



# Colorado Teacher Preparation Program Approval Rubric and Review Checklist for Literacy Courses





#### Introduction

Recent advances in scientific research in reading have necessitated a sense of urgency to move the knowledge acquired from the convergence of research findings into daily practice in the classrooms of Colorado.

Reading achievement scores among Colorado's children over the last several years show limited growth. As a result, the Colorado State Board of Education has established a focused priority on increasing literacy achievement in Colorado.

To this end, the Board amended the Rules for Administration of the Colorado Basic Literacy Act and the Educator Licensing Act to reflect the findings of scientific research in reading (e.g., National Reading Panel, 2000).

In 2006, the Colorado Reading Directorate (CRD) was charged with the responsibility for developing a review process for evaluating applications of literacy related educator preparation programs seeking approval from the Colorado State Board of Education and the Colorado Department of Higher Education. With an established review process in place to assure the quality of educator preparation programs, the goals of the CRD were achieved and the work completed. To expand leadership for this charge and to make the policies and procedures more transparent, in January, 2008, the Colorado Department of Education established the Colorado Literacy Council (CLC). The role of the CLC is to advise the Colorado Department of Education in the following areas: (1) systems for the review of literacy content in educator preparation programs, (2) revision of the Program for Licensing Assessment for Colorado Educators (PLACE), (3) topics for research forums and professional development with higher education, and (4) innovative educator preparation programs.

This packet includes the Colorado Teacher Preparation Program Approval Rubric and Review Checklist for Literacy Courses. These materials were designed to be used in the review of literacy courses in order to ensure that educator preparation programs address the most current scientific research in literacy standards, assessment and instruction.

The Colorado Department of Education looks forward to a continued working partnership with institutions of higher education and designated agencies toward enhancing the quality of educator preparation programs in Colorado and ultimately increasing student reading achievement.





Former CO Reading 1st Professional Development Coordinator

#### **Acknowledgements**

The following people are acknowledged for their support in the development of the Colorado Teacher Preparation Approval Rubric and Review Checklist for Literacy Courses:

University of Oregon / CO-RTAC\* Douglas Carnine, Ph.D. Professor of Education

Assistant Professor of Special Education Elaine Cheesman, Ph.D. University of Colorado at Colorado Springs

Judith S. Dodson, M.A. National Teacher Trainer Literacy Consultant

Former CA State Board of Education Member / CO-RTAC\* Marion Joseph **Education Policy Consultant** 

Lynn Kuhn, M.A., CCC-SLP Literacy Consultant

Louisa C. Moats, Ed.D. Literacy Researcher and Policy Consultant Author and Teacher Development Specialist / CO-RTAC\*

Richard K. Olson, Ph.D. Director, Colorado Learning Disabilities Research Ctr. University of Colorado-Boulder / CO-RTAC\*

John Evans Professor, Dept of Psychology Bruce Pennington, Ph.D. University of Denver / CO-RTAC\* Barbara Rhine, Ph.D. Former Director of Bilingual & Special Education University of Northern Colorado

Sally E. Shaywitz, M.D. Professor of Pediatrics Yale University School of Medicine / CO-RTAC\* Susan M. Smartt. Ph.D. Senior Research Associate Vanderbilt University

Grace L. Sussman, Ed.D. Assistant Professor of Reading University of Northern Colorado

Sandra Stotsky, Ed.D. **Education Consultant** 

Former Sr. Research Associate Commissioner, Massachusetts DOE Louise Spear-Swerling, Ph.D. Southern Connecticut State University

Professor of Special Education and Reading Cheryl Wittmann, Ph.D. **Teacher Quality Specialist** Maryland Department of Education

<sup>\*</sup>Colorado Reading Technical Advisory Committee





#### **Table of Contents**

- I. Colorado Teacher Preparation Program Approval Rubric for Literacy Courses
- II. Colorado Teacher Preparation Program Review Checklist for Literacy Courses

5.01	Standard One: Knowledge of Literacy	1
	Scientifically Based Reading Research and Comprehensive Literacy Curriculum and Instruction	1
5.01.1	Plan and organize literacy instruction based on ongoing assessment	3
	Assessment	3
5.01.2	Develop phonological and linguistic skills related to reading	5
	Phonemic/ Phonological Awareness	5
	Phonics and Word Decoding	6
	Spelling	7
5.01.3	Develop reading comprehension and promotion of independent reading	8
		8
	Fluency	
	Content Area Literacy and Independent Reading	
5.01.4	Support reading through oral and written language development	12
	Oral Language	
	Vocabulary	13
	Writing	
5.01.5	Utilize Colorado Model Content Standards in reading and writing for the improvement of instruction	15
	Reading and Writing Standards	15



#### Colorado Teacher Preparation Program Approval Rubric for Literacy Courses



		-	1	
MEETS REQUIREMENTS	MEETS REQUIREMENTS	DOES NOT MEET	INSUFFICIENT	REVIEWER NOTES
	CONDITIONALLY	REQUIREMENTS	EVIDENCE	
(full approval)	(conditional approval)	(no approval)	(no approval)	
Course Requirements				
Alignment of course description and	Some elements of the course are	Lack of alignment of course	Insufficient	
other course elements such as	not aligned	elements	information	
objectives, assignments,			provided	
assessments and materials				
Theories presented are supported by	Some theories presented are	Theories presented are not	Insufficient	
scientific research and citations are	supported by scientific research	supported by scientific	information	
provided		research	provided	
Course assignments include reading,	Course readings include	Scientific research is not	Insufficient	
evaluating and applying scientific,	scientific research without	included in course readings	information	
peer- reviewed research and	evaluation or distinguishing from	and assignments and /or	provided	
candidates are taught to distinguish	non-scientific claims	scientific research is not		
scientific research from non-scientific		distinguished from non-		
claims		scientific claims		
Within reading courses, adequate	One or more essential elements	Essential elements of CO	Insufficient	
time is allocated to each of the	of CO TPBS Standard 1 and	TPBS Standard 1 and	information	
elements of CO Teacher	literacy related endorsement	literacy related endorsement	provided	
Performance Based Standard 1 and	standards is not adequately	standards are not addressed	'	
literacy related endorsement	covered	in the course outline		
standards (see detailed checklist)				
Course assessments objectively	Course assessments are	Course assessments are	Insufficient	
measure teacher candidate	objective but do not measure the	subjective and/or do not	information	
knowledge of all key aspects of	candidates' knowledge of all key	measure the candidates'	provided	
course content	aspects of course content	knowledge of course content	,	
	•			





#### 5.01 Standard 1: Knowledge of Literacy

The teacher shall be knowledgeable about student literacy development in reading, writing, speaking, viewing, and listening. The teacher has demonstrated the ability to:

#### Scientifically Based Reading Research and Comprehensive Literacy Curriculum and Instruction

Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.

	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
а	Understand the <b>cognitive processes</b> employed in skillful	
	reading including phonological, orthographic, semantic and	
	syntactic processing	
b	Understand the contributions of both neurobiological	
	and environmental factors to reading and writing success	
	and failure, including genetics, gender, medical history or	
	condition, socio-cultural context, family context, educational	
	and instructional history, and language background	
С	Know the five essential components of comprehensive	
	reading instruction (phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency,	
	vocabulary, and comprehension) identified by scientific	
	research and how they are linked with one another	
d	Understand the organization of a "multi-tiered" school	
	wide model for instruction and intervention (universal,	
	targeted, and intensive) and how the process for making	
	educational decisions about grouping, time, intensity and	
	duration of instruction is based on assessed individual	
	response to intervention (RTI)	
е	Differentiate instruction for the universal, targeted and	
	intensive needs of diverse groups of students, including	
	students with disabilities, students from culturally and	
	linguistically diverse populations, and high-achieving	
	students	





	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
f	Analyze the critical elements of a <b>comprehensive literacy curriculum</b> (including core and supplementary programs) that adhere to research-based principles of instruction	
g	Select reading texts appropriate for the identified instructional outcomes (e.g., decodable text for building word reading accuracy and fluency or literature for vocabulary and comprehension)	





#### 5.01.1 Plan and organize literacy instruction based on ongoing assessment. Assessment Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading. Teacher Candidates will: **Reviewer Notes** Understand the basic concepts involved in test selection administration, standardized administration techniques and interpretation (reliability, validity, and standard error, norm-referenced, and criterion-referenced) Comprehend the meaning of basic statistics such as normal curve equivalents; percentile ranks, stanines, quartiles, standard scores and grade equivalents for interpretation of data Understand the purposes of different kinds of assessments (screening, progress monitoring, diagnostic and outcome) Understand the legal and ethical issues in the fair and meaningful evaluation of students in the classroom, including confidentiality, informed consent, privacy, and bias Identify independent, objective sources for reviews of valid and reliable assessment tools Select, administer and interpret reliable and valid classroom screening measures to identify students at risk for reading difficulty Select reading texts appropriate for the identified instructional outcomes (e.g., decodable text for building word reading accuracy and fluency or literature for vocabulary and comprehension)





	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
h	Select, administer, and interpret <b>progress-monitoring</b> assessments to evaluate students' progress toward an instructional goal and determine effectiveness of instruction / intervention and regularly articulate progress to students	
i	Analyze and incorporate the results of end-of-year achievement tests into a body of evidence for the development of instructional plans (e.g., ILPs)	
j	Translate technical concepts and terminology of assessments into concrete, clear, and culturally sensitive language for reporting to colleagues, students, and parents	





5.01.2 Develop phonological and linguistic skills related to reading including:			
a) phonemic awareness b) concepts about print c) systematic, explicit phonics d) other word identification strategies			
	pelling instruction		
	onemic / Phonological Awareness	P.	
Rev	viewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned	reading.	
	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes	
а	Identify and pronounce the speech sounds of English		
b	Know a research-based scope and sequence for phonemic and phonological awareness instruction based on the developmental progression of skills - rhyme, syllables, onset-rime, phoneme differentiation		
С	Apply systematic, explicit techniques for teaching phonological awareness: speech sound identification, matching, blending, and segmenting		
d	Know the <b>predictive value of phonemic awareness</b> in early reading development		
е	Select, use and interpret assessments of phonological and phonemic awareness and use them to screen for reading difficulties, monitor progress, and make instructional decisions		





Phonics and Word Decoding		
Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.		
	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
f	Recognize the development of <b>print concepts in young children</b> : print conveys meaning, printed words	
	are composed of letters, print is read from left to right and top to bottom, spoken words match printed words	
g	Know the <b>predictive value of letter naming fluency</b> in early reading development	
h	Know a research-based scope and sequence, progressing from easy to more difficult, for teaching the alphabetic principle, phonics (phoneme-grapheme associations) and orthographic patterns	
i	Use a systematic, explicit approach to teach phonics and word analysis in decoding	
j	Apply techniques for teaching automatic recognition of common phonetically irregular (exception) words in English	
k	Understand the role of the various <b>syllable structures</b> (open, closed, silent-e, vowel team, consonant-le, r-controlled) and <b>morphemes</b> (prefixes, roots, suffixes) in fluent recognition of multisyllable words	
I	Understand the importance of the use of <b>decodable</b> and controlled text to reinforce word decoding recognition skills that have been taught	
m	Select, use and interpret phonics surveys, writing samples, and word identification assessments to measure alphabetic knowledge and word decoding skills and use them to screen for reading difficulties, monitor progress, and make instructional decisions	





Sp	Spelling		
Re	Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.		
	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes	
n	Use a systematic, explicit approach to teach orthographic and morphological patterns in spelling		
0	Understand the reciprocal relationship between learning orthographic patterns for reading (decoding) and spelling (encoding)		
р	Select, use and interpret diagnostic spelling inventories (e.g., differences between phonetic and lexical spelling patterns) and use them to screen for spelling difficulties, monitor progress, and make instructional decisions		





5.01.3 Develop reading comprehension and promotion of independent reading including:			
a)	a) comprehension strategies for a variety of genre		
b)	literary response and analysis		
c)	content area literacy		
d)	student independent reading		
Re	ading Comprehension		
Re	eviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned	reading.	
	Э		
	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes	
а	Understand the relationships among listening		
	comprehension, language comprehension and		
	reading comprehension and how they change as		
	reading skill develops		
b	Understand the knowledge and processes used in		
	reading comprehension: decoding, word naming		
	speed, inference-making, comprehension monitoring,		
	grammatical awareness, background and prior		
	knowledge, word meaning knowledge		
С	Know the factors that influence reading		
	<b>comprehension</b> – the reader, the text, the reading task,		
	the environmental context and the interactions among		
	them		
d	Explicitly teach the conventions and text structures		
	associated with a variety of genre including literary texts		
	(poems, plays, narrative stories and novels) and		
	expository texts (textbooks, electronic texts, essays,		
	technical reports)		
е	Explicitly teach the differences between and strategies		
	for analysis of components of literary texts (e.g.,		
	theme, narrator's point of view) and expository texts		
	(e.g., author's purpose, position or stance on a subject)		





	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
f	<b>Explicitly teach</b> research-based text <b>comprehension strategies</b> (e.g., metacognitive monitoring strategies, graphic and semantic organizers, answering questions, generating questions, story structure, summarizing) to be used before, during and after reading	
g	Scaffold discussions by asking questions that increase engagement in <b>literary response and analysis</b> , expand student thinking and support the affective dimensions of reading comprehension	
h	Select, use and interpret formal and informal assessments of student reading comprehension and use them to screen for reading difficulties, monitor progress, and make instructional decisions	
i	Use assessment data to plan interventions to foster reading comprehension using research-based programs and practices	
j	Know the interrelationship of reading comprehension, fluent decoding skills, background knowledge and vocabulary knowledge	





Flu	Fluency		
Re	Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.		
	Teacher Candidates will:	Daviauras Natas	
		Reviewer Notes	
k	Identify expectations / norms for fluency as reading		
	skill develops		
	Identify factors that may impact fluency (e.g. word		
	reading skill, vocabulary knowledge, text difficulty,		
	background knowledge, reason for reading, type of		
	text)		
	,		
m	Identify and apply explicit and implicit oral passage		
	reading techniques for increasing reading fluency		
	(e.g., increasing time spent reading at independent		
	level; alternate oral reading; timed repeated readings;		
	simultaneous oral reading; and timed speed drills)		
	omatanoodo oran rodding, and timod opood dinio)		
n	Select, use and interpret assessments of reading		
	fluency and use them to screen for reading difficulties,		
	monitor progress and make instructional decisions		
	targeted for improved student outcomes		
	targeted for improved stadent editornes		





Co	ntent Area Literacy and Independent Reading	
Re	viewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned	d reading.
	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
0	Know the importance of <b>supported reading practice</b> in increasing reading fluency and comprehension	
р	Select and apply text comprehension strategies for literacy in content areas	
q	Know strategies to develop parent-school and school-community support to promote independent reading practice	
r	Collaborate with school-based teams to identify, evaluate and select classroom materials that support content area reading	
S	Select appropriate <b>independent reading materials</b> to match student reading performance	





5.01.4 Support reading through oral and written language development including:									
a) development of oral English proficiency in students									
b) development of sound writing practices in students including: language usage, punctuation, capitalization, sentence structure & spelling c) relationships among reading, writing, and oral language									
					d) vocabulary development				
					e) the structure of standard English				
Or	al Language								
Re	eviewer: Please use this space to list text and assign	ed reading.							
	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes							
а	Understand how oral language develops and the								
	relationship between oral language proficiency and reading, spelling and writing proficiency								
	reading, spenning and writing proficiency								
b	Know the organization of language: phonology,								
	orthography, morphology, syntax, semantic networks,								
	and discourse structure								
	Discount the difference between								
С	Discern the differences between informal/conversational language and								
	formal/literate/academic language that can be								
	problematic in reading and writing								
d	Understand the historical evolution of the English								
	language and alphabetic writing system								
	Linderstand the impost of healtground knowledge								
е	Understand the impact of background knowledge, language differences, difficulties, and disorders on								
	literacy acquisition								
	me.sey soquene								





Vocabulary				
Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.				
	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes		
f	Know the scientific research on how oral and written <b>vocabulary develops</b> in first and second language learners			
g	Understand the <b>role of morphology in written English</b> including Anglo-Saxon, Latin, and Greek-derived morphemes			
h	Understand and apply morphological and etymological similarities and differences in languages in teaching first and second language learners			
İ	Recognize the aspects of <b>learning word meanings</b> , including multiple meanings and uses of words, idiomatic expressions, the limitations of dictionary definitions, demands of categorical and hierarchical reasoning, or insufficient examples of contextual use			
j	Identify research-supported approaches to selecting words for in-depth vocabulary instruction			
k	Identify and use direct and indirect techniques for vocabulary instruction in the classroom			





Writing				
Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.				
	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes		
-	Understand the reciprocity between <b>foundational writing skills</b> (handwriting, spelling, knowledge of conventions, fluent transcription, verbal ideation, grammar) and <b>higher order thinking skills</b> in developing <b>high quality written composition</b>			
m	Understand the <b>writing process</b> – planning, composing, revising and editing of written products			
n	Explicitly teach and encourage the use of <b>formal</b> language patterns (correct grammar and forms) and academic vocabulary in student writing			
0	Analyze children's writing samples for phonological, orthographic, syntactic, and semantic patterns of use and plan instruction based on findings			
p	Teach <b>basic mechanics</b> of writing (capitalization, punctuation, handwriting)			
q	Teach sentence structure (avoiding sentence fragments, using varied sentence lengths)			
r	Teach organization and paragraphing			
S	Develop students' clarity, descriptiveness and elaboration when writing			

#### 5.01.5 Utilize Colorado Model Content Standards in reading and writing for the improvement of instruction **Reading and Writing Standards** Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading. **Teacher Candidates will: Reviewer Notes** Know the Colorado Model Content Standards and Benchmarks for Reading and Writing b Know the Colorado Basic Literacy Act (CBLA) Reading Proficiencies for Kindergarten, 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, and 3<sup>rd</sup> grades Assess CBLA proficiencies and use assessment data to develop an Individual Literacy Plan (ILP) d Use CSAP assessment frameworks in reading and writing including assessment objectives for each grade level to develop curriculum that will support reading and writing achievement e Know the progression of underlying skills (phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary, language comprehension, spelling and writing skills) necessary to demonstrate proficiency on the reading and writing CSAP tests Identify and analyze examples of unsatisfactory, partially proficient, proficient, and advanced **student** work at various grade levels and the implication for instruction When students are not proficient on CSAP, select, use and interpret assessments of underlying reading skills and use data to develop a plan for intervention