



Colorado Teacher Preparation Program Approval Rubric and Review Checklist for Literacy Courses

July 2006

Colorado Department of Education 201 East Colfax Avenue Denver, CO 80203





Introduction

Recent advances in scientific research in reading have necessitated a sense of urgency to move the knowledge acquired from the convergence of research findings into daily practice in the classrooms of Colorado.

Reading achievement scores among Colorado's children over the last several years show limited growth. As a result, the Colorado State Board of Education has established a focused priority on increasing literacy achievement in Colorado.

To this end, the Board amended the Rules for Administration of the Colorado Basic Literacy Act and the Educator Licensing Act to reflect the findings of scientific research in reading (e.g., National Reading Panel, 2000.)

In 2006, the Colorado Reading Directorate was charged with the responsibility for reviewing and evaluating applications for teacher preparation program approval in all areas touching on literacy.

This packet includes the Colorado Teacher Preparation Program Approval Rubric and Review Checklist for Literacy Courses^{*}. These materials were designed to be used in the review of literacy courses conducted by the Colorado Reading Directorate in order to ensure that teacher preparation programs address the most current scientific research on literacy standards, assessment and instruction.

The Colorado Department of Education looks forward to working in partnership with the institutions of higher education and designated agencies toward enhancing the quality of teacher preparation programs in Colorado and ultimately increasing student reading achievement.

*CRD recognizes that Teacher in Residence and Alternative Licensure candidates may acquire literacy proficiencies through a variety of means including, but not limited to, course work.

Colorado Department of Education 201 East Colfax Avenue Denver, CO 80203





Acknowledgements

Colorado Reading Directorate

Debora L. Scheffel, Ph.D. Dianne L. Lefly, Ph.D. Jeanette P. Cornier, Ph.D. Jo M. O'Brien, M.A. Edward A. Steinberg, Ph.D.

Chair, Director of Colorado Reading First and Competitive Grants and Awards Supervisor of Measurement, Unit of Student Assessment / Reading Researcher Principal Consultant, Office of Learning and Results / Colorado Basic Literacy Act Assistant Commissioner, Office of Learning and Results / Reading Standards Assistant Commissioner, Center for Exceptional Student Services and At Risk Education

The Colorado Reading Directorate would like to acknowledge and thank the following people for their support in the development of the Colorado Teacher Preparation Approval Rubric and Review Checklist for Literacy Courses:

Douglas Carnine, Ph.D.	Professor of Education	University of Oregon / CO-RTAC*
Elaine Cheesman, Ph.D.	Assistant Professor of Special Education	University of Colorado at Colorado Springs
Judith S. Dodson, M.A.	Literacy Consultant	National Teacher Trainer
Marion Joseph	Education Policy Consultant	Former CA State Board of Education Member / CO-RTAC*
Lynn Kuhn, M.A., CCC-SLP	Literacy Consultant	Former CO Reading 1 st Professional Development Coordinator
Louisa C. Moats, Ed.D.	Literacy Researcher and Policy Consultant	Author and Teacher Development Specialist / CO-RTAC*
Richard K. Olson, Ph.D	Director, Colorado Learning Disabilities Research Ctr.	University of Colorado-Boulder / CO-RTAC*
Bruce Pennington, Ph.D.	John Evans Professor, Dept of Psychology	University of Denver / CO-RTAC*
Barbara Rhine, Ph.D.	Former Director of Bilingual & Special Education	University of Northern Colorado
Sally E. Shaywitz, M.D.	Professor of Pediatrics	Yale University School of Medicine / CO-RTAC*
Susan M. Smartt, Ph.D.	Senior Research Associate	Vanderbilt University
Grace L. Sussman, Ed.D.	Assistant Professor of Reading	University of Northern Colorado
Sandra Stotsky, Ed.D.	Education Consultant	Former Sr. Research Associate Commissioner, Massachusetts DOE
Louise Spear-Swerling, Ph.D.	Professor of Special Education and Reading	Southern Connecticut State University
Cheryl Wittmann, Ph.D.	Teacher Quality Specialist	Maryland Department of Education

*Colorado Reading Technical Advisory Committee

cde	Colorado Reading Directorate	
	Table of Contents	
I. Colora	do Teacher Preparation Program Approval Rubric for Literacy Courses	
II. Colora	do Teacher Preparation Program Review Checklist for Literacy Courses	
5.01	Standard One: Knowledge of Literacy Scientifically Based Reading Research and Comprehensive Literacy Curriculum and Instruction	
5.01.1	Plan and organize literacy instruction based on ongoing assessment Assessment	
5.01.2	Develop phonological and linguistic skills related to reading Phonemic/ Phonological Awareness Phonics and Word Decoding Spelling	
5.01.3	Develop reading comprehension and promotion of independent reading Reading Comprehension Fluency Content Area Literacy and Independent Reading	
5.01.4	Support reading through oral and written language development Oral Language Vocabulary Writing	
5.01.5	Utilize Colorado Model Content Standards in reading and writing for the improvement of instruction Reading and Writing Standards	

Colorado Teacher Preparation Program Approval

Rubric for Literacy Courses



MEETS REQUIREMENTS	MEETS REQUIREMENTS CONDITIONALLY	DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENTS	INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE	REVIEWER NOTES
(full approval)	(conditional approval)	(no approval)	(no approval)	
Course Requirements				
Alignment of course description and	Some elements of the course are	Lack of alignment of course	Insufficient	
other course elements such as	not aligned	elements	information	
objectives, assignments,			provided	
assessments and materials				
Theories presented are supported by	Some theories presented are	Theories presented are not	Insufficient	
scientific research and citations are	supported by scientific research	supported by scientific	information	
provided		research	provided	
Course assignments include reading,	Course readings include	Scientific research is not	Insufficient	
evaluating and applying scientific,	scientific research without	included in course readings	information	
peer- reviewed research and	evaluation or distinguishing from	and assignments and /or	provided	
candidates are taught to distinguish	non-scientific claims	scientific research is not		
scientific research from non-scientific		distinguished from non-		
claims		scientific claims		
Within reading courses, adequate	One or more essential elements	Essential elements of CO	Insufficient	
time is allocated to each of the	of CO TPBS Standard 1 and	TPBS Standard 1 and	information	
elements of CO Teacher	literacy related endorsement	literacy related endorsement	provided	
Performance Based Standard 1 and	standards is not adequately	standards are not addressed		
literacy related endorsement	covered	in the course outline		
standards (see detailed checklist)				
Course assessments objectively	Course assessments are	Course assessments are	Insufficient	
measure teacher candidate	objective but do not measure the	subjective and/or do not	information	
knowledge of all key aspects of	candidates' knowledge of all key	measure the candidates'	provided	
course content	aspects of course content	knowledge of course content		





5.01 Standard 1: Knowledge of Literacy

The teacher shall be knowledgeable about student literacy development in reading, writing, speaking, viewing, and listening. The teacher has demonstrated the ability to:

Scientifically Based Reading Research and Comprehensive Literacy Curriculum and Instruction

Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.

\checkmark	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
	Understand the cognitive processes employed in skillful	
	reading including phonological, orthographic, semantic and	
	syntactic processing	
	Understand the contributions of both neurobiological	
	and environmental factors to reading and writing success	
	and failure, including genetics, gender, medical history or	
	condition, socio-cultural context, family context, educational	
	and instructional history, and language background	
	Know the five essential components of comprehensive	
	reading instruction (phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency,	
	vocabulary, and comprehension) identified by scientific	
	research and how they are linked with one another	
	Understand the organization of a "multi-tiered" school	
	wide model for instruction and intervention (universal,	
	targeted, and intensive) and how the process for making	
	educational decisions about grouping, time, intensity and	
	duration of instruction is based on assessed individual	
	response to intervention (RTI)	
	Differentiate instruction for the universal, targeted and	
	intensive needs of diverse groups of students, including	
	students with disabilities, students from culturally and	
	linguistically diverse populations, and high-achieving	
	students	





 Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
Analyze the critical elements of a comprehensive literacy curriculum (including core and supplementary programs) that adhere to research-based principles of instruction	
Select reading texts appropriate for the identified instructional outcomes (e.g., decodable text for building word reading accuracy and fluency or literature for vocabulary and comprehension)	





Ass	essment	
Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.		
	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
v		Reviewel Notes
	Understand the basic concepts involved in test	
	selection administration, standardized	
	administration techniques and interpretation	
	(reliability, validity, and standard error, norm-referenced,	
	and criterion-referenced)	
	Comprehend the meaning of basic statistics such as	
	normal curve equivalents; percentile ranks, stanines, quartiles, standard scores and grade equivalents for	
	interpretation of data	
	Understand the purposes of different kinds of	
	assessments (screening, progress monitoring,	
	diagnostic and outcome)	
	Understand the legal and ethical issues in the fair and	
	meaningful evaluation of students in the classroom,	
	including confidentiality, informed consent, privacy, and	
	bias	
	Identify independent, objective sources for reviews of	
	valid and reliable assessment tools	
	Select, administer and interpret reliable and valid	
	classroom screening measures to identify students at	
	risk for reading difficulty	
	Select reading texts appropriate for the identified	
	instructional outcomes (e.g., decodable text for building	
	word reading accuracy and fluency or literature for	
	vocabulary and comprehension)	



 Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
Select, administer, and interpret progress-monitoring assessments to evaluate students' progress toward an instructional goal and determine effectiveness of instruction / intervention and regularly articulate progress to students	
Analyze and incorporate the results of end-of-year achievement tests into a body of evidence for the development of instructional plans (e.g., ILPs)	
Translate technical concepts and terminology of assessments into concrete, clear, and culturally sensitive language for reporting to colleagues, students, and parents	





a) phonemic awareness b) concepts about print			
			c) systematic, explicit phonics
•	other word identification strategies		
	spelling instruction		
·	onemic / Phonological Awareness		
	viewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.		
	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes	
	Identify and pronounce the speech sounds of English		
	Know a research-based scope and sequence for		
	phonemic and phonological awareness instruction		
	based on the developmental progression of skills -		
	rhyme, syllables, onset-rime, phoneme differentiation		
	Apply systematic, explicit techniques for teaching		
	phonological awareness: speech sound identification,		
	matching, blending, and segmenting		
	Know the predictive value of phonemic awareness in		
	early reading development		
	Select, use and interpret assessments of		
	phonological and phonemic awareness and use them		
	to screen for reading difficulties, monitor progress, and		
	make instructional decisions		



Phonics and Word Decoding		
Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.		
V	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
	Recognize the development of print concepts in	
	young children: print conveys meaning, printed words	
	are composed of letters, print is read from left to right	
	and top to bottom, spoken words match printed words	
	Know the predictive value of letter naming fluency in	
	early reading development	
	Know a research-based scope and sequence,	
	progressing from easy to more difficult, for teaching the	
	alphabetic principle, phonics (phoneme-grapheme	
	associations) and orthographic patterns	
	Use a systematic, explicit approach to teach phonics	
	and word analysis in decoding	
	Apply techniques for teaching automatic recognition of	
	common phonetically irregular (exception) words in	
	English	
	Understand the role of the various syllable structures	
	(open, closed, silent-e, vowel team, consonant-le, r-	
	controlled) and morphemes (prefixes, roots, suffixes)	
	in fluent recognition of multisyllable words	
	Understand the importance of the use of decodable	
	and controlled text to reinforce word decoding	
	recognition skills that have been taught	
	Select, use and interpret phonics surveys, writing	
	samples, and word identification assessments to	
	measure alphabetic knowledge and word decoding skills and use them to screen for reading difficulties, monitor	
	progress, and make instructional decisions	
	progress, and make instructional decisions	



Sp	Spelling				
Re	Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.				
	✓ Teacher Candidates will: Reviewer Notes				
	Use a systematic, explicit approach to teach				
	orthographic and morphological patterns in spelling				
	Understand the reciprocal relationship between				
	learning orthographic patterns for reading (decoding)				
	and spelling (encoding)				
	Select, use and interpret diagnostic spelling				
	inventories (e.g., differences between phonetic and				
	lexical spelling patterns) and use them to screen for				
	spelling difficulties, monitor progress, and make				
	instructional decisions				



5.01.3 Develop reading comprehension and promotion of independent reading including:		
a) comprehension strategies for a variety of genre		
b) literary response and analysis		
c) content area literacy		
d) student independent reading		
Reading Comprehension		
Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading	g.	
√ Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes	
Understand the relationships among listening		
comprehension, language comprehension and		
reading comprehension and how they change as		
reading skill develops		
Understand the knowledge and processes used in		
reading comprehension: decoding, word naming		
speed, inference-making, comprehension monitoring,		
grammatical awareness, background and prior		
knowledge, word meaning knowledge		
Know the factors that influence reading		
comprehension – the reader, the text, the reading task, the environmental context and the interactions among		
them		
Explicitly teach the conventions and text structures		
associated with a variety of genre including literary texts		
(poems, plays, narrative stories and novels) and		
expository texts (textbooks, electronic texts, essays,		
technical reports)		
Explicitly teach the differences between and strategies		
for analysis of components of literary texts (e.g.,		
theme, narrator's point of view) and expository texts		
(e.g., author's purpose, position or stance on a subject)		





 Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
Explicitly teach research-based text comprehension strategies (e.g., metacognitive monitoring strategies, graphic and semantic organizers, answering questions, generating questions, story structure, summarizing) to be used before, during and after reading Scaffold discussions by asking questions that increase engagement in literary response and analysis , expand student thinking and support the affective	
dimensions of reading comprehension Select, use and interpret formal and informal assessments of student reading comprehension and use them to screen for reading difficulties, monitor progress, and make instructional decisions	
Use assessment data to plan interventions to foster reading comprehension using research-based programs and practices	
Know the interrelationship of reading comprehension , fluent decoding skills, background knowledge and vocabulary knowledge	





Flι	iency			
Re	viewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned read	ding.		
N	Teacher Candidates will: Identify expectations / norms for fluency as reading skill develops	Reviewer Notes		
	Identify factors that may impact fluency (e.g. word reading skill, vocabulary knowledge, text difficulty, background knowledge, reason for reading, type of text)			
	Identify and apply explicit and implicit oral passage reading techniques for increasing reading fluency (e.g., increasing time spent reading at independent level; alternate oral reading; timed repeated readings; simultaneous oral reading; and timed speed drills)			
	Select, use and interpret assessments of reading fluency and use them to screen for reading difficulties, monitor progress and make instructional decisions targeted for improved student outcomes			



Co	ontent Area Literacy and Independent Reading			
Re	viewer: Please use this space to list text and assigne	d reading.		
N	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes		
	Know the importance of supported reading practice			
	in increasing reading fluency and comprehension			
	Select and apply text comprehension strategies for			
	literacy in content areas			
	Know strategies to develop parent-school and			
	school-community support to promote independent			
	reading practice			
	Collaborate with school-based teams to identify,			
	evaluate and select classroom materials that support			
	content area reading			
	Select appropriate independent reading materials to			
	match student reading performance			



5.01.4 Support reading through oral and written language devel	opment including:
a) development of oral English proficiency in students	
b) development of sound writing practices in students including	
language usage, punctuation, capitalization, sentence struct	ure & spelling
c) relationships among reading, writing, and oral language	
d) vocabulary development	
e) the structure of standard English	
Oral Language	
Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.	
√ Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
Understand how oral language develops and the	
relationship between oral language proficiency and	
reading, spelling and writing proficiency	
Know the organization of language: phonology,	
orthography, morphology, syntax, semantic networks,	
and discourse structure	
Discern the differences between	
informal/conversational language and	
formal/literate/academic language that can be	
problematic in reading and writing	
Understand the historical evolution of the English	
language and alphabetic writing system	
Understand the impact of background knowledge, language differences, difficulties, and disorders on	
literacy acquisition	





Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
Know the scientific research on how oral and written	
vocabulary develops in first and second language learners	
Understand the role of morphology in written	
English including Anglo-Saxon, Latin, and Greek-	
derived morphemes	
Understand and apply morphological and	
etymological similarities and differences in languages	
in teaching first and second language learners	
Recognize the aspects of learning word meanings,	
including multiple meanings and uses of words,	
idiomatic expressions, the limitations of dictionary	
definitions, demands of categorical and hierarchical	
reasoning, or insufficient examples of contextual use	
Identify research-supported approaches to selecting	
words for in-depth vocabulary instruction	
Identify and use direct and indirect techniques for	
vocabulary instruction in the classroom	





Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes
Understand the reciprocity between foundational	
writing skills (handwriting, spelling, knowledge of	
conventions, fluent transcription, verbal ideation,	
grammar) and higher order thinking skills in	
developing high quality written composition	
Understand the writing process – planning,	
composing, revising and editing of written products	
Explicitly teach and encourage the use of formal	
language patterns (correct grammar and forms) and	
academic vocabulary in student writing	
Analyze children's writing samples for phonological,	
orthographic, syntactic, and semantic patterns of use	
and plan instruction based on findings	
Teach basic mechanics of writing (capitalization,	
punctuation, handwriting)	
Teach sentence structure (avoiding sentence	
fragments, using varied sentence lengths)	
Teach organization and paragraphing	
Develop students' clarity, descriptiveness and	
elaboration when writing	

Re	eading and Writing Standards		
Reviewer: Please use this space to list text and assigned reading.			
1	Teacher Candidates will:	Reviewer Notes	
	Know the Colorado Model Content Standards and Benchmarks for Reading and Writing		
	Know the Colorado Basic Literacy Act (CBLA) Reading Proficiencies for Kindergarten, 1 st , 2 nd , and 3 rd grades		
	Assess CBLA proficiencies and use assessment data to develop an Individual Literacy Plan (ILP)		
	Use CSAP assessment frameworks in reading and writing including assessment objectives for each grade level to develop curriculum that will support reading and writing achievement		
	Know the progression of underlying skills (phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary, language comprehension, spelling and writing skills) necessary to demonstrate proficiency on the reading and writing CSAP tests		
	Identify and analyze examples of unsatisfactory, partially proficient, proficient, and advanced student work at various grade levels and the implication for instruction		
	When students are not proficient on CSAP, select, use and interpret assessments of underlying reading skills and use data to develop a plan for intervention		