 74	142.7	11.2	0.1	93
1-4, 73	144.2	11.1	0.1	92
1-5, 27	145.3	11.1	0.1	92
Hole 333				
2-1, 72	146.4	11.2	0.1	93
2-2, 72	147.9	11.3	0.1	94

TABLE 2 - Continued

Sample (Interval in cm)	Depth (m)	Total Carbon (%)	Organic Carbon (%)	CaCO ₃
2-3, 72	149.4	11.4	0.0	95
2-4, 72	150.9	10.4	0.1	86
2-6, 58	152.2	11.3	0.1	94
2-6, 72	153.9	11.3	0.1	93
3-1, 72	165.5	11.4	0.0	94
3-2, 72	167.0	11.3	0.1	94
3-3, 72	168.5	11.1	0.1	92
3-4, 77	170.1	11.2	0.1	93
3-5, 72	171.5	11.0	0.1	91
3-6, 72	173.0	11.3	0.1	94
4-1, 72	184.2	11.5	0.1	95
4-2, 72	185.7	11.4	0.1	94
4-3, 72	187.2	11.5	0.1	95
4-4, 72	188.7	11.4	0.0	95
4-5, 72 5-2, 72	190.2 195.2	11.3 10.5	0.0	95 87
5-4 72	198.2	10.5	0.1	87
5-4, 72 6-1, 72	203.2	11.4	0.1	95
6-2, 72	204.7	11.3	0.1	94
7-2, 72	214.2	11.1	0.1	92
Hole 333				
1-1, 72	217.7	11.3	0.1	93
Site 334			12.11	
1-2, 72	2.2	10.9	0.1	90
2-1, 72	130.5	11.5	0.1	95
2-2, 72	132.0	11.3	0.1	93
2-3, 72	133.5	11.2	0.1	93
2-4, 72	135.0	11.2	0.1	92
2-4, 72 2-5, 72	136.5	11.1	0.1	92
2-6, 72	138.0	11.4	0.1	95
3-2, 72	141.2	11.0	0.1	91
4-1, 72	149.2	10.7	0.1	89
5-2, 63	160.1	9.4	0.1	78
5-3, 72	161.7	9.4	0.1	78
5-4, 72	163.2	6.8	0.1	56
6-1,72	168.2	6.8	0.1	56
6-2, 72	169.7	8.4	0.1	69
7-1, 72	178.1	8.5	0.1	70
7-2, 92	179.8	8.7	0.1	71
7-3, 60	180.9	9.0	0.1	74
7-4, 72	182.6	8.7	0.1	72
7-5, 72	184.1	8.5	0.2	70
7-6, 72	185.6	8.5	0.1	70
8-1, 65	187.2	6.6	0.1	54
8-2, 82	188.8	7.1	0.1	58
9-2, 137	198.9	9.4	0.1	77
9-3, 72 9-4, 72	199.7 201.2	9.4 9.8	$0.1 \\ 0.1$	77 81
10-4, 69	210.7	11.0	0.1	91
11-2, 71	217.2	10.7	0.1	88
11-3, 72	218.7	11.0	0.1	91
11-4, 72	220.2	11.2	0.1	93
12-2, 71	226.7	10.8	0.1	89
12-3, 72	228.2	10.7	0.1	88
12-4, 72	229.7	10.3	0.1	85
13-2, 71	236.4	9.9	0.1	82
		11.0	0.1	91
13-4, 104				
13-4, 104 13-5, 72	240.9	10.9	0.1	90
		10.9 11.0 11.1	0.1 0.1 0.1	90 91 92

TABLE 2 - Continued

Sample (Interval in cm)	Depth (m)	Total Carbon (%)	Organic Carbon (%)	CaCO
Site 335				
1-2,72	89.2	10.7	0.1	88
1-3, 72	90.7	11.2	0.1	93
2-2, 72	127.2	11.5	0.1	96
2-3, 72	128.7	11.5	0.1	95
2-4, 72	130.2	11.7	0.0	97
2-5, 72	131.7	11.6	0.1	96
3-1, 72	220.7	11.5	0.0	95
4-2, 72	317.2	11.6	0.1	96
4-3, 72	318.7	11.4	0.0	94

outlined below. Because there were only 38 samples, no control standards were run. But the reproducibility of the LECO was verified in extensive tests prior to Leg 37 and also in standards run for subsequent legs.

The 3-cc sediment samples were first dried and ground into a homogeneous powder. The ground sediment was redried at 105°-110°C and two samples, a 0.1-g and a 0.5-g sample, were weighed into LECO clay crucibles. The 0.5-g sample was acidified with 10% hydrochloric acid and washed with distilled water. The sample was then dried and analyzed for acid-insoluble carbon. The 0.1-g sample was analyzed for total carbon without further treatment. If the sample contained less than 10% CaCO₃, an additional 0.5-g sample was analyzed for greater accuracy. The calcium carbonate percentages were calculated as follows: (% total C - % organic C) × 8.33 = % CaCO₃. Although other carbonates may be present, all acid-soluble carbon was calculated as calcium carbonate. All results are given in weight percent (Table 2).

Detailed descriptions of the technique and theory may be found in Bader, Gerard, et al. (1970) and Boyce and Bode (1972).

REFERENCES

Bader, R.G., Gerard, R.D., et al., 1970. Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, Volume 4: Washington (U.S. Government Printing Office).

Boyce, R.E., 1972. Grain size analyses, Leg 9, Deep Sea Drilling Project. In Hayes, J.D., Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, Volume 9: Washington (U.S. Government Printing Office), p. 799.

Boyce, R.E. and Bode, G.W., 1972a. Carbon and carbonate analyses, Leg 9, Deep Sea Drilling Project. *In* Hayes, J.D., et al., Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, Volume 9: Washington (U.S. Government Printing Office), p. 747.

Hodgman, C.D., Weast, R.C.Y., and Selby, S.M., 1960.
Handbook of chemistry and physics: Cleveland (Chemical Rubber Publishing Co.).

Krumbein, W.C. and Pettijohn, F.J., 1938. Manual of sedimentary petrography: New York (Appleton-Century-Crofts, Inc.).

Shepard, F.P., 1954. Nomenclature based on sand-silt-clay ratios: J. Sediment. Petrol., v. 24, p. 151.

Wentworth, C.K., 1922. A scale of grade and class terms for clastic sediments: J. Geol., v. 30, p. 377.