

Water Resources

Review Committee

Report to the

COLORADO

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Colorado Legislative Council Research Publication No. 531 November 2004

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR 2005

WATER RESOURCES REVIEW COMMITTEE

Report to the Colorado General Assembly

Research Publication No. 531 November 2004

COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE Rep. Lola Spradley, Chairman

Sen. John Andrews, Vice Chairman

Sen. Joan Fitz-Gerald Sen. Mark Hillman Rep. Keith King Rep. Andrew Romanoff

STAFF

Kirk Mlinek, Director Daniel Chapman, Assistant Director, Administration Deborah Godshall, Assistant Director, Research



LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

ROOM 029 STATE CAPITOL DENVER, COLORADO 80203-1784 E-mail: lcs.ga@state.co.us

303-866-3521

FAX: 303-866-3855

TDD: 303-866-3472

November 2004

To Members of the Sixty-fifth General Assembly:

Submitted herewith is the final report of the Water Resources Review Committee. This committee was created pursuant to Article 98 of Title 37, Colorado Revised Statutes. The purpose of the committee is to oversee the conservation, use, development, and financing of Colorado's water resources.

At its meeting on October 15, 2004, the Legislative Council reviewed the report of this committee. A motion to forward this report and the bills herein for consideration in the 2005 session was approved.

/s/

Respectfully submitted,

Representative Lola Spradley Chairman COMMITTEE
Sen. Norma Anderson
Sen. Ken Arnold
Sen. Ken Chlouber
Sen. Ken Gordon
Sen. Ron Tupa
Sen. Sue Windels
Rep. Bill Cadman
Rep. Rob Fairbank
Rep. Alice Madden
Rep. Lois Tochtrop
Rep. Val Vigil

Rep. Al White

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS v
RECOMMENDED BILLS AND FISCAL NOTES vii
MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ix
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Committee Charge Committee Activities Committee Recommendations xi
STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND RESPONSIBILITIES
COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES 3 Drought Update 3 Oversight of State Water Agencies 4 Recreational Uses of Water 5 Water Quality Issues 6
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS Bill A — Authorization for Certain Special Water Districts to Provide Park and Recreation Services in Connection with a Reservoir Bill B — Certain Water Project Revolving Fund Eligibility Lists
RESOURCE MATERIALS11Meeting Summaries11Committee Tours11Memoranda and Reports11
ADDENITY A

http://www.state.co.us/gov_dir/leg_dir/lcsstaff/2004/04interim.htm

RECOMMENDED BILLS AND FISCAL NOTES

	PAGE
Bill A	Concerning Authorization for Certain Special Water Districts to Provide Park and Recreation Services in Connection with a Reservoir Fiscal Note
Bill B	Concerning Certain Water Project Revolving Fund Eligibility Lists 17 Fiscal Note

WATER RESOURCES REVIEW COMMITTEE

Members of the Committee

Representative Diane Hoppe
Chairman
Representative Dorothy Butcher
Representative Ray Rose
Representative Al White

Senator Lewis Entz Vice Chairman Senator Jim Dyer Senator Jim Isgar Senator Abel Tapia Senator Jack Taylor

Legislative Council Staff

David Beaujon Research Associate II Elizabeth Burger Senior Research Assistant

Marie Garcia Staff Assistant

Office of Legislative Legal Services

Thomas Morris
Senior Staff Attorney

Executive Summary

Committee Charge

Pursuant to Section 37-98-102, C.R.S., the Water Resources Review Committee was created for the purposes of contributing to and monitoring the conservation, use, development, and financing of Colorado's water resources for the general welfare of the state. The committee is authorized to review and propose legislation in furtherance of its purposes. In conducting its review, the committee is required to consult with experts in the fields of water conservation, quality, use, finance, and development. The committee is authorized to meet up to six times in 2004, including up to two times outside of the interim period, and take up to two field trips in connection with its mandate.

Committee Activities

At its four meetings, the committee received briefings on a broad range of water policy issues from government officials, private water users, and other interested persons. The committee heard testimony from state officials and water users across the state that the impact of the multi-year drought continues to be felt. For example, reservoir levels remain below normal across Colorado, despite the wetter than usual summer. The state is also preparing policies in the event the drought continues and in-state water use must be curtailed to satisfy water delivery obligations to lower Colorado River Basin states. The committee received updates on two legislatively mandated water studies that are due December 1, 2004. The Statewide Water Supply Investigation (SWSI) is a two-year study by the Colorado Water Conservation Board to identify water supply needs and alternatives for each of the state's seven river basins. Senate Bill 03-276 replaced General Fund appropriations to the Division of Water Quality with cash funds and required the division to conduct a study of water quality permit fees.

Several state water policies were reviewed by the committee including water rights for recreational activities, known as recreational in-channel diversions (RICDs), and dam safety requirements. The committee heard testimony from owners of RICDs about the benefits of such rights and concerns from others about potential impacts from RICDs on traditional water uses. Amendments to the RICD law were considered but not recommended by the committee. In response to concerns expressed by some dam owners, the committee also reviewed the cost of dam spillway and construction requirements. The committee requested the State Engineer to review these requirements and report to the committee by March 1, 2005.

The committee took two tours and visited portions of five of the state's seven major river basins to learn about local water resources and supply challenges. In June, the committee went on a three-day tour of the South Platte River Basin. In August, the committee toured for four days in southwestern Colorado including the Colorado, Lower

Gunnison, Dolores, Animas, La Plata, San Juan, and Rio Grande River Basins. It also held a meeting in Durango to hear public testimony and discuss legislative proposals.

The committee drafted three letters. A letter to Region VIII of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency expressed opposition to its designation of a portion of the Dolores River as "impaired." Another letter requested the State Engineer to review the state's dam spillway and construction requirements to determine if such requirements impose unnecessary costs on dam owners. A third letter to Congress expressed support for the National Drought Preparedness Act (S. 1454, H.R. 2871).

Committee Recommendations

Bill A — Authorization for Certain Water Districts to Provide Park and Recreation Services in Connection with a Reservoir. Bill A authorizes a water district, or a water and sanitation district, to provide park and recreation services and improvements at a reservoir site if no other entity is currently providing these services. The bill prohibits other entities from providing services and improvements without the consent of the board of a water district or water and sanitation district once a district adopts a resolution to provide such services. A district is permitted to assess fees, rates, and charges to provide the services and improvements. The bill is assessed as having a conditional fiscal impact on local governments.

Bill B — Certain Water Project Revolving Fund Eligibility Lists. Pursuant to Senate Bill 04-238, additions and modifications to the water pollution control project eligibility list and the drinking water project eligibility list must be made by a bill enacted by the General Assembly. Bill B contains certain wastewater treatment system, storm water, nonpoint source pollution reduction, and drinking water projects as additions, modifications, or deletions to the water pollution control project eligibility list and the drinking water project eligibility list. The bill is assessed as having no fiscal impact.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Section 37-98-102, C.R.S., creates the Water Resources Review Committee. The committee is composed of five members from the House of Representatives and five members from the Senate. Up to six meetings, two of which may be held during the legislative session, and two field trips are authorized to meet the purposes of the statute.

The committee is charged with contributing to and monitoring the conservation, use, development, and financing of the water resources of Colorado for the general welfare of its inhabitants and reviewing and proposing water resources legislation. The committee is to meet with experts in the field of water conservation, quality, use, finance, and development in furthering its charge.

COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES

Drought

Although conditions improved over last year, many areas of the state continue to feel the effects of the multi-year drought. A wetter than usual summer brought relief to areas of the Front Range and the South Platte River basin, however, water storage in all areas of the state remains below average. Colorado receives approximately 80 percent of its water supply from snow melt. In March 2004, snowpack levels in many areas of the state were average or slightly below average, but by April, the statewide snowpack was only 65 percent of average. As of August 1, 2004, statewide reservoir storage was at 79 percent of average, 107 percent higher than last year at the same time. Although reservoir storage is below average in all seven river basins, all basins show increased storage from the same time last year.

The committee toured the South Platte, Colorado, Lower Gunnison, Rio Grande, Dolores, Animas, La Plata, and San Juan River basins and saw some of the impacts the drought was having on farmers, ranchers, and other water users. In the San Luis Valley, the committee met with water users to discuss the declining levels in the unconfined aquifer and receive an update on the creation of a new water district to manage ground water resources. The committee also met with water users in the La Plata River Basin who also are struggling with the effects of the drought and a lack of adequate water storage facilities.

State water officials testified that a continuation of the drought may impact the ability of Colorado to satisfy its water delivery obligations to lower Colorado River Basin states, such as California and Arizona. Such a situation may require the state to curtail some water use in Colorado to ensure that sufficient water remains in the Colorado River for downstream states. State water officials are currently working to determine how such a curtailment would occur and who would be affected.

Committee letter supporting federal drought legislation. The committee drafted a letter to leadership of the congressional House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees on Homeland Security urging the passage of the National Drought Preparedness Act. The National Drought Preparedness Act would establish the National Integrated Drought Information System, a drought monitoring and forecasting system. The legislation would also create a National Drought Council to coordinate federal drought assistance programs. The council would encourage drought preparedness planning, and as droughts emerge, would focus federal funding on the implementation of preparedness plans to minimize drought impacts. Both of these tools will help states anticipate and prepare for droughts. A copy of this letter can be found on page 41 of Appendix A.

Water policy, planning, and financing are conducted by several state agencies including the Division of Water Resources and the Colorado Water Conservation Board that are part of the Colorado Department of Natural Resources, and the Water Quality Control Division within the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment. The committee reviewed the activities of each agency and heard testimony on a variety of topics.

Division of Water Resources' dam safety requirements. The Division of Water Resources administers water rights, monitors stream flows, regulates well drilling and construction, and regulates dam safety. The State Engineer provided updates on a broad range of topics including compliance with water delivery obligations to downstream states, regulation of wells in the South Platte and Arkansas river basin, and regulation of wells that are exempt from administration. In response to comments received from across the state, the committee also reviewed the division's dam safety program. As part of this program, the State Engineer promulgates rules concerning the safe storage level of the reservoir dams in the state and approves plans and specifications for the construction and repair of dams. The committee heard concerns from dam owners and persons seeking to build water storage projects that current dam spillway requirements may be unnecessarily stringent and that the expense of complying with the regulations may be preventing the construction or expansion of water storage facilities.

The State Engineer explained that the purpose of the dam safety program is to prevent the loss of life and to protect property and the state's water supply. The dam spillway and construction requirements are based in part on the consequences of a particular dam's failure and the flood potential for its basin. Owners of some high hazard dams in the state have been ordered by the State Engineer to expand their dam spillways to withstand a larger flood than was originally anticipated by the dam's builder. Some existing dams have been ordered to expand their spillways to withstand as much as 75 percent of the probable maximum precipitation (PMP). PMP is the greatest amount of precipitation for a given duration that is physically possible over a particular location as determined by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Large new high hazard dams may be required to pass as much as 100 percent of the PMP.

Committee letter to State Engineer regarding dam safety. In response to the concerns expressed by dam owners throughout the state, the committee drafted a letter to the State Engineer requesting a review of current dam spillway and construction requirements. The letter requested that the State Engineer respond to the committee's concerns by March 1, 2005. A copy of the letter may be found on page 43 of Appendix A.

CWCB water supply study. The Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) is the state's primary water policy and planning agency. Its 15-member board is charged with conserving the state's waters to promote utilization and prevent floods. It also issues loans and small grants for water projects. Legislation enacted in 2003 authorized the CWCB to conduct the Statewide Water Supply Initiative (SWSI) that will be issued December 1, 2004. The study will assess water supply needs in each of the state's seven major river

basins and identify water supply options for meeting increased demand including new water storage and water conservation. The study's project coordinator provided preliminary results to the committee. For example, the study determined that the Arkansas River Basin is projected to need approximately 354,000 acre-feet (AF) for municipal and industrial (M and I) needs by 2030 and current gross demand for M and I in the basin is approximately 256,000 AF.

Recreational Uses of Water

Water rights for white water recreation. To attract kayakers, rafters, and other white water users to their community, local governments have constructed white water courses in many areas of the state. The committee heard testimony about the benefits and impacts of providing water rights for such projects. In 1992, the Colorado Supreme Court determined that Fort Collins could obtain a water right for its boat chute on the Cache La Poudre River. Other communities have also sought a water right for their white water projects including Aspen, Breckenridge, Eagle River Water and Sanitation District, Littleton, Pueblo, Steamboat Springs, and the Upper Gunnison River Water Conservancy District. In 2001, the General Assembly enacted SB 01-216 that allows local governments to obtain recreational water rights, called recreational in-channel diversions (RICDs) and requires the CWCB to review applications for such diversions and submit its findings to water court. A challenge to the Upper Gunnison River Water Conservancy District's RICD application from the CWCB is currently before the Colorado Supreme Court. The committee heard testimony about the need to amend the RICD law by limiting the types of white water projects that are eligible for RICDs and restricting such rights near Colorado's borders.

Management of recreation on reservoirs owned by water districts. Reservoirs provide some of the most heavily used recreational opportunities in the Front Range. The committee heard testimony from the Parker Water and Sanitation District that it is building a reservoir in Douglas County nearly half the size of Cherry Creek Reservoir. As a condition of its federal permit, the district is required to provide recreational opportunities. However, the district expressed concern that state law does not specifically authorize water and sanitation districts to operate recreational facilities. It also expressed concern about potential conflicts over the operation of the reservoir if a recreation district is formed that includes the reservoir within its district.

Recommendation. The committee recommends Bill A that authorizes water districts and water and sanitation districts to provide recreational services at a reservoir if no other entity is providing such services. The bill also prohibits other entities from providing services on such facilities without the consent of the board of a water district or water and sanitation district. A district is permitted to assess fees, rates, and charges to provide the services and improvements.

Water quality project financing. The committee heard testimony from the Colorado Water Resources and Power Development Authority (CWRPDA) regarding its programs to fund drinking water and water pollution control programs. The CWRPDA is an independent public entity created by the General Assembly in 1981 to finance water supply projects and later authorized to finance water quality and drinking water projects. The CWRPDA is authorized to issue revenue bonds that are the indebtedness of the authority and do not obligate the state or any political subdivision. The CWRPDA annually develops a list of drinking water and water pollution control projects to be funded from its revolving loan funds. Project eligibility lists for the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund are approved by the Water Quality Control Commission. The project eligibility lists for the Drinking Water Revolving Fund are approved by the State Board of Health. Both lists are subject to annual approval by the General Assembly. The committee received updates from CWRPDA concerning processes implemented by Senate Bill 04-238 which requires additions and modifications to the project eligibility lists be made by a bill enacted by the General Assembly, rather than a joint resolution.

Recommendation. The committee recommends Bill B, which contains modifications, additions, and deletions to the drinking water project eligibility list and the water pollution control project eligibility list. An additional bill that would have eliminated the requirement that the changes to the lists be made by a bill and substituted a requirement that the changes be made in a report presented to the Senate and House Agriculture and Natural Resources Committees was not recommended by the committee.

Study of water quality fees. The Water Quality Control Division is charged with enforcing state and federal water quality requirements. The General Assembly enacted Senate Bill 03-276 that increases fees for water quality permits to offset reductions in General Fund appropriations. The new fees are scheduled to end June 30, 2005. The act also requires the Water Quality Control Division to review the permit fees and submit a report to the General Assembly by December 1, 2004. The study is required to address a broad range of issues including beneficiaries of the water quality program, its financial supporters, program costs, and funding alternatives. The division identified upcoming federal requirements that will increase the division's work load and expressed concern about its ability to adequately protect water quality without additional resources. If unable to adequately protect water quality, the division explained that the state risks losing the authority to enforce clean water laws. Loss of state primacy could impact water rights, reduce permit flexibility, and limit enforcement discretion. The committee also heard testimony from water users concerned about the impact of losing state primacy.

Committee letter to EPA. Under the Federal Clean Water Act, Colorado is required to compile a list of waters that are impaired and not meeting the state's stream use classifications every two years. This list is subject to review and approval by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). A stream use classification is a formal designation that determines the level of water quality protection for a stream or lake. For example, a recreational use classification will have a higher level of protection than an

agricultural use classification. On July 26, 2004, the EPA added six Colorado water bodies to Colorado's list of impaired waters including the Dolores River from the base of McPhee Dam in southwestern Colorado. The committee drafted a letter to the EPA expressing opposition to adding the Dolores River to the list of impaired waters. The letter urged the federal agency to recognize the impact of the current drought on the ability of the Dolores Water Conservancy District to provide additional stream flows below McPhee Dam. It also urged the EPA to defer to the state the regulation of water quality and in-stream flows to protect the environment. A copy of the letter can be found on page 45 of Appendix A.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

As a result of the committee's activities, the following bills are recommended to the Colorado General Assembly.

Bill A — Concerning Authorization for Certain Water Districts to Provide Park and Recreation Services in Connection with a Reservoir

Bill A authorizes a water district, or a water and sanitation district, to provide park and recreation services and improvements at a reservoir site if no other entity is currently providing these services. The bill prohibits other entities from providing services and improvements without the consent of the board of a water district or water and sanitation district once a district adopts a resolution to provide such services. A district is permitted to assess fees, rates, and charges to provide the services and improvements. Because the bill authorizes the collection and expenditure of such revenues, the bill is assessed as having a conditional fiscal impact on local governments.

Bill B — Concerning Certain Water Project Revolving Fund Eligibility Lists

Pursuant to Senate Bill 04-238, additions and modifications to the water pollution control project eligibility list and the drinking water project eligibility list must be made by a bill enacted by the General Assembly. Bill B contains certain wastewater treatment system, stormwater, nonpoint source pollution reduction, and drinking water projects as additions, modifications, or deletions to the water pollution control project eligibility list and the drinking water project eligibility list. The bill is assessed as having no fiscal impact because it will not affect state revenues or expenditures.

RESOURCE MATERIALS

The resource materials listed below were provided to the committee or developed by Legislative Council staff during the course of the meetings. The summaries of meetings and attachments are available at the Division of Archives, 1313 Sherman Street, Denver, (303-866-2055). For a limited time, the meeting summaries and materials developed by Legislative Council Staff are available on our web site at:

http://www.state.co.us/gov_dir/leg_dir/lcsstaff/2004/04interim.htm.

Meeting Summaries	Topics Discussed	
August 18, 2004	Recreational in-channel diversions; regulation of private pump installers.	
August 31, 2004	Groundwater supplies; the Statewide Water Supply Initiative; drought; water supply challenges; dam construction requirements; recreational in-channel diversions.	
September 9, 2004	The Southern Delivery System; endangered species; dam construction requirements; Drinking Water and Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund projects; issues related to the Republican River; consideration of proposed legislation.	
October 1, 2004	Water quality; update on the implementation of SB 03-276; finalization of proposed legislation.	
Committee Tours	Areas Visited	
June 16-18, 2004	South Platte River Basin	
August 16-19, 2004		
	Colorado, Gunnison, Dolores, Animas, La Plata, Rio Grande, and San Juan River Basins.	
Memoranda and Reports	Grande, and San Juan River Basins.	
Memoranda and Reports July 20, 2004	Grande, and San Juan River Basins.	
	Grande, and San Juan River Basins.	

Committee Letters	Issue of Concern
September 9, 2004	Expressing opposition to the EPA's listing of certain state waters as impaired.
October 1, 2004	Requesting a review of the dam spillway and construction requirements by March 1, 2005.
October 1, 2004	Expressing support for the National Drought Preparedness Act (S. 1454, H.R. 2871).

Bill A

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Dyer

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Rose, Butcher and Hoppe

A BILL FOR AN ACT

CONCERNING AUTHORIZATION FOR CERTAIN SPECIAL WATER DISTRICTS

TO PROVIDE PARK AND RECREATION SERVICES IN CONNECTION

WITH A RESERVOIR.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted.)

Water Resources Review Committee. Allows a water district or a water and sanitation district to provide park and recreation improvements and services in connection with the construction, operation, and maintenance of a reservoir if no other entity is currently providing such improvements and services. Prohibits other entities from providing such services and improvements without the consent of the district's board once the board adopts a resolution to provide the services and improvements. Specifies the district's powers with regard to the services and improvements, and states that the provision of the services and improvements is not a material modification of the district's service plan.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

SECTION 1. 32-1-1006, Colorado Revised Statutes, is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION to read:

- 32-1-1006. Sanitation, water and sanitation, or water districts additional powers special provisions. (8) (a) A WATER DISTRICT OR A WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT MAY PROVIDE PARK AND RECREATION IMPROVEMENTS AND SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, OR MAINTENANCE OF A WATER RESERVOIR AND ADJACENT LAND IF SUCH IMPROVEMENTS AND SERVICES ARE NOT ALREADY BEING PROVIDED BY ANOTHER ENTITY WITH RESPECT TO THE RESERVOIR AND ADJACENT LAND.
- (b) ONCE THE BOARD OF A WATER DISTRICT OR A WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT ADOPTS A RESOLUTION TO PROVIDE IMPROVEMENTS AND SERVICES PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION (8), NO OTHER ENTITY MAY PROVIDE PARK AND RECREATION IMPROVEMENTS AND SERVICES WITH RESPECT TO THE RESERVOIR AND ADJACENT LAND WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE BOARD.
- (c) THE DISTRICT MAY EXERCISE ANY POWERS THAT A PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT HAS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROVISION OF PARK AND RECREATION IMPROVEMENTS AND SERVICES, INCLUDING IMPOSING RATES, FEES, AND CHARGES IN CONNECTION WITH THE IMPROVEMENTS AND SERVICES. THE DISTRICT MAY USE ANY DISTRICT REVENUES TO PROVIDE THE IMPROVEMENTS AND SERVICES. THE PROVISION OF IMPROVEMENTS AND SERVICES PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION (8) IS NOT A MATERIAL

MODIFICATION OF THE SERVICE PLAN OF THE DISTRICT.

SECTION 2. Applicability. This act shall apply to the provision of park and recreation improvements and services occurring on or after the effective date of this act.

SECTION 3. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.



Colorado Legislative Council Staff

LOCAL

CONDITIONAL FISCAL IMPACT

No State General Fund Impact

Drafting Number: LLS 05-0091

Date: October 26, 2004

Prime Sponsor(s):

Sen. Dyer

Bill Status: Water Resources Review Committee

Rep. Rose

Fiscal Analyst: Marc Carey (303-866-4102)

TITLE

CONCERNING AUTHORIZATION FOR CERTAIN SPECIAL WATER

DISTRICTS TO PROVIDE PARK AND RECREATION SERVICES IN

CONNECTION WITH A RESERVOIR.

Fiscal Impact Summary	FY 2005/2006	FY 2006/2007
State Revenues General Fund		
State Expenditures General Fund		
FTE Position Change	0.0 FTE	0.0 FTE
Other State Impact: None.		
Effective Date: Upon signature of the Governor.		
Appropriation Summary for FY 2005/2006:		
Local Government Impact: Water districts or wa	ater and sanitation districts that	impose fees or

charges in connection with improvements or services will see increased revenues and expenditures.

Summary of Legislation

This bill allows a water district or water and sanitation district to provide park and recreation improvements and services in connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of a reservoir if no other entity is currently providing such improvements and services. The bill prohibits other entities from providing such services and improvements without the consent of the district's board once the board adopts a resolution to provide the services and improvements. Finally, the bill specifies the district's powers with regard to the services and improvements, including the ability to impose fees or charges in connection with improvements and services, and states that the provision of the services and improvements is not a material modification of the district's service plan. This bill will take effect upon signature of the governor.

Bill A

Local Government Impact

Water districts or water and sanitation districts that impose fees or charges in connection with improvements or services will see increased revenues and expenditures. Since this will allow local districts to provide park and recreation services and the imposition of fees, the bill is assessed as having a conditional fiscal impact. Because of the uncertainty of local district actions, no estimate of the impact is provided at this time.

Departments Contacted

Local Affairs

Natural Resources

Public Health and Environment

Revenue

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Entz, Dyer, Isgar, Tapia, and Taylor

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Hoppe, Butcher, Rose, and White

A BILL FOR AN ACT

CONCERNING CERTAIN WATER PROJECT REVOLVING FUND ELIGIBILITY

Bill Summary

Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted.) Clarifies the definition of wastewater treatment system, stormwater, and nonpoint source pollution reduction projects as additions, modifications, or deletions to the water pollution control project eligibility list. Approves listed proposed drinking water projects as additions or modifications to or deletions from the drinking 'water pollution control project eligibility list". Approves listed proposed water project eligibility list. Repeals statutory requirements for the intended Water Resources Review Committee. use plans developed in connection with the 2 lists.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

SECTION 1. 37-95-103 (10.5), Colorado Revised Statutes, is amended, and the said 37-95-103 is further amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION, to read:

37-95-103. Definitions. As used in this article:

- revolving fund or its other bonding capabilities, as adopted and from time to time modified in accordance with section 37-95-107.6 (4). The list shall consist of a project or projects from the project priority list for federal funds adopted (10.5) "Project eligibility list" means the list of projects eligible for financial assistance from the authority through the water pollution control works as defined in section 212 of the clean water act and nonpoint source by the Colorado water quality control commission for publicly owned treatment management program projects pursuant to section 319 of the clean water act.
- MEANS THE LIST OF PROJECTS ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FROM THE ITS OTHER BONDING CAPABILITIES, AS ADOPTED AND FROM TIME TO TIME MODIFIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 37-95-107.6 (4). THE LIST SHALL COMMISSION FOR PUBLICLY OWNED TREATMENT WORKS AS DEFINED IN AUTHORITY THROUGH THE WATER POLLUTION CONTROL REVOLVING FUND OR CONSIST OF A PROJECT OR PROJECTS FROM THE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST FOR SECTION 212 OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT AND NONPOINT SOURCE MANAGEMENT (13.5) "WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PROJECT ELIGIBILITY LIST" FEDERAL FUNDS ADOPTED BY THE COLORADO WATER QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM PROJECTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 319 OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT.

SECTION 2. 37-95-107.6 (3) (a), (4), and (5), Colorado Revised Statutes, are amended to read: 37-95-107.6. Creation and administration of water pollution control revolving fund. (3) (a) The authority may make and contract to make

loans to governmental agencies in accordance with and subject to the provisions of this section to finance the cost of wastewater treatment system projects which THAT are on the WATER POLLUTION CONTROL project eligibility list established pursuant to subsection (4) of this section and any other projects authorized under the clean water act and which THAT the governmental agencies may lawfully undertake or acquire under state law, including, but not limited to, applicable provisions of the "Colorado Water Quality Control Act", article 8 of title 25, C.R.S., and for which the governmental agencies are authorized by law to borrow money. The loans may be made subject to such terms and conditions as the authority shall determine to be consistent with the purposes thereof. Each loan by the authority and the terms and conditions thereof shall be subject to financial analysis by the division of local government of the department of local affairs. Such financial analysis shall include an analysis of the capacity to repay a loan and the need for financial assistance. Each loan to a local governmental agency shall be evidenced by notes, bonds, or other obligations thereof issued to the authority. In the case of each governmental agency, notes and bonds to be issued to the authority by the local governmental agency shall be authorized and issued as provided by law for the issuance of notes and bonds by the governmental agency, may be sold at private sale to the authority at any price, whether or not less than par value, and shall be subject to redemption prior to maturity at such times and at such prices as the authority and governmental agency may agree. Each loan to a local governmental agency and the notes, bonds, or other obligations

thereby issued shall bear interest at such rate or rates per annum at or below market interest rate and shall be for such terms not to exceed twenty years after project completion as the authority and the governmental agency may agree.

- (4) (a) The initial WATER POLLUTION CONTROL project eligibility list shall consist of those projects ranked one through thirty on the construction grant project priority list for federal funds adopted by the water quality control commission effective January 30, 1988.
- (b) Additions or modifications to the WATER POLLUTION CONTROL project eligibility list that have been developed by the water quality control commission shall be submitted to the general assembly on or before January 15 of each year. The additions and modifications shall be in conformance with applicable provisions of the clean water act and state law. On or before April 1 of each year, the additions or modifications shall be approved by a joint resolution signed by the governor; except that the deadline for the governor's approval in 2004 shall be May 1, 2004. Effective January 1, 2005, and in succeeding years, the additions or modifications shall be approved by a bill enacted prior to April 1.
- (c) No funds may be expended from the water pollution control revolving fund or bonds issued by the authority pursuant to subsection (6) of this section for any wastewater treatment system project unless the wastewater treatment system project is on the WATER POLLUTION CONTROL project eligibility list approved by the general assembly or is an emergency project in accordance with paragraph (d) of this subsection (4). Financial assistance for

a project pursuant to this section may be provided regardless of the rank of such project on the eligibility list.

- (d) The Colorado water quality control commission may amend the WATER POLLUTION CONTROL project eligibility list at any time, in accordance with its regular procedures, to include wastewater treatment system projects that it determines and declares to be emergency projects needed to prevent or address threats to the public health or environment. No later than January 15 of each year, the authority shall provide to the general assembly a listing of all emergency projects for which moneys from the water pollution control revolving fund have been expended in the preceding calendar year.
- (5) The division of local government in the department of local affairs, the division of administration in the department of health, and the authority shall develop an intended use plan in compliance with the clean water act. The intended use plan shall include, but not be limited to, in addition to the material required by the clean water act, an enumeration of the bonds that the authority would need to issue, including the amounts thereof and the terms and conditions therefor, and a list of loans to be made to governmental agencies, including the terms and conditions thereof and the anticipated rate of interest per annum and repayment schedule therefor. A decision to proceed with the issuance of such bonds shall be entirely within the discretion of the authority:

SECTION 3. 37-95-107.8 (5), Colorado Revised Statutes, is amended to read:

37-95-107.8. Creation and administration of drinking water revolving fund. (5) (a) The division of local government in the department of local affairs, the division of administration in the department of public health and environment, and the authority shall develop an intended use plan that complies with the safe drinking water act. The intended use plan shall include: but is not limited to:

- (I) Any material that may be required by the safe drinking water act;
- (II) An enumeration of the bonds that the authority would need to issue, including the amounts, terms, and conditions thereof; and
- (III) A list of loans proposed to be made from the drinking water revolving fund, including the terms and conditions thereof and the anticipated rate of interest per annum and repayment schedule therefor.
- (b) A decision to proceed with the issuance of bonds under this subsection (5) shall be entirely within the discretion of the authority.

SECTION 4. The following proposed drinking water projects are hereby included as additions or modifications to the Drinking Water Project Eligibility List as defined in sections 37-95-103 (4.8) and 37-95-107.8, Colorado Revised Statutes, as projects eligible for financial assistance from the Drinking Water Revolving Fund:

Bill B

ADDITIONS OR MODIFICATIONS TO THE DRINKING WATER PROJECT ELIGIBILITY LIST

		District	transmission, storage
ENTITY	DESCRIPTION		· -
Akron, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant	Cascade No. 1 Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution
Alamosa, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution	Castle Pines Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, transmission, distribution
Alma, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission	Center, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, storage
Basalt, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution,	Central City, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution
Baseline Water District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission	Clearwater Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission, storage, supply
Berthoud, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, storage, supply	Clifton Water District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, supply
Bethune, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission, storage,	Coal Creek, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant
Boone, Town of	Supply Water management facilities including, but not	Colorado City Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, transmission, distribution
Doone, Town Of	limited to, treatment plant	Columbine Lake Water	Water management facilities including, but not
Bristol Water & Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, storage	District	limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, transmission, distribution
	transmission, storage		

Buffalo Creek Water

District

Water management facilities including, but not

limited to, treatment plant, distribution,

	Copper Mountain Consolidated Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission, supply
	Cortez, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant
	Creede, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, storage
	Crested Butte South Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, storage, treatment plant, supply, distribution, transmission, consolidation
	Cripple Creek, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, transmission, distribution, storage, supply
21 –	Dacono, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission
	Delta County	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation
	Dillon, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, storage, distribution, transmission
	Divide MPC Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission, supply
Bill B	Douglas County	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, consolidation, storage, distribution, transmission

Durango West Metropolitan District #2	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, distribution, transmission, supply
Eagle River Water and Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution, storage, supply
Eaton, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant
Eastlake Water and Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, distribution, transmission, supply, storage
Eckley, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution
Empire, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, transmission, distribution, storage
Fairplay, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, transmission, distribution
Forest Lakes Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, storage, distribution, transmission, supply

Forest View Acres Water District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment, transmission, distribution, storage, supply	Glenwood Springs, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, storage, supply
Fort Collins, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution	Green Mountain Water & Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission
Fort Garland Water and Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission, supply, meters	Gunnison County	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, transmission, distribution, storage
Fort Lupton, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, storage	Haxtun, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission
Fowler, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, distribution, transmission, supply	Hillrose, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution, storage, supply
Fowler, Town of / Westcamp Unincorporated Area	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, distribution, transmission, supply	Hoover Hill Water and Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, transmission, distribution, meters
Fraser, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission,	Hotchkiss, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission
Genesee Water and Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution,	Idaho Springs, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission
Georgetown, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation,	Julesburg, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, supply
	storage, supply, distribution, transmission	Keenesburg, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution, storage

-22 -

Ophir, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, storage, supply, distribution, transmission	Poncha Springs, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, transmission, distribution, storage
Orchard City, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, storage, distribution, transmission, supply	Powderhorn Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution, storage
Ordway, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, transmission, distribution, supply	Pritchett, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, storage
Ovid, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, storage	PV Water & Sanitation Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation. transmission, distribution, storage, supply
Pagosa Area Water and Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, storage, supply, transmission, distribution	Rangely, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission, treatment plant, storage
Palmer Lake, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission, storage	Raymer, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant
Parkville Water District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution, supply, storage	Red Cliff, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission
Perry Park Water and Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, supply, storage	Resource Colorado Water & Sanitation Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, transmission, distribution, storage, supply
Pine Drive Water District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, storage	Rico, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, storage, supply
Platteville, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, supply, storage	Rifle, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution, supply, storage

	Romeo, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution	South Fork Water and Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, storage, consolidation, distribution, transmission
	Round Mountain Water and Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, storage	Springfield, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, supply
	Routt County / Hahn's Peak	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment, consolidation, supply	Steamboat Lakes Water and Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, supply, distribution, transmission, storage, meters
	Roxborough Park Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, distribution, transmission, storage	Sterling, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, supply, distribution, transmission
	Rye, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, storage	Stonegate Village Metropolitan District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission, supply
)	Saguache, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, storage	Stratton, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, storage
•	San Luis Water and Sanitation District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, supply, meters	& Sanitation District Thunderbird Water and	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, supply Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation,
	Seibert, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, distribution, transmission, supply	Sanitation District	supply, distribution, transmission
	Silt, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, distribution, transmission, storage	Trinidad, City of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, distribution, transmission, storage
	Cilva Divers Town of		Turkey Canyon Ranch Water District	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant
! !	Silver Plume, Town of	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, transmission, distribution, storage, meters	Upper Eagle Regional Water Authority	Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, transmission, distribution, storage, supply
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

- 25 -

Victor, City of

Vilas, Town of

Walsenburg, City of

Watkins, Town of

Water management facilities including, but not

limited to, treatment, consolidation

limited to, distribution, transmission

limited to, treatment plant

Wray, City of

Water management facilities including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, transmission, distribution

SECTION 5. The following proposed drinking water projects are hereby deleted from the drinking water project eligibility list as defined in sections 37-95-103 (4.8) and 37-95-107.8, Colorado Revised Statutes, as projects eligible for financial assistance from the Colorado water resources and power development authority from the drinking water revolving fund or otherwise. Deletions due to completed projects, projects being funded by other resources, projects becoming ineligible for drinking water revolving fund assistance, name or project change, or facilities in compliance include the following:

DELETIONS FROM THE DRINKING WATER PROJECT ELIGIBILITY LIST

ENTITY	<u>STATUS</u>
Alamosa, City of	Project change
Alma, Town of	Project change
Battlement Mesa Metropolitan District	No project planned/ nonresponsive
Berthoud, Town of	Project change
Box Elder Water and Sanitation District	No project planned/ nonresponsive
Buffalo Creek Water District	Project change

Cheyenne Wells, Town of

Colorado Mountain College Spring Valley

Campus	nonresponsive
Crested Butte South Metropolitan District	Project change
Cripple Creek, Town of	Project change
Dillon, Town of	Project change
Dillon Valley Metropolitan District	No project planned/ nonresponsive
Durango West Metropolitan District #2	Project change
Eads, Town of	No project planned/ nonresponsive
Eagle River Water and Sanitation District	Project change
Eastlake Water and Sanitation District	Project change
Empire, Town of	Project change
Flagler, Town of	No project planned/ nonresponsive
Forest Lakes Metropolitan District	Project change
Forest View Acres Water District	Project change
Fort Garland Water and Sanitation District	Project change
Fowler, Town of	Project change
Fowler, Town of / Westcamp Unincorporated Area	Project change
Genesee Water and Sanitation District	Project change
	Crested Butte South Metropolitan District Cripple Creek, Town of Dillon, Town of Dillon Valley Metropolitan District Durango West Metropolitan District #2 Eads, Town of Eagle River Water and Sanitation District Eastlake Water and Sanitation District Empire, Town of Flagler, Town of Forest Lakes Metropolitan District Forest View Acres Water District Fort Garland Water and Sanitation District Fowler, Town of Fowler, Town of / Westcamp Unincorporated Area

No project planned/ nonresponsive

No project planned/

Georgetown, Town of	Project change
Glenwood Springs, City of	Project change
Grand County Water and Sanitation District No. 1	No project planned/ nonresponsive
Grand County / Moraine Park	Project change
Greeley, City of	No project planned/ nonresponsive
Grover, Town of	No project planned/ nonresponsive
Hillrose, Town of	Project change
Hoover Hill Water and Sanitation District	Project change
Hotchkiss, Town of	Project change
Idaho Springs, City of	Project change
Keenesburg, Town of	Project change
Ken Caryl West Ranch Water District	Project change
Kit Carson, Town of	Project change
Kremmling, Town of	Project change
La Jara, Town of	Project change
La Junta, City of	Project change
La Junta, City of / Eureka Water Co	Moved to Private Entity List
La Junta, City of / Homestead Improvement Assoc	Moved to Private Entity List

Rangely, Town of Project change	Red Cliff, Town of Project change	Rico, Town of Project change	Rifle, City of Project change	Round Mountain Water and Sanitation District Project change	Roxborough Park Metropolitan District Project change	Rye, Town of Project change	Saguache, Town of Project change	San Luis Water and Sanitation District Project change	Santa Fe Trails Ranch Metro District No project planned				Silver Plume, Town of Project change	Somerset Domestic Waterworks District Project change	South Fork Water and Sanitation District Project change	Steamboat Lakes Water and Sanitation District Project change	Sterling, City of Project change	Thunderbird Water and Sanitation District Project change	Trinidad, City of Project change	
Moved to Private Entity List	Mend to Deliver Head	inoved to Frivate Emity List	Project change	Project change	Project change	Project change	Project change	Project change	Project change	Project change	Project change	Project change	No project planned/	nonresponsive	Project change	Project change	Project change	Project change	Project change	
							Mesa Cortina Water and Sanitation District								Pagosa Area Water and Sanitation District			Perry Park Water and Sanitation District		

Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, stormwater, nonpoint source	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, nonpoint source	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interceptor Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited	to, interconnection, collection, interceptor, treatment plant	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor, interconnection	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited	to, treatment plant Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, collection, interceptor, reuse, stormwater
Basalt, Town of	Black Hawk, City of	Blanca, Town of Boulder County / Canyon Park Local Improvement District		Boulder County / El Dorado Springs Local Improvement District	Boulder, City of	Breckenridge Sanitation District	Brush, City of	Castle Rock, Town of
ict Project change Project change	o District Project change tion District Project change	SECTION 6. The following proposed water pollution control projects are hereby included as additions or modifications to the water pollution control project eligibility list as defined in sections 37-95-103 (13.5) and 37-95-107.6, Colorado Revised Statutes, as projects eligible for financial assistance from the		ADDITIONS OR MODIFICATIONS TO THE WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PROJECT ELIGIBILITY LIST	BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interceptor, treatment plant, stormwater, nonpoint source	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, stormwater, nonpoint source, treatment plant	r Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation, biosolids, collection, interceptors, reuse, stormwater
West Fort Collins Water District Williamsburg, Town of	Wilson Mesa / Telluride Metro District Winter Park Water and Sanitation District	SECTION 6. The following proposed water pollul are hereby included as additions or modifications to the wat project eligibility list as defined in sections 37-95-103 (13.2) Colorado Revised Stantes, as projects eligibile for financial	water pollution control revolving fund:	ADDITIONS O WATER I PROJEC	ENTITY	Alamosa, City of	Alma, Town of	Arapahoe County Waste Water Authority

Clearwater Metropolitan District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, interceptor, collection	Dillon, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interceptor, nonpoint source
Clifton Sanitation District #2	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, interconnection, collection, interceptor	Dolores, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection. interceptor, treatment plant, stormwater, nonpoint source
Cokedale, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor, nonpoint source	Durango, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, biosolids, treatment plant
Colorado City Metropolitan District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor	East Alamosa Water and Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interceptor
Copper Mtn. Consolidated Metropolitan District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor, biosolids	Eckley, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant
Custer County / Westcliffe	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, biosolids	Elizabeth, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor
Deer Trail, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor	Evans, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, nonpoint source, stormwater, biosolids, reuse
Del Norte, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, stormwater, treatment plant	Fairplay Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant
Denver SE Suburban Water and Sanitation District / Pinery	i Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor, biosolids, consolidation	Fairways Metropolitan District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant

	Federal Heights, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interceptor, stormwater	Grand Junction, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interconnection, interceptor, treatment plant, stormwater, combine sewer
	Fort Collins, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited		overflow
		to, treatment plant, biosolids, collection, interceptor, stormwater	Grand Lake, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to. stormwater, nonpoint source
	Fort Garland Water and	Wastewater treatment system		nonpoint source
	Sanitation District	improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor	Greeley, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to,
	Fort Lunton City of	Waterstandard		stormwater
	Fort Lupton, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited	Grover, Town of	Wastewater treatment system
		to, reuse, stormwater, treatment plant, collection, interceptor	Glover, Town or	improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant
<u></u>	Fountain Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, biosolids, collection, interceptor	Haxtun, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor
I		тегсеры	Hermosa Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system
	Fremont Sanitation District / Fremont County	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, connection, collection, interceptor		improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor, nonpoint source
	Gilpin County School District RE-1	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, stormwater, nonpoint source	Hoover Hill Water and Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, meters
	Glenwood Springs, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, consolidation, treatment plant, biosolids, nonpoint source, collection, interceptor, stormwater	Idaho Springs, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor, stormwater, nonpoint source, biosolids
Rill R	Golden, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interceptors, treatment plant, stormwater	Julesburg, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, consolidation, collection, interceptor

	Keenesburg, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, reuse, collection, interceptor	Meeker Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor
	Kremling Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, reuse, collection, interceptor	Mesa County	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, interconnection, treatment plant, collection, interceptor
	Lamar, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to,	Mesa Water and Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor
		treatment plant, collection, interceptor, nonpoint source, stormwater	Milliken, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to,
	Larkspur, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, consolidation, collection, interceptor		treatment plant, collection, interceptor, nonpoint source
!	Las Animas, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interceptor, treatment plant, nonpoint source	Monte Vista, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor, consolidation
	Lower South Platte Authority	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, consolidation	Morrison, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, biosolids, collection, interceptor
	Mancos, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interceptor, treatment, stormwater, nonpoint source	Mt. Crested Butte Water and Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor
	Manitou Springs, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to,	Naturita, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor
	Mead, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor	Nunn, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, interconnection, collection, interces

Pagosa Area Water and Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor,		treatment plant, collection, interceptor, nonpoint source
	reuse, nonpoint source	San Juan River Village Metropolitan District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to,
Paonia, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to,		treatment plant, collection, interceptor
Dade County	treatment plant	Sedgwick, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to,
Park County	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interceptor, nonpoint source		treatment plant, collection, interceptor, stormwater, nonpoint source
		Seibert, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to,
Platteville, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to,	Silt, Town of	treatment plant, biosolids Wastewater treatment system
Pueblo, City of	treatment plant, stormwater Wastewater treatment system	Sint, Town of	improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor
:	improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor, stormwater	Silver Plume, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to,
PV Water and Sanitation	Wastewater treatment system		collection, interceptor
Metropolitan District	improvements including, but not limited to, consolidation, treatment plant, collection, interceptor	Silverthorne / Dillon Joint Sewer Authority	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interceptor
Resource Colorado Water and Sanitation Metropolitan District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, consolidation, treatment plant, collection, interceptor	Snyder Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant
Rico, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to,	South Englewood #1 Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, collection, interceptor
	treatment plant, collection, interceptor, interconnection, nonpoint source	St. Mary's Glacier Water and Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant
Rifle, City of	Wastewater treatment system		• .

improvements including, but not limited to,

t	Į	j
		:
	_	_

Thompson Crossing #1 Metropolitan District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor
Upper Monument Creek Regional Waste Water Treatment Facility	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, biosolids, reuse
Walden, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, biosolids
Westminster, City of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, nonpoint source, treatment plant, collection, interceptor, reuse
Will-O-Wisp Metropolitan District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor
Winter Park Water and Sanitation District	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant, collection, interceptor
Yampa, Town of	Wastewater treatment system improvements including, but not limited to, treatment plant

SECTION 7. The following proposed wastewater treatment system projects are hereby deleted from the water pollution control project eligibility list as defined in sections 37-95-103 (13.5) and 37-95-107.6 (4), Colorado Revised Statutes, as projects eligible for financial assistance from the Colorado water resources and power development authority from the water pollution control revolving fund or otherwise:

CONTROL PROJECT ELIGIBILITY LIST

ENTITY	<u>STATUS</u>
Alamosa, City of	Project change
Alma, Town of	Project change
Arapahoe County Waste Water Authority	Project change
Avondale Water and Sanitation District	No project planned / nonresponsive
Basalt, Town of	Project change
Boulder County / Canyon Parks	Entity name change
Boulder County / El Dorado Springs	Entity name change
Boulder, City of	Project change
Box Elder Water and Sanitation District	No project planned / nonresponsive
Castle Rock, Town of	Project change
Castle Rock, Town of	Project change
Clifton Sanitation District #2	Project change
Colorado City Metropolitan District	Project change
Copper Mtn. Consolidated Metropolitan District	Project change
Custer County / San Isabel	Project change
Deer Trail, Town of	Project change
Del Norte, Town of	Project change

Denver SE Suburban Water and Sanitation District / Pinery	Project change	Grand Junction, City of	Project change
•	rogeram. Legendaria	Grand Junction, City of	Project change
Dolores, Town of	Project change	Grand Junction, City of	Project change
Donala Water and Sanitation District	No project planned / nonresponsive	Grand Lake, Town of	Project change
Durango, City of	Project change	Grover, Town of	Project change
Eads, Town of	No project planned / nonresponsive	Haxtun, Town of	Project change
		Huerfano County / North Walsenburg	No project planned /
Elbert Water and Sanitation District	No project planned / nonresponsive		nonresponsive
n	•	Idaho Springs, City of	Project change
Evans, City of	Project change	Idaho Springs, Town of	Entity name change
Fleming, Town of	No project planned / nonresponsive	Keenesburg, Town of	Project change
Fort Collins, City of	Project change	Kremling Sanitation District	Project change
Fort Lupton, City of	Project change	Lafayette, City of	No project planned / nonresponsive
Fowler, Town of	No project planned / nonresponsive	Lamar, City of	Project change
Fraser Sanitation District	No project planned /	Lamar, City of	Project change
	nonresponsive	Larkspur, Town of	Project change
Gilcrest, Town of	No project planned / nonresponsive	Las Animas, City of	Project change
Gilpin County School District RE-1	Project change	Mancos, Town of	Project change
Glenwood Springs, City of	Project change	Marble, Town of	No project planned / nonresponsive
Golden, City of	Project change	Mesa County Public Works	Entity name change
Golden, City of	Project change		

Mesa Water and Sanitation District	Project change
Milliken, Town of	Project change
Monte Vista, City of	Project change
Morrison, Town of	Project change
Mt. Crested Butte Water and Sanitation District	Project change
Nunn, Town of	Project change
Orchard City, Town of	No project planned / nonresponsive
Pagosa Area Water and Sanitation District	Project change
Parachute, Town of	No project planned / nonresponsive
Platteville, Town of	Project change
Pueblo, City of	Project change
Rico, Town of	Project change
Rifle, City of	Project change
Salida, City of	No project planned / nonresponsive
Sedgwick, Town of	Project change
Seibert, Town of	Project change
Silt, Town of	Project change
Starkville, Town of	No project planned / nonresponsive

Strasburg Sanitation and Water District	No project planned / nonresponsive
Summit County	No project planned / nonresponsive
Swink, Town of	No project planned / nonresponsive
Triple Creek Sanitation District	No project planned / nonresponsive
Triview Metro District	No project planned / nonresponsive
Westminster, City of	Project change
Westminster, City of	Project change
Widefield Water and Sanitation District	No project planned / nonresponsive
Winter Park Water and Sanitation District	Project change

SECTION 8. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.



Colorado Legistative Council Staff

NO FISCAL IMPACT

Drafting Number:

LLS 05-0106

Date: October 26, 2004

Prime Sponsor(s):

Sen. Entz

Bill Status: Water Resources Review Committee

Rep. Hoppe

Fiscal Analyst: Marc Carey (303-866-4102)

TITLE:

CONCERNING CERTAIN WATER PROJECT REVOLVING FUND

ELIGIBILITY LISTS.

Summary of Assessment

Current law requires additions or modifications to the project eligibility lists developed by the Water Quality Control Commission (to be funded by the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund) and by the State Board of Health (to be funded by the Drinking Water Revolving Fund) to be approved, effective January 1, 2005, by a bill enacted prior to April 1 of each year. These funds are administered by the Colorado Water Resources and Power Development Authority.

This bill clarifies the definition of the project eligibility list developed by the commission to the "water pollution control project eligibility list". The bill approves listed proposed projects as additions, modifications, or deletions to the water pollution control project eligibility list and the drinking water project eligibility list. Finally, the bill repeals statutory requirements for the intended use plans developed in connection with the two lists. The bill will become effective upon signature of the Governor.

This bill will not impact state revenues or expenditures, and is therefore assessed as having no fiscal impact.

Departments Contacted

Colorado Water Resources and Power Development Authority Public Health and Environment Local Affairs

	Appendix A
·	

COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Rep. Lola Spradley, Chairman
Sen. John Andrews, Vice Chairman
Sen. Joan Fitz-Gerald
Sen. Mark Hillman
Rep. Keith King
Rep. Andrew Romanoff

STAFF
Kirk Milnek, Director
Daniel Chapman, Assistant Director,
Administration
Deborah Godshall, Assistant Director,
Research



LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

ROOM 029 STATE CAPITOL DENVER, COLORADO 80203-1784 E-mail: lcs.ga@state.co.us

303-866-3521

FAX: 303-866-3855

TDD: 303-866-3472

COMMITTEE

Sen: Norma Anderson

Sen. Ken Arnold

Sen. Ken Chlouber

Sen. Ken Gordon

Sen. Sue Windels Rep. Bill Cadman

Rep. Rob Fairbank

Rep. Alice Madden

Rep. Lois Tochtrop

Rep. Val Vigil

Rep. Al White

Sen. Ron Tupa

October 1, 2004

The Honorable Thad Cochran Chairman Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security SD-135 DSOB Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Robert C. Byrd Ranking Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security SD-135 DSOB Washington, DC 20510 The Honorable Harold Rogers
Chairman
House Appropriation Subcommittee
on Homeland Security
B-307 RHOB
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Martin Sabo
Ranking
House Appropriation Subcommittee
on Homeland Security
B-307 RHOB
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairman Cochran and Senator Byrd & Chairman Rogers and Representative Sabo;

The members of the Colorado Water Resources Review Committee urge you to consider legislation to mitigate the economic impacts of drought on states. Specifically, we ask you to include the provisions of the National Drought Preparedness Act (S. 1454, H.R. 2871) in the conference report accompanying H.R. 4567, the Homeland Security Appropriations Bill.

The Water Resources Review Committee is a legislative interim committee created by statute and charged with reviewing Colorado water issues and proposing legislation. In examining the water issues facing the state of Colorado, we have seen clearly the impact of the current five-year drought on farmers, ranchers, and communities across the state. Many areas of our state are still seeing below average reservoir storage and depleted streams and ground water resources. In short, the drought continues for the citizens of Colorado and many other parts of the West

The economic costs of drought to private entities as well as governments are staggering. You are currently considering a \$3 billion aid package that would provide relief

The Honorable Thad Cochran The Honorable Robert Byrd The Honorable Harold Rogers The Honorable Martin Sabo October 1, 2004 Page 2

for crop and livestock losses. In 2002, Congress passed a similar \$3.1 billion aid package. While appreciated, this emergency spending does not address other significant impacts of drought such as wildfires and the loss of low-cost power from hydroelectric dams. Billions of dollars are spent every year to address the problems caused by drought. However, there is no national policy to proactively plan for and mitigate the impacts of drought.

The National Drought Preparedness Act would establish a National Integrated Drought Information System (NIDIS), a vastly improved drought monitoring and forecasting system. Tools like the NIDIS can alert states to potential drought conditions thereby allowing water users to better anticipate and plan for drought.

The legislation would also create a National Drought Council to coordinate and integrate federal drought assistance programs. The Council would encourage drought preparedness planning, and as droughts emerge, would focus federal funding on the implementation of preparedness plans to minimize the drought's impacts.

The Water Resources Review Committee believes that the enactment of the Drought Preparedness Act will help Colorado and other states to better mitigate the costly effects of drought. We urge you to act on this very crucial bill. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Representative Diane Hoppe, Chairman,

Water Resources Review Committee

Diane Horse

Senator Lewis H. Entz, Vice-chairman, Water Resources Review Committee

Margar

In hope

COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Rep Lola Spradley, Chairman
Sen. John Andrews, Vice Chairman

Sen. Joan Fitz-Gerald Sen. Mark Hillman

Rep. Keith King Rep. Andrew Romanoff

STAFF Kirk Mlinek, Director Daniel Chapman, Assistant Director, Administration

Deborah Godshall, Assistant Director,
Research



LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

ROOM 029 STATE CAPITOL DENVER, COLORADO 80203-1784

E-mail: lcs.ga@state.co.us

303-866-3521 FAX: 303-866-3855

TDD: 303-866-3472

October 1, 2004

Mr. Hal Simpson, State Engineer
Division of Water Resources
Colorado Department of Natural Resources
Centennial Building, 8th Floor
1313 Sherman St.
Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Mr. Simpson:

The Water Resources Review Committee is writing to thank you for your August 31st briefing on the Division of Water Resources' dam construction and spillway requirements, and to express the committee's concerns about these policies. Specifically, we are concerned that the requirements may impose unnecessary costs on some water users and prevent or severely limit valuable water storage in Colorado. This letter identifies several issues brought to our attention during the committee's tours and at public meetings. The Water Resources Review Committee urges you to review your dam spillway and construction policies to ensure that water storage in Colorado remains safe and affordable.

As you know, the Division of Water Resources is requiring some large dams to pass 75 percent of the runoff from the probable maximum precipitation (PMP) as determined by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's hydro meteorological report. Complying with this order will be particularly burdensome to farmers and other rural water users. For example, a group of farmers in the Lower South Platte River Basin may need to spend as much as \$1 million to expand the spillway on their dam. Spillway construction requirements are also adding to the water supply challenges affecting the La Plata River Basin. As you know, users in this basin are struggling to find alternative water supplies after being excluded from the federal Animas - La Plata Project. Unfortunately, the basin has a very limited natural water supply and few water storage options. Preliminary studies indicate that two of the basin's preferred storage options may not be affordable due to dam spillway construction costs. The committee has also learned that at least three water storage projects in the Upper Gunnison River Basin may not be built due in large part to dam spillway construction costs.

The Water Resources Review Committee recognizes the importance of Colorado's dam safety program. However, the committee is concerned that some dam spillway construction requirements

may impose substantial costs without significantly increasing safety. We urge you to review your dam spillway and construction requirements to ensure that public safety is protected without imposing unnecessary financial burdens on dam owners. We also urge you to work cooperatively with affected dam owners to identify cost effective methods for complying with necessary dam spillway and construction requirements.

The Water Resources Review Committee requests your comments by March 1, 2005, regarding this issue and any recommendations you may have to help ensure that water storage remains safe and affordable in Colorado.

Sincerely,

Representative Diane Moppe, Chairman

Water Resources Review Committee

Senator Lewis H. Entz, Vice-chairman, Water

Resources Review Committee

1 0 1

Edellogica

Kaymon Rose

James R. Syan

c: Jim Yahn, Manager, North Sterling Irrigation District
Karen Shirley, Manager, Upper Gunnison River Water Conservancy District
Brice Lee, President, La Plata Water Conservancy District
Rod Kuharich, Director, Colorado Water Conservation Board
Don Chapman, Riverside Irrigation District

COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Rep. Lola Spradley, Chairman

Sen. John Andrews, Vice Chairman

Sen. Joan Fitz-Gerald

Sen. Mark Hillman

Rep. Keith King

Rep. Andrew Romanoff

STAFF

Kirk Mlinek, Director Daniel Chapman, Assistant Director, Administration Deborah Godshall, Assistant Director,

Research



LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

ROOM 029 STATE CAPITOL DENVER, COLORADO 80203-1784

E-mail: lcs.ga@state.co.us

303-866-3521

FAX: 303-866-3855

TDD: 303-866-3472

September 9, 2004

Ms. Kathryn Hernandez TMDL Team (8EPR-EP) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region VIII 99918th Street, Suite 300 Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

Dear Ms. Hernandez:

The members of the Water Resources Review Committee are writing to express our concerns about Colorado's 2004 Clean Water Act 303 (d) List of the Dolores River Segment COSJDO04. We urge the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to retract its listing and defer to the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission's conclusion on this issue. The Water Resources Review Committee is a legislative interim committee created by statute and charged with reviewing water issues and proposing legislation.

As you are aware, under the Federal Clean Water Act's Section 303(d), states are to compile a list every two years of water bodies that are not attaining state numeric water quality standards or are otherwise "impaired" and not meeting the state adopted stream use classifications. Such list is subject to EPA review, approval, and amendment. EPA recently approved the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission's (Commission) 2004 list of such waters. However, the EPA disapproved six water bodies not added to the list after extensive public hearing and analysis by the Commission. The EPA has added those six to the list, but it is providing the public opportunity to comment on its decision to add them. Among the six is the Dolores River from the base of McPhee Dam, known as Dolores River segment 4. This is our segment of particular concern.

Segment 4 is listed for nonattainment of sediment, temperature and nutrients because it "is not fully meeting its aquatic life use." The EPA states in its July 26, 2004 letter to the Commission, the rationale for listing Segment 4:

"The quality of aquatic life in the Dolores River below McPhee Reservoir is dependent upon several factors, including the amount of flow released from the reservoir. The amount of flow affects the physical habitat and water quality in the River, thus having an effect on the fisheries. Biological data indicate that aquatic life use... is impaired, including during nonMs. Kathryn Hernandez September 9, 2004 Page 2

drought in-stream flow conditions. This is based on a significant drop in the trout populations."

The EPA relies upon a Bureau of Reclamation proposed action in 1996 to expand the McPhee storage pool to 36,500 acre-feet. This amount was recommended by Trout Unlimited and several resource managers as desirable to support the expected aquatic life use. The Bureau of Reclamation's goal is dependent upon its purchase of 7,200 AF, which has not occurred. There is no such firm agreement to provide 36,500 AF; it is a matter of discussion. The current firm agreement by the Dolores Water Conservancy District (District) and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation provides a storage nool of 29,300 acre-feet for aquatic life use. This storage pool is managed by a biological committee seeking to balance the needs of the fishery with down stream water rights and the needs of the agricultural irrigators paying for the construction of the reservoir. During dry years shortages in yield are shared, so the 29,300 pool can be less in dry years. This 36,500 AF benchmark is only a goal; it is not an adopted state standard for an in-stream flow to protect the trout. No state rulemaking process by the Commission or the Colorado Water Conservation Board (Board) has resulted in any adoption of such a standard. No public process has been conducted to define an "expected condition." We believe no "expected condition" concerning instream flows can be defined in Colorado except in accordance with Colorado law.

The Water Resources Review Committee objects to the EPA's use of the "303(d) "listing" process to circumvent both the Board's powers to appropriate instream flows for fish protection and the Commission's responsibility to define on a segment by segment basis the elements constituting attainment of an aquatic life use, such as temperature, sediment, and nutrients while seeking to accommodate limited stream flows. The Commission must determine the "expected condition" when stream flows are an issue.

The Water Resources Review Committee objects to use of the Bureau of Reclamation's definition of an "expected condition" despite the Commission's conclusion that no "expected condition" could yet be determined; and for EPA to do so while there is no federal regulation defining how an "expected condition" is determined. The Commission's in depth consideration of this segment must be given great deference by EPA, particularly because of the implications to the exercise of valer rights.

By the Clean Water Act Section 101(g) "(I)t is the policy of Congress that the authority of each State to allocate quantities of water within its jurisdiction shall not be superseded, abrogated or otherwise impaired by this Act... Federal agencies shall cooperate with State and local agencies to develop comprehensive solutions to prevent, reduce and eliminate pollution in concert with programs for managing water resources." We are very concerned that EPA and others will use this listing process to somehow require more flows—regardless of the length of the drought—for the benefit of a fishery that was dry before the dam was constructed. Again, we urge EPA to retract its listing and defer to the Commission's conclusions.

Ms. Kathryn Hernandez September 9, 2004 Page 3

Sincerely,

Representative Diane Hoppe, Chairman, Water Resources Review Committee

Senator Lewis H. Entz, Vice-chairman, Water Resources Review Committee

Raymond Ross

c: Paul Frohardt, Water Qaulity Control Commission Philip Saletta, Dolores Water Conservancy District