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## PART I - COLORADO POPULATION TRENDS IN RELATION TO MORTALITY

Notes, tables and charts regarding:
A. Total population growth, by sex, Colorado, 1870-1950; with urban-rural

B. Numerical increase in the aged population, by sex, Colorado, 1870-1950; with urban-rural detail for 1950
C. Percentage increase in the aged population, by sex, Colorado, 1870-1950...........
D. County populations and per cent aged 65 and older, $1950 . \ldots$................................ 5
E. Age distribution of group 65 and older, by sex, Colorado, 1950......................... 5
F. Numerical increase, by sex and age group, Colorado, 1940-1950........................ 6
G. Percentage increase, by sex and age group, Colorado, 1940-1950...................... . . . 7
H. Average life expectancy at successive ages, by sex, Colorado, 1939-1941............ 8

J. Significance of the population and mortality trends...................................... . . . $10-11$
A. TOTAL POPULATION GROWIH IN COLORADO *

| Census | Both | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1870. | 39,864 | 24, 820 | 15,044 |
| 1880. | 194,327 | 129,131 | 65, 196 |
| 1890. | 412, 198 | 245, 247 | 166,951 |
| 1900. | 539,700 | 295, 332 | 244, 368 |
| 1910. | 799,024 | 430,697 | 368, 327 |
| 1920. | 939,629 | 492,731 | 446, 898 |
| 1930. | 1,035,791 | 530,752 | 505, 039 |
| $1940 \times$. | 1, 123, 296 | 568, 778 | 554, 518 |
| $1950{ }^{\text {x }}$. | 1,325,089 | 665, 149 | 659,940 |
| Urban. | 831,318 | 405, 403 | 425, 915 |
| Rural nonfarm. . | 295,590 | 153,415 | 142, 175 |
| Rural farm. | 198, 181 | 106,331 | 91,850 |

${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ Includes armed forces stationed in Colorado.
The excess of males over females has almost disappeared, the ratio in 1950 being 101 males to 100 females.

The decreasing proportion of males is attributable, in part, to a changed character of immigration into the state and also tc the higher mortality rates of males.

The accelerated growth in the population from 1940 to 1950 reflects: the upsurge in births in the $1 \subseteq 40^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, especially in the postwar years; continued declines in the infant, maternal, and communicable disease mortality rates; and the increasing average life span, accompanied by a growing proportion of the aged.

The population now is predominantly urban. In 1950 , the urban inhabitants represented 63 per cent of the total; the rural nonfarm group, 22 per cent; and the farm population, only 15 per cent. As defined for the 1950 census, the urban population includes all persons living in incorporated and unincorporated places of 2,500 or more, and also those in densely populated fringes around cities of 50,000 or more.

* U.S. Bureau of the Census reports.
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|  | Both | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1870. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 368 | 227 | 141 |
| 1880. | 1,876 | 1, 128 | 748 |
| 1890. | 6,541 | 3,802 | 2,739 |
| 1900. | 13,749 | 7,836 | 5,913 |
| 1910. | 26,810 | 14,948 | 11,862 |
| 1920. | 41, 40.3 | 22,850 | 18,553 |
| 1930. | 61, 849 | 33,842 | 28,007 |
| 1940. | 86,438 | 44, 194 | 42, 244 |
| 1950. | 115,591 | 55,630 | 59,961 |
| Urban. | 80,516 | 36,006 | 44,510 |
| Rural nonfarm. . | 24,323 | 13,030 | 11,293 |
| Rural farm. | 10,752 | 6,594 | 4,158 |

The aged population has increased much more rapidly than the general population. From 1900 to 1950 , the group aged 65 and older multiplied nearly $8-1 / 2$ times while the general population multiplied only $2-1 / 2$ times.

The aged female population has increased more than the aged maie population. From 1900 to 1950, the female group 65 and older multiplied 10 times; the male group 65 and older, only 7 times.
A. larger proportion of the aged females than of the aged males live in urban places, according to the 1950 census:

| Residence, 1950 | Both | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All areas. | 100.0\% | 100. $0 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| Urban. | 69.7 | 64.7 | 74.3 |
| Rural nonfarm. | 21.0 | 23.4 | 18.8 |
| Rural farm. . | 9.3 | 11.9 | 6.9 |

*U. S. Bureau of the Census reports.
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D. TOTAL POPULATION AND PER CENT AGED 65 AND OLDER, COLORADG BY COUNTY, 1950 * (Including armed forces stationed in the state.)

| County | Population <br> of All Ages | \% Aged 65 and Older | County | Population of All Ages | \% Aged 65 and 0lder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State.. | 1,325,089 | 8.7 |  | , |  |
| Adams. . . | 40,234 | 4.6 | Lake | 6,150 | 5. 7 |
| Al amosa. | 10,531 | 5.6 | La Plata | 14,880 | 8.2 |
| Arap ahoe. | 52, 125 | 6.1 | Larimer. | 43, 554 | 10.4 |
| Archuleta. | 3,030 | 6. 2 | Las Animas. | 25,902 | 8.6 |
| Baca. | 7,964 | 5.7 | Lincoln. | 5,909 | 9.1 |
| Bent. | 8, 775 | 7.6 | Logan. | 17,187 | 8.5 |
| Boulder | 48,296 | 10.1 | Mesa. | 38,974 | 9.3 |
| Chaffee. | 7,168 | 11.3 | Mineral. | 698 | 8.6 |
| Cheyenne. | 3,453 | 7.2 | Moffat. | 5,946 | 6.8 |
| Clear Creek. | 3,289 | 9.8 | Montezuma. | 9,991 | 7.7 |
| Conejos. | 10,171 | 5.3 | Montrose. | 15,220 | 8.5 |
| Castilla. | 6,067 | 5.0 | Morgan. | 18,074 | 8.7 |
| Crowley. | 5,222 | 8.2 | Otero. | 25,275 | 9.0 |
| Custer. | 1,573 | 10.9 | Ouray. | 2,103 | 9.4 |
| Delta. | 17, 365 | 10.4 | Park. | 1,870 | 9.4 |
| Denver. | 415,786 | 9.4 | Prillips | 4,924 | 10.1 |
| Dolores | 1,966 | 5. 1 | Pitkin. | 1,646 | 9.1 |
| Dougl as. | 3,507 | 9.2 | Prowers. | 14,836 | 7.7 |
| Eagle. | 4,488 | 5.9 | Pueblo. | 90, 188 | 9.3 |
| Elbert. | 4,477 | 8. 2 | Rio Blanco. | 4,719 | 5.1 |
| El Paso. | 74,523 | 10.9 | Rio Grande. | 12,832 | 6.4 |
| Fremont. | 18, 366 | 13.9 | Routt. | 8,940 | 6.8 |
| Garfield. | 11,625 | 9.1 | Saguache. | 5,664 | 6.3 |
| Gilpin. | 850 | 13. 2 | San Juan. | 1,471 | 6.9 |
| Grand. | 3,963 | 5.2 | San Miguel | 2,693 | 6.2 |
| Gunnison. | 5,716 | 6.0 | Sedgwi ck. . | 5,095 | 7.7 |
| Hinsdale. | 263 | 11.0 | Summit. | 1,135 | 7.5 |
| Huerfano. | 10,549 | 9.4 | Teller | 2,754 | 11.5 |
| Jackson. | 1,976 | 5.3 | Washington | 7,520 | 7.8 |
| Jefferson. | 55,687 | 6. 3 | Weld. | 67,504 | 8.0 |
| Kiowa. | 3,003 | 6.9 | Yuma. | 10,827 | 9.3 |
| Kit Carson. | 8,600 | 7.5 |  |  |  |

E. POPULATION S5 AND OLDER, BY AGE COLORADO, 1950
F. NUMERICAL INCREASE IN EACH AGE GROUP OF THE COLORADO POPULATLON, $1940-1950$ *

1940

| All ages. | 1,123,296 | 1,325,089 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 5... | 96,660 | 148,247 |
| 5-14. | 191,323 | 215,791 |
| $15-24$ | 197,377 | 199,188 |
| $25-34$ | 176,449 | 209,277 |
| 35-41. | 147,616 | 180, 158 |
| 45-54. | 131,468 | 141,765 |
| 55-64 | 95, 965 | 115,072 |
| 65 and older. | 86,438 | 115,591 |
| Male: |  |  |
| All ages. | 568, 778 | 665.149 |
| Under 5... | 49,099 | 75, 34, |
| 5-1.4. | 96,510 | 110,114 |
| 15-24. | 98, 125 | 100,359 |
| 25-34. | 38, 102 | 104,250 |
| 35-44. | 74.494 | 90,009 |
| 45-54. | 68, 217 | 71,243 |
| $55-64$. | 50,037 | 58, 187 |
| 65 and 01.der. | 44, 19.4 | 55,630 |
| Female: |  |  |
| All ages. | 554, 518 | 659.910 |
| Under 5. | 47,561 | 72, 899 |
| 5-14. | 94, 813 | 105,677 |
| 15-24. | 99, 253 | 98,829 |
| $25-34$ | 88, 347 | 105,018 |
| 35-44. | 73, 122 | 90, 149 |
| $45-54$ | 63,251 | 70,522 |
| $55-64$ | 45,928 | 56,885 |

*U.S. Bureau of the Census reports

1940 - Left bar of each age-group pair. 1950 - Right bar of each age-group pair Thousands
G. PERCENTAGE INCRFASE IN EACH AGE GROUP OF THE COLORADO POPULATION, 19А0-1950

Sex and Age
\% Change
1940-1950

| Sex and Age | \% Change |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $1940-1250$ |
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All ab ..... $\frac{18.0}{53.4}$

5-14..................................................... 12.8
15-24............................................................... 0.9
$25-34 \ldots . . . . .$.
35-44................................. 22.0
45-54................................. 7.8
55-64............................... 19.9
65 and older................................ 33. 7
$\frac{\text { Male: }}{\text { All ages................................ }}$
Under 5.
16.9
nder 5................................ 53.5



45-54................................. ${ }^{4.4}$
55 - 64..................................... 16.3

*U. S. Bureau of the Census reports.
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H. AVERAGE LIFE EXPECTANCY AT SUCCESSIVE AGES IN COLORADO
Average Years of Life Expected, For White Persons In Colorado, 1939-1941 *

| At Age: | For: | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 year. |  | 64.7 | 68. 6 |
| 5 years. |  | 61.6 | 65.5 |
| 15 years. |  | 52.5 | 56. 2 |
| 25 years. |  | 43.8 | 47.2 |
| 35 years. |  | 35.0 | 38.3 |
| 45 years. |  | 26.6 | 29.7 |
| 55 years. |  | 19.0 | 21.6 |
| 65 years. |  | 12.5 | 14.2 |
| 70 years. |  | 9.7 | 10.9 |
| 75 years. |  | 7.4 | 8. 2 |

Although similar life table statistics for Colorado are not yet available for 1950 , two conclusions may be drawn from the mortality statistics for the period 1940-1950: (1) Life expectancy has increased, as the general death rates have decreased for both sexes; (2) a differential between the longevity of males and females remains, as the death rates have continued higher for males than for females.


I. LEADING CHRONIC DISEASE CAUSES OF DEATH, BY BROAD AGE GROUP COLORADO, 1950
The chronic diseases are today's leading mortality and illness problem. Sixty-three per cent of the total deaths occurring among Colorado residents in 1950 were attributable to two of the broad groupings of chronic diseases - the cardiovascularrenal group (diseases of the heart, other circulatory system, and the kidneys) and cancer. Although more characteristic of the older ages, these diseases bring illness and death to younger adults in considerable proportions; and al so occur, with fatalities, in children.

Sole or Principal Cause of Death

| Age | Colorado Residents, Both Sexes, 1950 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { All } \\ & \text { Causes } \end{aligned}$ | Heart Disease | Other Cardio-vas.-renal ${ }^{x x}$ | Cancer ${ }^{x x x}$ |
| All ages.. | 12,218 | 4, 186 | 1,771 | 1,700 |
| Under 5... | 1,337 |  | 3 | 16 |
| 5-14. | 145 | 14 | 6 | 17 |
| 15-24. | 254 | 15 | 15 | 19 |
| 25-44. | 888 | 152 | 55 | 125 |
| 45-64 | 2,823 | 1,000 | 303 | 622 |
| 65 and older. | 6,771 | 3,005 | 1,389 | 901 |

Per Cent of Total Causes

| All ages.... | $\frac{100.0}{100.0}$ | $\underline{34.3}$ | - | $\frac{11.5}{0.2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under $5 \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | $\frac{13.9}{1.2}$ |  |  |  |
| $5-14 . \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 100.0 | 9.7 | 4.1 | 11.7 |
| $15-24 \ldots \ldots$. | 100.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 7.5 |
| $25-44 \ldots \ldots$. | 100.0 | 17.1 | 6.2 | 14.1 |
| $45-64 \ldots \ldots$. | 100.0 | 35.4 | 10.7 | 22.0 |
| 65 and older... | 100.0 | 44.4 | 20.5 | 13.3 |

${ }^{x^{\prime}}$ Deaths from rheumatic fever included.
${ }^{x x}$ Diseases of the circulatory system, other than the heart, and of the kidncys.
${ }^{x x x}$ Includes leukemi as and Hodgkin's disease.
*Colorado State Department of Public Health reports.
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The problems of an increasing and aging population which are implied by the population and mortality statistics for Colorado are similar to those facing the United States. Therefore, the following quotations from national studies have been selected as summaries of the trends and their significance.

## Population Changes

"Our population has deen undergoing a marked change in its age composition. At each census up through 1940 we found a smaller proportion of children and an increasing proportion of the aged. In one respect this lone-term trend has undergone an important reversal during the past decade. The census of 1950 will show that the nunber and proportion of our children have markedly increased. * * *
"At the other end of the scale we have the increased proportion of the afed. Here we find an accentuation of the longtime trend.
"We have also experienced rather recently an important change in the distribution of the sexes. $* * *$ This is hardly surprising in view of certain recent trends. In the first place the longevity of women has been improving at a faster rate than that of men. In addition, within the last two decades we have had a sharp reduction in immigration which, over many years, brought us more men than women. Lastly, there is the effect of World War II * * *. When we look into the details, however, we find that the present excess of women over men is concentrated, for the most part, at the higher ages * * *."
(Dublin, Louis I., Ph. D., The American Population Profile, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, 1950.)

## Sex Differences in Mortality

"Males have a higher death rate than females at every age of life, but the difference varies markedly from one age to another,
"At present, the advantage enjoyed by females is greatest in the late teens and early 20 's, when the mortality of men is almost 90 per cent higher than that of women. Another peak occurs in the early 50 's, at which ages the excess of male mortality is about 75 to 80 per cent. The least differences in mortality are found in early childhood and at the later ages of life.
"The present situation is in marked contrast with that of a half century ago, when the disparity in the death rate between the sexes was considerably less. Then, the greatest excess of male over female mortality occurred in the first year of life, but it amounted to only 21 per cent. Indeed, at many ages the death rates for the sexes were about the same; at ages 15 and 16 the rate for males actually fell below that for females.
"Both sexes have experienced a marked reduction in mortality since the turn of the century, but the improvement has been greater for females than for miales. * * *"
(Metropolitan Life.Insurance Company, "Sex Difference in Mortality," Statistical Bulletin, Vol.31, No.9, Scpt., 1950.)

## Future Longevity Goals and Leading Causes of Death

"The expectation of life at bjrth in the United States has increased about 19 years since 1900 . This major achievement in life conservation has resulted largely from the marked reduction in the mortality from the infectious diseases heretofore the major targets of the medical sciences and the public heal th movement generally. The objectives of future efforts to increase longevity are clearly indicated by the number of years that conld be added to life by the elimination of various causes of death.
"By far the greatest increase in the expectation of life at birth would be attained through the elimination of the car-diovascular-renal diseases * * *. It is, of course, unrealistic to talk of wiping out the cardiovascular-renal diseases; yet it should be remembered that they account for
more than 200,000 deaths a year among white persons under age 65. If most of these deaths could be postponed until later years, the expectation of life would still be increased appreciably.
"The years of life sacrificed to cancer are second only to the toll exacted by the cardiovascular-renal diseases. The elimination of cancer as a cause of death would add 1.8 years tc the expectation of life of white males and 2.5 years to that of white females. The figures vary little from birth to age 50 , indicating that the increase in longevity would be achieved for the most part through the saving of people who are past midlife. * * *
"Future gains in longevity will be contributed by reductions in mortality from each of the conditions considered here, as well as from many others. The infectious diseases are by no means completely vanquished; their complete control - not a remote possibility - will add appreciably to our length of lifc. However, the greatest opportunities for further gains now lie in a better understanding of the chronic dn seases, and it is in this direction that our medical investigators are devoting more and more attention."
(Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, "Future Goals in Longevity," Statistical Bulletin, Vol. 32, No. 3, March 1951.)

## Excessive ifortality Among Our Elders

"The Tnited States has one of the best mortality records in the world. Since the turn of the century the death rate in our country has been cut nearly in half and 20 years have been added to the average length of life. This remarkable achievement has come about mainly through the control of infectious diseases and consequent saving of large numbers of lives at the youneer ages. As a result, our death rates in youth and early maturity compare very favorably with those of other countries. At the older ages, however, we lag behind other advanced nations. * * *
"The excessive mortality among our older people arises in large measure out of the reslatively high death rates from several of the leading causes of death. Our accident record is particularly bad. * * *
"Far more important, however, is the effect of the high mortality from the cardiovascular-renal diseases, which accounts for three-fifths of all deaths after age 45 in our country. The situation is serious among older men in the United States $* * *$. Our older women, however, have average death rates from the cardiovascular-renal diseases.
"Still another factor in the high mortality of our elders is diabetes. In fact, this disease is the one leading cause of death for which women over 45 in the United States show exceptionally high rates. * * *
"With respect to other leading causes of death, the United States has average or below-average mortality at the older ages. Our mortality from tuberculosns, pneumonia and influenza, and other important infectious diseases is very favorable at the older ages as well as earlier in life. Cancer records average death rates above age 45 .
"The main causes of death that account for our high mortality in old age, then, are accidents in both sexes, the car-diovascular-renal diseases among men, and diabetes among women. Were it not for the excessive mortality from these causes, the United States would rank very favorably among the countries of the world with respect to mortality at the older ages as woll as in youth and midife."
(Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, Mfortality Amone Our Elders Too High," Statistical Bulletin, Vol. 32, No. 10 , October 1951, based upon a paper by Louis I. Thabin, Ph. D. at the 79 th Annual Meeting of the American Public Health Association, 1950.

## PART II - CHRONIC ILLNESS STUDIES NEEDED IN COLORADO

## Notes and estimates regarding: <br> Page

A. Need for comprehensive studies on aging, chronic illness, an medical care in Colorado...................................................
B. Estimated population with chronic disease, by broad age group, Colorado, 1950............................................................................ 13
C. Causes of impairment, active case load, Aid to the Needy Disabled, Colorado, June 1951........................................................................ 14
D. Cancer illness among residents of the Denver Metropolitan Area, 1947.... 14

If sound planning to meet the complex problems of aging and chronic illness in colorado is to be accomplished, further coordination of existing information and additional detailed studies are needed.
In this regard, it has been recommended that a single, official state body be established: (1) To devote continuous study to the total problem of the treatment, prevention, and care of aging and infirmity, chronic illness, and mental illness in the state; (2) to evaluate and integrate the findings and recommendations of previous commissions and study groups; (3) to gather, evaluate and utilize information from other sources pertinent to the over-all problem; (4) to explore the possibility and feasibility of developing a demonstration program in a given area; and (5) to present specific recommendations to the General Assembly after edequate study.*
Many useful studies and analyses are lacking on illness and disability in Colorado according to important factors such as: age and sex; specific diseases; racial or nationality groups; economic status; medical care needs and receipt; and medical costs. Selccted facts from several of the existing studies are presented in this section

As reliable comprehensive surveys are costly in time and money, the more feasible first step often is to estimate the size and variations of a state's illness problems by applying to the state population statistics, according to categories, the rates and differentials found in national surveys and representative special studies el sewhere. For example, National Health Survey rates have been used in estimating the number of chronically ill in Colorado.** Such estimates are presented in Section B. In the Appendix, rates and percentages relating to a variety of factors in chronic illness are presented from several recent national surveys and special studies. These norms inight be applied to Colorado population statistics.
*Colorado State Departinent of Public Fealth, statement at hearing of the Legislative Intcrim Cormittee on Care of the Needy Aged, May 1952.
**See: Coloradn Commission on Chronic Illness and Rehabilitation, Report on Chronic Illness in Colorado, January 1951.

## B. ESTIMATED POPUJATION WITH CHRONIC DISEASE, BY BROAD AGE GROUP COLORADO, 1950

Approximately the following proportions of the national population have a chronic disease condition - according to findings in the National Health Survey of some years ago and corroborative facts from other studies.*


Of those 45 - 64 years................................................................ $30 \%$
Of those 65 and older.
The estimated numbers of persons with chronic disease in Colorado, obtained by applying the above percentages to the final 1950 census statistics, follow:

Total, among all ages..................................................240,000
Among those under 15 years............................................ . 19,000
Among those $15-44$ years....................................................... $x_{86,000}$
Among those 45 - 64 years. ............................................ . . 77,000
Among those 65 and older............................................... 58,000
${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ Somewhat reduced from $15 \%$ to allow for the good heal th
of the armed forces, in the state, in this age group.
As to degree of disability, it is further estimated, from data in national studies, that the approximately 240 Coloradoans with chronic disease are distributed as follows:

Total with chronic disєase........................... $200 \%$ or 240,000 Invalids (persons disabled one year or longer)....... $6 \%$ or 15,000 Persons appreciably disabled. .................................. $29 \%$ or 70,000 Persons with less handicapping symptoms or impair-
ments which eventually will add to the chron-
ically disabled. ........................................... $65 \%$ or 155,000
*The National Health Survey of 1935-1936 by the U. S. Public Heal th Service, and a siurvey of disabling illness prevalence in February 1949 made in connection with the Current Population Survey, U. S. Public Health Service; and monthly canvasses of illness in Baltimore, 1938-1943, U. S. Public Heal th Service.
C. PERCFFNTAGF DISTRIBUTION OF CAUSES OF IMPAIRMENT, BY MAJOR DIAGNOSIS, ACTIVE CASE LOAD, AID TO THE NEEDY DISABLED COLORADO. JUNE 1951. (2, 153 PERSONS) *

June 1951 was the f.ifth month of the Aid to the Needy Disabled program in Colorado. Social facts and data from medical reports were analyzed, on the basis of the active case load June 1, by the State Department of Public Welfare. The average age of the recipients was 58 years; 29 per cent were between 60 and 70 , and 16 per cent were 70 and older. *

Major Diagnosis
Per Cent
All diagnoses
Cardiovascular disease - heart disease, cerebral hemorrhage, arteriosclerosis.......................
Conditions of bones and joints, inc. arthritis and loss of limbs. $\qquad$
 18.0

Organic. 14.8

Psychopathic and neurotic.............................
Epilepsy..........................................................
Paralysis, exc. poliomyelitis and residuals.........
Chronic respiratory disease, exc. tuberculosis......
Tuberculosis, all forms..................................... Syphilis and residuals....................................... Gastro-intestinal, digestive, endocrine, metabolic and nutritional diseases...............................
Lcss or impairment of special sense organs...........
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
All other. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
*Modification of an unpoblished table provided by the State Department of Public Welfare with a letter of Aueust 4, 1952. **Color ado State Department of Public Welfare, "Aia to the Needy Disabled in Colorado, June 1951," Public "elfare Statistics in Colorado, Vol. 9, Nc. 1, November 1951; includes alsc a table on causes of disability grouped according to body system.
D. CANCER ILLNESS AMONG RESIDENTS OF THE DENVER METROPOLITAN AREA IN 1947, UNITED STATES PIBBLIC HEALTH SERVICE CANCER MORBIDITY SURVEY

The Denver survey was made by the United States Public Health Service with the cooperation of the State Department of Public Health and the Denver County Medical Society, Hospitat Council, and Heal th Department. Reports on all residents of the Denver Metropolitan Area (Denver, Adams, Arapahoe, and Jefferson Counties) who were diagnosed, treated, or seen for a check-up for any malignant neoplasm during the calendar year 1947 were requested from all physicians, hospitals, and clinics in the area.

Sex and age differences in the cancer illness rates were analyzed, and were sunmarized as follows:

The female incidence rate ( 392 cases newly diagnosed in 1947 per 100,000 female population) was 5 per cent higher than the male rate ( 372 cases newly diagnosed in 1947 per 100,000 male population). The female prevalence rate ( 577 cases diagnosed or treated in 1947, regardless of year of diagnosis, per 100,000 female population) was 18 per cent higher than that of males ( 474 such cases per 100,000 male population).

The median age of newly diagnosed cancer cases was 64 fcr males and 60 for females. Almost one-hal $f$ of all newly diagnosed cases among males were in the age group 65 and over, compared to the female group with less than two-fifths of the nevily diagnosed cases in this age category.

The lower median age for female cancer cass was attributed to the relatively large number of malignancies developing in the genital organs and breast mong females. Cancers of these sites develop at younger ages than the predorinant forms of cancer among males.

[^0]
## PART III - COSTS OF LIVING AND MRDICAL CARE

 IN REL,ATION TO INCOMEFacts and comments regarding: Page
A. A city worker's family living costs, Denver $1950,1951$. ..... 16
B. An enderly couple's living costs, Denver, 1950, and related economic statistics............................................................ 16
C. Money income of families and aged persons, Colorado, 1950........ 17

## A. COSTS OF LIVING AND NEDICAL CARE FOR A CITY WORKER'S

 FAMILY OF FOTTR PERSONS, DENVER, 1950-1951The Denver costs shown below are as estimated by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics from pricings of the items in an annual quantity budget designed for a modest but adequate level of living for an employed urban worker, his wife, and two children under 15 years old.*

| Pricing Month | Annual Budget | Medical Care | Other Serv. Goods, Rents | Other Costs and Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 1850.. | 梅3,739 | \# $785^{\text {x }}$ | \$3, 230 | \$324 |
| October 1951.. | 4,199 | $210^{x}$ | 3,538 | 451 |

$\mathrm{x}_{\text {Estimated, }}$ from carlier pricings, as 5 per cent of total budget.
The medical care items provide for: average annual amounts of medical, dental, and hospital services; medical supplies; and eye glasses. Hospital services include family membership in a group họspitalization insurance plan.

The "other costs and taxes" include: personal taxes, Social Security deductions, life insurance, allowances for occlipational expense.
B. COSTS OF I,IVING AND MFDTCAL, CARE FOR AN ELDERLI Y COUPI,E

The Denver costs presented next are as estimated by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics from pricings of the items in an anmual quantity budget for an elderly couple of about 65.** The budget was designed to provide the goods and services necessary to maintain
*Knapr, Eurice M., "Family Budget of City Norker, October, 1950," Nonthly Laocr Reviow, Vol. 72, No. 2, Tebruary 1951, giving data at June 1947, Cotober 1949, and October 1950 price levels; and "City "orker's Tamily Buicet for October 1951," Ronthly Labor Review, Vol. 74, No. 5, Nay 1962.
**Knapp, Eunice Pf., and Cooperman, Mary T., "Budget for An Elcierly Couple, Fstimated Cost, October 1950," Monthly Labor Review, Vol. 73, No. 3, September 195 i.
health and allow normal participation in community life by a husband and wife living in their own two- or three-room rented dwelling and able to get about and take care of themselves. No details as to medical care were given in the report cited.

The "budget couple" is said to be typical of many receiving old age retirement insurence benefits and of many potentially eligible for or actually receiving 01d Age Assistance.

| Pricing Month | Annual Budget | Housing | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 1950. | \#. 7,746 | \#577 | \#1, 169 |

A survey of medical care expenditures of beneficiaries of 01d Age and Survivors Insurance in three eastern cities, 1946-1949. showed: ***

Median annual medical care expenditures of beneficiary couples

Mean annual medical care expenditures of such couples........ 160
As of February 1951, 22,062 persons 65 and older in Colorado were receiving 01d Age and Survivors Insurance benefits and 47,743 were recipients of old Ape Assistance. According to a national study in September 1950, more than 10 per cent of the aged Old Age and Survivors Insurance beneficiaries receive supplemental 0ld Age Assistance, and are included on both rolls. As of December 1951, the Old Age Assistance recipients in Colorado totaled 52,750.*

Illnces or disability of the recipient is the reason for opening about 30 per cent of new old Afe Assistance cases, acoording to a nationel study, 1047-194?.
***"Medical. Care Fxpenditures of Beneficiaries in Three Cities," Social Sucurity Bulletin, Vol. 14, No. Il, Navomber 1951.
****For this paragraph, the sources are: "Aged OASI Beneficiaries Outnumber 0,A Recipients," Social Security Bulletin, Vol. 14, No. 8, August 1951; and Public. "lelfare Statietics in Colorado, Vol. E, No.7, December 1950, the annual report of the Colorado State Department of Public Melfare. "Reasons for Opening Cases for Public fssistance, 1947-1949," Social Security Bulletin, Vol. 1:, No. 7, July 1950.

Facts on money income of individuals and families are of especial interest, for this compilation, in relation to the estimated costs of adequate but modest budgets for a city worker's family of four and fcr an elderly couple - about $\$ 3,740$ and 1,745 , respectively, in Denver, at October 1950 price levels.

The term "money income" as used in this section implies: Money received (less losses) from all sources including public assistance and contributions for support from others. Colorado data from the 1950 census follow: *

${ }^{\mathrm{X}}$ Individuals not living with any relatives were classified according to their own income; for family groups, the combined incomes of all member's were treated as a single amount.

The monej income data for the Jnited States shown next were applied to the Cclorado population statistics for 1950 in making our numericai estimates regarding aged individuals in Colorado (see opposite). The source report for the porcentages for the United Stetes also includes facts on money incomes of familites according to age of the head.** Of families with heads aged 65 and older, 51.6 per cent had money incomes under $\$ 2,000$.

[^1]| Money Income | $\frac{\text { Per Cent of Persons } 65 \text { and 0lder }}{\text { Male }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total................... | 100.0 100.0 |
| With no money income........ | 10.1 46.1 |
| With money income........... | 89.9 53.9 |
|  | Per Cent of Persons 65 and 0lder With Money Income |
|  | Male Fomale |
| Total with money income | 100.01100 .0 |
| Under \$500................... | 25.8 (47.9 |
| \$500-999. | 24.9 34.5 |
| 1,000-1,499................. | 12.3 8.0 |
| 1,500-1,999. | 8.0 3.1 |
| 2,000 and over | 29.0 - 6.5 |
|  | COLORADO, 1950- ESTIMATED NUMBERS |
|  | Nunber of Persons 65 and 01 der |
| Total.. | 55,630 59,960. |
| ith no money income......... <br> With money inconle. ........... | 5,620 27,640 |
|  | .50,010 32,320 |
|  | Number of Persons 65 and 0lder With Noney Income |
|  | Male .Female |
| Total with money income | 50.010 |
| Under \$ECO........................ <br> \#500 - 999. | 12,950 $13,4,00$ <br> 12,450  |
| 1,000-1,499............... | 6,150 2,590 |
| 1,500 - 1,999................ | 4,000 1, 1,00 |
| 2,000 and over............... | 14,510 2,100 |

## APPENDIX - SELECTED DETAILED STATISTICS ON CHRONIC ILLNESS FROM NATIONAL STUDIES

Notes and statistics from studies on:
A. Chronic disability, civilian noninstitutional population, 14-64, February 1949... 19
B. Prevalence of arthritis and rheumatism, civilian noninstitutional population, 14-64, September 1951.......................................................................... 20
C. Causes of illnesses disabling 12 months or longer, National Health Survey,

1935-1936. .................................................................................
D. Disabling illness from chronic diseases in a sample of families canvassed monthly, Baltimore, 1938-1943, by national and local research agencies............ 22-23
A. CHRONIC DISABILITY, CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTTIONAL POPULATION, 14-64 UNITED STATES, SAMPLE STUDY, CURRENT POPULATION SURVEY, FEB. 1949

The averages and percentages shown in this section are selected findings from a sample study by the United States Public Heal th Service made with the Bureau of the Census to determine the prevalence, on day of interview, and the prior duration of disabling illnesses, injuries and impairments preventing civilians aged 14 through 64 years, not in institutions, from pursuing their usual activities.*

The measure of chronicity and severity of disability efforded by the study was the duration of disability prior to the interview. In general, the persons classified as disabled included those un able to work or carry out their regular activities on the day of visit because of illness in the ordinary sense, and also those with a residual condition, such as paralysis or loss of limb, completely preventing them from working,

Summary tables indicating variations in illness according to age, sex, race, and urban-rural residence follow.
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Per Cent of Survey Population Disabled Over 6 Nos. Age:

Male Female

| Total 14-64...... | 2. 12 | 2.70 | 1. 57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14-19. | 0.86 | 1.07 | 0.66 |
| $20-24$. | 0.95 | 1. 25 | 0. 67 |
| 25-34. | 1.04 | 1.35 | 0.76 |
| 35-44. | 1.44 | 1.67 | 1.23 |
| 45-54. | 3.01 | 3.66 | 2. 38 |
| 55-64. | 6.16 | 8.04 | 4. 28 |
| Race: |  |  |  |
| All races, $14-64 .$. | 2.12 | 2.70 | 1.57 |
| White. | 2.02 | 2.67 | 1. 40 |
| Nonwhite. | 3. 14 | 3.05 | 3. 21 |
| Residence: |  |  |  |
| All areas, 14-64..... | 2. 12 | 2. 70 | 1. 57 |
| Urban. | 1.97 | 2. 46 | 1.54 |
| Rural nonfarm. | 2. 15 | 2.94 | 1.39 |
| Rural farm. | 2.61 | 3. 24 | 1. 94 |

Per Cent of Disabled Survey Population Disabled for: Over 1 But Under 10 Yrs. ${ }^{X} \quad 10$ Yrs. or M cre ${ }^{X}$ Both Male Female Both Male Female

Age:

| 14 | 22. 5 | 29.0 | 15.7 | 13. 6 | 14.4 | 12. 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11-19. | 5.9 | 4.6 | 7.3 | 17.5 | 21.3 | 13.5 |
| $20-24$. | 11.2 | 20.8 | 4.6 | 15.8 | 21.5 | 12.0 |
| 25-34. | 18.1 | 31.6 | 8. 2 | 10.9 | 11.6 | 10.3 |
| 35-44 | 18.7 | 24.0 | 14.2 | 10.9 | 11. 2 | 10.7 |
| 45-54 | 25.3 | 31.6 | 17.8 | 11.4 | 11.1 | 11.7 |
| 55-64. | 32.6 | 36.2 | 27.5 | 16.5 | 16.2 | 17.1 |

${ }^{\mathrm{X}}$ The proportion of long-term cases among the disabled is relatively high because, in one-day prevalence surveys, the probability of finding a disabled person in the sample is proportional to the total length of his disability.
B. PREVALENCE OF ARTHRITIS AND RHEUMATISM, CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONAL POPULATION 14-64, UNITED STATES, SANPLE STUDY, CURRENT POPULATION SURVEY, SEPT. 1951

This study was made by the United States Public Health Serv ice, on a sample basis, with the Bureau of the Census.

| Reported Diagnosis | Per Cent of Survey Population ${ }^{\text {X }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Male | Female | White | NonWhite |
| All cases reported.... 9.3 | 7.6 | 10.8 | 9.2 | 9. 9 |
| Cases seen by doctor.. 6.9 | 5.4 | 8.4 | 7.0 | 6.5 |
| Doctor called it: ${ }^{\text {XX }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Arthritis........ 4.3 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 3.2 |
| Rheumatism........ 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| Other and unknown. 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1. 2 |
| Cases not been by doctor2.3 | 2. 2 | 2.5 | 2. 2 | 3.4 |
|  |  | Rural |  | Rural |
| Urban |  | Nonfarm |  | Farm |
| All cases reported. . . . 8.9. |  | 10.1 |  | 13.6 |
| Cases seen by doctor 6.0 |  | 7.9 |  | 9.5 |
| Doctor called it: ${ }^{\text {xx }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Arthritis.......... 3.9 |  | 5.1 |  | 5.0 |
| Kheumatism. . . . . . . 1.2 |  | 2.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Other and unknown.. 1.0 |  | 0.9 |  | 1.5 |
| Cases not seen by doctorl. 9 |  | 2. 2 |  | 4.2 |

$x_{\text {Because }}$ of dropping of part of the decimal fraction, the totals shown do not exactly equal the additions of the sub-items.
$x^{x}$ The term "arthritis" includes all reported diagnoses including that word; "rheumatism" includes terms such as rheumatism, gout, lumbago, myositis, and f'ibrositis; more indef'inite reported diagnoses are included under "other and unknown."
C. PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF CAUSES OF ILLNESSES DISABLING TWELVE MONTHS OR LONGER, BY SOLE OR PRIMARY DIAGNOSIS

NATIONAL HEALTH SURVEY, 1935-1936
The National Health Survey, made by the United States Public Health Service on a sample basis, was a canvass of disabling illnesses on the day of visit and of the prior duration of the illnesses.

Sole or Primary Diagnosis of Persons Disabled for the Per Entire 12 Months Immediately Preceding Visitx Cent
All diagnoses................................................. $\quad 100.0$

Nervous and mental diseases.................................. 18.4
Rheumatism, arthritis and diseases of bones, joints etc..
Permanent results of accidents.................................... 8.8

Tuberculosis, all forms............................................. 5.2
Chronic diseases of the digestive system...................... 4.8
Chronic diseases of the respiratory system..................
Blindness and diseases of the eye..............................................
Diabctes mellitus.........................................................
Diseases of the genital and urinary organs.................
Chronic results of communicable diseases (about $2 / 3$ due
to poliomyelitis).
Cancer and other tumors..............................................
Hernia. ........................................................................
Congenital and early infancy causes.............................
Deafness and diseases of the ear

Anemja. ................................................................
Diseases of the thyroid gland.
x Institutionalized cases, as reported in the house-to-house canvass were included, but the reporting of such cases was incomplete; and, therefore, the percentages for nervous and mental diseases and for tuberculosis are somewhat Jow. Syphilis was omitted because of the incompleteness of reporting of this disease.
*Britten, Rollo H., et al, "The National Health Survey, Some General Findings as to Disease, Accidents, and Impairments in Urban Areas, "Public Health Reports, Vcl. 55, No. 11, Farch 15, 1940.
D. DISABLING ZLNESS FROM CHRONIC L TSEASES IN A SANPLE OF FAMLLIES CANVASSED PONTHLY, EASTERN HEALTH DISTRICT, BALTIMORE, 1938-1943

The data presented in this section are from a five-year study based upon monthly $v$ isits to a sample of the white population of the Eastern Health District of Baltimore. The District is a moderate residential area in which nearly three-fourths of the gainfully employed are in the clerical-sales, skilled, and semiskilled occupational groups. The Division of Public Health Wethods, United States Public Health Service; the Milbank Ifemorial Fund; the Departments of Biostatistics and Epidemiclcgy of the Johns Hopkins School of Hygiene and Public Health; and the Baltimore City Health Department cooperated in the study.*

Chronic diseases were identified primarily by medical diagnosis and by the patient's history prior to and during the study. Diagnoses that might be either acute or chronic were classified as acute if later inquiry revealed no further illness or symptoms and there was no prior history of the disease. Thus, in the study, chronic disease was considered as a $\varepsilon$ ingle category; including temporary chronic illness and what has been called pernianent chronic illness.
"A disabling chronic illness," in this section, significs an attack or episode of a chronic di sease preventing the ind ividual from pursuing his usual activities for one day or longer.
*Collins, Selwyn D., et al, "Specific Causes of Illness Found in Monthly Canvasses of Families, Sample of the Eastern Health District of Baltimore, 1938-43," public Health Reports, Vol. 65, No. 39, September 29, 1950; and "Disabling Illness from Specific Causes Among Nales and Females of Various Ages, Sample of Thite Fam ilies Canvassed at Monthly Intervals in the Eestern Heal th District of Baltimore, 1938-45, Public Heal th Reports, Vol. 66, No. 50, December 14, 1951.

DISABILITY DUE TO CHRONIC DISEASES Average Annual Number of Disabling Illnesses Per 1,000 Persons Observed Male Female

|  | All ages. <br> Under 5....... |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 5-14. |
|  | 15-24. |
|  | 25-34. |
|  | 35-44. |
|  | 45-54. |
|  | 55-74. |
|  | 75 and older. |


| 60 | $\frac{90}{5}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 31 | 53 |
| 23 | 25 |
| 27 | 40 |
| 69 | 116 |
| 110 | 136 |
| 168 | 232 |
| 245 | 322 |

Average Annual Number of Days of Disability per 1,000 Persons Observed Male.

Female
All ages....................
Under 5..............................

| $\frac{11.0}{0.1}$ | $\frac{8.9}{5.3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10.1 | 5.1 |

5-14..............................
15-21............................
25-34.............................
35-44.............................
45 - $5 \pm . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.

75 and older......................
$\frac{8.9}{5.3}$
5.1
5.1
10.4
2.8
6.2
7.4
18.0
26.3
4.1
65.0
7.3
23.1
8. 66.3

Average Annual Number of Days of $\frac{\text { Disability per Disabling Case }}{\text { Female }}$

| All ages | 183 | $\underline{99}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 10. | 291 | 245 |
| $10-24$. | 196 | 141 |
| 25-44. | 147 | 57 |
| 45-54. | 164 | 54 |
| 55-64. | 185 | 99 |
| 65-74. | 25 | 126 |
| 75 and old | 266 | 206 |



Specified cardiovascular-
renal diseases:

| Coronary heart d isease and angina................ 6. 87 | 5.05 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.75 | . 29 | 16.14 | 9.39 | 60.72 | 45.39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other heart diseases except rheumatic........ 4.05 | 10.11 | - | - | - | . 62 | . 51 | 1.00 | 2. 33 | 3.99 | 9. 23 | 28. 16 | 24.67 | 41. 27 |
| Hypertension and arteriosclerosis.............. 3.48 | 5.33 | - | - | 1. 75 | 3.72 | - | - | 1. 46 | 1.71 | 8.76 | 10.73 | 18.98 | 30.26 |
| Varicose veins............ 1. 32 | 2. 30 | - | - | - | - | . 51 | - | . 58 | . 86 | 2.77 | 6. 26 | 9.49 | 11.00 |
| Nephritis................. 2.45 | 4. 14 | - | - | - | - | . 51 | . 50 | . 58 | 2. 57 | 6.92 | 9. 39 | 15.18 | 19. 26 |
| it is and chronic rheum..... 8. 28 | 11.40 | - | - | 1. 16 | 3.72 | 1.02 | 1.49 | 7. 87 | 5.99 | 18.91 | 25. 93 | 30.36 | 49.52 |
| r and other tumors......... 4.14 | 8.36 | - | 6.37 | . ${ }^{-}$ | - | 3.57 | 2. 99 | 1. 46 | 9.70 | 11.07 | 12. 52 | 15. 18 | 24.76 |
| tes.......................... 1.22 | 1. 47 | - | - | - | - | - | - | . $65^{\mathrm{x}}$ | . $62^{\text {x }}$ | $4.30{ }^{\text {X }}$ | x $1.4 .49^{x}$ | 4. $4 \cdot \varepsilon^{\text {X }}$ | 8. $44^{x}$ |

*Attacks or episodes of illness preventing the individual from pursuing usual activities for one day or longer.
**Chronic diseases were ident if ied, in this study, primarily by medical diagnosis and by the patient's history prior to and during the study.
***From: Collins, Selwyn D., et al, "Disabling Illness from Specific Causes Among lales and Females of Various Ages, Sample of White Fanilies Canvassed at Honthly Intervals in the Eastern Health District of Baltimore, 1938-43," Public Health Reports, Vol. 66, No. 50, December 15, 1951.
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[^0]:    *Marcus, Samuel C., Cancer IIlness Among Residents of Denver, Colorado, 1947, Cancer Morbidity Series, 4, 1951, U. S. Public Health Service.

[^1]:    *Census of the Population: 1950, General Characteristics, Colorado, Serics P-B86, T. S. Furem of the Census.
    **"Income of Families and Fercons in the United States 1950," Current Population Reports, Consumer Income, Series P-60, No. 9.

[^2]:    *Woolsey., Theodore D., "Estimates of Disabling Illness Prevalence in the United States, Based on the February 194c Current Populetion Survey," Public Health Repor'ts, Vol. 65, No. 6, Fobruary 10, 1950; and Picore, Mirjorie E., and Sanders, Barkev S., "Extent of To.tal Disability in the United States," Social Security Bulletin,

[^3]:    $x$ The diabetes rates are for ages 35-44, 45-54, 55 and older.

