

College of Agricultural Sciences Department of Soil and Crop

CSU Extension

# **Agronomic & Entomological Results** from 7 Years of Dryland Cropping Systems Research at Briggsdale, Colorado

F. B. Peairs<sup>2</sup>, N. C. Hansen<sup>3</sup>, D. Poss<sup>4</sup>, D. G. Westfall<sup>3</sup>, G. A. Peterson<sup>3</sup>, J. C. Herman<sup>6</sup>, L. Sherrod<sup>5</sup>, T. M. Shaver<sup>6</sup>, T. Randolph<sup>6</sup>, J. Rudolph<sup>6</sup>

A Cooperative Project of the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station Department of Soil and Crop Sciences Department of Bioagricultural Sciences and Pest Management Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO

and the

USDA – Agriculture Research Service Natural Resources Research Center Great Plains Systems Research Unit Fort Collins, CO

<sup>1</sup>Funding is provided by the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station and USDA-ARS. <sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Bioagricultural Sciences and Pest Management, Colorado State

University, Fort Collins, CO 80523

<sup>3</sup>Professors, Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523

<sup>4</sup>Research Support Scientist – Central Great Plains Research Station at Akron, CO

<sup>5</sup>USDA-ARS Technician – Great Plains Systems Research Unit

<sup>6</sup>Research Associate, Colorado State University

\*\*Mention of a trademark or proprietary product does not constitute endorsement by the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station.\*\*

Colorado State University is an equal opportunity/affirmative action institution and complies with all Federal and Colorado State laws, regulations, and executive orders regarding affirmative action requirements in all programs. The Office of Equal Opportunity is located in 101 Student Services. In order to assist Colorado State University in meeting its affirmative action responsibilities, ethnic minorities, women, and other protected class members are encouraged to apply and to so identify themselves.

# **Table of Contents**

Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Objectives	2
Materials & Methods	2
Results & Discussion–Agronomic Data	5
Climatic Data	5
Wheat	5
Corn	7
Sunflower	7
Foxtail Millet	8
Soybeans & Forage Soybeans	8
Austrian Winter Peas	8
Grain Sorghum	8
Triticale	8
Spring Barley	8
Weed Counts	9
Soil Moisture	10
Conclusion	11
Results & Discussion - Entomological Data	
Wheat	
Corn	
Sunflower	
Foxtail Millet	
Sovbeans	
Conclusion	17
References cited	18
Appendix	19
Suction Trap Data	19
Pheromone Data	23
Annual Herbicide Information	25

# List of Tables

Table #	Title
<u>1 1 1</u>	Rotations by year and objective since 1999 at Briggsdale, CO
2	Seeding rate, nitrogen rate and planting date for all crops at Briggsdale
3	Soil profile (0-6') NO <sub>3</sub> -N by crop and year at Briggsdale
4	Monthly precipitation received at Briggsdale since 1999
5	Precipitation by growing season segment for Briggsdale from 1998-2005
6	Wheat grain yields summarized from 2000 – 2005
7	Summary of summer crop yields from 1999 – 2005
8	Crop residues by crop, rotation and year at Briggsdale9
9	Percent weed cover Post-Wheat harvest by rotation and year for Briggsdale10
10	Profile (0-6') soil moistures by crop and year at Briggsdale11
11	Wheat insect abundance by variety and growth stage in 1999-200012
12	Wheat insect abundance by year, variety and growth stage for Briggsdale13
13	Wheat insect abundance by year, variety and growth stage for Briggsdale14
14	Corn pest and predator insects by year and sampling day for Briggsdale15
15	Sunflower pest and predator insects by day and year for Briggsdale
16	Foxtail Millet pest and predator insects by day and year for Briggsdale16
17	Soybean insect damage at Briggsdale in 199917

# **Appendix Tables**

A1	Suction Trap counts from Briggsdale 2001	. 19
A2	Suction Trap counts from Briggsdale 2002	. 20
A3	Suction Trap counts from Briggsdale 2003	.21
A4	Suction Trap counts from Briggsdale 2004	.21
A5	Suction Trap counts from Briggsdale 2005	. 22
A6	Pheromone trap catches for corn and sunflower insects at Briggsdale in 2002	. 23
A7	Pheromone trap catches for corn and sunflower insects at Briggsdale in 2003	. 23
A8	Pheromone trap catches for corn and sunflower insects at Briggsdale in 2004	.24
A9	Weed control methods including herbicide rate, cost and date applied at Briggsda	le in
	1999 season	. 25
A10	Weed control methods including herbicide rate, cost and date applied at Briggsda	le in
	2000 season	.26

A11	Weed control methods including herbicide rate, cost and date applied at Briggsdale in
	2001 season
A12	Weed control methods including herbicide rate, cost and date applied at Briggsdale in
	2002 season
A13	Weed control methods including herbicide rate, cost and date applied at Briggsdale
	in2003 season
A14	Weed control methods including herbicide rate, cost and date applied at Briggsdale in
	2004 season
A15	Weed control methods including herbicide rate, cost and date applied at Briggsdale in
	2005 season

#### <u>Abstract</u>

Dryland crop production in the semi-arid Great Plains is limited by both the quantity and timing of precipitation. Sustainable dryland cropping systems maximize precipitation use efficiency by managing precipitation capture, storage, and use. Pest management approaches are also critical for efficient crop production in water limited environments. Notill based cropping systems improve precipitation use efficiency and allow for more intensive crop rotations with fewer fallow periods. The primary purpose of this long-term study was to determine if the stressful environment in the Briggsdale, CO area would support intensified cropping systems. We knew that some systems might fail but we wanted to "stretch" the system to its limit. The results were revealing and answered many questions that we had asked about intensive cropping systems in this climatic stressful environment.

The objectives of this research were to evaluate productivity and insect dynamics for different notill crop rotations in an environment with less than 15 inches of annual precipitation. Specifically, this research was designed to study integrated pest management tactics to control Russian wheat aphid (RWA) and to evaluate beneficial insect population abundance within more intensive cropping systems. Another objective of the study was to evaluate alternative crops for this environment. The research project was established in 1998, 1999 was the first crop year, and it was discontinued in 2005. The study compared four notill crop rotations ranging from one summer fallow every other year to continuous cropping with no summer fallow.

During the study period the Briggsdale area had annual precipitation several inches the long-term average of 13.7 inches. This in turn led to many crop failures and low crop grain yields. The dry conditions in the study environment did not sustain insect pest populations large enough to be of economic concern.

Reductions in wheat yields of 11-78% were observed in the most intense rotations as compared to the traditional 2-year system. Consequently, crops such as corn, sunflower, soybean, grain sorghum, Austrian winter pea, and forage soybean, all which were used at least once in the 6-year cropping system, had low yields and were found to be too risky for dryland production in this stressful environment.

These results indicate that the stressful environment coupled with intense cropping systems had a negative impact on the potential production for this location. The most suitable cropping systems found were those with fallow every other year or every third year (2-4 year systems). Well adapted annual forage crops may be a reasonable choice for rotation in winter wheat-based systems.

### **Introduction**

The traditional dryland cropping system in Colorado is a winter wheat-summer fallow rotation. The purpose of the summer fallow period is to conserve limited water for the subsequent crop. Although summer fallow reduces the risk of crop failure, it is an inefficient use of precipitation. A research project was established in eastern Colorado in 1986 to explore the viability of more intense cropping systems in the semiarid climate of that region (Peterson et.al., 1986-2002). This research concluded that with adoption of notill practices, a crop rotation with fallow every third or fourth year provided a 25-40% increase in net annual income, compared to that of the traditional wheat fallow rotation (Peterson et\_al., 2002). These findings have resulted in a relatively large adoption of more intensive crop rotations in Eastern Colorado. Commonly, producers are implementing 3-year cropping systems with a winter wheat-summer crop-summer fallow rotation. Summer crops used in this rotation included corn, proso millet, sunflower, or sorghum. The dryland cropping system study (Peterson et al., 2002) also showed that more

intensive crop rotations increased soil carbon content(Sherrod, et al., 2003) and improved soil physical properties (Shaver, et al., 2013). The original study did not, however, evaluate pest and beneficial insect populations.

Pest dynamics are an important consideration in the dryland cropping systems of the Great Plains. The Russian wheat aphid (RWA) can have a major economic impact in wheat based cropping systems. The RWA arrived in Colorado in 1986 and has become a major concern for small grain production throughout Eastern Colorado. In 2002, NASS reported that there were 1.7 million acres of dryland winter wheat in the state, which could potentially be infested by RWA. A potential solution for controlling RWA and other pests may be Integrated Pest Management tactics (Holtzer et.al., 1996). These management tactics include chemical, host plant resistance, cultural and biological control methods. Due to the cost of the chemical control, this option is usually not economically viable. Host plant resistance has shown some promise, however RWA can adapt to these plants if it is the only tactic used. Biological control through the release of predators has shown to be ineffective when predators are without prey for more than 2-3 weeks (Donahue, 1996). In the traditional winter wheat-fallow cropping system beneficial insect survival is minimal. However, if more intense cropping systems were used they may provide more suitable environment for insect presence and survival. The major objective of this study was to evaluate the dynamics of beneficial and pest insects in notill dryland crop rotations.

The specific objectives of this research were to:

- 1. To determine if intensive notill dryland cropping systems are adapted to this semi-arid environment.
- 2. Determine the importance of summer fallow and its needed frequency to sustain production.
- 3. Identify nontraditional crops that can be profitably grown in these dryland systems.
- 4. Determine the effectiveness of more diverse cropping systems at achieving an integrated approach to Pest Management.

### Materials & Methods- Agronomic Studies

Briggsdale is located in Weld County in north-central Colorado. The field site is three miles south of Briggsdale on county road (CR) 84, between CR 77 and 79, at an elevation of 4850 ft with an average annual precipitation of 13.7 inches. A weather station was installed at the experimental site in 1999. The soil type is a Platner loam (fine, smectitic, mesic Aridic Paleustolls). Prior to experiment establishment in 1999, the cropping system used at this site was wheat/foxtail millet/fallow (WMF), proceeded by a traditional winter wheat/summer fallow crop rotation. The experimental cropping systems were initiated in 1998, with the first crop harvest in 1999 (Table 1). Each phase of each crop rotation was present every year and was replicated four times in a randomized complete block design.

V	T	<b>T</b> !							
y ear	Typical	Typical	New Systems Evaluated	Opportunity Cropping					
1999	WF	WFt*F	WWCSbSfP*	Opp.					
2000	WF	WFt*F	WWCSbSfP*	Opp.					
2001	WF	WFt*F	WWCSbSfSb*	Opp.					
2002	WF	WFt*F	WWCCSfF	Opp.					
2003	WF	WFt*F	WWCCSfF	Opp.					
2004	WF	WFt*F	WWCCSfF	Opp.					
2005	WF	WFt*F	WCF/BT*Ft*	Opp.					
* Harve	* Harvested as forage								
Key:	Key: Wheat (W), Corn (C), Soybean (Sb), Sunflower (Sf), Austrian Winter								
	Peas (P),	Barley (B), Tri	ticale (T), Foxtail Millet (Ft), Fallow (F).						

Table 1. Experimental crop rotations by harvest year from 1999 to 2005 at Briggsdale,CO.

The WF and WFtF rotations were used as the control systems which compare nontraditional systems to common practices for the area; in addition allowing a comparison of two-year vs. three-year traditional practices. From Table 1, the experimental rotations were sixyear systems evaluating various potential alternative crops. The opportunity rotation was used to take advantage of environmental conditions that might benefit a given crop in a particular year. The specific crop grown in this system varied and was chosen based on soil moisture conditions. Below normal rainfall conditions throughout the study period reduced opportunity crop choices more than anticipated.

Planting information for each crop including seeding and nitrogen (N) rates over the 7 study years, and the median planting date are reported in Table 2. Nitrogen fertilizer (32-0-0) was applied by dribbling in a band at the soil surface directly above the seed at planting. Soil sampling for residual profile-N was performed prior to planting of each crop by collecting soil cores to six feet (Table 3) and separating into one foot increments; N was applied based on crop requirements. Phosphorus (P) fertilizer (10-34-0) was band applied with the wheat seed at 30 lb  $P_2O_5/A$  on an annual basis. No P was applied to summer crops in any rotation.

Two winter wheat varieties were planted in each rotation. One was resistant to biotype RWA1, while the other was susceptible. Throughout the study period RWA aphid abundance was almost nonexistent and thus it was not possible to measure the benefit of using a resistant variety in these systems. Since little RWA activity was observed and because very few varietal yield differences were noted, wheat yields reported for each cropping system are means of the two varieties.

A suction trap was maintained at the Briggsdale site to monitor aphid flights from May to October, 2001-2005.

Crop residue samples were collected using a 1 meter quadrat  $(1m \times 1m)$  from two positions in each plot prior to the planting of each crop. These measurements allowed us to monitor crop residue changes in these systems.

#### Table 2. Average seeding rate, nitrogen fertilizer application rate, and median planting date for all crops grown at Briggsdale from 1998 to 2005.

Сгор	Seeding Rate	N Rate	<b>Planting Date</b>
Winter Wheat	58 lbs/acre	49 lbs/acre	September 22
Austrian Winter Peas/W.Wheat	90 lbs Peas + 30 lb Wheat/acre	0 lbs/acre	October 13
Spring Barley	50 lbs/acre	0 lbs/acre	February 24
Sorghum Sudan/Foxtail Millet	14 lbs/acre	30 lbs/acre	May 14
Corn	14K seeds/acre	76.9 lbs/acre	May 19
Soybean	91K seeds/acre	0 lbs/acre	May 21
Forage Soybeans	105 K seeds/acre	0 lbs/acre	May 24
Sunflower	14K seeds/acre	40.5 lbs/acre	June 1
Grain Sorghum	39K seeds/acre	50.5 lbs/acre	June 5
Foxtail Millet	14 lbs/acre	29 lbs/acre	June 15

Table 3. Soil profile (0-6') NO <sub>3</sub> -N by crop and year at Briggsdale							
Rotation	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Сгор			lbs NO <sub>3</sub> -N/	/A			
WF							
Wheat	67.6	90.7	108.4	119.3	130.5		
WMF							
Wheat	80.2	63.8	72	93.2	131.3		
Millet	8.8	49.6	31.5	57.8	80.9		
$W^1W^2X^1X^2SfX^3$							
Wheat <sup>1</sup>	13.6	44.4	83	123.1	112.1		
Wheat <sup>2</sup>	44.6	31.2	200	73.8	139.5		
X <sup>1</sup> (Corn or Sorghum)	11.5	57.6	30.9	45.5	123.1		
$X^2$ (Sb, Sf, or C)	0	0	44.5	42.1	0		
Sunflowers	33.2	25.6	56.2	74.3	141.3		
$X^3$ (Peas or Fallow)	0	0	0	0	0		
$X^{1} - 1999-2003 = Corn, 2004 = Grain Sorghum$							

 $X^2 - 1999-2001 =$  Soybeans, 2002-2003 = Sunflower, 2004 = Corn  $X^3 - 1999-2001 =$  Peas, 2002-2004 = Fallow

## **Results & Discussion - Agronomic Studies**

#### **Climatic Data**

The 1998-1999 cropping season was the only favorable climate year for crop production in the seven-year period of the study (Table 4). Precipitation remained well below normal after the 1998-1999 season. With the exception of the 2000-2001 season, precipitation has only been 25-50% of normal. The normal precipitation pattern is such that the majority of the precipitation is received from April through September. This was true for the study period; however less frequent and more intense events were the primary sources of precipitation instead of the more frequent, less intense events. This type of rainfall pattern had a substantial impact on the moisture/temperature stress of summer crops. When rainfall is received in only a couple of events throughout the summer, desiccation of summer crops is likely to occur because of the course-textured nature of the soil and high temperatures that persist from June through August. The mean maximum air temperatures from 2003-2005 were 78°F in June, 94°F in July and 87°F in August. If the long-term precipitation pattern had occurred, summer crops would have been favored by the "spoon-feeding" of moisture received in more frequent events from June through August. Low moisture and high temperature conditions throughout each summer was the reason for the low crop yields.

The amount of precipitation received during the vegetative and reproductive growth stages for wheat, corn and foxtail millet crops is shown in Table 5. The data indicate that the vegetative growth stage for wheat and corn and the reproductive stage for wheat were the only stages in which moisture conditions favored crop production. Given the environmental conditions that have persisted at this location in the last seven years, finding crops and cropping systems that are more drought tolerant is critical for economic sustainability at this location.

#### **Wheat**

Winter wheat was the most productive crop grown at Briggsdale. It produced a harvestable amount of grain even in years of extreme drought when preceded by a fallow period (Table 6). Wheat yields in the WF system averaged 32 bu/acre, followed by WMF at 29 bu/acre. The first-year wheat crop in the six-year rotation yielded 12 bu/acre and the second-year wheat crop yielded 7 bu/acre; thus the six-year system did not produce sustainable wheat grain yields. These phases of the six-year rotation did not produce any wheat grain in 2001-2002, 2002-2003 and 2004-2005. Precipitation received in 2001-2002 was 5 inches below that of the expected normal, but the precipitation received in the other two non-yielding years was near the normal amount. This illustrates the impact of the exhaustive six-year system and suggests that this environment may not sustain six-year intense cropping systems even under "normal" precipitation conditions.

On the other hand, when comparing the two traditional two and three systems, there were no significant differences. These findings provide some promise that crop productivity can be increased with the use of a more intense system in this environment.

It should be noted that the 1999-2000 wheat crop had significant frost damage from a mid-May snow storm and the 2001-2002 and 2004-2005 wheat crops were severely stressed from drought. The 2001-2002 growing season was one of the driest years on record.

	InchesInches							
_	<u>1999</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	2005	Normal
September	2.63	0.91	1.01	0.21	0.51	1.35	0.32*	1.28
October	0.39	0.19	0.54	0.63	0.06	0.60	2.66*	0.66
November	0.18	0.10	0.00	0.04	0.24	0.54	0.66*	0.45
December	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.08	0.08	0.26
	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	2002	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	
January	0.10	0.28	0.07	0.06	0.28	0.14	0.00	0.30
February	0.41	0.07	0.19	0.17	0.36	0.04	0.00	0.19
March	1.00	0.41	0.10	1.75	0.14	0.37	0.36	0.78
April	0.75	1.85	0.06	0.63	0.88	1.23	0.12	1.28
May	2.63	3.85	0.56	2.39	2.24	0.85	0.36	1.94
June	0.33	2.30	1.62	1.83	1.23	3.56	0.16	2.07
July	0.51	2.04	0.39	0.92	0.50	0.44*	2.16**	2.51
August	0.32	0.54	3.65	1.39	0.77	0.86*	0.86**	1.81
Yearly Total	9.25	12.81	8.19	10.02	7.45	10.06	7.82	13.53
*Data provided b Project.	by the USE	DA-ARS Are	ea-wide Pe	st Managemo	ent of Russia	an Wheat Ap	hid and Gre	enbug

# Table 4. Monthly precipitation received at Briggsdale since 1999.

\*\*Data provided by the National Weather Service at Briggsdale, CO

Table 5.	Precipitati	on by growing	g season segm	ent for Brigg	sdale from 19	998-2005.
	W	heat	Co	rn		Millet
	Veg.	Reprod.	Veg.	Reprod.	Veg.	Reprod.
Year	Sept Mar	Apr June	Apr. – June	July - Sept.	June - July	August
				Inches		
98-99	4.60	8.40	8.40	8.61	3.79	4.33
99-00	4.70	3.70	3.70	1.74	0.84	0.32
00-01	2.20	8.00	8.00	3.59	4.34	0.54
01-02	1.90	2.20	2.20	4.25	2.01	3.65
02-03	2.90	4.90	4.90	2.82	2.75	1.39
03-04	2.00	4.40	4.40	2.62	1.73	0.77
04-05	3.10	5.60	5.60	1.62	4.00	0.86
Average	3.06	5.31	5.31	2.77	2.78	1.69
Normal	3.90	5.30	5.30	5.60	4.58	1.81

Table 6. Wheat grain yields summarized from 2000 – 2005.									
	Rotation								
Year	WF	WMF	<u>W'WXXXX</u>	<u>WW'XXXX</u>					
		b	u/ac						
2000	19.4	15.6	12.0	11.6					
2001	45.2	44.1	30.5	26.9					
2002	19.4	18.2	0.0	4.9					
2003	46.0	32.7	0.0	0.0					
2004	42.2	30.9	30.5	0.0					
2005	20.6	30.3	0.0	0.0					
Mean	32.1a	28.6a	12.2b	7.2b					

#### <u>Corn</u>

The 1999 corn crop was exceptional and was the highest yield achieved for corn throughout the experiment (Table 7). This was the only year corn was profitable based on information published by Kaan et.al. (2002) that indicated 53-55 bu/acre was the breakeven yield for dryland corn. Drought, rodent damage, and high plant populations affected corn yields for the next six years. In 2005, skip-row corn was planted to examine its potential in this arid climate. It looked promising early in the season, but fell short of expectations at harvest time with an average yield of 13.3 bu/acre. The overall site average for corn through seven years was 15.5 bu/acre; when 1999 is excluded, the average yield drops to 7.7 bu/acre. It is evident that dryland corn is not a feasible option for an alternative crop at this location.

Table 7.	. Summary of summe	r crop yields from 1999 – 2005	•
		Crop	
	Corn	Sunflower	Hay Millet
Year	bu/ac	lb/ac	lb/ac
1999	62.20	1290	4095
2000	0.00	0	n/a
2001	5.70	559	3500
2002	11.00	456	1500
2003	16.40	490	0
2004	0.00	0	475
2005	13.30	Not available	1000
Mean	15.51	466	1510

#### **Sunflower**

Sunflower was grown as an alternative crop in the fifth year of the six-year rotation. Sunflower is a good scavenger of soil water and seemed to be an appropriate fit as one of the crops in the six-year rotation. However, sunflower, like most summer crops, yielded poorly (Table 7). A sunflower crop was only harvested four of the six years they were planted and the average yield was only 466 lbs/acre. With the exclusion of the 1999 crop, the average dropped to 301 lbs/acre. Sunflower was discontinued in 2005, when the six-year rotation was discontinued and was split into two three-year rotations.

#### Foxtail Millet

Foxtail millet forage is one of the traditional options for the second year crop in a threeyear system. In the seven year study period at this site foxtail millet averaged 1500 lbs/acre, including one year when the crop was not harvested due to drought and another year in which it was not planted due to drought. In 2005 and 2006 foxtail was planted as a mixture with a Sorghum-Sudan hybrid. The theory was that this mixture would reduce the risk of a complete crop failure due to early or late season drought and improved emergence. The larger seeded sorghum would help break surface soil crusting and improved emergence of the smaller seeded millet. The forage sorghum would rely on precipitation received later in the season, whereas the foxtail millet relied more on the early season precipitation. This would give the producer another month of time to receive rain to produce a crop. In June of 2005 over 3.5 inches of precipitation was received, but the month of July had an extended period of over 100° F air temperatures and only 0.44 inches of precipitation. The combination of these climatic conditions caused the hay crop to mature early and yield less than a 0.5 ton/a.

#### Soybean and Forage Soybean

Soybean was planted in the 4<sup>th</sup> year phase of the six-year rotation in 1999, 2000 and 2001. In 1999, the soybean crop was infested with Kochia, which eventually smothered the crop. From 2000-2001 the soybean crop was damaged by rodents and drought. Soybean never produced a harvestable seed crop and was dropped from the six-year rotation in 2002. Forage soybean was planted in the sixth year phase of the six-year rotation in 2001; it did not produce enough biomass and was not planted in subsequent years.

#### Austrian Winter Pea

Austrian winter pea was planted as a mixture with winter wheat in the late fall of 1998 and 1999. This forage mixture was the original sixth year phase of the six year rotation. This mixture did not produce enough biomass to be harvested in either year and was dropped as an alternative crop option.

#### **Grain Sorghum**

Grain sorghum was planted in the opportunity system in 2002, 2003, 2004, and in the six year rotation in 2006. These crops also failed to produce any grain and were not harvested. This crop was eliminated as an alternative crop option.

#### **Triticale and Spring Barley**

In 2005, the six-year system was split into two three-year systems based on its performance through six years. The two new rotations were Spring Barley/Triticale/Forage Sorghum and Foxtail Mixture and WCF. The triticale was not planted in 2005. Spring barley yielded 22 bu/acre, which was more than anticipated. This crop is now included in the new systems at this location and has shown some promise for future productivity.

#### **Crop Residue Accumulation**

The crop residue weights for all crops, rotations and years are reported in Table 8. The data indicate that crop residue levels were inconsistent, resulting in relatively large standard deviations. Comparison of the crop residue weights taken in 1999 to the average over the course of six years indicates that no rotation permitted an accumulation of crop residue.

Table 8. Crop	Fable 8. Crop residue weights by crop, rotation, and year at Briggsdale.							
Crop Rotation Crop Season	Wheat (WF)	Wheat (WMF)	Wheat 1 WWXXXX	Wheat 2 WWXXXX	Corn WWCXXX lbs/acre	Corn WWXCXX	Sunflower WWXXSfX	<b>Foxtail</b> WFtF
1999 - 2000	410	730	2550	3110				3580
2000 - 2001					1600		1000	2000
2001 - 2002	1690	0	310	1600	4630	4880	2340	4120
2002 - 2003	2480	460	1510	280	660	1250	1000	1040
2003 - 2004	200	620	380	730		1500	1900	1610
2004 - 2005								
Average	1195	453	1188	1430	2297	2543	1560	2470
Std. Deviation	1081	321	1062	1247	2075	2027	671	1319

#### Weed Counts:

Percent weed cover was determined after the harvest of each crop within each season. This was measured by using a 100 m beaded cable. Beads are spaced at 1 m and weed species present at each bead were noted. Table 9 provides the percent weed cover for each rotation and year after wheat harvest. Due to the inconsistency of stand establishment of the summer crops, percent weed cover for these crops will not be presented. Weed species not listed in the table were not consistent throughout the experiment. Kochia, Russian thistle, and puncture vine were the most prevalent broadleaf species. Among the grass species were volunteer wheat, stinkgrass, witchgrass and Texas tumblegrass. As mentioned previously, 2002 was the driest year on record, this is evident in the percent weed cover values, as Russian thistle populations reached >20% cover. In dry conditions, Russian thistle is extremely difficult to control, due to its ability to scavenge for moisture and to with stand extremely hot and dry conditions. There were no differences in percentage weed cover among the rotations or any consistent reduction in percent cover of any weed. One year with poor weed control allows for a significant increase in the seed bank for the following year. Timely herbicide applications are critical for weed control in this arid environment.

Table 9. P	ercent wee	d cover	Post-Whe	eat harve	st by rota	tion and y	ear, Brigg	sdale, CO.	
					Weed Sp	ecies			
		Russian	Puncture	Prostrate	Common	Volunteer			Texas
Crop/Year	Kochia	Thistle	Vine	Pigweed	Purslane	Wheat	Stinkgrass	Witchgrass	Tumblegrass
_					Perce	nt			
WF									
2000	0.2	0.5				1.2			
2001	1.0	0.8	1.0			0.2	1.0	0.5	
2002	0.2	23.78	1.8		2.8	0.0	0.0		
2003	1.0	1.0	1.5		0.2	0.0		0.2	0.2
2004	2.8	1.2	0.2		0.4		0.6		
Average	1.1	5.4	1.11		1.1	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.2
WMF									
2000						0.5			
2001	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.8			0.8	1.2	
2002	0.8	26.0	8.8		2.5	0.0	0.0		
2003	6.2	6.0	0.0		0.0	0.5		0.2	0.2
2004		3.2	3.2		0.5	0.0	1.0		1.8
2005			0.5			0.2			
Average	3.4	8.9	2.5	0.8	1.0	0.2	0.6	0.8	1.0
WWXXXX									
2000		0.2	0.2			0.5			
2001	5.2	3.2	0.0	0.2			3.0	0.2	
2002	0.2	3.2	9.2		0.0	0.0	0.2		
2003	0.2	0.8	6.2		0.2	0.2		0.0	0.0
2004	0.6	1.8	4.8		0.8		2.8		0.4
2005			0.8			0.2			
Average	1.6	1.9	3.6	0.2	0.4	0.2	2.0	0.1	0.2
WWXXXX									
2000	1.5	0.8				0.2			
2001	7.0	1.8	0.0	0.2			4.8	3.0	
2002	10.8	28.8	1.5			0.8	0.0		
2003	1.0	1.0	10.0			0.2		0.0	0.2
Average	5.1	8.1	3.8	0.2		0.4	2.4	1.5	0.2

#### Soil Moistures

Soil samples were collected at planting and harvest of each crop to determine soil water content in the six foot soil profile. The water contents and change in soil water from planting to harvest are reported from the spring of 2000 through the fall of 2004 in Table 10. There were no discernible soil moisture changes in the 6-year system plots relative to that of 2- or 3-year systems. The greatest changes in water content from planting to harvest occurred in 2000.

		2000			2001			2002			2003			2004	
Crop	Р	Η	Δ	Р	Η	Δ	Р	Н	Δ	Р	н	Δ	Р	Н	Δ
								9⁄	ó						
WF	13.2	4.8	8.4	9.9	6.7	3.2	11.1	6.8	4.3	10.2	6.5	3.7	10.0	8.7	1.3
WMF	12.5	5.3	7.2	10.4	7.7	2.8	8.0	5.9	2.1	10.8	6.3	4.5	10.6	7.6	3.0
WWXXXX	11.6	7.0	4.6	6.3	7.2	-0.9	7.8	5.4	2.3	11.5	7.6	3.9	8.0	7.0	1.0
WWXXXX	9.7	5.6	4.1	7.1	7.1	-0.2	7.4	6.7	0.7	11.6	-	-	8.0	8.0	0.0
WMF	12.1	9.8	2.4	8.9	8.1	0.8	8.9	8.1	0.8	10.6	8.9	1.7	12.7	8.6	4.1
WWCXXX	12.7	9.9	2.9	6.8	8.4	-1.6	6.8	8.4	-1.6	11.5	8.1	3.4	7.7	9.9	-2.2
WWXCXX				8.7	7.8	0.9	8.9	7.8	1.1	11.6	8.0	3.7			
WWXXSfX	12.7	8.1	4.6	8.4	7.9	0.4	8.4	8.0	0.4	13.6	8.6	5.0	9.0	9.0	0.0
Preplant (P);	Post-Ha	rvest	(H); %	Chang	ge										
$(\Delta)$															

# Table 10. Average water content in the 0-6 ft. soil profile by crop andyear at Briggsdale.

### **Cropping System Conclusions**

Our original goal was to determine what type of intensive cropping system might be sustainable in this stressful environment. As we had hypothesized, we had many crop failures due to our intent to "stretch" the system to its limit. The results were revealing and answered many questions that we had asked about intensive cropping systems in this stressful environment.

Through seven years of experimentation with alternative crops at Briggsdale, corn, soybean, sunflower, grain sorghum and forage soybean were eliminated as alternative options. The forage crops Foxtail millet and Austrian winter peas may succeed in this environment if proper management techniques are used. Mixing the foxtail with forage sorghum showed promise in recent years and mixing Austrian winter pea with fall seeded triticale has reportedly worked quite well in similar climatic situations. With the use of triticale in the place of winter wheat, an earlier forage harvest can be expected.

Examination of the rotations, based on wheat grain yield, indicates that the six-year system was too exhaustive of soil moisture and was not sustainable. There was no difference in wheat grain yield for the WF and WMF rotations, implying that the primary crop for the rotation (wheat) is not significantly affected by intensifying the rotation for a two- three-year system. However, the short coming is that most summer crops failed to yield consistently. However, with the changes that have been made to the type of summer crops planted, the three-year traditional rotation may be beneficial. Perhaps one of the easiest conclusions made from this research is that it is extremely difficult and risky to grow any crop in a moisture stressed environment like Briggsdale, CO. The millet tribe is one of the most drought tolerant groups of crops that can be grown in the high plains. Its repeated failure is a strong indicator of the severity of the drought experienced at this location. Although, intensifying cropping systems in this region to provide greater net annualized income has some legitimacy.

### **Results & Discussion - Entomological Studies**

#### **Wheat**

Winter wheat was sampled at various growth stages throughout each year. Fifty random tillers were collected from each plot and phytophagous insect diversity and abundance were determined from these samples using Berlese funnels. Predators were assessed by observations during a 30 second interval. Tables 11-13 provide insect results by variety treatment, growth stage and year, and Tables A1-A5 provide weekly suction trap captures for alates of RWA and other cereal aphids. The number of samples varied each year. This is due to crop failures or very low insect populations at the initial sampling.

In 1999-2000, minimal RWA abundance was observed in the wheat. This is mostly attributed to the late spring frost that occurred on May 13<sup>th</sup>. Predatory insects comprised a few coccinellids. Again in 2000-2001, few RWA were observed. This was attributed to a snow storm. At no point during the season did RWA or other pest insect reach a level of economic concern. With the exception of brown wheat mites in 2002-2003, wheat pest and predator insects remained scarce; primarily due to late-spring freezes and severe summer drought.

# Table 11. Wheat insect populations by variety and growth stage in 1999-2000, Briggsdale, CO.

				200	0			
	Tille	ring	Regro	owth	Join	ting	Bo	ot
	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R
WF								
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.4		0.7					
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)								
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)	28.0	25.0	0.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	33.5	16.5
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)	0.6		2.3		0.2			
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)	287.0		54.0		3.0			
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)	0.0		0.3		0.1			
WMF								
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.4		0.7					
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)								
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)	23.0	45.0	0.0	1.5	3.2	1.2	34.8	31.8
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)								
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)								
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)	1.0							
W'WXXXX								
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.4		0.7					
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)								
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.2	2.2	10.2	5.8
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)	11.0	23.0						
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)								
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)	2.0	5.0						
WW'XXXX								
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.4		0.7					
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)								
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.5	4.8	33.2	11.8
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)	5.0	2.0						
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)								
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)								

S= Susceptible to Russian Wheat Aphid (Lamar)

R=Resistant to Russian Wheat Aphid (Prowers)

# Table 12. Wheat insect abundance by year, variety and growth stage, Briggsdale, COfor the 2000-2001, 2001-2002, and 2002-2003 growing seasons.

	2000	-2001		2001	-2002		2002-2003			
	Tille	ering	Tille	ring	Jointi	ng	Tille	ring	Jointi	ng
	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R
WF										
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.0 <mark>0</mark>		0.00		0.00	
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)	7.3	16.0	1.5		256.0		1.5		256.0	
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WMF										
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.1	0.0	0.4		0.0		0.4		0.0	
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.0 <mark>0</mark>		0.0		0.0	
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	0.0
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)	17.8	9.3	1.2		86.0		1.2		86.0	
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
W'WXXXX										
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.1	0.0								
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.1	0.0								
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0		Failed	Wheat			Faile	d Wheat	
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0								
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)	3.8	16.3								
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0								
WW'XXXX										
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.1	0.0								
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)	0.1	0.0								
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0		Failed	Wheat			Faile	d Wheat	
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0								
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)	3.8	16.3								
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)	0.0	0.0								

S=Susceptible to Russian Wheat Aphid (Yuma)

R=Resistant to Russian Wheat Aphid (Yumar)

	8	2003-2004						2004-2005		
		Tiller	ring	Join	ting	Hea	ding	Tille	ering	
		S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	
WF										
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)		0.0		0.0		0.0				
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)		0.0		0.0		0.0				
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)		0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	4.2	2.5	0.8	0.8	
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)		0.0	0.0	0.8	0.2	7.5	5.5	0.2	0.0	
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)		2.5	4.2	5.2	3.0					
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WMF										
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)		0.0		0.0		0.0				
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)		0.0		0.0		0.0				
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)		0.0	0.0	1.8	0.2	9.2	5.2	0.8	1.0	
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)		0.0	0.0	6.5	0.0	3.5	3.	0.0	0.2	
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)		16.8	2.0	11.0	13.0					
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
W'WXXXX										
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)		0.0		0.0		0.0				
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)		0.0		0.		0.0				
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	6.5	5.0	0.2	0.8	
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)		0.0	0.5	2.8	0.5	6.0	7.5	0.8	0.5	
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)										
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WW'XXXX		_								
Army Cutworm (#/ft.2)										
Pale Western Cutworm (#/ft.2)										
Russian Wheat Aphid (#/50 tillers)				Failed V	Wheat			0.5	0.5	
Other Cereal Aphids (#/50 tillers)								0.2	0.0	
Brown Wheat Mite (#/1.75 ft.2)										
Banks Grass Mite (#/50 tillers)								0.0	0.0	

# Table 13. Wheat insect abundance by year, variety and growth stage, Briggsdale, CO for the 2003-2004 and 2004-2005 growing seasons.

S=Susceptible to Russian Wheat Aphid (Akron)

R=Resistant to Russian Wheat Aphid (Ankor)

#### <u>Corn</u>

Field corn was scouted for pest and beneficial insects at five different- growth stages each year (V-2, V-10, pollen shed, soft dough, and dry down). Table 14 provides the insect populations found by day and year. Similar to wheat, there were few corn pest or beneficial insects found except for coccinellids in 1999 and Banks grass mites in 2005. This is mostly attributed to drought conditions.

FFFF										
		1999		2000		2001	2002	2003	20	05
	29-	16-	9-	7-	8-	14-	24-	23-	23-	
Pests (# per plant)	Jul	Aug	Jan	Jan	Aug	Aug	Jun	Aug	Aug	8-Sep
Western corn rootworm	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6	0.1	0.2	4.0	0.0
Banks grass mite	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.0	173.0
Twp-spotted Spider Mite	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0.	0.0	0.0	19.0
Corn earworm	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Natural Enemies (total/sampl	ing date)	)								
Coccinellids	10.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
Lacewings	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Mite destroyer	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pirate bugs	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0
Predatory mites	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0
Spider	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0

Table 14. Corn pest and predator insects by year and sample date, Briggsdale, CO.

Years or insects counts not included when insects were not found or the corn crop had failed.

#### **Sunflower**

Sunflower was sampled at three growth stages throughout the season (2-3 leaf, R5 and R7). Table 15 provides the pest and beneficial insect populations found from 1999-2003. Stem and seed weevil were the only pest insects consistently observed. Neither reached a point of economic concern. The most stem weevils were found in fall of 2003; however lodging was observed. Minute pirate bugs were the most common beneficial insect, and they were most abundant in the initial 1999 sample. Persistent dry conditions contributed to the low insect populations.

Table 15. Sunflower	r pest an	d predator	• insects	by day an	d year,	Briggsdal	e, CO.	
		1999		2000		20	01	2003
Pests (# per plant)	26-Jul	23 Aug.*	27-Jun	13 Sept.	9 Oct.	14 Aug.	15 Oct.	16 Oct.
Cutworms	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Stem Weevil scars	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	4.2	22.5
Seed weevil	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.1	2.5	0.2
Sunflower moth	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Banded SF moth	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Aphids	8.2	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Natural Enemies (total/sar	npling dat	te)						
Coccinellids	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lacewings	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Nabid	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pirate bugs	30.0	1.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
Mummies	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spiders	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Syrphids	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	00	0.0

\*Date of sampling of larval growth stage

#### Foxtail Millet

Fifty random tillers were collected from each plot at 2-3 leaf, boot and heading (Table 16). Foxtail millet failed in 2003 and was not planted in 2000. Consistent with wheat, corn and sunflower, only small populations of insects were found in these samples. Thrips were the only consistent pest found. Thrips can be a significant pest for millet and should be closely monitored in the future. Coccinellids, minute pirate bugs and nabids were the only beneficial insects found.

Table 16. Foxtail Millet pest and pred	ator in	sects by	day an	d year,	Brigg	sdale,	CO.
	1	999		2004			2005
	26-		12-	27-	6-		
Pests (# per row foot)	Jul	23-Aug	Jul	Jul	Sep	Boot	Heading
Greenbug	0	0					4*
Other cereal aphids	0	0				6*	1*
Thrips (% damage)	2%		36*	9*	29*		
European corn borer		0					
W. Wheat stem maggot		0					
Natural Enemies (total/sampling date)							
Coccinellids	8	3					
Lacewings	0	1					
Pirate bugs	4	4					
Predatory mites	0	0					
Mummies	0	0					
Nabid	1	0					

\* #/50 tillers

#### <u>Soybean</u>

Soybean was scouted on three occasions in 1999 (Table 17). Minimal damage by cutworms, flea beetles, and thrips was observed in the first sample, which declined as the season progressed. Grasshoppers and Mexican bean beetles damaged the crop later in the season. Few predatory insects were found.

#### Spring Barley, Triticale, Austrian Winter Pea, Grain Sorghum

None of these crops were sampled for insects.

#### **Grasshoppers**

Grasshoppers can damage all the crops grown in this area, however, economically significant grasshopper damage was not observed during this seven year period.

Table 17. Soybean insect damage, Briggsdale, CO, 1999.											
			Date								
	6-										
Pests (% damage)	Jul	26-Jul	23 Aug.								
Cutworms	2%										
Flea beetle	1%										
Thrips	5%										
Grasshoppers		2%	1%								
Mexican bean beetle		0%	2%								
Earworm			0								
Aphids	0	0	0								
Natural Enemies (total/sampling date)											
Coccinellids		0	0								
Lacewings		0	0								
Pirate bugs		0	0								
Mummies		0	0								
Nabid		0	1								

### **Conclusion- Entomological Studies**

Seven years of late spring freezes and/or severe summer drought provided an inhospitable environment for insects, and none reached levels of economic concern. Most insects were collected in the late spring and early summer, when plant vigor was at its highest. As the air temperatures rose and the soil moisture was depleted, insect abundance declined.

With new cropping systems in place and timely summer moisture, larger insect populations could be favored by the crops now grown. This would provide the opportunity to evaluate and implement appropriate IPM tactics for the cropping systems. Additional insect observations and herbicides used on the various cropping systems are presented in the Appendices.

### **References Cited**

- Department of National Agricultural Statistics Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Census of Agriculture-Volume 1 Geographic Area Series Census, US-State. 2002. Online. Available: <u>www.nass.usda.gov/census</u>
- Department of Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Series Classification. Online. Available: <u>http://soils.usda.gov/technical/classification</u>
- Donohue, M.R. 1996. Influence of cropping system on the establishment of natural enemies of the Russian wheat aphid. M.S. Thesis. Colorado State University
- Holtzer, T.O., R.L. Anderson, M.P. McMullen, F.B. Peairs. 1996. Integrated pest management of insects, plant pathogens, and weeds in dryland cropping systems of the Great Plains. J. Prod. Ag. 9:2 pp. 200-208.
- Kaan, D.A., D.M. O'Brien, P.A. Burgener, G.A. Peterson, D.G. Westfall. 2002 An Economic Evaluation of Alternative Crop Rotations Compared to Wheat-Fallow In Northeastern Colorado. Colorado Agriculture Experiment Station TB 02-1.
- Peterson, G.A., D.G. Westfall, F.B. Peairs, L. Sherrod, D. Poss, W. Gangloff, K. Larson, D.L. Thompson, L.R. Ahuja, M.D. Koch, C.B. Walker. 2002. Sustainable Dryland Agroecosystems Management. Colorado Agriculture Experiment Station Publications Technical Bulletin.
- Shaver, T. M., G. A. Peterson, L. R. Ahuja, and D. G. Westfall. 2013. Soil sorptivity enhancement with crop residue accumulation in semiarid dryland no-till agroecosystems. Geoderma 192:254-258
- Sherrod, L. A., G. A. Peterson, D. G. Westfall, and L. R. Ahuja. 2003. Cropping intensity enhances soil organic carbon and nitrogen in a no-till agroecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. J. 67:1533-1543.

# **Appendix**

Table A1. Su	Table A1. Suction Trap counts from Briggsdale, CO, 2001.											
DATE	RWA	BCO	GB	CLA	RGA	EGA	YSA	NON				
			N	umber tra	oped in one	week						
1-May	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0				
17-May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2				
7-Jun	0	0	13	1	0	0	0	16				
14-Jun	0	46	7	2	0	0	0	10				
22-Jun	0	24	9	0	0	0	0	10				
11-Jul	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1				
18-Jul	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	1				
24-Jul	0	1	10	0	0	6	0	4				
2-Aug	0	43	36	0	0	1	0	1				
7-Aug	0	30	5	0	0	7	0	0				
13-Aug	0	29	5	0	0	5	0	4				
21-Aug	1	21	24	1	0	6	0	12				
27-Aug	0	19	4	0	0	25	0	6				
4-Sep	1	12	0	1	0	5	0	5				
11-Sep	0	3	0	1	0	2	0	0				
18-Sep	0	20	0	1	0	1	0	14				
9-Oct	3	186	3	7	0	8	0	67				
TOTAL	5	437	120	14	0	66	0	153				

Note all suction trap and pheromone data were provided by Terri Randolph. RWA = Russian wheat aphid, BCO = bird cherry-oat aphid, GB = greenbug, CLA = corn leaf aphid, RGA = rose grass aphid, EGA = English grain aphid, YSA = yellow sugarcane aphid, and NON = other, non-cereal aphids.

Table A2. Su 2002.	ction Tra	p counts	s from 1	Briggsda	ale, CO			
DATE	RWA	BCO	GB	CLA	RGA	EGA	YSA	NON
			N	lumber traj	oped in one	week		
3-May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9-May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
16-May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14-Jun	2	3	1	0	0	2	0	246
20-Jun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27-Jun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3-Jul	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11-Jul	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25-Jul	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7-Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15-Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21-Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29-Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5-Sep	0	6	0	0	0	3	0	8
13-Sep	0	8	1	3	0	1	0	10
19-Sep	0	3	0	7	0	0	0	33
27-Sep	0	11	0	0	0	2	0	50
4-Oct	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	11
11-Oct	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
18-Oct	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	26
24-Oct	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	15
TOTAL	2	38	2	13	0	11	0	401

RWA = Russian wheat aphid, BCO = bird cherry-oat aphid, GB = greenbug, CLA = corn leaf aphid, RGA = rose grass aphid, EGA = English grain aphid, YSA = yellow sugarcane aphid, and NON = other, non-cereal aphids.

Table A3. Suction Trap counts from Briggsdale, CO, 2003.												
DATE	RWA	GB	BCO	CLA	EGA	RGA	NON					
	-		Numbe	r trapped in	n one week		-					
30-May	7	10	67	9	3	0	12					
6-Jun	1	0	0	0	0	0	1					
13-Jun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
17-Jul	1	3	1	0	5	0	3					
25-Jul	0	1	1	1	5	0	6					
31-Jul	0	0	8	0	1	0	0					
15-Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
18-Aug	1	1	8	1	3	0	4					
21-Aug	0	0	1	0	1	0	0					
28-Aug	0	0	0	0	3	0	0					
5-Sep	1	0	0	0	0	0	4					
12-Sep	0	1	1	0	7	0	0					
18-Sep	1	0	1	0	1	0	2					
30-Sep	1	1	18	6	6	0	92					
TOTAL	13	17	106	17	35	0	124					

RWA = Russian wheat aphid, BCO = bird cherry-oat aphid, GB = greenbug, CLA = corn leaf aphid, RGA = rose grass aphid, EGA = English grain aphid, YSA = yellow sugarcane aphid, and NON = other, non-cereal aphids.

Table A4. Su	Table A4. Suction Trap counts from Briggsdale, CO, 2004.									
DATE	RWA	GB	BCO	CLA	EGA	RGA	NON			
	Number trapped in one week									
3-Jun	25	18	12	1	1	1	19			
11-Jun	20	1	9	0	1	2	4			
25-Jun	4	2	1	0	0	0	0			
7-Jul	376	3	10	0	4	10	23			
13-Jul	3	0	3	0	0	0	2			
22-Jul	0	0	0	0	0	0	1			
6-Aug	0	0	9	0	0	0	1			
12-Aug	0	1	13	0	1	0	0			
3-Sep	0	0	12	2	5	1	4			
8-Sep	0	0	0	0	3	0	4			
10-Sep	0	0	0	0	1	0	0			
16-Sep	0	0	1	0	0	0	3			
23-Sep	0	0	10	0	1	9	12			
7-Oct	0	0	9	3	3	3	20			
15-Oct	0	0	19	0	0	5	6			
22-Oct	3	0	7	0	0	0	2			
TOTAL	428	25	105	6	20	31	101			

RWA = Russian wheat aphid, BCO = bird cherry-oat aphid, GB = greenbug, CLA = corn leaf aphid, RGA = rose grass aphid, EGA = English grain aphid, YSA = yellow sugarcane aphid, and NON = other, non-cereal aphids.

Table A5. Suction Trap counts from Briggsdale, CO, 2005.									
DATE	RWA	GB	BCO	CLA	EGA	RGA	NON		
			Number	trapped in o	one week				
30-May	44	0	3	0	0	0	16		
6-Jun	14	0	3	1	0	0	6		
13-Jun	135	5	2	0	0	0	4		
20-Jun	585	2	11	7	2	0	5		
27-Jun	41	0	8	1	1	0	5		
4-Jul	13	2	3	0	0	0	1		
11-Jul	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
18-Jul	0	0	11	0	0	0	0		
25-Jul	2	0	1	1	0	0	0		
2-Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
27-Sep	0	0	17	0	2	2	11		
14-Oct	0	0	2	0	0	1	2		
TOTAL	834	9	61	10	5	3	50		
Notes:	Trap was n	ot function	nal until the	end of May	<i>'</i> .				
	Due to time	e constrair	its, trap cate	hes were do	one monthly	August-Oc	tober.		
	Trap was not turned on for the August trap so nothing was collected								

RWA = Russian wheat aphid, BCO = bird cherry-oat aphid, GB = greenbug, CLA = corn leaf aphid, RGA = rose grass aphid, EGA = English grain aphid, YSA = yellow sugarcane aphid, and NON = other, non-cereal aphids.

# Table A6. Pheromone trap catches for corn and sunflower insects, Briggsdale,CO, 2002.

	European	Western Bean	Com	Sunflower	Banded
Date	Borer	Cutworm	Earworm	Moth	Moth
Dute	Dorer	# trapped si	ce previous date	(about 1 week)	-
23-May	2	" aupped on		(ucour r week)	
30-May	$\frac{1}{2}$				
6-Jun	0				
13-Jun	2				
20-Jun	1				
27-Jun	1	0		0	0
3-Jul	1	0		0	0
11-Jul	0	2		0	0
18-Jul	0	7		0	0
25-Jul	0	5		0	0
1-Aug	0	0		0	0
7-Aug	0	0	3	0	0
15-Aug	0	0	15	0	0
21-Aug	0	0	2	0	0
29-Aug	0		2	1	0
5-Sep	1		50	1	0
13-Sep	0		106	0	0
20-Sep			11		
27-Sep			2		
TOTAL	8	14	189	1	0.0

Table A7	. Pheromoi	ne trap catc	hes for corr	n and sunflow	ver insects, H	Briggsdale, (	C <b>O,2003</b> .
	European	Western			Banded		Pale
	Corn	Bean	Corn	Sunflower	Sunflower	Army	Western
Date	Borer	Cutworm	Earworm	Moth	Moth	Cutworm	Cutworm
			# trapped sinc	e previous date	(about 1 week)		
7-Jun	0	0		0	0		
2-Jul	0	1		0	0		
17-Jul	0	4	7	1	2		
25-Jul	0	0	11	3	1		
31-Jul	0	0	21	1	0		
8-Aug	0	3	37	5	2	0	3
15-Aug	1	1	28	2	2	2	2
21-Aug	1	0	2	1	0	3	1
28-Aug	0	0		1	1	4	3
5-Sep	0	0	27	3	1	7	16
12-Sep	2		3	0	1	13	39
18-Sep	0		0	0	3	8	43
30-Sep						34	70
9-Oct						35	45
17-Oct						9	4
TOTAL	4	8	136	14	12	114	224

Table A8	. Pheromo	ne trap catch	es for co	rn and s	unflower inse	cts, Briggsdal	e, CO,2004.	
	E.C.	Corm	Sfl.	B.S.	Army	P.W.	F.A.	
Date	Borer	Earworm	Moth	Moth	Cutworm	Cutworm	Cutworm	
# trapped since previous date (about 1 week)								
21-Jun	0							
6-Jan	0							
2-Jul	0							
8-Jul	2	0						
22-Jul	0	9						
30-Jul	36						0	
9-Aug	42	0					0	
12-Aug	17	0	1	1	0	1	6	
25-Aug			2	7	0	2	4	
10-Sep	1				28	0		
16-Sep	0				2	0		
TOTAL	96	9	3	8	30	3	10	

Table A9	Table A9. Weed control, Briggsdale, CO, 1999.								
Сгор	Herbicide/Tillage	Rate (English)	Rate (Metric)	Weed Pressure	Cost	Date Applied			
Rotation: Wh	eat-Fallow								
Wheat:	Ally	0.1 oz/A	7.0 g/ha	II	\$2.27	4 May 1999			
	2,4-D 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	II	\$0.89	4 May 1999			
	Fallowmaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$4.40	5 July 1999			
	Fallowmaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$4.40	17 Aug. 1999			
	Atrazine 4L	19 oz/A(0.67#)	1.39 l/ha(302g)	III	\$3.57	17 Aug. 1999			
Fallow:	Tillage - 18" sweeps Tillage - Disc Fallowmaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I I I	\$3.57	27 May 1999 6 July 1999 17 Aug. 1999			
Rotation: Wh	eat-Millet-Fallow								
Wheat:	Ally	0.1 oz/A	7.0 g/ha	II	\$2.27	4 May 1999			
	2,4-D 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	II	\$0.89	4 May 1999			
	Fallowmaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$4.40	5 July 1999			
	Fallowmaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$4.40	17 Aug. 1999			
	Atrazine 4L	19 oz/A(0.67#)	1.39 l/ha(302g)	III	\$3.57	17 Aug. 1999			
Millet:	Round-up Ultra*	16 oz/A	1.17 l/ha	I	\$4.88	14 May 1999			
	2,4-D 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	I	\$0.89	14 May 1999			
	Round-up Ultra*	16 oz/A	1.17 l/ha	I	\$4.88	27 June 1999			
Fallow:	Tillage - 18" sweeps Tillage - Disc Fallowmaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I I I	\$3.57	27 May 1999 6 July 1999 17 Aug. 1999			
Rotation: Wh	eat-Wheat-Corn-Soybe	ans-Sunflowers-Pe	eas:		r				
Wheat:	Ally	0.1 oz/A	7.0 g/ha	II	\$2.27	4 May 1999			
	2,4-D 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	II	\$0.89	4 May 1999			
	Fallowmaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$4.40	5 July 1999			
	Fallowmaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$4.40	17 Aug. 1999			
	Maverick	0.66 oz/A	46.3 g/ha	I	?	2 Nov. 1999			
Wheat:	Ally	0.1 oz/A	7.0 g/ha	II	\$2.27	4 May 1999			
	2,4-D 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	II	\$0.89	4 May 1999			
	Fallowmaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$4.40	5 July 1999			
	Fallowmaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$4.40	17 Aug. 1999			
	Atrazine 4L	19 oz/A(0.67#)	1.39 l/ha(302g)	II	\$3.57	17 Aug. 1999			
Corn:	Prowl	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$6.56	14 May 1999			
	Atrazine 4L	32 oz/A(1#)	2.33 l/ha(454g)	I	\$3.57	14 May 1999			
	Fallowmaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$4.40	14 May 1999			
Soybeans:	Landmaster BW*	40 oz/A	2.92 l/ha	I	\$5.88	14 May 1999			
	Round-up Ultra*	24oz/A	1.75 l/ha	I	\$7.31	27 June 1999			
	Round-up Ultra*	20 oz/A	1.46 l/ha	I	\$6.09	17 Aug. 1999			
Sunflowers:	Prowl	48 oz/A	3.50 l/ha	I	\$9.84	14 May 1999			
	Landmaster*	40 oz/A	2.92 l/ha	I	\$5.88	14 May 1999			
Peas(Millet)	Round-up Ultra*	16 oz/A	1.17 l/ha	I	\$4.88	14 May 1999			
	2,4-D 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	I	\$0.89	14 May 1999			
	Round-up Ultra*	16 oz/A	1.17 l/ha	I	\$4.88	27 June 1999			
Rotation: Opp	portunity								
Millet:	Round-up Ultra*	16 oz/A	1.17 l/ha	I	\$4.88	14 May 1999			
	2,4-D 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	I	\$0.89	14 May 1999			
	Round-up Ultra*	16 oz/A	1.17 l/ha	I	\$4.88	27 June 1999			
*Applied 17 lb	s. Ammonium Sulfate/10	00 gallons water wi	th Round-up produc	ets.					

Weed Pressure Ratings: I = Farmer would need to spray. II = Farmer would delay application. III = Farmer would not plan a spray application.

Crop	Herbicide/Tillage	Rate (English)	Rate (Metric)	Weed Pressure	Cost	Date Applied
Rotation: Wh	eat-Fallow					
Wheat:	Ally	0.1 oz/A	7.0 g/ha	II	\$2.30/A	4 May 2000
	2,4-D ester 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	II	\$0.82/A	4 May 2000
Fallow:	Fallowmaster*	44 oz/A	3.2 l/ha	I	\$6.49/A	13 May 2000
	Fallowmaster*	44 oz/A	3.2 l/ha	I	\$6.49/A	21 June 2000
Rotation: Wh	eat-Millet-Fallow					
Wheat:	Ally	0.1 oz/A	7.0 g/ha	П	\$2.30/A	4 May 2000
	2,4-D ester 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	П	\$0.82/A	4 May 2000
Millet:	Fallowmaster*	44 oz/A	3.21 l/ha	I	\$6.49/A	13 May 2000
	Round-up Ultra*	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	I	\$7.23/A	21 June 2000
Fallow:	Fallowmaster*	44 oz/A	3.21 l/ha	I	\$6.49/A	13 May 2000
	Fallowmaster*	44 oz/A	3.21 l/ha	I	\$6.49/A	21 June 2000
Rotation: Wh	eat-Wheat-Corn-Soyb	ean-Sunflower-Pea	:			
Wheat:	Ally	0.1 oz/A	7.0 g/ha	II	\$2.30/A	4 May 2000
	2,4-D ester 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	II	\$0.82/A	4 May 2000
	Fallomaster*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$4.72/A	2 Aug. 2000
	2,4D ester	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	I	\$0.82/A	2 Aug. 2000
Wheat:	Ally	0.1 oz/A	7.0 g/ha	П	\$2.30/A	4 May 2000
	2,4-D ester 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	П	\$0.82/A	4 May 2000
Corn:	Prowl	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$5.11/A	13 May 2000
	Atrazine 4L	32 oz/A(1#)	2.33 l/ha(454g)	I	\$2.80/A	13 May 2000
	Fallowmaster*	44 oz/A	3.21 l/ha	I	\$6.49/A	13 May 2000
Soybeans:	Round-up Ultra*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$9.64/A	13 May 2000
	Round-up Ultra*	24oz/A	1.75 l/ha	I	\$7.23/A	22 June 2000
Sunflowers:	Landmaster*	40 oz/A	2.92 l/ha	I	\$5.54/A	13 May 2000
	Prowl	48 oz/A	3.50 l/ha	I	\$7.66/A	13 May 2000
Peas	Fallowmaster*	44 oz/A	3.21 l/ha	I	\$6.49/A	21 June 2000
	2,4-D ester 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	I	\$0.82/A	21 June 2000
Rotation: Op	portunity					
Soybeans:	Round-up Ultra*	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	I	\$9.64/A	13 May 2000
	Round-up Ultra*	24oz/A	1.75 l/ha	I	\$7.23/A	22 June 2000
*Applied 17 lt	os. Ammonium Sulfate/	100 gallons water wi	th Round-up produc	ets.		

Table A11. V	Veed control, B	riggsdale, CO	<b>), 2001.</b>		
Сгор	Herbicide/Tillage	Rate (English)	Rate (Metric)	Cost	Date Applied
Rotation: Wheat-Fa	llow				
Wheat:	Ally 2,4-D ester 4#	0.1 oz/A 8 oz/A	7.0 g/ha 0.58 l/ha	\$2.30/A \$0.82/A	14 April 2001 14 April 2001
(Stubble)	Round-up*	44 oz/A 24 oz/A	3.2 l/ha 1.75 l/ha	\$6.49/A \$7.23/A	4 October 2001
Fallow:	Round-up* Clarity	20 oz/A 6 oz/A	1.46 l/ha 0.44 l/ha	\$6.02/A \$4.21/A	9 May 2001 9 May 2001
(Wheat Planting)	FallowMaster* FallowMaster*	44 oz/A 44 oz/A 20 oz/A	3.2 l/ha 3.2 l/ha	\$6.49/A \$6.49/A \$6.02/A	13 June 2001 27 July 2001 7 September 2001
		20 02/A	1.40 I/IIa	\$0.02/A	7 September 2001
Rotation: Wheat-Mi	llet-Fallow	Γ		1	[
Wheat:	Ally 2 4-D ester 4#	0.1 oz/A 8 oz/A	7.0 g/ha 0 58 l/ha	\$2.30/A \$0.82/A	14 April 2001 14 April 2001
(Stubble)	FallowMaster*	44 oz/A	3.2 l/ha	\$6.49/A	27July 2001
	Round-up*	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$7.23/A	4 October 2001
Millet:	Round-up *	20 oz/A	1.46 l/ha	\$6.02/A	9 May 2001
	Round-up*	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.21/A \$7.23/A	13 June 2001
Fallow:	Round-up*	20 oz/A	1.46 l/ha	\$6.02/A	9 May 2001
	Clarity	6 oz/A	0.44 l/ha	\$4.21/A	9 May 2001
	FallowMaster*	44 oz/A	3.2 l/ha	\$6.49/A	13 June 2001
(Wheat Planting)	Round-up*	44 0Z/A 20 oz/A	3.2 1/ha 1.46 1/ha	\$6.02/A	7 September 2001
Rotation: Wheat-W	heat-Corn-Soybean-Su	inflower-Pea:			r i r
Wheat:	Ally	0.1.oz/A	7.0 g/ha	\$2 30/A	14 April 2001
Wheat.	2,4-D ester 4#	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	\$0.82/A	14 April 2001
(Stubble)	FallowMaster*	44 oz/A	3.2 l/ha	\$6.49/A	27July 2001
	Round-up*	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$7.23/A	4 October 2001
Wheat:	Ally	0.1 oz/A	7.0 g/ha	\$2.30/A	14 April 2001
(Stubble)	2,4-D ester 4#	8  oz/A	0.58 l/ha	\$0.82/A	14 April 2001
(Wheat Planting)	Round-up*	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$0.49/A \$7.23/A	4 October 2001
Corn	Fallowmaster*	32.07/4	2 331/ha	\$5.31/4	7 May 2001
com.	Prowl 3.3 EC	32 oz/A	2.331/ha	\$5.11/A	7 May 2001
	Atrazine 4L	32 oz/A	2.331/ha	\$2.80/A	7 May 2001
Soybeans:	Round-up*	16 oz/A	1.17 l/ha	\$4.82/A	24 April 2001
	2,4-D Ester 4	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	\$0.58/A	24 April 2001
	Round-up*	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$9.91/A \$7.23/A	13 June 2001
Sunflowers	Round-up*	16.07/4	1 17 l/ba	\$1.82/4	24 April 2001
Sumowers.	2,4-D Ester 4	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	\$0.58/A	24 April 2001 24 April 2001
	Spartan	2.67 oz/A	187 g/ha	\$9.91/A	9 May 2001
Forage Soybeans:	Round-up*	16 oz/A	1.17 l/ha	\$4.82/A	24 April 2001
	2,4-D Ester 4	8 oz/A	0.58 l/ha	\$0.58/A	24 April 2001
	Autority	2.07 0Z/A	187 g/na	\$9.91/A	9 May 2001
<b>Rotation: Opportun</b>	ity	T		1	
Wheat:	Ally	0.1 oz/A	7.0 g/ha	\$2.30/A	14 April 2001
(Stubble)	2,4-D ester 4# FallowMaster*	8  oz/A	0.58 l/ha 3 2 l/ha	\$0.82/A \$6.49/A	14 April 2001 8 July 2001
(5100010)	Round-up*	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$7.23/A	4 October 2001
*Applied 17 lbs. Am	nonium Sulfate/100 gal	lons water with Rou	nd-up products.	•	
			• •		

Table A12. W	eed control, Briggs	dale, CO, 20	02.		
Crop	Herbicide/Tillage	Rate (English)	Rate (Metric)	Cost	Date Applied
Rotation: Wheat-	Fallow				
Wheat:(Stubble)	RT Master*	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$2.99	20 Sept. 2002
Fallow <u>:</u>	RT Master*	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	\$5.98	15 June 2002
_	Round-up Ultra*	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$4.06	10 July 2002
	2,4-D Lo Vol	8 oz/ac	0.58 l/ha	\$1.17	10 July 2002
(Wheat Planting)	RT Master*	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$2.99	20 Sept. 2002
<b>Rotation: Wheat-</b>	Millet-Fallow				
Wheat:(Stubble)	RT Master*	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$2.99	20 Sept. 2002
Millet:	RT Master*	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	\$5.98	15 June 2002
Fallow:	RT Master*	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	\$5.98	15 June 2002
	Round-up Ultra*	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$4.06	10 July 2002
	2,4-D Lo Vol	8 oz/ac	0.58 l/ha	\$1.17	10 July 2002
(Wheat Planting)	RT Master*	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$2.99	20 Sept. 2002
Rotation: Wheat-	Wheat-Corn-Corn-Su	nflower-Fallow	:		
Wheat:	Round-up Ultra*	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$4.06	10 July 2002
	2,4-D Lo Vol	8 oz/ac	0.58 l/ha	\$1.17	10 July 2002
(Wheat Planting)	RT Master*	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$2.99	20 Sept. 2002
Wheat:(Stubble)	RT Master*	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$2.99	20 Sept. 2002
Corn1:	Prowl	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	\$5.16	6 June 2002
	Atrazine 4L	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	\$2.54	6 June 2002
	RT Master*	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	\$5.98	15 June 2002
Corn2:	RT Master*	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	\$5.98	15 June 2002
	Round-up UltraMAX*	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	\$7.56	5 July 2002
Sunflowers:	Spartan	2.0 oz/Ac	140 g/ha	\$5.67	23 May 2002
	RT Master*	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	\$5.98	15 June 2002
Fallow:	RT Master*	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	\$5.98	15 June 2002
	Round-up Ultra*	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$4.06	10 July 2002
	2,4-D Lo Vol	8 oz/ac	0.58 l/ha	\$1.17	10 July 2002
(Wheat planting)	RT Master*	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$2.99	20 Sept. 2002
<b>Rotation:</b> Opport	unity				
Grain Sorghum:	RT Master*	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	\$5.98	5 July 2002
	Marksman	32 oz/Ac	2.33 l/ha	\$7.13	4 June 2002
*Applied 1 qt/100 (\$0.54/ac) with RT	gal. of Choice (\$0.25/ad Master.	c) to all Round-u	products and 2	2 qt/100 g	gal. of Activator 90

Crop		Herbicide/Tillage	Rate (English)	Rate (Metric)	Cost	Date Applied
Rotatio	n: Wheat-	Fallow				
Wheat:		Ally XP	0.10 oz/A	7.02 g/ha	\$2.33/A	21 April
		2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/A	0.39 l/ha	\$0.82/A	21 April
		Clarity	2.0 oz/A	0.15 l/ha	\$1.46/A	21 April
	(Stubble)	RT Master	20 oz/A	1.46 l/ha	\$3.40/A	17 July
		2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	17 July
		RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	13 August
		2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	13 August
		Atrazine 4L	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$1.86/A	22 September
		RT Master	20 oz/A	1.46 l/ha	\$3.40/A	22 September
Fallow:		RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	29 April
		RT Master	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	\$5.44/A	14 May
		RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	17 June
		2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	17 June
		RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	13 August
		2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	13 August
(Whea	t Planting)	RT Master	20 oz/A	1.46 l/ha	\$3.40/A	22 September
Rotatio	n: Wheat-	Millet-Fallow				
Wheat:		Ally XP	0.10 oz/A	7.02 g/ha	\$2.33/A	21 April
		2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/A	0.39 l/ha	\$0.82/A	21 April
		Clarity	2.0 oz/A	0.15 l/ha	\$1.46/A	21 April
	(Stubble)	RT Master	20 oz/A	1.46 l/ha	\$3.40/A	17 July
		2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	17 July
		RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	13 August
		2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	13 August
		Atrazine 4L	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$1.86/A	22 September
		RT Master	20 oz/A	1.46 l/ha	\$3.40/A	22 September
Millet:		RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/a	\$4.08/A	29 April
		RT Master	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	\$5.44/A	14 May
		Round-up Ultra MAX	26 oz/A	1.90 l/ha	\$10.76/A	17 June
	(to kill)	RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	13 August
		2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	13 August
Fallow:		RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	29 April
		RT Master	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	\$5.44/A	14 May
		RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	17 June
		2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	17 June
		RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	13 August
		2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	13 August
		,	-			-

Wheat:	Harmony Extra	0.40 oz/A	28.1 g/ha	\$5.67/A	14 May
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/A	0.39 l/ha	\$0.82/A	14 May
	RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	13 August
	2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	13 August
(Wheat Planting)	RT Master	20 oz/A	1.46 l/ha	\$3.40/A	22 September
Wheat:	Harmony Extra	0.40 oz/A	28.1 g/ha	\$5.67/A	14 May
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/A	0.39 l/ha	\$0.82/A	14 May
(Stubble)	RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	13 August
	2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	13 August
	Atrazine 4L	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$1.86/A	22 September
	RT Master	20 oz/A	1.46 l/ha	\$3.40/A	22 September
Corn1:	RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	29 April
	RT Master	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	\$5.44/A	14 May
	Prowl	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	\$5.54/A	28 May
	Atrazine 4L	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	\$2.46/A	28 May
Corn2:	RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	29 April
	RT Master	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	\$5.44/A	14 May
	Round-up Ultra MAX	26 oz/A	1.90 l/ha	\$10.76/A	17 June
Sunflowers:	RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	29 April
	RT Master	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	\$5.44/A	14 May
	Spartan	2.0 oz/A	140 g/ha	\$5.67/A	22 May
Fallow:	RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	29 April
	RT Master	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	\$5.44/A	14 May
	RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	17 June
	2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	17 June
	RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	13 August
	2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	13 August
(Wheat planting)	RT Master	20 oz/A	1.46 l/ha	\$3.40/A	22 September
<b>Rotation: Opport</b>	unity				
Millet:	RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/a	\$4.08/A	29 April
	RT Master	32 oz/A	2.33 l/ha	\$5.44/A	14 May
	Round-up Ultra MAX	26 oz/A	1.90 l/ha	\$10.76/A	17 June
(to kill)	RT Master	24 oz/A	1.75 l/ha	\$4.08/A	13 August
	2,4-D LV6	10 oz/A	0.73 l/ha	\$1.54/A	13 August
*Applied 1 qt/100	gal. of Choice (\$0.25/ac	) to all Round-u	p products and	2 qt/100 ga	al. of Activator 90
(\$0.54/ac) with R1	Master.				

Crop	Herbicide/Tillage	Rate (English)	Rate (Metric)	Cost	Date Applied
Rotation: Wheat-l	Fallow				
Wheat:	Ally XP	0.10 oz/ac	7.02 g/ha		4 May 2004
	2,4-D LV6	8 oz/ac	0.58 l/ha		4 May 2004
(Stubble)	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha		19 July 2004
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha		19 July 2004
	Clarity	2 oz/a	0.15 l/ha		19 July 2004
Fallow:	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha		1 June 2004
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha		1 June 2004
	Banvel	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha		1 June 2004
	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha		2 July 2004
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha		2 July 2004
	Clarity	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha		2 July 2004
(Wheat Planting)	RT Master	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha		18 Sept. 2004
Rotation: Wheat-I	Millet-Fallow				•
Wheat:	Ally XP	0.10 oz/ac	7.02 g/ha		4 May 2004
	2,4-D LV6	8 oz/ac	0.58 l/ha		4 May 2004
(Stubble)	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha		19 July 2004
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha		19 July 2004
	Clarity	2 oz/a	0.15 l/ha		19 July 2004
Millet:	RT Master	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha		5 May 2004
	2,4-D LV6	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha		5 May 2004
	Round-up UltraMAX	26 oz/ac	1.90 l/ha		1 June 2004
Fallow:	RT Master	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha		5 May 2004
	2,4-D LV6	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha		5 May 2004
	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha		1 June 2004
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha		1 June 2004
	Banvel	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha		1 June 2004
	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha		2 July 2004
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha		2 July 2004
	Banvel	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha		2 July 2004
(Wheat Planting)	RT Master	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha		18 Sept. 2004
Rotation:Wheat-V	Vheat-Corn-Corn-Sun	flower-Fallow:			
Wheat:	Ally XP	0.10 oz/ac	7.02 g/ha		4 May 2004
	2,4-D LV6	8 oz/ac	0.58 l/ha		4 May 2004
	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha		19 July 2004
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha		19 July 2004
	Clarity	2 oz/a	0.15 l/ha		19 July 2004
(Wheat Planting)	RT Master	32.0z/ac	2,331/ha		18 Sept 2004

Table A14. Weed control methods including herbicide rate, cost and date applied
at Briggsdale in 2004 season.

Wheat:	RT Master	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	5 May 2004		
(Wheat desiccated)	2,4-D LV6	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	5 May 2004		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	1 June 2004		
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha	1 June 2004		
	Banvel	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	1 June 2004		
	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	2 July 2004		
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha	2 July 2004		
	Banvel	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	2 July 2004		
Grain Sorghum:	Bicep Lite II Magnum	35.2 oz/ac	2.57 l/ha	21 May 2004		
	RT Master	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	21 May 2004		
	2,4-D LV6	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	21 May 2004		
	Clarity	8 oz/ac	0.58 l/ha	9 July 2004		
Corn:	RT Master	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	5 May 2004		
	2,4-D LV6	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	5 May 2004		
	Atrazine 4F	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	28 May 2004		
	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	28 May 2004		
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha	28 May 2004		
	Banvel	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	28 May 2004		
	Round-up UltraMAX	26 oz/ac	1.901/ha	9 July 2004		
Sunflowers:	RT Master	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	5 May 2004		
	2,4-D LV6	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	5 may 2004		
	Spartan	1.5 oz/ac	105 g/ha	11 May 2004		
	Prowl H20	48 oz/ac	3.50 l/ha	28 May 2004		
	Round-up UltraMAX	26 oz/ac	1.90 l/ha	28 May 2004		
	Select 2EC	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha	2 July 2004		
Fallow:	RT Master	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	5 May 2004		
	2,4-D LV6	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	5 May 2004		
	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	1 June 2004		
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha	1 June 2004		
	Banvel	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	1 June 2004		
	RT Master	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	2 July 2004		
	2,4-D LV6	6 oz/ac	0.44 l/ha	2 July 2004		
	Banvel	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	2 July 2004		
(Wheat planting)	RT Master	32 oz/ac	2.33 l/ha	18 Sept. 2004		
<b>Rotation:</b> Opportu	unity					
Proso:	RT Master	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	5 May 2004		
	2,4-D LV6	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	5 may 2004		
	RT Master	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	21 May 2004		
	2,4-D LV6	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	21 May 2004		
	2,4-D amine	12 oz/ac	0.87 l/ha	14 June 2004		
	Clarity	4 oz/ac	0.29 l/ha	14 June 2004		
The appropriate adj	The appropriate adjuvant was applied with herbicides according to label directions.					

Crop	Herbicide/Tillage	Rate (English)	Rate (Metric)	Cost	Date Applied
Rotation: Wheat-	Fallow				
Wheat:	Ally Extra	0.4 oz/ac	28 g/ha	\$3.96/ac	3 April 2005
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
(Stubble	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	7 July 2005
	Weedmaster	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	7 July 2005
Fallow:	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	3 April 2005
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
	Clarity	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	\$1.50/ac	3 April 2005
	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	22 June 2005
	Weedmaster	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	22 June 2005
	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	28 July 2005
	Weedmaster	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	28 July 2005
(Wheat Planting	) RT Master II	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	\$4.00/ac	4 Oct. 2005
<b>Rotation: Wheat-</b>	Millet-Fallow				
Wheat:	Ally Extra	0.4 oz/ac	28 g/ha	\$3.96/ac	3 April 2005
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
(Stubble	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	7 July 2005
	Weedmaster	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	7 July 2005
Millet:	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	3 April 2005
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
	Clarity	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	\$1.50/ac	3 April 2005
	RT Master II	22 oz/ac.	1.61 l/ha	\$4.40/ac	22 June 2005
Fallow:	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	3 April 2005
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
	Clarity	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	\$1.50/ac	3 April 2005
	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	22 June 2005
	Weedmaster	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	22 June 2005
	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	28 July 2005
	Weedmaster	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	28 July 2005
(Wheat Planting)	RT Master II	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	\$4.00/ac	4 Oct. 2005
Rotation: Wheat	-Corn-Fallow:				
Wheat:	Ally Extra	0.4 oz/ac	28 g/ha	\$3.96/ac	3 April 2005
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
(Stubble	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	7 July 2005
	Weedmaster	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	7 July 2005
	RT Master II	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	\$4.00/ac	4 Oct. 2005
	Atrazine 4F	32 oz/ac	2.34 l/ha	\$2.56/ac	4 Oct. 2005
Corn:	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	3 April 2005
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
	Clarity	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	\$1.50/ac	3 April 2005
	Round-up Ultra Max I	[ 22 oz/ac	1.61 l/ha	\$7.92/ac	22 June 2005
	Atrazine 4F	24 oz/ac	1.75 l/ha	\$1.92/ac	22 June 2005

Fallow:	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	3 April 2005
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
	Clarity	2 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	\$1.50/ac	3 April 2005
	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	22 June 2005
	Weedmaster	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	22 June 2005
	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	28 July 2005
	Weedmaster	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	28 July 2005
(Wheat Planting	<sup>g)</sup> RT Master II	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	\$4.00/ac	4 Oct. 2005
Rotation: Barley	-Triticale-Millet:	•			
				<b>AB B C C C</b>	
Barley:	Ally Extra	0.4 oz/ac	28.0 l/ha	\$3.96/ac	3 April 2005
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
	RT Master II	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	\$4.00/ac	4 Oct. 2005
Triticale:	Ally Extra	0.4 oz/ac	28 g/ha	\$3.96/ac	3 April 2005
(Wheat in 2005)	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	7 July 2005
	Weedmaster	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	7 July 2005
Millet:	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	3 April 2005
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
	Clarity	2.0 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	\$1.50/ac	3 April 2005
	RT Master II	22 oz/ac.	1.61 l/ha	\$4.40/ac	22 June 2005
<b>Rotation:</b> Opport	tunity	-			
Fallow:	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	3 April 2005
	2,4-D LV6	5.3 oz/ac	0.39 l/ha	\$0.80/ac	3 April 2005
	Clarity	2.0 oz/ac	0.15 l/ha	\$1.50/ac	3 April 2005
	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	22 June 2005
	Weedmaster	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	22 June 2005
	RT Master II	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.20/ac	28 July 2005
	Weedmaster/Outlaw	16 oz/ac	1.17 l/ha	\$3.36/ac	28 July 2005
(Wheat Planting	) RT Master II	20 oz/ac	1.46 l/ha	\$4.00/ac	4 Oct. 2005
The appropriate adjuvant was applied with herbicides according to label directions.					