

Report to the Colorado General Assembly

# SALES RATIO STUDY

Part Two



COLORADO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

RESEARCH PUBLICATION NO. 58

DECEMBER, 1961

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

OF THE

COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Senators

James E. Donnelly, Chairman  
Charles E. Bennett  
L. T. Skiffington  
Floyd Oliver  
Ranger Rogers  
Robert L. Knous, Lt. Governor

Representatives

Guy Poe, Vice Chairman  
Ruth B. Clark  
John L. Kane  
C. P. Lamb  
Albert J. Tomsic  
M. R. Douglass  
Elmer A. Johnson

Lyle C. Kyle, Director

\* \* \* \* \*

The Legislative Council, which is composed of five Senators, six Representatives, and the presiding officers of the two houses, serves as a continuing research agency for the legislature through the maintenance of a trained staff. Between sessions, research activities are concentrated on the study of relatively broad problems formally proposed by legislators, and the publication and distribution of factual reports to aid in their solution.

During the sessions, the emphasis is on supplying legislators, on individual request, with personal memoranda, providing them with information needed to handle their own legislative problems. Reports and memoranda give pertinent data in the form of facts, figures, arguments, and alternatives.



OFFICERS  
JAMES E. DONNELLY  
CHAIRMAN  
GUY POE  
VICE CHAIRMAN

STAFF  
LYLE C. KYLE  
DIRECTOR  
HARRY O. LAWSON  
SENIOR ANALYST  
PHILLIP E. JONES  
SENIOR ANALYST  
DAVID F. MORRISSEY  
RESEARCH ASSISTANT  
MYRAN H. SCHLECHTE  
RESEARCH ASSISTANT

MEMBERS  
LT. GOV. ROBERT L. KNOUS  
SEN. CHARLES E. BENNETT  
SEN. JAMES E. DONNELLY  
SEN. FLOYD OLIVER  
SEN. RANGER ROGERS  
SEN. L. T. SKIFFINGTON

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

ROOM 341, STATE CAPITOL  
DENVER 2, COLORADO  
ACOMA 2-9911 - EXTENSION 2285

SPEAKER ALBERT J. TOMSIC  
REP. RUTH B. CLARK  
REP. M. R. DOUGLASS  
REP. ELMER A. JOHNSON  
REP. JOHN L. KANE  
REP. C. P. LAMB  
REP. GUY POE

### LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

December 31, 1961

MEMBERS COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Dear Colleagues:

Transmitted herewith is Part II of the report on the sales ratio study conducted by the Legislative Council. This report presents detailed figures for each county by class of property for the periods July, 1959, through December, 1960, and July, 1957, through December, 1960.

This report has been prepared for the General Assembly pursuant to S.B. 35, passed in 1961 during the First Regular Session of the Forty-third General Assembly.

Cordially,

James E. Donnelly  
Chairman  
Colorado Legislative Council

## FOREWORD

Senate Bill 35 passed at the First Regular Session of the 43rd General Assembly directed the Legislative Council to report to the State Board of Education the urban sales ratio for the period beginning July 1, 1957, and ending December 31, 1960, for each county in the state and for the state as a whole.

This is the second part of a two-part report on the results of the sales ratio study for the periods July, 1959, through December, 1960, and July, 1957, through December, 1960. Part I, issued in September, 1961, describes the method used in arriving at the sales ratio figures and gives the county ratio figures, the rural and urban ratio figures for each county, and the state-wide ratio by class of property.

Part II of the report presents detailed data on the sales ratio study for each of the periods of 18 months and 3½ years. Included, for each county, are the number of conveyances in each property class, a frequency distribution showing the range of individual sales ratios, and the sales ratios by class of property, except in cases of inadequate data.

The Legislative Council wishes to thank the county assessors, the clerks and recorders, and other public officials, as well as many private citizens and organizations, who cooperated with the staff in gathering the information reported herein.

Lyle C. Kyle  
Director

December 31, 1961

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	i
FOREWORD	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
SUMMARY OF SALES RATIO DATA BY COUNTY	23
Adams County .....	23
Alamosa County .....	25
Arapahoe County .....	27
Archuleta County .....	29
Baca County .....	31
Bent County .....	33
Boulder County .....	35
Chaffee County .....	37
Cheyenne County .....	39
Clear Creek County .....	41
Conejos County .....	43
Costilla County .....	45
Crowley County .....	47
Custer County .....	49
Delta County .....	51
Denver County .....	53
Dolores County .....	55
Douglas County .....	57
Eagle County .....	59
Elbert County .....	61
El Paso County .....	63
Fremont County .....	65
Garfield County .....	67
Gilpin County .....	69
Grand County .....	71

Gunnison County .....	73
Hinsdale County .....	75
Huerfano County .....	77
Jackson County .....	79
Jefferson County .....	81
Kiowa County .....	83
Kit Carson County .....	85
Lake County .....	87
La Plata County .....	89
Larimer County .....	91
Las Animas County .....	93
Lincoln County .....	95
Logan County .....	97
Mesa County .....	99
Mineral County .....	101
Moffat County .....	103
Montezuma County .....	105
Montrose County .....	107
Morgan County .....	109
Otero County .....	111
Ouray County .....	113
Park County .....	115
Phillips County .....	117
Pitkin County .....	119
Prowers County .....	121
Pueblo County .....	123
Rio Blanco County .....	125
Rio Grande County .....	127
Routt County .....	129
Saguache County .....	131
San Juan County .....	133
San Miguel County .....	135
Sedgwick County .....	137
Summit County .....	139
Teller County .....	141
Washington County .....	143
Weld County .....	145
Yuma County .....	147

# THE COLORADO SALES RATIO STUDY

July, 1959, Through December, 1960  
and  
July, 1957, Through December, 1960

## Part Two

### Introduction

Part One of the Colorado Sales Ratio Report for the periods July, 1959, through December, 1960, and July, 1957, through December, 1960 sets forth (1) a brief statement concerning the methodology of the sales ratio study and (2) the results of the study both for the third period of 18 months and for the combined period of 3½ years.

The purpose of Part Two of the report is to present the sales ratio data for each of the two periods for each county in sufficient detail to provide so far as possible a basis for effective comparison of (1) one class or parcel of property with another in each county, (2) one county with another for each class of property, and (3) the situation within each county with that in the state as a whole. For the latter purpose a brief statement concerning the state-wide picture is needed.

Contrary to the plan followed during the first two years of the study, transfers of vacant urban land have been excluded from the computation of the ratios for the third period of 18 months, for the period of 3 years, and for the total period of 3½ years. Because significant differences were found to exist among the ratios for the several property classes distinguished, property transfers under conditions wherein changes of use and hence changes in classification were contemplated have been excluded from the study since its inception. The exclusion of vacant urban land is based upon the reasoning that many, perhaps the majority, of the transfers of such land, result in definite use changes. Because vacant urban land constitutes only 1.5 per cent of the total locally assessed real property on the tax rolls state-wide, this exclusion has small effect (only 0.2 of a percentage point) upon the state-wide average ratio for the entire period of the study to date.

The county-wide average ratios for the total period range from a low of 16.7 per cent for Gilpin County to a high of 36.1 per cent for Saguache County. The middle one-third of the counties (in terms of size of the ratio) have ratios which range from 22.5 per cent to 25.8 per cent; and forty-eight of the counties have ratios that are below the state-wide average of 27.3 per cent. Included among the fifteen counties having ratios above the general average are Larimer, Boulder, Prowers, Routt, and Denver.

There are eleven counties which have total period ratios that are 25 per cent (6.825 percentage points) or more below the state-wide average; and there is one county whose sales ratio is an equal amount above this average (Table I and Table II). The

combined 1957 assessed value of locally assessed real property in these twelve counties with sales ratios differing from the state-wide average by 25 per cent or more constituted only 3.6 per cent of the state-wide total assessed value for that year.

Table I

Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Real Property in Colorado by Counties Grouped According to Size of the 1957-1960 (Total Period) Sales Ratio and Expressed as Per Cent of the 1957 State-Wide Assessed Value<sup>a</sup>

<u>Sales Ratios Class (%)</u>	<u>Number of Counties</u>	<u>Proportion of Total Assessed Value</u>
Under 18.9	7	1.8%
18.9 and under 20.3	4	1.4
20.3 and under 21.7	8	3.1
21.7 and under 23.1	7	9.2
23.1 and under 24.5	4	6.3
24.5 and under 25.9	13	15.7
25.9 and under 27.3	5	14.7
27.3 and under 28.7	2	7.2
28.7 and under 30.1	4	2.1
30.1 and under 31.5	1	0.2
31.5 and under 32.9	5	37.2
32.9 and under 34.3	2	0.7
34.3 and over	1	0.4
	<u>63</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

A tolerance of five per cent of the state-wide ratio is regarded in some localities as a reasonable margin above and below the ratio within which no adjustments should be made in an equalization program. A range of this magnitude in Colorado for the total period data extends from 25.9 per cent to 28.7 per cent (1.4 percentage points above and below 27.3 per cent). Because such a tolerance is sometimes considered reasonable, it is of interest that 56 of the counties in Colorado have ratios for the total period which fall outside this range and that the total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls in these counties in 1957 constituted 78.1 per cent of the total assessed value state-wide in that year. If this tolerance were extended to 10 per cent of the state-wide ratio, there would still be 39 counties with ratios falling outside the indicated range and with a combined assessed value equivalent to 60.3 per cent of the state's total.

a. Exclusive of assessed value of vacant urban land.



In the state as a whole in 1957, one-family dwellings accounted for 45 per cent of the total assessed value of locally assessed real property; and one-family dwellings eight years old or less accounted for more than one-fifth of the state-wide total for all property classes combined. Other proportions of the state-wide total were: commercial buildings, 16.4 per cent; all urban properties combined (including vacant urban land) 73.7 per cent; agricultural properties (with and without improvements), 18.5 per cent; and total rural, 26.3 per cent (Table III).

Market activity among urban properties was relatively greater during each period of the study than it was among rural properties. This is indicated by the fact that the combined assessed value recorded on the usable certificates for urban properties as a proportion of total assessed value of urban properties on the tax rolls was larger than the corresponding proportion for rural properties.<sup>1</sup> The assessed value reported on the certificates for urban properties in the total period of the study to date was 20.0 per cent as large as the total assessed value of urban properties on the tax rolls in 1957, whereas the corresponding proportion for rural properties was only 7.8 per cent. Total assessed value of properties sold (urban and rural combined) was 16.8 per cent as large as the state-wide total assessed value for 1957.

As shown by an examination of the measures of variation or ranges within which the middle halves of the sales ratios fall, there is greater uniformity among the ratios for one-family dwellings one to eight years old than among those for any other class of property distinguished in the study (Table III). While sales ratios for commercial buildings are less uniform than those for other classes, urban properties as a group show somewhat greater uniformity in the assessment-sales relationship than do rural properties as a group.

1. When the data on number of certificates or assessed value reported on them are compared, one year with another, it should be recognized that there is some lack of comparability among them for some of the counties. During the early weeks of the first year's study the county assessors were instructed to report assessed value for 1956 rather than for 1957. When it was decided to base all sales ratios for the first year's study on 1957 assessed values, it was ruled that the effort required to secure the 1957 assessed values and make the changes on the certificates already submitted was not warranted in the case of a few of the large counties because the number of certificates that would be available without them would be adequate for determination of the sales ratios.

TABLE II

Sales Ratios and Measures of Variation by Counties of Colorado:  
 Total, Urban, and Rural for Each of Three Periods and for Combined Periods  
 With Counties Arranged in the Order of Size of the Sales Ratio in the Total Period, 1957-1960<sup>a</sup>

County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	Total County			Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread (pct. pts.)
<b>Gilpin</b>									
First Year ('57-'58)	41	14.6	2	20	20.8	10.0	21	13.6	9.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	71	17.0	2	15	15.1	12.1	56	17.5	13.5
Third Period ('59-'60)	104	16.2	1	25	17.3	21.0	79	16.0	8.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	112	17.1	1	35	19.3	11.0	77	16.6	11.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	159	17.0	1	34	20.4	16.2	125	16.4	9.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	200	16.7	1	44	18.2	18.5	156	16.4	9.5
<b>Minerale</b>									
First Year ('57-'58)	5	40.6	62	4	f	----	1	f	----
Second Year ('58-'59)	18	35.7	60	16	f	----	2	f	----
Third Period ('59-'60)	12	19.7	11	8	41.4	34.5	4	16.6	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	23	36.5	61	20	f	----	3	f	----
Three Years ('57-'60)	31	31.8	55	24	f	----	7	f	----
Total Period ('57-'60)	35	17.2	2	28	39.3	40.2	7	14.3	----
<b>Teller</b>									
First Year ('57-'58)	146	18.4	5	111	22.8	23.9	35	16.3	10.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	115	15.6	1	93	22.1	13.3	22	13.1	6.1
Third Period ('59-'60)	137	20.4	15	92	22.3	46.0	45	19.4	18.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	261	17.7	2	204	22.5	18.3	57	15.5	8.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	304	17.8	2	207	22.0	20.6	97	16.0	9.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	350	17.9	3	248	22.5	19.2	102	15.9	8.8
<b>Douglas</b>									
First Year ('57-'58)	81	16.3	3	42	22.6	16.0	39	14.9	9.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	95	20.5	14	38	28.1	9.3	57	18.8	10.3
Third Period ('59-'60)	142	25.7	38	31	26.0	5.3	111	25.6	9.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	176	18.3	3	30	25.9	12.7	96	16.7	10.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	259	18.3	3	31	26.3	11.9	178	16.8	10.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	297	18.4	4	30	26.3	10.5	207	16.9	9.6

Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period) <sup>b</sup>	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)
<b>Pitkin</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	57	20.7	11	6.4	48	19.5	7.5	9	21.8	5.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	119	17.4	3	10.2	86	18.2	8.0	33	16.7	12.0
Third Period ('59-'60)	97	18.7	7	6.8	66	20.0	8.9	31	17.7	3.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	176	18.3	4	9.8	134	18.8	8.9	42	17.9	10.7
Three Years ('57-'60)	197	18.5	4	9.2	126	19.7	8.8	71	17.6	9.5
Total Period ('57-'60)	225	18.6	5	9.2	152	19.9	8.9	73	17.6	9.5
<b>Yuma</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	104	18.2	4	10.2	61	25.1	22.0	43	16.8	7.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	126	19.3	8	14.6	81	25.3	37.8	45	18.0	9.7
Third Period ('59-'60)	119	18.4	6	5.9	92	28.7	8.6	27	16.7	5.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	230	18.5	6	11.3	142	24.7	21.3	88	17.3	9.2
Three Years ('57-'60)	281	18.9	6	10.2	171	26.9	17.4	110	17.4	8.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	322	18.6	6	9.5	207	26.7	15.8	115	17.1	8.2
<b>Jackson<sup>g</sup></b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	27	14.1	1	2.9	21	28.0	13.7	6	12.5	2.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	28	18.7	7	12.4	19	25.9	6.3	9	12.2	15.8
Third Period ('59-'60)	19	f	----	----	18	36.3	24.5	1	f	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	55	18.5	5	14.0	40	30.4	10.9	15	16.8	14.4
Three Years ('57-'60)	51	18.6	5	14.8	35	32.7	16.6	16	16.8	14.6
Total Period ('57-'60)	57	18.6	7	14.9	41	32.9	17.8	16	16.8	14.6
<b>Clear Creek</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	108	18.9	6	11.0	64	18.9	11.5	44	18.9	10.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	105	20.3	9	14.5	60	20.9	14.7	45	19.7	14.3
Third Period ('59-'60)	208	19.3	9	17.0	72	18.3	24.9	136	20.3	8.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	213	19.2	7	13.1	124	19.5	14.3	89	19.0	11.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	324	19.5	7	13.1	133	19.3	15.9	191	19.7	10.5
Total Period ('57-'60)	383	19.3	8	12.4	158	18.7	14.0	225	19.8	10.9
<b>Elbert</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	46	21.2	13	10.4	29	41.1	28.1	17	20.1	9.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	67	18.6	6	11.9	25	21.1	18.7	42	18.3	11.3
Third Period ('59-'60)	60	20.0	13	12.1	35	30.5	18.2	25	19.2	11.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	113	19.6	8	12.8	54	31.9	49.3	59	18.8	10.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	146	19.8	8	13.5	70	32.1	43.0	76	19.0	11.7
Total Period ('57-'60)	161	19.7	9	12.7	77	31.9	31.6	84	18.9	11.5

Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period) <sup>b</sup>	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales <sup>c</sup> Ratio	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)
<b>Gunnison</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	106	23.8	21	15.1	91	25.5	13.1	15	22.9	16.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	113	17.5	4	13.4	95	18.9	11.7	18	16.8	14.0
Third Period ('59-'60)	122	18.3	5	9.6	101	27.6	9.9	21	15.3	9.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	219	20.5	13	15.2	186	23.7	11.9	33	19.0	16.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	232	19.9	10	15.5	188	25.7	14.0	44	17.7	16.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	280	19.7	10	14.9	226	25.3	14.0	54	17.5	15.3
<b>Bacah</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	80	20.3	9	7.3	45	26.5	13.2	35	19.5	6.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	117	20.4	13	10.1	77	27.8	21.8	40	19.1	8.0
Third Period ('59-'60)	100	18.1	4	15.4	85	32.2	26.6	15	16.3	14.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	197	20.4	12	9.7	122	27.7	22.1	75	19.1	7.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	229	20.2	11	9.9	145	28.6	19.8	84	18.8	8.3
Total Period ('57-'60)	259	20.2	11	10.7	169	29.7	20.6	90	18.8	9.2
<b>Archuleta</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	30	25.2	28	9.7	24	30.4	24.3	6	24.0	8.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	38	18.0	5	25.4	27	24.2	20.2	11	16.9	25.9
Third Period ('59-'60)	42	22.0	23	5.8	22	23.9	14.8	20	21.6	4.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	68	19.8	9	18.8	51	26.7	18.5	17	18.5	18.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	64	19.9	9	14.6	43	25.6	20.0	21	18.9	-----
Total Period ('57-'60)	86	20.3	12	12.9	49	26.1	14.9	37	19.3	-----
<b>Phillips<sup>i</sup></b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	76	20.3	10	8.4	49	27.3	23.6	27	19.1	5.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	84	20.3	10	7.5	64	30.0	21.3	20	18.8	5.3
Third Period ('59-'60)	70	21.5	19	10.9	59	24.4	14.9	11	20.9	10.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	160	20.3	11	7.0	113	29.2	14.1	47	18.8	5.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	189	20.6	12	7.5	132	28.1	12.7	57	19.3	6.6
Total Period ('57-'60)	210	20.6	13	7.5	152	27.8	13.2	58	19.3	6.6
<b>Hinsdale<sup>e</sup></b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	10	25.5	32	16.5	9	f	-----	1	f	-----
Second Year ('58-'59)	13	22.0	24	13.6	12	f	-----	1	f	-----
Third Period ('59-'60)	17	19.9	12	12.8	16	20.1	12.8	1	f	-----
Two Years ('57-'59)	23	23.8	27	19.1	21	f	-----	2	f	-----
Three Years ('57-'60)	22	22.2	18	12.5	19	f	-----	3	f	-----
Total Period ('57-'60)	29	20.8	14	12.0	26	21.1	12.0	3	f	-----

Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period <sup>d</sup> )	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)
Washington										
First Year ('57-'58)	68	23.3	19	11.8	38	29.8	9.6	30	22.6	11.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	106	21.1	18	8.0	50	26.2	16.0	56	20.6	7.6
Third Period ('59-'60)	86	19.2	8	12.2	64	27.5	15.3	22	18.5	12.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	174	21.9	17	9.0	88	30.6	15.0	86	21.1	8.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	207	21.3	14	9.4	110	30.1	15.8	97	20.6	8.9
Total Period ('57-'60)	234	21.1	15	9.5	126	28.1	15.9	108	20.5	8.9
Ouray <sup>e</sup>										
First Year ('57-'58)	26	22.4	16	17.3	19	f	----	7	f	----
Second Year ('58-'59)	46	28.6	30	20.7	20	f	----	26	f	----
Third Period ('59-'60)	35	19.3	10	12.2	24	27.6	18.2	11	17.0	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	72	25.6	38	18.3	39	f	----	33	f	----
Three Years ('57-'60)	88	23.8	29	15.7	47	f	----	41	f	----
Total Period ('57-'60)	99	21.2	16	12.8	55	27.5	15.8	44	19.2	----
Huerfano										
First Year ('57-'58)	114	19.9	8	20.4	79	26.7	22.2	35	15.7	19.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	98	26.0	42	14.4	62	37.9	19.6	36	19.4	11.8
Third Period ('59-'60)	126	20.2	14	14.8	98	33.2	22.3	28	14.3	11.4
Two Years ('57-'59)	212	21.3	15	21.1	141	28.0	27.1	71	16.9	17.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	269	20.9	13	19.4	173	29.5	24.4	96	16.0	16.6
Total Period ('57-'60)	317	21.2	17	19.5	218	29.8	23.8	99	16.2	17.2
Kit Carson										
First Year ('57-'58)	101	24.1	24	13.2	51	35.8	25.7	50	21.5	10.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	145	20.3	11	8.1	100	31.6	15.0	45	17.9	7.0
Third Period ('59-'60)	123	16.9	2	9.9	105	30.3	21.7	18	14.6	7.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	246	22.4	18	10.6	151	35.9	20.6	95	19.7	8.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	276	21.3	15	11.0	172	31.3	22.1	104	19.1	9.2
Total Period ('57-'60)	324	21.3	18	11.4	211	33.7	21.5	113	18.7	9.3
Montezuma										
First Year ('57-'58)	174	21.2	12	12.7	134	23.5	16.3	40	19.6	10.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	136	22.0	23	14.2	87	26.8	17.3	49	19.2	12.4
Third Period ('59-'60)	165	21.6	20	14.1	127	27.9	13.6	38	18.3	14.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	310	21.5	16	13.3	221	25.2	16.3	89	19.3	11.4
Three Years ('57-'60)	362	21.8	17	12.4	246	27.0	14.9	116	18.9	11.0
Total Period ('57-'60)	425	21.6	19	13.2	298	26.2	15.6	127	19.0	11.9

Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)
<b>Sedgwick<sup>j</sup></b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	39	19.7	7	6.4	22	29.3	12.2	17	18.4	5.8
Second Year ('58-'59)	61	21.3	19	12.5	52	24.9	8.8	9	20.7	13.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	79	21.9	22	14.3	69	29.8	27.1	10	19.5	10.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	100	20.2	10	7.5	74	26.9	10.7	26	19.2	7.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	141	22.3	19	8.9	110	33.5	15.4	31	19.2	7.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	171	21.8	20	10.8	135	29.9	23.3	36	19.3	7.0
<b>Lake<sup>e,k</sup></b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	75	21.6	15	19.0	74	f	----	1	f	----
Second Year ('58-'59)	58	20.6	16	15.7	52	f	----	6	f	----
Third Period ('59-'60)	97	22.4	25	12.5	83	23.2	12.9	14	14.5	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	133	21.0	14	15.2	126	f	----	7	f	----
Three Years ('57-'60)	178	21.6	16	13.2	163	f	----	15	f	----
Total Period ('57-'60)	213	21.8	21	13.3	192	22.9	13.1	21	12.1	----
<b>Lincoln<sup>o</sup></b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	54	24.1	25	15.2	25	23.1	13.9	29	24.4	15.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	99	21.6	20	13.0	49	26.7	38.0	50	20.6	7.7
Third Period ('59-'60)	72	20.8	17	9.5	61	22.7	11.3	11	20.3	9.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	153	22.9	22	12.5	74	26.9	28.6	79	22.0	8.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	184	22.7	21	11.7	96	25.9	22.5	88	22.0	9.3
Total Period ('57-'60)	198	22.5	22	9.2	108	24.9	10.3	90	21.9	9.1
<b>Fremont</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	293	23.8	22	13.8	270	24.8	11.7	23	22.5	17.0
Second Year ('58-'59)	427	22.5	27	9.4	359	22.5	8.8	68	22.5	10.1
Third Period ('59-'60)	432	22.5	26	13.1	379	22.1	10.1	53	23.1	17.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	720	22.9	23	10.2	629	23.4	9.6	91	22.2	11.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	880	22.7	20	11.3	759	22.4	9.9	121	23.2	13.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	1,022	22.7	23	10.4	878	22.7	9.8	144	22.6	11.4
<b>La Plata</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	314	23.9	23	10.6	245	23.5	7.6	69	24.3	13.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	315	23.4	31	13.8	229	25.1	13.9	86	21.8	13.9
Third Period ('59-'60)	359	21.0	18	13.3	259	21.9	11.9	100	20.1	14.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	629	23.5	25	11.8	474	24.3	9.7	155	22.7	13.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	727	22.7	22	12.0	502	24.0	8.4	225	21.5	15.2
Total Period ('57-'60)	846	22.7	24	11.7	591	23.8	8.6	255	21.6	14.6

Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period) <sup>b</sup>	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)
<b>Custer</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	61	27.1	40	27.0	40	28.9	39.2	21	26.9	25.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	47	20.6	17	9.6	28	22.4	13.5	19	20.4	9.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	38	24.7	33	20.5	16	27.4	23.8	22	24.4	20.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	108	22.5	21	18.0	68	24.7	19.5	40	22.2	17.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	95	23.8	28	19.7	46	23.2	16.5	49	23.9	20.2
Total Period ('57-'60)	114	22.9	25	18.0	52	23.8	20.5	62	22.8	17.6
<b>El Paso</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,967	23.0	18	9.2	1,904	23.1	8.0	63	22.1	14.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	2,718	22.1	25	7.9	2,581	22.8	7.6	137	19.0	8.6
Third Period ('59-'60)	3,883	23.7	30	9.1	3,741	24.5	8.4	142	20.1	12.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	4,685	22.4	19	8.5	4,485	23.0	7.9	200	19.8	10.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	6,998	22.9	23	8.6	6,697	23.6	8.1	301	20.0	10.9
Total Period ('57-'60)	8,247	23.0	26	8.5	7,905	23.7	8.0	342	20.0	11.1
<b>Park</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	86	25.2	30	17.2	49	27.5	39.4	37	24.4	9.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	99	20.3	12	15.4	44	24.8	12.9	55	18.9	15.9
Third Period ('59-'60)	146	26.9	43	11.4	50	25.6	15.8	96	27.2	10.2
Two Years ('57-'59)	185	23.0	24	17.1	93	25.7	33.0	92	22.0	11.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	212	23.6	26	14.6	78	29.8	24.4	134	22.5	12.9
Total Period ('57-'60)	287	23.1	27	13.6	99	26.8	21.0	188	22.3	12.1
<b>Grand</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	106	22.8	17	11.6	71	25.3	17.1	35	20.9	7.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	113	22.2	26	12.4	66	25.5	17.3	47	19.8	9.1
Third Period ('59-'60)	142	27.2	45	12.4	70	26.7	13.6	72	27.6	11.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	219	22.4	20	11.4	137	25.3	15.7	82	20.4	8.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	258	23.5	25	12.1	124	26.7	15.1	134	21.2	10.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	308	23.3	28	12.6	154	26.3	16.1	154	21.2	10.2
<b>Pueblo</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,627	24.3	26	9.1	1,567	25.0	8.9	60	23.1	9.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,786	23.2	29	10.7	1,653	25.4	9.5	133	19.6	12.5
Third Period ('59-'60)	2,262	23.6	29	10.9	1,976	25.4	10.2	286	20.8	12.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	3,413	23.5	26	10.4	3,220	25.3	9.5	193	20.6	12.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	4,458	23.4	24	10.4	4,079	25.5	9.7	379	20.2	11.7
Total Period ('57-'60)	5,206	23.8	29	10.4	4,727	25.4	9.5	479	21.0	11.5

Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread (pct. pts.)
<b>Las Animas</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	155	26.0	34	15.7	126	35.9	19.7	29	21.3	13.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	166	23.9	33	25.0	127	32.2	25.2	39	19.8	25.0
Third Period ('59-'60)	135	21.6	21	40.3	106	30.4	25.2	29	17.7	47.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	321	24.3	31	25.1	253	33.1	25.7	68	20.1	24.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	385	23.7	27	26.0	301	32.3	27.4	84	19.7	25.4
Total Period ('57-'60)	436	23.8	30	26.7	339	32.4	27.9	97	19.8	26.2
<b>Rio Blanco</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	70	32.9	54	10.6	61	34.5	15.7	9	31.9	7.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	57	20.6	15	19.1	46	23.5	11.7	11	19.1	21.4
Third Period ('59-'60)	52	26.0	39	14.4	48	28.8	14.4	4	24.6	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	127	24.6	34	22.9	107	31.9	18.5	20	21.5	24.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	131	24.3	30	23.6	108	31.3	19.8	23	21.5	25.2
Total Period ('57-'60)	148	24.5	31	24.5	124	32.5	20.8	24	21.3	25.9
<b>Eagle</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	43	29.3	50	14.6	32	35.4	25.8	11	27.5	11.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	33	21.9	21	8.6	19	42.0	35.4	14	18.5	4.5
Third Period ('59-'60)	44	27.7	48	19.6	33	29.3	16.7	11	27.2	20.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	76	24.4	32	14.2	51	36.8	33.4	25	21.6	10.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	95	24.8	36	16.8	61	36.3	28.0	34	22.2	14.5
Total Period ('57-'60)	112	24.5	32	16.3	76	34.2	28.0	36	22.1	13.8
<b>Summit</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	37	21.6	14	18.5	29	28.8	41.3	8	20.6	15.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	44	23.2	30	26.0	29	28.7	23.4	15	22.4	26.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	39	27.7	47	23.4	25	28.3	32.1	14	27.6	22.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	81	24.2	30	27.4	58	29.5	30.3	23	23.4	27.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	83	24.5	31	25.8	51	28.3	35.4	32	23.9	25.0
Total Period ('57-'60)	97	24.5	33	25.3	60	29.8	29.6	37	23.7	24.7
<b>Cheyenne</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	20	26.1	35	11.7	10	45.3	18.6	10	24.4	11.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	55	24.1	34	10.5	24	35.1	28.9	31	22.9	9.3
Third Period ('59-'60)	40	20.7	16	12.6	32	44.3	28.2	8	19.1	11.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	75	24.6	33	13.6	34	36.6	24.3	41	23.3	12.7
Three Years ('57-'60)	81	24.8	34	13.7	34	42.5	20.3	47	23.3	13.2
Total Period ('57-'60)	100	24.6	34	14.1	51	41.8	23.4	49	23.1	13.2

10



Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. ptc.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)
<b>Dolores</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	30	23.7	20	14.6	19	34.0	14.1	11	21.6	14.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	51	22.8	28	12.2	35	23.7	11.1	16	22.6	12.4
Third Period ('59-'60)	26	22.1	24	-----	21	29.6	12.8	5	20.5	-----
Two Years ('57-'59)	81	24.1	29	14.6	54	31.2	10.1	27	22.5	15.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	82	24.7	32	15.2	52	31.8	11.5	30	23.1	16.0
Total Period ('57-'60)	94	24.7	35	14.3	62	31.8	11.5	32	23.1	14.9
<b>Moffat</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	96	26.6	37	12.4	84	26.6	16.0	12	26.5	6.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	143	25.7	41	19.0	104	28.6	19.0	39	23.1	19.0
Third Period ('59-'60)	100	23.3	28	14.1	90	23.7	11.0	10	23.0	18.4
Two Years ('57-'59)	239	25.8	41	14.6	188	27.4	13.0	51	24.3	16.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	224	24.9	37	13.9	166	26.7	10.5	58	23.1	16.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	258	24.7	36	14.8	197	26.4	9.8	61	23.1	19.4
<b>Montrose</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	224	24.9	27	13.8	169	27.0	15.3	55	23.2	12.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	234	25.4	38	14.6	170	28.0	17.4	64	23.5	12.6
Third Period ('59-'60)	240	24.3	32	16.1	160	27.7	25.8	80	22.0	9.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	458	25.2	35	14.2	339	27.5	15.9	119	23.5	12.7
Three Years ('57-'60)	520	24.8	35	12.9	346	27.8	15.6	174	22.7	11.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	597	24.7	37	13.2	398	27.5	15.9	199	22.7	11.2
<b>Logan</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	265	25.2	29	12.7	227	28.1	12.1	38	23.1	13.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	387	24.1	35	9.8	330	29.3	9.4	57	20.9	9.9
Third Period ('59-'60)	398	24.2	31	11.6	353	29.1	18.0	45	21.2	7.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	652	24.7	35	11.0	557	28.9	10.9	95	22.0	10.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	867	24.7	33	11.6	739	29.4	12.0	128	21.8	11.4
Total Period ('57-'60)	1,003	24.8	33	11.7	863	28.9	11.6	140	22.1	11.7
<b>Kiowa</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	50	28.5	46	14.0	18	27.0	27.0	32	28.9	12.8
Second Year ('58-'59)	67	23.7	32	11.4	25	31.6	14.1	42	22.3	11.1
Third Period ('59-'60)	37	18.1	3	9.5	25	26.8	10.3	12	16.7	9.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	117	25.5	37	13.7	43	29.1	16.3	74	24.7	13.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	129	25.2	38	13.1	49	28.9	9.7	80	24.5	13.6
Total Period ('57-'60)	143	24.9	39	12.2	57	27.1	9.0	86	24.4	13.0

Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)
Delta										
First Year ('57-'58)	284	25.7	33	16.1	168	28.1	17.8	116	21.5	14.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	293	26.3	44	13.2	182	28.0	12.2	111	24.9	14.1
Third Period ('59-'60)	273	22.9	27	12.5	159	25.7	14.0	114	21.0	11.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	577	26.1	42	14.0	350	28.3	14.2	227	24.3	14.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	691	25.3	39	14.0	380	27.6	14.1	311	23.6	13.9
Total Period ('57-'60)	783	25.0	40	13.7	442	27.5	13.9	341	23.1	13.5
Garfield										
First Year ('57-'58)	159	26.9	39	19.7	117	24.2	21.7	42	29.4	17.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	204	22.0	22	13.3	151	23.3	16.3	53	21.1	11.1
Third Period ('59-'60)	213	26.7	42	18.1	158	24.2	17.9	55	29.0	18.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	363	24.0	28	14.9	268	23.7	15.7	95	24.3	14.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	424	26.0	42	17.5	293	25.6	20.9	131	26.3	15.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	498	25.2	41	17.0	348	24.7	18.4	150	25.6	15.7
Jefferson										
First Year ('57-'58)	2,425	25.3	31	8.9	1,796	25.5	8.1	629	24.4	14.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	3,292	26.3	45	9.2	2,415	27.7	8.5	877	19.8	12.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	3,803	25.4	35	8.2	2,689	26.5	7.6	1,114	19.9	11.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	5,717	25.7	39	8.9	4,211	26.6	8.3	1,506	21.3	12.2
Three Years ('57-'60)	7,389	25.9	41	8.9	5,220	26.9	8.2	2,169	20.7	12.2
Total Period ('57-'60)	8,782	25.8	42	8.8	6,162	26.9	8.2	2,620	20.5	11.7
Weld										
First Year ('57-'58)	877	27.7	43	15.2	742	30.0	14.4	135	26.4	15.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,080	24.7	37	12.8	881	27.8	10.5	199	23.1	14.0
Third Period ('59-'60)	1,609	25.4	36	12.7	1,369	28.5	12.9	240	23.8	12.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,957	25.8	40	12.5	1,623	28.6	11.5	334	24.3	13.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	2,759	25.8	40	13.0	2,283	29.0	13.3	476	24.2	12.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	3,360	25.8	43	12.8	2,786	28.7	12.8	574	24.4	12.8
Chaffee										
First Year ('57-'58)	140	28.1	45	15.1	123	28.0	20.5	17	28.3	6.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	159	25.4	39	14.7	137	27.5	17.4	22	22.7	11.1
Third Period ('59-'60)	161	26.3	40	15.0	123	27.3	9.6	33	25.0	22.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	299	26.3	43	14.8	260	27.8	16.7	39	24.1	12.2
Three Years ('57-'60)	336	26.3	43	13.3	274	27.8	13.3	62	24.3	13.4
Total Period ('57-'60)	389	26.8	44	13.0	317	27.7	12.8	72	25.5	13.3

Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)
<b>Morgan</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	291	27.6	41	13.2	215	31.3	13.0	76	25.3	13.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	363	27.3	48	13.8	292	29.3	11.8	71	25.9	15.0
Third Period ('59-'60)	446	24.8	34	10.3	375	28.9	12.7	71	22.3	8.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	654	27.5	46	13.1	507	30.2	12.5	147	25.6	13.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	863	27.5	47	13.3	671	31.2	13.5	192	25.2	13.2
Total Period ('57-'60)	1,012	26.9	45	12.7	794	29.6	12.8	218	25.0	12.6
<b>Adams</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,587	27.6	42	8.4	1,412	29.3	8.3	175	24.2	8.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	2,028	25.5	40	8.7	1,857	27.7	8.8	171	21.0	8.5
Third Period ('59-'60)	3,053	25.6	37	10.4	2,278	30.3	8.2	775	18.4	13.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	3,615	26.5	44	8.2	3,269	28.6	8.2	346	22.4	8.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	5,192	26.9	44	8.6	4,401	29.7	8.2	791	21.9	9.5
Total Period ('57-'60)	6,316	27.0	46	8.6	5,195	29.8	8.3	1,121	21.9	9.3
<b>Mesa</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,025	26.2	36	12.6	869	26.0	12.9	156	26.5	12.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,142	27.1	46	10.1	884	28.9	9.3	258	24.7	10.9
Third Period ('59-'60)	1,206	27.9	49	9.0	914	29.9	7.7	292	25.4	10.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	2,167	27.0	45	10.9	1,753	27.9	10.8	414	25.7	11.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	2,720	27.0	45	10.1	2,066	28.0	9.3	654	25.6	11.0
Total Period ('57-'60)	3,123	27.2	47	10.3	2,417	28.3	9.5	706	25.6	11.2
<b>Arapahoe</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,820	29.0	48	10.7	1,496	31.1	10.4	324	25.0	11.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	2,638	26.0	43	6.9	2,031	27.0	6.9	607	23.9	6.9
Third Period ('59-'60)	3,460	27.3	46	7.7	2,421	26.6	7.8	1,039	29.1	7.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	4,458	27.7	47	8.4	3,527	28.7	8.3	931	25.3	8.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	6,291	27.4	46	8.5	4,728	28.2	8.5	1,563	25.6	8.3
Total Period ('57-'60)	7,514	27.2	48	8.3	5,544	27.9	8.4	1,970	25.6	8.3
<b>Larimer</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,171	28.7	47	11.9	962	28.7	9.9	209	28.8	16.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,355	27.3	47	12.7	1,056	28.0	12.2	299	25.9	13.5
Third Period ('59-'60)	1,757	26.5	41	14.6	1,426	27.2	12.5	331	25.3	18.4
Two Years ('57-'59)	2,526	27.9	48	12.8	2,018	28.5	11.5	508	26.9	15.4
Three Years ('57-'60)	3,391	27.6	48	12.8	2,651	28.1	11.6	740	26.6	15.2
Total Period ('57-'60)	3,960	27.4	49	12.8	3,121	27.9	11.5	839	26.5	15.2

Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales <sup>c</sup> Ratio	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)
<b>Boulder</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,325	29.3	49	11.6	1,162	30.1	11.5	163	26.8	12.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,552	28.8	52	8.6	1,265	30.7	7.6	287	23.4	11.1
Third Period ('59-'60)	1,943	27.0	44	9.3	1,554	29.8	8.3	389	20.3	11.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	2,877	29.0	51	9.8	2,427	30.4	8.9	450	24.9	12.4
Three Years ('57-'60)	3,567	28.4	49	9.5	2,852	30.2	8.6	715	23.4	11.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	4,235	28.4	50	9.2	3,396	30.3	8.6	839	23.3	11.1
<b>Prowers</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	131	30.6	52	14.9	111	31.1	15.4	20	30.4	14.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	217	27.9	49	18.5	153	28.6	15.9	64	27.4	20.1
Third Period ('59-'60)	246	28.8	52	10.3	226	30.7	11.1	20	27.6	9.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	348	28.6	50	17.1	264	29.5	15.2	84	28.0	18.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	464	29.5	51	14.6	367	31.0	13.4	97	28.6	15.4
Total Period ('57-'60)	545	29.1	51	14.9	441	30.5	13.3	104	28.1	16.0
<b>San Miguel</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	31	40.0	61	36.5	24	46.5	42.2	7	38.5	35.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	30	24.6	36	31.7	19	42.1	27.2	11	22.0	32.3
Third Period ('59-'60)	53	33.6	60	13.3	47	32.4	22.7	6	33.9	10.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	61	30.2	53	32.0	43	41.5	35.0	18	28.0	31.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	87	30.0	52	26.5	63	38.9	37.6	24	28.2	24.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	110	29.5	52	26.3	86	25.4	35.6	24	28.2	24.3
<b>Routt</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	135	27.8	44	16.0	110	40.2	29.1	25	24.6	12.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	131	30.6	55	21.7	94	35.8	58.4	37	28.9	9.4
Third Period ('59-'60)	162	29.4	53	18.8	121	34.6	18.7	41	27.7	18.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	266	29.8	52	14.8	204	38.1	24.9	62	27.3	11.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	350	29.3	50	18.1	259	37.2	22.6	91	27.0	16.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	398	29.6	53	18.8	295	36.8	20.6	103	27.5	18.2
<b>Alamosa<sup>e</sup></b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	113	29.9	51	16.2	96	28.7	20.6	17	31.5	11.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	103	30.0	53	20.3	89	25.0	19.4	14	34.9	21.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	151	28.1	51	19.2	126	29.8	23.7	25	26.4	15.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	216	30.3	54	18.0	185	28.0	18.2	31	33.4	17.7
Three Years ('57-'60)	284	30.0	53	16.9	230	28.7	19.1	54	31.5	14.5
Total Period ('57-'60)	325	29.9	54	16.9	269	29.1	18.8	56	30.8	14.8

Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	Total County			Total Urban			Total Rural			
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>c</sup> (pct. pts.)
<b>Crowley</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	39	26.6	38	16.7	26	31.8	19.1	13	25.3	16.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	54	28.8	51	20.2	37	33.2	17.6	17	27.5	20.9
Third Period ('59-'60)	55	33.6	61	17.0	36	30.2	22.3	19	34.8	15.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	93	28.6	49	22.8	63	34.6	18.4	30	27.0	23.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	132	30.4	54	23.3	85	33.8	21.6	47	29.5	23.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	143	30.2	55	22.8	94	33.1	22.1	49	29.4	22.9
<b>Costilla</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	31	39.5	60	27.2	15	48.1	20.4	16	37.7	28.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	44	35.8	61	46.7	12	60.3	37.4	32	32.4	47.1
Third Period ('59-'60)	46	30.7	55	23.1	18	29.3	52.9	28	31.0	16.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	75	36.2	60	32.7	27	53.1	31.3	48	33.4	32.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	86	37.2	62	36.9	28	47.3	35.2	58	35.4	37.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	111	31.6	56	35.0	35	32.1	51.5	76	31.5	31.1
<b>Costilla</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	311	33.8	55	17.1	259	35.7	21.3	52	31.5	11.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	441	32.7	57	18.3	384	35.7	16.9	57	29.1	19.8
Third Period ('59-'60)	573	31.5	57	13.7	499	31.8	13.0	74	31.0	14.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	752	33.0	57	17.5	643	35.4	17.8	109	30.0	17.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	1,077	32.2	56	17.3	910	33.7	18.0	167	30.2	16.4
Total Period ('57-'60)	1,253	31.9	57	16.2	1,070	33.3	16.2	183	30.2	16.2
<b>San Juan<sup>e</sup></b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	15	38.7	59	30.9	14	f	----	1	f	----
Second Year ('58-'59)	10	37.7	62	16.0	10	f	----	0	f	----
Third Period ('59-'60)	30	28.1	50	16.1	30	28.1	16.1	0	f	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	25	38.1	62	26.6	24	f	----	1	f	----
Three Years ('57-'60)	48	36.5	61	25.7	47	f	----	1	f	----
Total Period ('57-'60)	54	32.1	58	22.0	53	31.6	22.0	1	f	----
<b>Denver</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	5,413	32.2	53	11.0	5,413	32.2	11.0	----	----	----
Second Year ('58-'59)	7,945	32.3	55	9.6	7,945	32.3	9.6	----	----	----
Third Period ('59-'60)	11,322	31.9	59	10.3	11,322	31.9	10.3	----	----	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	13,358	32.3	55	10.0	13,358	32.3	10.0	----	----	----
Three Years ('57-'60)	20,100	32.3	57	10.1	20,100	32.3	10.1	----	----	----
Total Period ('57-'60)	24,026	32.1	59	10.3	24,026	32.1	10.3	----	----	----

Table II  
(continued)

County and Year (or Period) <sup>b</sup>	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)
<b>Rio Grande</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	120	33.8	56	21.9	95	32.1	15.9	25	34.8	25.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	146	32.7	58	17.7	110	33.5	8.8	36	32.4	21.7
Third Period ('59-'60)	139	31.4	56	14.5	111	29.5	14.1	28	32.5	14.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	266	33.1	58	20.5	205	32.6	13.7	61	33.3	23.7
Three Years ('57-'60)	320	33.0	58	19.1	239	32.1	12.9	81	33.5	22.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	375	32.4	60	18.9	286	31.5	13.5	89	32.9	21.5
<b>Bent</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	104	36.2	57	19.0	70	34.4	27.1	34	36.8	16.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	68	34.4	59	15.9	39	33.7	14.9	29	34.7	16.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	96	29.8	54	13.6	68	28.2	15.2	28	30.4	13.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	172	35.2	59	17.7	109	34.7	16.6	63	35.3	18.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	220	34.7	60	17.2	140	33.1	16.1	80	35.2	17.6
Total Period ('57-'60)	254	33.9	61	16.6	163	32.4	15.9	91	34.5	16.8
<b>Conejos</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	77	37.1	58	39.5	46	34.9	35.8	31	37.7	40.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	69	30.1	54	20.9	38	31.5	33.1	31	29.8	19.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	68	34.8	62	26.8	47	32.9	28.5	21	35.4	26.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	146	32.6	56	25.4	84	34.3	29.3	62	32.2	24.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	161	33.5	59	28.5	86	33.0	27.3	75	33.6	28.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	188	34.1	62	26.7	105	36.7	31.0	83	33.5	25.6
<b>Saguache</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	34	40.9	63	20.0	24	31.9	34.4	10	44.1	15.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	38	42.9	63	21.1	29	36.0	33.6	9	45.1	17.4
Third Period ('59-'60)	43	31.6	58	15.5	31	33.6	17.9	12	31.1	15.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	72	40.5	63	20.2	53	33.7	29.7	19	42.7	17.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	89	38.0	63	22.7	63	34.1	29.5	26	39.1	20.6
Total Period ('57-'60)	106	36.1	63	20.2	75	34.1	23.1	31	36.6	19.5
<b>Total State</b>										
First Year ('57-'58)	24,670	27.9		11.5	21,346	29.5	11.0	3,324	24.3	12.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	32,002	27.0		10.7	27,159	29.3	9.9	4,843	22.1	12.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	41,313	26.8		11.1	34,890	29.1	10.4	6,423	22.0	12.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	56,672	27.4		11.1	48,505	29.4	10.4	8,167	22.9	12.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	77,459	27.3		10.9	65,153	29.5	10.2	12,306	22.8	12.6
Total Period ('57-'60)	91,753	27.3		11.0	77,163	29.4	10.2	14,590	22.8	12.6

TABLE II  
(continued)

Footnotes:

- a. Vacant urban land is included in the tabulations for the first and second years of the study and the first two years combined; it is excluded from the tabulations for the third period of 18 months, for the period of three years, and for the total period of 3½ years. This means, for example, that the total number of certificates shown for the total period is not in agreement with the sum of the numbers shown for individual periods.
- b. The periods designated as first year, second year, two years, and three years are periods of indicated lengths ending on June 30 of the designated years; the "third period" is one of a year and one-half ending on December 31, 1960; and the "total period" covered by the study to date is one of three and one-half years ending on December 31, 1960.
- c. Ranked according to size of the sales ratio for the given period.
- d. Average range within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- e. See text, page one, for a statement concerning methodology.
- f. Insufficient data for determination of the sales ratio.
- g. Exclusive of agricultural properties with improvements in 1958-1959, for which there was only one conveyance in that year, and of all rural properties for the period of 18 months ending December 31, 1960, for which there was only one conveyance in that period.
- h. Exclusive of commercial properties in 1957-1958, for which there were no conveyances in that year.
- i. Exclusive of industrial properties, for which there was only one conveyance in the entire period of the study to date.
- j. Exclusive of commercial and industrial properties in 1957-1958 and in 1958-1959, but including them in the third period of 18 months.
- k. Exclusive of industrial properties in 1957-1958 and in 1958-1959, for which there were no conveyances in either of those two years.

TABLE III

Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation in the Ratios, Proportion of Total Assessed Value on the Tax Rolls, and Assessed Value on Certificates as Per Cent of Total Assessed Value by Class of Property For Each of Three Periods and for Combined Periods<sup>a</sup>

Class of Property and Year (or Period) <sup>b</sup>	Number of Certificates	Average Sales Ratio (%)	Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points <sup>c</sup>			Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls in 1957 (%)	Assessed Value on Certificates As Per Cent of Total Assessed Value <sup>d</sup>
			Below Average Ratio	Above Average Ratio	Total		
One-family Dwellings							
1 to 8 years old							
First Year ('57-'58)	8,579	31.8	2.6	3.1	5.7	21.1	8.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	11,548	31.6	2.7	3.0	5.7	-----	11.5
Third Year ('59-'60)	15,509	31.0	2.9	2.9	5.8	-----	16.2
Two Years ('57-'59)	20,127	31.7	2.7	3.1	5.8	-----	19.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	30,501	31.5	2.7	3.1	5.8	-----	30.7
Total Period ('57-'60)	35,635	31.4	2.8	3.0	5.8	-----	36.2
9 to 18 years old							
First Year ('57-'58)	2,455	29.1	3.6	4.1	7.7	7.6	5.0
Second Year ('58-'59)	3,646	28.8	3.0	3.4	6.4	-----	7.6
Third Year ('59-'60)	5,832	28.2	3.1	3.4	6.5	-----	12.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	6,101	28.9	3.2	3.6	6.8	-----	12.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	9,773	28.7	3.2	3.6	6.8	-----	20.4
Total Period ('57-'60)	11,934	28.6	3.3	3.4	6.7	-----	25.1
19 to 28 years old							
First Year ('57-'58)	917	27.0	4.2	5.6	9.8	2.9	4.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,032	26.7	4.0	4.6	8.6	-----	5.3
Third Year ('59-'60)	1,630	26.5	3.7	4.7	8.4	-----	8.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,949	26.8	4.1	4.9	9.0	-----	9.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	2,962	26.8	3.9	4.8	8.7	-----	15.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	3,579	26.7	3.8	4.7	8.5	-----	18.4
29 to 48 years old							
First Year ('57-'58)	2,603	24.6	4.0	4.8	8.8	8.2	3.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	3,186	24.0	3.8	4.5	8.3	-----	4.1
Third Year ('59-'60)	4,409	23.6	3.7	4.3	8.0	-----	6.5



Table III  
(continued)

Class of Property and Year (or Period) <sup>b</sup>	Number of Certificates	Average Sales Ratio (%)	Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points <sup>c</sup>			Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls in 1957 (%)	Assessed Value on Certificates As Per Cent of Total Assessed Value <sup>d</sup>
			Below Average Ratio	Above Average Ratio	Total		
Two Years ('57-'59)	5,789	24.3	3.9	4.5	8.4	----	7.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	8,742	24.1	3.9	4.5	8.4	----	12.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	10,198	24.0	3.8	4.4	8.2	----	14.4
Over 48 years old							
First Year ('57-'58)	2,470	22.0	4.7	5.4	10.1	5.2	3.8
Second Year ('58-'59)	3,074	21.6	4.3	5.1	9.4	----	5.0
Third Period ('59-'60)	5,135	21.8	4.3	5.2	9.5	----	9.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	5,544	21.8	4.5	5.4	9.9	----	8.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	8,822	21.8	4.4	5.4	9.8	----	14.6
Total Period ('57-'60)	10,679	21.8	4.4	5.2	9.6	----	17.8
All ages combined							
First Year ('57-'58)	17,024	28.1	3.5	4.2	7.7	45.0	6.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	22,486	27.7	3.3	3.9	7.2	----	8.4
Third Period ('59-'60)	32,515	27.3	3.3	3.8	7.1	----	12.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	39,510	27.9	3.4	4.0	7.4	----	14.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	60,800	27.8	3.4	3.9	7.3	----	22.7
Total Period ('57-'60)	72,025	27.7	3.4	3.8	7.2	----	27.1
Multi-family Dwellings							
First Year ('57-'58)	628	31.3	7.0	4.1	11.1	4.4	4.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	808	30.8	5.6	5.3	10.9	----	5.5
Third Period ('59-'60)	1,405	30.6	5.7	5.3	11.0	----	9.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,436	30.7	5.9	5.1	11.0	----	9.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	2,360	30.9	6.0	5.2	11.2	----	15.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	2,841	30.7	5.8	5.1	10.9	----	18.9
Commercial buildings							
First Year ('57-'58)	521	32.0	7.5	12.8	20.3	16.4	1.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	574	33.4	7.5	9.9	17.4	----	2.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	785	33.3	8.2	10.0	18.2	----	2.9

Table III  
(continued)

Class of Property and Year (or Period) <sup>b</sup>	Number of Certificates	Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points <sup>c</sup>			Total	Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls in 1957 (%)	Assessed Value on Certificate As Per Cent of Total Assessed Value <sup>d</sup>
		Average Sales Ratio (%)	Below Average Ratio	Above Average Ratio			
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,095	32.8	7.6	10.2	17.8	----	3.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	1,616	33.0	7.7	10.5	18.2	----	5.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	1,853	33.0	7.8	10.2	18.0	----	6.7
Industrial buildings							
First Year ('57-'58)	93	37.1	8.2	5.7	13.9	6.4	0.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	139	34.4	5.9	7.0	12.9	----	1.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	212	34.1	7.2	11.5	18.7	----	2.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	232	35.8	6.9	6.4	13.3	----	2.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	374	34.9	7.0	7.8	14.8	----	3.6
Total Period ('57-'60)	444	34.6	7.3	8.7	16.0	----	4.7
Total urban							
First Year ('57-'58)	21,346	29.5	4.9	6.1	11.0	72.2	4.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	27,159	29.3	4.5	5.4	9.9	----	6.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	34,890	29.1	4.7	5.7	10.4	----	9.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	48,505	29.4	4.7	5.5	10.2	----	10.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	65,150	29.5	4.6	5.6	10.2	----	16.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	77,163	29.4	4.7	5.5	10.2	----	20.0
Agric. land with impts.							
First Year ('57-'58)	799	25.7	5.6	7.1	12.7	14.2	1.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,005	23.1	5.6	7.3	12.9	----	1.3
Third Period ('59-'60)	709	23.0	5.6	8.5	14.1	----	1.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,804	24.1	5.6	7.5	13.1	----	3.4
Three Years ('57-'60)	2,303	23.9	5.6	7.9	13.5	----	4.3
Total Period ('57-'60)	2,513	23.7	5.5	7.8	13.3	----	4.7
Agric. land without impts.							
First Year ('57-'58)	448	20.2	4.4	7.7	12.1	4.3	0.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	773	18.3	4.0	6.4	10.4	----	1.6
Third Period ('59-'60)	347	16.9	3.2	7.6	10.8	----	0.5

Table III  
(continued)

Class of Property and Year (or Period) <sup>b</sup>	Number of Certificates	Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points <sup>c</sup>			Total	Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls in 1957 (%)	Assessed Value on Certificates As Per Cent of Total Assessed Value <sup>d</sup>
		Average Sales Ratio (%)	Below Average Ratio	Above Average Ratio			
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,221	18.8	3.9	6.9	10.8	----	2.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	1,450	18.4	3.9	7.2	11.1	----	2.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	1,568	18.5	4.1	6.8	10.9	----	3.0
Misc. rural land with impts.							
First Year ('57-'58)	1,184	25.6	6.2	6.0	12.2	6.9	2.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,961	24.1	4.6	7.0	11.6	----	4.4
Third Period ('59-'60)	3,714	25.6	5.3	6.3	11.6	----	10.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	3,145	24.7	5.1	7.2	12.3	----	6.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	5,435	25.0	5.1	6.7	11.8	----	12.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	6,859	25.4	5.3	6.3	11.6	----	17.1
Misc. rural land without impts.							
First Year ('57-'58)	893	16.7	4.1	6.7	10.8	0.9	2.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,104	16.5	4.5	8.1	12.6	----	3.0
Third Period ('59-'60)	1,653	16.5	4.8	8.3	13.1	----	3.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,997	17.4	5.2	7.2	12.4	----	6.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	3,118	16.8	4.7	7.5	12.2	----	8.3
Total Period ('57-'60)	3,650	17.1	4.7	8.0	12.7	----	9.5
Total rural							
First Year ('57-'58)	3,324	24.3	5.5	7.0	12.5	26.3	1.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	4,843	22.1	5.0	7.2	12.2	----	2.5
Third Period ('59-'60)	6,423	22.0	5.0	7.9	12.9	----	3.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	8,167	22.9	5.1	7.4	12.5	----	4.2
Three Years ('57-'60)	12,306	22.8	5.1	7.5	12.6	----	6.4
Total Period ('57-'60)	14,590	22.8	5.2	7.4	12.6	----	7.8
Grand total							
First Year ('57-'58)	24,670	27.9	5.1	6.4	11.5	98.5	3.8
Second Year ('58-'59)	32,002	27.0	4.7	6.0	10.7	----	5.2
Third Period ('59-'60)	41,313	26.8	4.7	6.4	11.1	----	7.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	56,672	27.4	4.9	6.1	11.0	----	9.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	77,456	27.3	4.8	6.1	10.9	----	14.1
Total Period ('57-'60)	91,753	27.3	4.9	6.1	11.0	----	16.8

Table III  
(continued)  
Footnotes

- a. Vacant urban land is included in the tabulations for the first and second years of the study and the first two years combined; it is excluded from the tabulations for the third period of 18 months, for the period of three years, and for the total period of 3½ years.
- b. The periods designated as first year, second year, two years, and three years are periods of indicated lengths ending on June 30 of the designated years; the "third period" is one of a year and one-half ending on December 31, 1960; and the "total period" covered by the study to date is one of three and one-half years ending on December 31, 1960.
- c. Average range (above and below the average ratio) within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- d. Total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls as reported by the county assessors for 1957.

Adams County: Number  
of Sales Ratio, Average  
and Proportion of Assessed  
for 1957

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Mu. I
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	1	0	0	2	0	3	
10 and " 12	2	1	1	6	1	11	
12 " " 14	1	2	1	5	1	10	
14 " " 16	2	1	2	7	2	14	
16 " " 18	6	3	3	10	1	23	
18 " " 20	11	11	3	9	1	35	
20 " " 22	37	15	7	14	7	80	
22 " " 24	63	43	0	3	3	112	
24 " " 26	84	104	6	11	2	207	
26 " " 28	164	96	1	2	1	264	
28 " " 30	197	56	1	3	0	257	
30 " " 32	293	27	3	3	1	327	
32 " " 34	242	12	2	1	0	257	
34 " " 36	221	4	0	2	2	229	
36 " " 38	133	10	1	0	0	144	
38 " " 40	87	3	1	0	0	91	
40 " " 42	87	4	2	1	1	95	
42 " " 44	43	3	0	2	0	48	
44 " " 46	13	1	0	0	0	14	
46 " " 48	2	1	0	1	0	4	
48 " " 50	0	2	0	1	1	4	
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	0	1	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	
60 and Over	5	3	0	0	1	9	
Total Cases	1,695	402	34	83	26	2,240	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.0	26.4	23.7	20.4	23.4	30.0	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>							
Below Average Ratio	3.5	1.9	4.7	4.2	3.3	3.4	
Above Average Ratio	3.5	2.4	6.6	4.7	7.6	3.6	
Total	7.0	4.3	11.3	8.9	10.9	7.0	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	48.2	6.7	1.5	3.2	0.7	60.2	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall.  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed Legislative Council.

Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Age Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 the 1 1/2 Year Period

Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land		Near Denver With Impts.	Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
					Remote from Denver With Impts.	Without Impts.					
0	0	0	3	1	0	4	1	5	3	14	17
0	0	0	11	2	1	0	2	5	0	10	21
0	0	0	10	1	1	0	9	4	0	15	25
0	1	0	15	1	0	1	6	5	0	13	28
0	0	0	23	0	1	0	7	1	0	9	32
0	0	0	35	1	1	0	7	2	0	11	46
0	2	0	82	1	1	0	15	4	0	21	103
0	3	1	116	1	0	0	27	3	0	31	147
0	2	0	209	0	0	1	25	2	0	28	237
0	1	0	265	0	0	0	36	1	1	38	303
0	2	0	259	0	2	0	72	0	0	74	333
0	1	0	328	0	1	0	68	0	0	69	397
7	1	0	265	0	1	0	112	0	0	113	378
3	0	0	232	0	0	0	149	0	1	150	382
2	2	0	148	0	1	0	134	0	0	135	283
0	0	0	91	0	0	0	27	0	0	27	118
1	0	0	96	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	101
0	2	0	50	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	52
1	3	0	18	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	21
0	1	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	6
0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	6
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	4
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	10	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	12
14	23	1	2,278	9	10	7	709	35	5	775	3,053
34.2	32.2	--	30.3	15.6	23.9	14.8	33.0	16.4	---	18.4	25.6
1.2	8.3	---	3.8	5.4	6.9	7.6	3.8	4.9	---	4.2	4.0
2.3	11.9	---	4.4	5.9	7.1	7.7	2.9	5.8	---	9.5	6.4
3.5	20.2	---	8.2	11.3	14.0	15.3	6.7	10.7	---	13.7	10.4
1.7	7.4	0.4	69.8	8.4	2.6	0.1	11.6	0.6	4.6	27.9	97.6

When arranged from low to high,  
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

Print  
 by

*Handwritten initials*

Adams County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
for the 3½ Year Period.

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Wi Imr
		-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48						
Under 10		3	1	1	3	0	8	0	0	0	8	
10 and "	12	4	2	2	12	2	22	0	1	0	23	
12 "	14	4	4	7	12	7	34	0	3	0	37	
14 "	16	5	4	3	15	4	31	0	3	0	34	
16 "	18	13	13	4	20	4	54	0	1	0	55	
18 "	20	40	19	8	20	3	90	0	0	0	90	
20 "	22	108	23	11	16	14	182	0	5	0	187	
22 "	24	117	71	6	17	4	215	2	9	1	227	
24 "	26	193	147	12	24	3	379	2	3	1	385	
26 "	28	401	187	2	7	2	599	1	4	0	604	
28 "	30	617	94	2	9	3	725	0	3	1	729	
30 "	32	676	50	6	7	2	741	3	2	0	746	
32 "	34	519	27	2	1	0	549	12	3	0	564	
34 "	36	468	13	0	4	3	488	4	3	0	495	
36 "	38	329	19	1	0	0	349	3	2	1	355	
38 "	40	278	6	3	0	0	287	3	2	1	293	
40 "	42	184	8	3	1	1	197	2	0	0	199	
42 "	44	66	5	2	3	0	76	0	3	0	79	
44 "	46	23	2	0	0	0	25	1	3	0	29	
46 "	48	5	1	0	1	0	7	0	2	1	10	
48 "	50	3	2	0	1	1	7	0	1	0	8	
50 "	55	3	1	0	2	0	6	0	1	1	8	
55 "	60	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	4	
60 and Over		12	8	0	1	1	22	0	4	0	26	
Total Cases		4,072	707	75	186	55	5,095	35	58	7	5,195	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		31.8	26.7	22.2	20.7	21.1	29.8	33.4	28.0	42.5	29.8	1
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>												
Below Average Ratio		3.4	2.2	3.8	4.3	4.7	3.3	1.3	5.7	16.5	3.7	
Above Average Ratio		3.7	2.6	6.0	4.5	5.2	3.8	4.1	11.5	2.5	4.6	
Total		7.1	4.8	9.8	8.8	9.9	7.1	5.4	17.2	19.0	8.3	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>		48.2	6.7	1.5	3.2	0.7	60.2	1.7	7.4	0.4	69.8	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the ass

riation  
erty

Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land				Total Rural	Total County
	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Remote From Denver	Near Denver	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
8	4	8	0	10	4	8	34	42
23	5	2	2	2	3	9	23	46
37	4	5	2	1	13	9	34	71
34	6	5	0	2	7	9	29	63
55	1	1	2	2	10	9	25	80
90	5	2	1	1	14	4	27	117
187	6	2	2	0	24	7	41	228
227	6	2	1	0	41	6	56	283
385	2	1	1	1	33	5	43	428
604	1	1	1	2	52	3	60	664
729	0	0	8	0	92	1	101	830
746	0	0	2	0	106	1	109	855
564	1	0	4	0	144	0	149	713
495	1	1	4	0	170	0	176	671
355	0	0	3	0	141	0	144	499
293	0	0	0	1	31	0	32	325
199	0	0	0	0	9	0	9	208
79	1	0	1	0	4	0	6	85
29	0	1	1	1	2	2	7	36
10	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	14
8	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	11
8	0	0	0	0	4	1	5	13
4	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5
26	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	29
5,195	43	31	37	24	910	76	1,121	6,316
29.8	18.3	14.2	28.5	10.8	32.5	16.5	21.9	27.0
3.7	5.4	4.4	5.9	2.8	3.9	4.1	4.7	4.0
4.6	4.1	6.0	6.4	11.2	3.1	6.2	4.6	4.6
8.3	9.5	10.4	12.3	14.0	7.0	10.3	9.3	8.6
69.8	8.4	4.6	2.6	0.1	11.6	0.6	27.9	97.6

Sales Ratio C

	Under 1
10 and	1
12 "	1
14 "	1
16 "	1
18 "	20
20 "	22
22 "	24
24 "	26
26 "	28
28 "	30
30 "	32
32 "	34
34 "	36
36 "	38
38 "	40
40 "	42
42 "	44
44 "	46
46 "	48
48 "	50
50 "	55
55 "	60
60 and Over	

Total Cases

Average Sales Ratio

Measure of Variati  
Below Average Ra  
Above Average Ra  
Total

Prop. of Ass'd. Val

a. Range in percent  
b. Assessed value

high.  
rted by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

24



Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 Years Ending December 31, 1960

Years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
5	1	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
2	1	1	0	3	0	0	2	2	5
5	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
7	1	1	0	8	0	1	1	2	10
12	0	0	1	13	0	2	0	2	15
12	0	0	1	13	1	0	0	1	14
10	0	0	0	10	0	1	0	1	11
7	0	0	1	8	1	1	0	2	10
15	0	0	0	15	1	1	0	2	17
11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
4	0	0	1	5	0	1	1	2	7
1	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	2	4
1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
4	0	0	0	4	1	0	1	2	6
3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
5	2	2	0	7	0	1	1	2	9
115	6	6	5	126	7	12	6	25	151
24.3	50.1	---	---	29.8	29.8	27.4	---	26.4	28.1
4.4	37.1	---	---	11.3	7.8	8.4	---	6.7	9.0
7.4	32.4	---	---	12.4	4.7	9.6	---	8.3	10.2
11.8	69.5	---	---	23.7	12.5	18.0	---	15.0	19.2
28.7	16.7	7.5	7.5	53.4	35.5	5.0	5.9	46.4	99.3

... ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
 ... assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council

25

Alamosa County: Number  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio  
and Proportion of Assessed Value  
for the 1½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Age	Sales Ratio Class (%)	
		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48				
10 and Under 10	" 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	10 and Under 10	" 12	
12 " " 14	" 14	0	0	1	1	0	2	12 " " 14	" 14	
14 " " 16	" 16	0	0	1	4	0	5	14 " " 16	" 16	
16 " " 18	" 18	0	1	2	3	1	7	16 " " 18	" 18	
18 " " 20	" 20	0	4	3	4	1	12	18 " " 20	" 20	
20 " " 22	" 22	0	3	1	6	2	12	20 " " 22	" 22	
22 " " 24	" 24	0	2	3	4	1	10	22 " " 24	" 24	
24 " " 26	" 26	0	3	0	4	0	7	24 " " 26	" 26	
26 " " 28	" 28	4	2	3	3	3	15	26 " " 28	" 28	
28 " " 30	" 30	6	1	0	4	0	11	28 " " 30	" 30	
30 " " 32	" 32	3	1	0	0	0	4	30 " " 32	" 32	
32 " " 34	" 34	0	0	1	0	0	1	32 " " 34	" 34	
34 " " 36	" 36	0	0	0	0	1	1	34 " " 36	" 36	
36 " " 38	" 38	0	3	0	0	1	4	36 " " 38	" 38	
38 " " 40	" 40	0	0	0	2	1	3	38 " " 40	" 40	
40 " " 42	" 42	1	0	0	0	0	1	40 " " 42	" 42	
42 " " 44	" 44	0	1	1	1	0	3	42 " " 44	" 44	
44 " " 46	" 46	0	1	0	0	1	2	44 " " 46	" 46	
46 " " 48	" 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	46 " " 48	" 48	
48 " " 50	" 50	0	0	0	1	1	2	48 " " 50	" 50	
50 " " 55	" 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	50 " " 55	" 55	
55 " " 60	" 60	0	0	2	1	0	3	55 " " 60	" 60	
60 and Over		0	1	1	2	1	5	60 and Over		
Total Cases		14	23	19	43	16	115	Total Cases		
Average Sales Ratio (%)		29.1	25.4	23.0	22.4	25.7	24.3	Average Sales Ratio (%)	30	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>								Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>		
Below Average Ratio		1.3	4.9	4.5	4.6	5.9	4.4	Below Average Ratio	4	
Above Average Ratio		1.2	9.6	8.7	5.7	12.3	7.4	Above Average Ratio	3	
Total		2.5	14.5	13.2	10.3	18.2	11.8	Total	7	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>		4.1	5.3	4.8	10.0	4.5	28.7	Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	4	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Alamosa County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings By Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Tot Urb
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	3	2	5	0	2	0	
12 " " 14	0	0	2	2	0	4	0	1	0	
14 " " 16	0	0	1	7	0	8	0	1	0	
16 " " 18	0	3	5	7	1	16	0	1	1	18
18 " " 20	0	4	5	11	3	23	1	0	0	24
20 " " 22	1	7	2	10	4	24	1	0	0	27
22 " " 24	3	2	4	6	4	19	1	0	0	27
24 " " 26	6	6	0	7	1	20	2	0	0	27
26 " " 28	7	3	5	4	4	23	3	0	0	29
28 " " 30	8	1	2	6	0	17	0	1	0	18
30 " " 32	4	1	0	2	3	10	1	0	0	11
32 " " 34	3	0	2	0	1	6	1	1	0	8
34 " " 36	3	0	2	3	4	12	0	2	0	14
36 " " 38	3	4	0	1	1	9	0	0	0	9
38 " " 40	0	0	0	3	2	5	0	0	0	5
40 " " 42	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
42 " " 44	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	3
44 " " 46	0	2	0	2	2	6	0	0	0	6
46 " " 48	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	4
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	4
55 " " 60	1	0	2	3	0	6	0	0	0	6
60 and Over	1	4	4	7	2	18	0	2	1	21
Total Cases	42	39	38	86	37	242	12	13	2	269
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.1	26.0	24.3	22.9	27.5	25.2	29.5	39.1	---	29.1
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>										
Below Average Ratio	4.0	5.2	5.7	4.4	5.9	4.9	5.7	24.6	---	10.1
Above Average Ratio	3.6	11.1	10.2	8.6	9.9	8.9	2.5	9.7	---	8.7
Total	7.6	16.3	15.9	13.0	15.8	13.8	8.2	34.3	---	18.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	4.1	5.3	4.8	10.0	4.5	28.7	2.6	16.7	4.9	53.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by

of Conveyances by Size  
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Value by Class of Property  
 Ending December 31, 1960

Family Buildings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
1	0	0	5	0	4	0	1	5	10
1	0	0	9	2	0	0	1	3	12
1	1	1	18	0	0	1	1	2	20
0	0	0	24	0	0	3	0	3	27
0	0	0	25	1	1	0	0	2	27
0	0	0	20	0	0	1	0	1	21
2	0	0	22	2	1	1	0	4	26
3	0	0	26	2	0	2	0	4	30
0	1	0	18	2	2	0	0	4	22
1	0	0	11	1	3	1	0	5	16
1	1	0	8	2	0	1	0	3	11
0	2	0	14	2	0	0	0	2	16
0	0	0	9	2	1	0	0	3	12
0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
1	0	0	3	0	1	1	0	2	5
0	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	2	5
0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	2	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	5
1	0	0	4	1	0	1	0	2	6
0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
0	2	1	21	1	1	2	2	6	27
2	13	2	269	21	14	16	5	56	325
5	39.1	---	29.1	32.9	23.3	29.1	---	30.8	29.9
7	24.6	---	10.1	6.7	9.5	9.8	---	7.5	8.8
5	9.7	---	8.7	6.0	8.4	12.9	---	7.3	8.1
2	34.3	---	18.8	12.7	17.9	22.7	---	14.8	16.9
6	16.7	4.9	53.4	35.5	5.8	5.0	0.1	46.4	99.3

Sales Ratio Class (%)

Under 10		
10 and	"	12
12 "	"	14
14 "	"	16
16 "	"	18
18 "	"	20
20 "	"	22
22 "	"	24
24 "	"	26
26 "	"	28
28 "	"	30
30 "	"	32
32 "	"	34
34 "	"	36
36 "	"	38
38 "	"	40
40 "	"	42
42 "	"	44
44 "	"	46
46 "	"	48
48 "	"	50
50 "	"	55
55 "	"	60
60 and Over		

Total Cases  
 Average Sales Ratio  
 Measure of Variation  
 Below Average Ratio  
 Above Average Ratio  
 Total

Prop. of Ass'd. Value

a. Range in percentage  
 b. Assessed value

When arranged from low to high.  
 Value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Arapahoe County  
of Sales Ratio, Average  
and Proportion of  
for

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages
		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	
	Under 10	1	0	0	3	2	6
10 and	" 12	3	0	1	5	1	10
12 "	" 14	2	1	0	10	5	18
14 "	" 16	0	5	3	21	9	38
16 "	" 18	1	0	3	42	7	53
18 "	" 20	5	10	19	36	15	85
20 "	" 22	16	44	9	25	9	103
22 "	" 24	56	90	15	15	9	185
24 "	" 26	144	131	11	14	8	308
26 "	" 28	244	86	4	9	0	343
28 "	" 30	247	40	6	7	2	302
30 "	" 32	256	16	5	1	1	279
32 "	" 34	254	12	2	1	0	269
34 "	" 36	150	6	0	2	2	160
36 "	" 38	85	3	1	1	1	91
38 "	" 40	40	4	0	0	0	44
40 "	" 42	12	2	1	1	1	17
42 "	" 44	3	2	1	1	0	7
44 "	" 46	0	2	0	2	1	5
46 "	" 48	1	0	1	1	0	3
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	" 55	2	0	0	0	1	3
55 "	" 60	0	0	1	0	0	1
60 and Over		0	0	0	1	1	2
Total Cases		1,522	454	83	198	75	2,330
Average Sales Ratio (%)		30.3	25.2	22.3	19.4	19.9	26.0
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>							
Below Average Ratio		3.1	2.0	2.9	2.9	3.4	2.9
Above Average Ratio		3.0	2.2	4.3	3.5	3.9	3.0
Total		6.1	4.2	7.2	6.4	7.3	6.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>		32.6	6.7	2.3	10.6	1.3	53.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall.  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 for the 1 1/2 Year Period

Years)	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Bldgs.	Industrial Bldgs.	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land			All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
					Remote From Denver With Impts.	Near Denver With Impts.	Without Impts.			
6	0	0	2	8	0	6	7	1	14	22
10	0	0	0	10	0	7	17	0	24	34
18	0	2	0	20	0	4	17	0	21	41
38	3	0	0	41	1	20	11	0	32	73
53	0	0	0	53	1	14	11	0	26	79
85	0	0	0	85	0	14	5	0	19	104
103	1	2	1	107	1	28	11	0	40	147
185	1	4	1	191	2	34	5	1	42	233
308	0	0	0	308	0	58	2	0	60	368
343	2	1	1	347	0	64	0	0	64	411
302	5	0	1	308	0	108	1	0	109	417
279	2	3	0	284	1	159	0	0	160	444
269	5	2	2	278	3	156	1	1	161	439
160	7	0	0	167	2	137	0	0	139	306
91	8	0	0	99	0	53	0	0	53	152
44	7	1	0	52	0	42	0	0	42	94
17	3	0	1	21	0	9	2	1	12	33
7	9	2	0	18	0	4	0	0	4	22
5	2	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	1	8
3	1	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	3	7
0	1	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	4	5
3	2	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	6
1	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	3
2	0	1	2	5	0	3	3	1	7	12
332	60	18	11	2,421	11	930	93	5	1,039	3,460
16.0	36.5	28.8	27.0	26.6	27.3	31.0	16.4	---	29.1	27.3
2.9	4.1	6.6	5.5	3.7	5.9	3.5	4.5	---	4.0	3.8
3.1	5.7	4.7	12.1	4.1	6.2	3.4	3.9	---	3.8	3.9
6.0	9.8	11.3	17.6	7.8	12.1	6.9	8.4	---	7.8	7.7
13.5	0.9	10.7	6.1	71.2	1.9	20.3	1.6	6.2	28.6	99.9

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.



Arapahoe County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
for the 3½ Year Period

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings
	1-8	9-18	19-23	29-48	Over 48					
Under 10	3	0	2	10	3	18	0	0	2	
10 and "	4	0	2	11	1	18	0	1	0	
12 " "	6	2	5	23	9	45	0	3	2	
14 " "	2	7	6	44	14	73	3	1	0	
16 " "	5	1	7	79	12	104	0	0	0	
18 " "	8	15	31	72	21	147	1	1	0	
20 " "	29	57	29	59	16	190	1	3	1	
22 " "	127	138	40	50	13	368	1	6	1	
24 " "	364	200	25	34	11	634	0	1	2	
26 " "	598	147	16	24	4	789	6	3	1	
28 " "	582	85	16	16	6	705	5	1	3	
30 " "	602	54	14	8	1	679	6	7	3	
32 " "	594	29	6	5	1	635	10	5	3	
34 " "	397	18	3	5	3	426	11	1	0	
36 " "	251	14	3	3	3	274	11	0	0	
38 " "	105	9	6	3	0	123	15	3	0	
40 " "	51	7	2	3	1	64	10	3	1	
42 " "	9	5	2	5	0	21	11	6	1	
44 " "	4	2	0	2	3	11	2	1	0	
46 " "	6	1	1	2	0	10	3	0	0	
48 " "	4	0	0	1	0	5	1	1	0	
50 " "	2	0	0	4	1	7	4	1	0	
55 " "	2	3	2	0	0	7	1	3	0	
60 and Over	0	4	3	1	4	12	1	3	2	
Total Cases	3,755	798	221	464	127	5,365	103	54	22	5,
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.6	26.1	23.5	20.2	20.8	26.7	36.9	32.0	33.1	2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>										
Below Average Ratio	3.3	2.4	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	4.3	8.5	---	
Above Average Ratio	3.0	2.6	4.8	3.8	4.3	3.2	4.5	10.5	---	
Total	6.3	5.0	8.1	7.3	8.3	6.5	8.8	19.0	---	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	32.6	6.7	2.3	10.6	1.3	53.5	0.9	10.7	6.1	7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by Legislative Council.

Size  
Variation  
Property

Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land				Total Rural	Total County
		With Impts.	Without Impts.	Remote from Denver With Impts.	Remote from Denver Without Impts.	Near Denver With Impts.	Near Denver Without Impts.		
20		0	3	1	1	10	65	80	100
19		2	2	2	0	15	46	67	87
50		2	3	1	0	17	62	85	135
77		0	1	1	1	25	44	72	149
104		2	0	1	1	27	37	68	172
149		2	0	0	0	34	32	68	217
195		2	1	2	2	56	24	87	282
376		0	0	3	1	62	12	78	454
637		1	0	0	0	91	10	102	739
799		0	0	3	0	108	8	119	918
714		0	1	2	0	186	4	193	907
695		1	0	2	0	238	3	244	939
653		0	0	4	1	234	7	246	899
438		0	0	3	0	209	0	212	650
285		0	0	1	0	84	1	86	371
141		0	0	0	0	56	9	65	206
78		1	0	0	0	20	4	25	103
39		1	0	0	0	17	1	19	58
14		0	0	0	0	7	2	9	23
13		0	0	0	0	8	1	9	22
7		0	0	0	0	7	1	8	15
12		0	0	0	0	3	2	5	17
11		0	0	0	0	2	2	4	15
18		0	0	0	1	14	4	19	37
5,544		14	11	26	8	1,530	381	1,970	7,514
27.9		22.8	11.9	26.2	17.1	30.8	15.2	25.6	27.2
4.4		9.3	2.4	5.7	1.1	4.0	3.9	4.5	4.4
4.0		2.2	2.8	6.6	10.9	3.6	4.8	3.8	3.9
8.4		11.5	5.2	12.3	12.0	7.6	8.7	8.3	8.3
71.2		2.9	1.6	1.9	0.2	20.3	1.6	28.6	99.9

Sales Ratio Class'

Under 10		
10 and	"	12
12 "	"	14
14 "	"	16
16 "	"	18
18 "	"	20
20 "	"	22
22 "	"	24
24 "	"	26
26 "	"	28
28 "	"	30
30 "	"	32
32 "	"	34
34 "	"	36
36 "	"	38
38 "	"	40
40 "	"	42
42 "	"	44
44 "	"	46
46 "	"	48
48 "	"	50
50 "	"	55
55 "	"	60
60 and Over		

Total Cases

Average Sales Ratio

Measure of Variation:  
Below Average Ratio

Above Average Ratio

Total

Prop. of Ass'd. Value

a. Range in percenta  
low to high.

b. Assessed value in  
as reported by th.

high.  
rtd by the assessor to the



Archuleta County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class' (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
16 " " 18	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
18 " " 20	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
20 " " 22	6	0	6	14	0	14	20
22 " " 24	2	1	3	0	1	1	4
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
26 " " 28	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
30 " " 32	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
32 " " 34	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
34 " " 36	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total Cases	21	1	22	14	6	20	42
Average Sales Ratio (%)	24.7	---	23.9	20.2	----	21.6	22.0
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>							
Below Average Ratio	3.1	---	2.3	----	----	0.6	1.0
Above Average Ratio	11.7	---	12.5	----	----	4.1	4.8
Total	14.8	---	14.8	----	----	4.7	5.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	10.9	8.4	19.3	0.1	78.6	78.7	98.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

uleta County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

by Age Class (years)			All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
29-48	Over 48	All Ages			With Impts.	Without Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	
0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	3	
0	1	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	4	
0	3	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	4	
1	5	8	0	7	1	0	14	15	23	
0	2	5	1	6	0	0	0	1	7	
0	0	3	0	3	3	0	0	3	6	
0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	
0	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	2	4	
0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	
0	1	4	0	4	1	1	0	2	8	
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	
0	0	5	0	5	1	0	0	1	6	
1	17	47	2	49	11	6	15	5	37	86
---	22.8	26.2	---	26.1	18.9	17.0	19.2	---	19.3	20.3
---	3.3	3.4	---	3.3	----	1.5	---	---	---	0.5
---	7.2	11.5	---	11.6	----	12.0	---	---	---	12.4
---	10.5	14.9	---	14.9	----	13.5	---	---	---	12.9
1.4	3.4	10.9	8.4	19.3	66.7	6.7	5.1	0.1	78.7	98.0

half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
 cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

30

Archuleta County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Ag: With Impt:
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48				
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	?
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	(
16 " " 18	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	(
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	3	
20 " " 22	0	1	1	1	5	8	0	7	
22 " " 24	1	1	1	0	2	5	1	6	(
24 " " 26	0	1	2	0	0	3	0	3	?
26 " " 28	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	3	(
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	!
30 " " 32	2	1	1	0	0	4	0	4	(
32 " " 34	0	2	1	0	1	4	0	4	!
34 " " 36	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	(
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	(
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	(
40 " " 42	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	!
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	(
50 " " 55	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	(
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	(
60 and Over	1	1	3	0	0	5	0	5	!
Total Cases	5	10	14	1	17	47	2	49	11
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	29.5	25.6	---	22.8	26.2	---	26.1	18.9
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>									
Below Average Ratio	---	4.5	1.1	---	3.3	3.4	---	3.3	----
Above Average Ratio	---	9.5	26.9	---	7.2	11.5	---	11.6	----
Total	---	14.0	28.0	---	10.5	14.9	---	14.9	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	2.1	2.7	1.3	1.4	3.4	10.9	8.4	19.3	66.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported.

Baca County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
14 " " 16	0	1	0	4	0	5	0
16 " " 18	0	2	1	1	0	4	0
18 " " 20	1	2	0	1	0	4	0
20 " " 22	0	1	1	2	0	4	0
22 " " 24	1	3	2	1	0	7	0
24 " " 26	1	6	1	1	0	9	0
26 " " 28	0	5	0	5	1	11	0
28 " " 30	0	1	0	4	0	5	0
30 " " 32	1	2	1	0	0	4	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	4	1	0	0	5	0
36 " " 38	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
40 " " 42	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
42 " " 44	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
50 " " 55	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
60 and Over	0	3	0	6	0	9	5
Total Cases	4	35	9	28	1	77	8
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	26.5	27.0	24.4	---	25.5	---
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>							
Below Average Ratio	---	3.3	4.8	6.4	---	4.7	---
Above Average Ratio	---	8.6	10.0	10.0	---	8.8	---
Total	---	11.9	14.8	16.4	---	13.5	---
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.5	4.4	2.5	4.9	0.1	13.5	6.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported.

Baca County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 for the 1 1/2 Years Ending December 31, 1960

Conveyances by Age Class (years)			All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
29-48	Over 48	All Ages						
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	5
2	0	2	0	2	3	0	3	3
4	0	5	0	5	2	1	3	8
1	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
1	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
2	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
1	0	7	0	7	0	1	1	8
1	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
5	1	11	0	11	2	1	3	14
4	0	5	0	5	1	0	1	6
0	0	4	0	4	0	1	1	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
6	0	9	5	14	0	0	0	14
28	1	77	8	85	9	6	15	100
24.4	---	25.5	---	32.2	15.1	----	16.3	18.1
6.4	---	4.7	---	5.0	2.3	----	3.1	3.4
10.0	---	8.8	---	21.6	11.7	----	10.9	12.0
16.4	---	13.5	---	26.6	14.0	----	14.0	15.4
4.9	0.1	13.5	6.4	19.8	51.0	28.7	79.8	99.6

If any of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
 Report of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Assessments by Size  
 Measure of Variation  
 by Class of Property  
 December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	1	3	0	4	4
0	0	1	1	3	0	4	5
0	0	2	2	7	0	9	11
0	0	8	3	5	0	8	16
1	0	6	1	10	1	12	18
0	0	10	4	8	0	12	22
0	0	15	3	5	1	9	24
0	0	12	2	6	0	8	20
0	0	17	1	1	0	2	19
0	0	20	3	4	0	7	27
0	0	15	1	3	0	4	19
0	0	7	1	1	0	2	9
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	12	0	1	0	1	13
0	0	4	0	1	0	1	5
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	1	6	0	1	1	2	8
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	2
0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
1	1	4	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5	1	22	0	2	0	2	24
8	4	169	23	62	5	90	259
13.8	---	29.7	18.5	18.9	---	18.8	20.2
---	---	2.7	3.3	3.9	---	3.7	3.5
---	---	17.9	6.1	4.9	---	5.5	7.2
---	---	20.6	9.4	8.8	---	9.2	10.7
6.0	0.3	19.8	27.9	51.0	0.8	79.8	99.6

Assessments arranged from low to high.  
 as reported in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sales Ratio Class (%)

Under 10		
10	and	12
12	"	14
14	"	16
16	"	18
18	"	20
20	"	22
22	"	24
24	"	26
26	"	28
28	"	30
30	"	32
32	"	34
34	"	36
36	"	38
38	"	40
40	"	42
42	"	44
44	"	46
46	"	48
48	"	50
50	"	55
55	"	60
60	and Over	

Total Cases  
 Average Sales Ratio (%)  
 Measure of Variation<sup>a</sup>  
 Below Average Ratio  
 Above Average Ratio  
 Total  
 Prop. of Ass'd. Value<sup>b</sup>

- a. Range in percentage p
- b. Assessed value in 195

Baca County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variatio  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Tot Urb
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48				
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	
14 " " 16	0	1	1	6	0	8	0	0	
16 " " 18	0	2	1	2	0	5	1	0	
18 " " 20	2	5	0	3	0	10	0	0	1
20 " " 22	2	5	2	6	0	15	0	0	1
22 " " 24	2	5	2	3	0	12	0	0	1
24 " " 26	2	10	2	3	0	17	0	0	1
26 " " 28	0	8	3	8	1	20	0	0	2
28 " " 30	2	5	2	6	0	15	0	0	1
30 " " 32	1	3	1	2	0	7	0	0	
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
34 " " 36	1	10	1	0	0	12	0	0	1
36 " " 38	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	
38 " " 40	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	
40 " " 42	1	2	1	0	0	4	1	1	
42 " " 44	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	
44 " " 46	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
48 " " 50	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
50 " " 55	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
60 and Over	1	6	1	8	0	16	5	1	2
Total Cases	14	73	19	50	1	157	8	4	16
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.9	27.5	27.0	23.7	---	25.7	43.8	---	29.
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>									
Below Average Ratio	4.4	3.8	4.2	4.0	---	4.0	---	---	2.
Above Average Ratio	5.1	8.5	7.0	5.8	---	6.8	---	---	17.
Total	9.5	12.3	11.2	9.8	---	10.8	---	---	20.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.5	4.4	2.5	4.9	0.1	13.5	6.0	0.3	19.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as of 12/31/60.

: Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 for the 1 1/2 Year Period.

<u>Age (years)</u>		<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land With Impts.</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land With Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>							
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
2	3	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
3	4	0	4	0	0	2	2	6
2	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
5	7	0	7	0	0	1	1	8
3	7	0	7	0	2	0	2	9
3	4	2	6	1	0	0	1	7
1	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
5	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
3	5	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
2	3	1	4	1	3	0	4	8
3	8	0	8	2	2	0	4	12
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	3
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	3
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	3	1	4	0	0	1	1	5
33	63	5	68	10	12	6	28	96
23.1	25.8	---	28.2	37.9	28.0	---	30.4	29.8
4.6	4.6	---	5.4	6.9	7.0	---	5.7	5.6
5.4	5.4	---	9.8	3.6	8.2	---	7.4	8.0
10.0	10.0	---	15.2	10.5	15.2	---	13.1	13.6
6.1	16.1	7.2	23.3	59.0	2.6	14.6	76.2	99.5

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

1 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.



Bent County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
for the 1½ Year Period.

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	3
14 " " 16	0	0	1	0	3	4	0	4
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2
18 " " 20	0	1	0	1	5	7	0	7
20 " " 22	1	1	0	2	3	7	0	7
22 " " 24	0	0	0	1	3	4	2	6
24 " " 26	1	1	0	2	1	5	0	5
26 " " 28	1	0	0	0	5	6	0	6
28 " " 30	1	1	0	0	3	5	0	5
30 " " 32	0	1	0	0	2	3	1	4
32 " " 34	1	3	1	0	3	8	0	8
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
36 " " 38	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	2	1	0	3	1	4
Total Cases	7	8	7	8	33	63	5	68
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.6	30.1	45.3	22.0	23.1	25.8	---	28.2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>								
Below Average Ratio	4.1	7.1	11.3	2.2	4.6	4.6	---	5.4
Above Average Ratio	4.9	2.6	25.1	3.0	5.4	5.4	---	9.8
Total	9.0	9.7	36.4	5.2	10.0	10.0	---	15.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	2.8	2.5	1.4	3.3	6.1	16.1	7.2	23.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as rep

Bent County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban
	1-8	9-18	19-28.	29-48	Over 48				
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	2
12 " " 14	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	4
14 " " 16	0	0	2	0	3	5	0	0	5
16 " " 18	0	0	1	0	4	5	0	0	5
18 " " 20	0	1	0	1	8	10	0	0	10
20 " " 22	1	2	0	5	4	12	0	0	12
22 " " 24	0	0	0	1	6	7	1	1	9
24 " " 26	1	2	1	2	5	11	0	1	12
26 " " 28	2	1	1	0	10	14	0	0	14
28 " " 30	3	1	0	3	6	13	0	0	13
30 " " 32	3	2	1	1	4	11	0	1	12
32 " " 34	1	3	2	1	3	10	0	0	10
34 " " 36	2	0	1	0	3	6	2	0	8
36 " " 38	1	1	2	2	0	6	0	0	6
38 " " 40	0	1	2	0	1	4	0	0	4
40 " " 42	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
42 " " 44	0	1	2	0	1	4	2	0	6
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
46 " " 48	1	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	4
48 " " 50	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2
50 " " 55	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	3
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	2	8	3	1	14	4	0	18
Total Cases	15	18	29	23	63	148	11	4	163
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.5	31.2	37.8	30.1	24.4	28.3	50.4	---	32.4
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>									
Below Average Ratio	2.8	5.7	5.7	9.0	5.0	5.6	13.2	---	7.0
Above Average Ratio	3.6	7.8	29.1	7.1	5.0	7.1	16.7	---	8.9
Total	6.4	13.5	34.8	16.1	10.0	12.7	29.9	---	15.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	2.8	2.5	1.4	3.3	6.1	16.1	6.6	0.6	23.3

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported.  
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

of Conveyances by Size  
 es Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 d Value by Class of Property  
 iding December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.				
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	3
0	0	4	0	1	1	0	2	6
0	0	5	1	5	1	0	7	12
0	0	5	1	3	1	0	5	10
0	0	10	1	3	0	0	4	14
0	0	12	0	1	3	1	5	17
1	1	9	2	3	0	1	6	15
0	1	12	1	1	1	0	3	15
0	0	14	0	0	2	1	3	17
0	0	13	1	0	0	0	1	14
0	1	12	3	3	4	0	10	22
0	0	10	3	1	3	0	7	17
2	0	8	2	0	0	0	2	10
0	0	6	1	1	0	0	2	8
0	0	4	3	2	1	0	6	10
0	0	2	5	0	2	0	7	9
2	0	6	0	0	1	0	1	7
0	0	1	2	1	1	1	5	6
1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
0	1	2	2	1	0	0	3	5
1	0	3	2	1	0	0	3	6
0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	3
4	0	18	3	1	1	0	5	23
11	4	163	35	30	22	4	91	254
50.4	---	32.4	39.6	23.3	27.3	---	34.5	33.9
13.2	---	7.0	8.4	7.5	5.6	---	8.1	7.7
16.7	---	8.9	8.2	9.7	11.7	---	8.7	8.9
29.9	---	15.9	16.6	17.2	17.3	---	16.8	16.6
6.6	0.6	23.3	59.0	14.5	2.6	0.0 <sup>c</sup>	76.2	99.5

Sales Ratio Class

Sales Ratio Class	Under 10
10 and "	12
12 " "	14
14 " "	16
16 " "	18
18 " "	20
20 " "	22
22 " "	24
24 " "	26
26 " "	28
28 " "	30
30 " "	32
32 " "	34
34 " "	36
36 " "	38
38 " "	40
40 " "	42
42 " "	44
44 " "	46
46 " "	48
48 " "	50
50 " "	55
55 " "	60
60 and Over	

Total Cases  
 Average Sales Ratio (%)  
 Measure of Variation<sup>a</sup>  
 Below Average Ratio  
 Above Average Ratio  
 Total  
 Prop. of Ass'd. Value<sup>t</sup>

When arranged from low to high.  
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Boulder County: Number of  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio  
and Proportion of Assessed Value  
for the 1½ Years Ending Dec

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Fami Dwellings
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	4	4	0
10 and " 12	1	0	0	1	3	5	0
12 " " 14	1	2	0	2	7	12	0
14 " " 16	1	1	0	7	16	25	0
16 " " 18	2	1	0	5	19	27	0
18 " " 20	0	4	2	6	32	44	0
20 " " 22	7	5	5	16	28	61	1
22 " " 24	9	7	4	17	32	69	1
24 " " 26	16	7	5	22	16	66	4
26 " " 28	28	14	11	17	21	91	3
28 " " 30	102	19	5	10	15	151	2
30 " " 32	169	36	6	14	14	240	3
32 " " 34	193	19	10	9	9	240	2
34 " " 36	181	17	1	7	3	209	2
36 " " 38	99	9	1	2	5	116	2
38 " " 40	49	7	1	6	4	67	1
40 " " 42	18	9	0	3	1	31	0
42 " " 44	6	4	0	1	1	12	1
44 " " 46	6	4	0	3	3	16	0
46 " " 48	1	0	0	0	2	3	0
48 " " 50	3	0	0	0	0	3	0
50 " " 55	0	3	0	0	0	3	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	2	0	0	1	2	5	0
Total Cases	894	168	52	149	237	1,500	22
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.1	31.4	28.2	26.7	23.2	29.8	30.5
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>							
Below Average Ratio	2.4	3.3	3.4	4.7	4.6	3.5	4.7
Above Average Ratio	2.5	3.9	3.8	4.5	4.8	3.5	4.0
Total	4.9	7.2	7.2	9.2	9.4	7.0	8.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	28.8	6.8	3.0	17.8	3.8	60.2	3.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 Ending December 31, 1960

Single-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	4	0	3	2	3	8	12
0	0	0	5	0	2	5	12	19	24
0	2	0	14	3	0	7	18	28	42
0	0	0	25	3	0	6	16	25	50
0	3	0	30	1	0	13	30	44	74
0	0	0	44	0	2	12	7	21	65
1	2	0	64	0	2	18	22	42	106
1	2	0	72	3	1	11	9	24	96
4	0	0	70	2	0	7	33	42	112
3	6	1	101	5	1	8	12	26	127
2	3	0	156	1	0	15	7	23	179
3	2	0	245	1	0	14	9	24	269
2	2	0	244	0	0	12	7	19	262
2	0	0	211	0	0	9	3	12	223
2	2	0	120	1	0	3	3	7	127
1	2	0	70	0	0	4	0	4	74
0	1	0	32	0	0	3	2	5	37
1	1	0	14	1	0	1	0	2	16
0	0	0	15	0	0	2	0	2	18
0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	5
0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	5
0	0	1	4	0	0	1	1	2	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	2	0	7	0	0	2	3	5	12
22	30	2	1,554	21	11	159	198	389	1,943
30.5	29.7	---	29.8	21.5	14.7	26.9	20.4	20.3	27.0
4.7	7.2	---	4.2	6.0	5.2	7.8	4.4	5.9	4.7
4.0	6.8	---	4.1	6.0	6.5	5.3	5.5	6.0	4.6
8.7	14.0	---	8.3	12.0	11.7	13.1	9.9	11.9	9.3
3.1	12.5	0.2	75.9	14.8	3.9	2.5	0.7	22.0	97.9

When arranged from low to high.  
 Value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

r of Conveyances by Size  
 es Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 d Value by Class of Property  
 ding December 31, 1960

Family Buildings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	8	0	9	6	29	44	52
0	0	1	8	2	3	8	33	46	54
0	2	0	31	4	3	20	37	64	95
0	1	0	54	5	1	17	25	48	102
0	3	0	67	1	2	20	43	66	133
1	2	1	112	1	4	23	17	45	157
3	2	1	140	6	6	27	36	75	215
1	6	0	156	7	3	31	28	69	225
4	2	0	142	8	0	20	48	76	218
4	7	2	196	7	1	11	16	35	231
3	6	0	293	7	4	31	9	51	344
8	3	0	469	7	2	32	20	61	530
5	6	0	491	1	2	22	18	43	534
3	6	0	459	1	0	19	3	23	482
2	5	1	308	5	0	12	5	22	330
1	4	0	200	2	0	6	0	8	208
1	2	0	107	1	0	6	7	14	121
1	2	0	56	2	0	2	4	8	64
0	0	0	34	0	0	3	2	5	39
0	1	1	23	0	0	5	2	7	30
0	1	0	10	1	0	4	0	5	15
0	1	1	8	0	1	2	4	7	15
0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	4
0	5	1	22	1	0	7	7	15	37
37	67	9	3,396	69	41	335	394	839	4,235
1.3	30.1	44.4	30.3	25.1	17.7	27.1	18.9	23.3	28.4
1.2	5.3	23.9	4.2	3.7	6.9	8.0	4.9	4.9	4.4
1.2	7.6	4.0	4.4	6.0	6.8	5.4	7.1	6.2	4.8
1.4	12.9	27.9	8.6	9.7	13.7	13.4	12.0	11.1	9.2
1.1	12.5	0.2	75.9	14.8	3.9	2.5	0.7	22.0	97.9

en arranged from low to high.  
 ue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

36

Boulder County: Number of Co  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati  
and Proportion of Assessed Value  
for the 3½ Years Ending De

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings
		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10		0	1	0	1	6	8	0
10 and "	12	1	0	0	3	3	7	0
12 "	" 14	2	2	0	12	13	29	0
14 "	" 16	3	1	1	15	33	53	0
16 "	" 18	3	6	3	19	33	64	0
18 "	" 20	4	7	4	37	56	108	1
20 "	" 22	16	8	10	37	63	134	3
22 "	" 24	21	11	7	52	58	149	1
24 "	" 26	36	15	7	49	29	136	4
26 "	" 28	49	21	17	49	47	183	4
28 "	" 30	168	37	9	47	23	284	3
30 "	" 32	313	57	15	47	26	458	8
32 "	" 34	370	43	17	34	16	480	5
34 "	" 36	374	40	5	23	8	450	3
36 "	" 38	240	23	6	14	17	300	2
38 "	" 40	154	19	3	14	5	195	1
40 "	" 42	77	17	2	5	3	104	1
42 "	" 44	32	10	1	4	6	53	1
44 "	" 46	18	4	0	7	5	34	0
46 "	" 48	12	3	1	1	4	21	0
48 "	" 50	5	0	1	0	3	9	0
50 "	" 55	0	3	0	2	1	6	0
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
60 and Over		4	1	0	7	4	16	0
Total Cases		1,902	329	109	481	462	3,283	37
Average Sales Ratio (%)		33.8	32.2	29.1	27.0	23.3	30.3	30.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>								
Below Average Ratio		2.7	3.6	4.5	5.2	4.3	3.9	4.2
Above Average Ratio		2.8	3.7	3.9	4.7	5.2	3.8	3.2
Total		5.5	7.3	8.4	9.9	9.5	7.7	7.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>		28.8	6.8	3.0	17.8	3.8	60.2	3.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in 1957.

Chaffee County: Number of Conv.  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio,  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by  
for the 1½ Years Ending Decem

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Cor. Bu.
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	7	7		
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	2	3		
14 " " 16	0	0	1	2	1	4		
16 " " 18	1	2	0	1	5	9		
18 " " 20	0	0	0	2	1	3		
20 " " 22	0	1	1	3	6	11		
22 " " 24	2	1	0	2	7	12		
24 " " 26	3	2	0	0	8	13		
26 " " 28	5	1	0	2	3	11		
28 " " 30	10	1	0	1	4	16		
30 " " 32	6	2	0	0	2	10		
32 " " 34	1	1	0	1	2	5		
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	0	1		
36 " " 38	2	0	0	0	0	2		
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0		
40 " " 42	2	0	0	0	3	5		
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	1	1		
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0		
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	2	2		
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0		
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0		
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0		
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Cases	33	11	2	15	54	115		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.3	25.1	---	20.7	22.6	23.6		
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>								
Below Average Ratio	2.4	3.6	---	3.3	5.2	4.3		
Above Average Ratio	1.9	5.0	---	5.1	5.6	4.6		
Total	4.3	8.6	---	8.4	10.8	8.9		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	8.5	3.7	1.9	3.1	20.7	37.9		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value



of Conveyances by Size  
 Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Value by Class of Property  
 g December 31, 1960

<u>Classes</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Misc. With Impts.</u>	<u>Rural Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
7	0	0	7	0	1	0	1	8
3	0	0	3	1	0	1	2	5
4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
9	0	0	9	0	1	0	1	10
3	0	0	3	1	1	1	3	6
11	0	0	11	1	0	0	1	12
12	0	0	12	4	2	0	6	18
13	0	0	13	1	1	1	3	16
11	1	0	12	0	0	1	1	13
16	1	0	17	1	1	0	2	19
10	0	1	11	1	1	0	2	13
5	1	0	6	1	1	0	2	8
1	2	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
1	2	1	4	0	1	0	1	5
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	2	2	0	0	2	4
5	10	3	128	15	12	6	33	161
6	38.9	---	27.3	27.8	25.2	----	25.0	26.3
3	5.9	---	4.6	5.4	7.2	----	5.5	4.9
6	6.1	---	5.0	6.7	6.8	----	17.2	10.1
9	12.0	---	9.6	12.1	14.0	----	22.7	15.0
9	18.3	2.9	59.0	16.6	1.1	21.1	38.9	98.0

all when arranged from low to high.  
 ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Chaffee County: Number of Conv  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio,  
and Proportion of Assessed Value k  
for the 3½ Years Ending Dec

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Multi-Family Dwelling Bu
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	
Under 10	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	7	7	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	3	3	6	0
14 " " 16	2	0	1	5	8	16	0
16 " " 18	2	3	1	3	14	23	0
18 " " 20	1	0	0	6	3	10	0
20 " " 22	1	2	1	6	19	29	0
22 " " 24	2	4	0	5	10	21	0
24 " " 26	4	2	2	4	18	30	0
26 " " 28	8	2	0	5	7	22	0
28 " " 30	18	1	1	2	9	31	0
30 " " 32	15	3	1	1	6	26	1
32 " " 34	8	2	0	2	4	16	1
34 " " 36	3	0	0	0	0	3	2
36 " " 38	4	0	0	0	0	4	1
38 " " 40	0	1	0	1	0	2	1
40 " " 42	4	1	0	1	4	10	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	3	4	1
44 " " 46	0	2	0	2	1	5	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	3	3	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
50 " " 55	0	2	1	1	1	5	0
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	1	2	0
60 and Over	0	1	0	0	3	4	0
Total Cases	72	27	8	49	126	282	9
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.8	27.2	23.3	21.6	23.4	24.8	38.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>							
Below Average Ratio	2.3	4.3	4.3	3.6	5.6	4.7	4.2
Above Average Ratio	2.4	13.3	6.7	5.9	5.6	5.7	5.7
Total	4.7	17.6	11.0	9.5	11.2	10.4	9.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	8.5	3.7	1.9	3.1	20.7	37.9	2.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the

Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Single-Family</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Industrial</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Agric.</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>All</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Land</u>	<u>With</u>	<u>Without</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
				<u>With</u>	<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
				<u>Impts.</u>					
0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	3
0	0	0	7	1	0	1	0	2	9
0	0	0	6	1	1	2	1	5	11
0	0	0	16	0	0	2	0	2	18
0	0	0	23	0	2	2	0	4	27
0	0	0	10	1	2	1	0	4	14
0	2	0	31	1	3	0	0	4	35
0	1	0	22	3	4	2	0	9	31
0	1	1	32	2	2	1	1	6	38
0	1	0	23	2	1	0	0	3	26
0	2	0	33	1	3	2	0	6	39
1	1	0	28	0	2	5	1	8	36
1	1	0	18	0	3	1	0	4	22
2	2	0	7	1	1	0	0	2	9
1	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
1	3	0	8	0	0	2	1	3	11
0	1	0	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
1	1	0	3	0	1	1	0	2	5
0	1	0	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	3
0	3	3	10	1	2	0	0	3	13
9	20	6	317	18	27	23	4	72	389
.3	34.1	67.0	27.7	24.9	26.3	22.3	---	25.5	26.8
.2	6.3	30.0	5.2	2.6	5.1	6.5	---	4.0	4.7
.7	12.9	14.2	7.6	12.1	5.8	9.0	---	9.3	8.3
.9	19.2	44.2	12.8	14.7	10.9	15.5	---	13.3	13.0
0	18.3	0.9	59.0	19.5	16.6	1.1	1.6	38.9	98.0

arranged from low to high.  
 e in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

38

Cheyenne County: Number  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales  
and Proportion of Assessed Value  
for the 1½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)				
		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48
	Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	" 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	0	0
14 "	" 16	0	0	0	1	1
16 "	" 18	0	0	0	0	1
18 "	" 20	0	0	0	0	1
20 "	" 22	0	1	0	0	1
22 "	" 24	0	0	0	0	0
24 "	" 26	0	0	0	1	0
26 "	" 28	0	0	1	0	0
28 "	" 30	0	0	1	0	0
30 "	" 32	0	1	0	0	0
32 "	" 34	0	0	1	1	0
34 "	" 36	0	0	0	0	0
36 "	" 38	0	1	1	1	0
38 "	" 40	2	0	0	0	0
40 "	" 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	" 44	0	0	1	1	0
44 "	" 46	0	1	1	0	0
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	1	0
48 "	" 50	0	1	0	0	0
50 "	" 55	0	0	0	0	1
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over		0	1	0	0	1
Total Cases		2	6	6	6	6
Average Sales Ratio (%)		---	40.0	36.0	36.3	20.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>						
Below Average Ratio		---	9.0	7.0	11.3	3.3
Above Average Ratio		---	9.0	7.0	6.7	32.2
Total		---	18.0	14.0	18.0	35.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>		1.8	1.6	0.4	2.2	1.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall within  
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 as Ending December 31, 1960

Years)	All	All	Total	Agric.	All	Total	Total
Over 48	Ages	Other	Urban	Land	Other	Rural	County
		Urban		Without	Rural		
				Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	3	0	3	3
1	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	3	0	3	3
0	1	1	2	1	0	1	3
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	2	4	0	0	0	4
6	26	6	32	8	0	8	40
20.3	32.8	---	44.3	19.1	----	19.1	20.7
3.3	6.0	---	15.2	5.8	----	5.8	6.0
32.2	13.3	---	13.0	5.2	----	5.2	6.6
35.5	19.3	---	28.2	11.0	----	11.0	12.6
1.3	7.3	6.5	13.8	59.1	26.8	85.9	99.7

fall when arranged from low to high.  
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Cheyenne County: Number of Cor  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio,  
and Proportion of Assessed Value b  
for the 3½ Years Ending Decem

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Co Bu
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	1	2	
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	1	2	
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	1	1	
18 " " 20	0	0	0	1	1	2	
20 " " 22	0	1	0	1	1	3	
22 " " 24	0	0	0	1	0	1	
24 " " 26	0	0	0	2	1	3	
26 " " 28	0	0	1	0	0	1	
28 " " 30	0	0	1	0	0	1	
30 " " 32	0	1	0	0	0	1	
32 " " 34	0	0	1	2	0	3	
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	0	
36 " " 38	0	1	1	1	1	4	
38 " " 40	2	1	0	0	0	3	
40 " " 42	0	0	0	1	1	2	
42 " " 44	0	0	1	1	0	2	
44 " " 46	0	2	1	0	0	3	
46 " " 48	0	1	0	1	0	2	
48 " " 50	0	1	0	0	0	1	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	1	1	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over	0	1	0	0	2	3	
Total Cases	2	9	6	13	11	41	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	41.2	36.0	28.7	23.7	32.0	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>							
Below Average Ratio	---	5.7	7.0	8.2	6.2	5.6	
Above Average Ratio	---	6.3	7.0	9.3	25.9	10.9	
Total	---	12.0	14.0	17.5	32.1	16.5	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.8	1.6	0.4	2.2	1.3	7.3	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

r of Conveyances by Size  
 s Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Value by Class of Property  
 ng December 31, 1960

All Cases	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
2	0	0	2	1	3	0	4	6
2	0	0	2	1	2	0	3	5
1	0	0	1	0	3	0	3	4
2	0	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
3	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
1	0	0	1	2	8	0	10	11
3	0	1	4	1	6	0	7	11
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
1	1	0	2	0	3	0	3	5
1	0	0	1	1	4	0	5	6
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
3	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
2	1	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
3	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	2	0	1	0	1	3
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
3	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
41	6	4	51	11	38	0	49	100
32.0	77.0	---	41.8	23.6	22.9	---	23.1	24.6
5.6	36.0	---	12.6	4.1	5.2	---	4.9	5.5
10.9	15.5	---	10.8	13.4	6.1	---	8.3	8.6
16.5	51.5	---	23.4	17.5	11.3	---	13.2	14.1
7.3	4.0	2.5	13.8	26.8	59.1	0.0	85.9	99.7

s fall when arranged from low to high.  
 essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Clear Creek County: Number of C  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio  
and Proportion of Assessed Value  
for the 1½ Years Ending Dece

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages
Under 10	0	0	0	0	6	6
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	12	12
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	11	11
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	7	8
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	6	6
18 " " 20	1	1	0	0	4	6
20 " " 22	0	0	0	0	1	1
22 " " 24	2	0	1	0	2	5
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	2	2
26 " " 28	2	0	0	0	2	4
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	0	0
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	1	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	1	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	0	0	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	6	1	1	1	55	64
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.3	---	---	---	13.9	14.6
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>						
Below Average Ratio	2.8	---	---	---	2.6	2.6
Above Average Ratio	2.2	---	---	---	3.9	3.8
Total	5.0	---	---	---	6.5	6.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.7	0.8	0.8	1.5	14.7	19.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value



umber of Conveyances by Size  
 ales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 sed Value by Class of Property  
 nding December 31, 1960

rs)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. With Impts.	Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
6	1	0	7	1	1	0	2	9	
12	0	0	12	4	5	0	9	21	
11	1	0	12	5	1	0	6	18	
8	0	0	8	3	2	0	5	13	
6	0	0	6	2	7	0	9	15	
6	0	0	6	2	6	0	8	14	
1	1	0	2	4	39	0	43	45	
5	1	0	6	3	6	0	9	15	
2	0	0	2	1	16	0	17	19	
4	0	0	4	2	8	0	10	14	
0	1	0	1	1	2	0	3	4	
0	0	0	0	1	3	0	4	4	
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	
1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	
0	0	0	0	2	4	0	6	6	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	
64	8	0	72	34	102	0	136	208	
14.6	22.5	---	18.3	18.9	21.5	----	20.3	19.3	
2.6	5.5	---	4.0	5.5	1.3	----	3.3	3.7	
3.8	40.7	---	20.9	7.6	3.7	----	5.5	13.3	
6.4	46.2	---	24.9	13.1	5.0	----	8.8	17.0	
19.4	21.8	5.5	46.7	18.3	23.1	10.4	51.8	98.5	

ios fall when arranged from low to high.  
 ssessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Clear Creek County: Number of  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio  
and Proportion of Assessed Value  
for the 3½ Years Ending Dec

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	1	10	11
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	18	18
12 " " 14	1	0	0	1	19	21
14 " " 16	1	0	0	1	13	15
16 " " 18	0	0	1	2	15	18
18 " " 20	2	1	0	0	6	9
20 " " 22	0	0	1	0	5	6
22 " " 24	2	2	2	0	2	8
24 " " 26	1	1	0	0	5	7
26 " " 28	2	0	0	0	3	5
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	2	2
30 " " 32	1	0	1	0	1	3
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	2	2
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	2	2
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	0	0	1	2
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	1	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	1	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	1	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	1	1	2
Total Cases	11	4	5	6	108	134
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.4	---	---	13.4	14.9	15.5
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>						
Below Average Ratio	1.6	---	---	0.4	3.0	2.5
Above Average Ratio	6.8	---	---	4.1	5.1	5.0
Total	8.4	---	---	4.5	8.1	7.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.7	0.8	0.8	1.5	14.7	19.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall within  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Ratio of Conveyances by Size  
 Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Value by Class of Property  
 as of December 31, 1960

Classes	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
11	1	0	12	3	5	1	9	21
13	1	0	19	10	6	0	16	35
21	1	0	22	6	3	0	9	31
15	0	0	15	4	6	0	10	25
18	1	0	19	11	12	0	23	42
9	4	1	14	5	7	1	13	27
6	1	0	7	4	50	0	54	61
8	1	0	9	6	13	0	19	28
7	2	0	9	3	20	0	23	32
5	1	0	6	3	9	0	12	18
2	1	1	4	2	3	0	5	9
3	0	0	3	3	5	0	8	11
2	0	1	3	1	2	0	3	6
2	1	0	3	2	0	0	2	5
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
2	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
0	0	0	0	2	5	0	7	7
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	2	1	0	3	3
0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	3	0	5	0	2	1	3	8
4	21	3	158	70	152	3	225	383
5	23.0	---	18.7	18.7	20.7	----	19.8	19.3
5	4.4	---	3.3	5.7	1.0	----	3.7	3.5
0	18.0	---	10.7	7.6	4.5	----	7.2	8.9
5	22.4	---	14.0	13.3	5.5	----	10.9	12.4
4	21.8	5.5	46.7	18.3	23.1	10.4	51.8	98.5

all when arranged from low to high.  
 ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conejos County: Number of  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ra  
and Proportion of Assessed Valu  
for the 1½ Years Ending De

Sales Ratio Class (%)			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					A
			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	A
	Under	10	0	1	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0	
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	0	
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	1	0	
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	0	0	
18 "	"	20	0	1	0	1	2	
20 "	"	22	0	0	0	1	1	
22 "	"	24	0	0	0	2	0	
24 "	"	26	0	0	1	1	0	
26 "	"	28	1	0	0	0	0	
28 "	"	30	1	2	0	1	1	
30 "	"	32	0	0	0	3	0	
32 "	"	34	1	2	1	1	0	
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	0	1	
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	2	1	
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	1	0	
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	1	1	
42 "	"	44	1	2	0	0	0	
44 "	"	46	0	0	1	0	1	
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	1	
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	1	
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over			1	0	1	2	0	
Total Cases			5	8	4	17	10	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			-	30.5	-	28.3	28.4	29
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>								
Below Average Ratio			-	6.7	-	5.1	7.4	6
Above Average Ratio			-	7.5	-	9.6	16.6	22
Total			-	14.2	-	14.7	24.0	28
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>			1.0	2.4	1.7	3.6	5.4	14

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 Ending December 31, 1960.

Years)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agriculture Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
2	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	4
2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	3
5	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
3	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
5	0	0	5	1	3	0	4	9
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
3	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	5
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	1	0	5	2	1	0	3	8
44	3	3	47	10	10	1	21	68
29.6	-	-	32.9	36.2	30.7	-	35.4	34.8
6.2	-	-	7.5	13.2	3.7	-	11.8	10.8
22.2	-	-	21.0	16.3	5.8	-	14.7	16.0
28.4	-	-	28.5	29.5	9.5	-	26.5	26.8
14.2	6.3	6.3	20.5	68.3	10.4	0.0	78.7	99.2

fall when arranged from low to high.

assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conejos County: Number of Conv  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio,  
and Proportion of Assessed Value b  
for the 3½ Years Ending Decem

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>						
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Co Bu</u>
10 and Under	10	12	0	1	0	0	0	1	
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	1	1	2	
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	1	1	2	
18 "	"	20	0	1	1	1	3	6	
20 "	"	22	0	0	0	1	1	2	
22 "	"	24	0	1	0	3	0	4	
24 "	"	26	0	0	1	1	0	2	
26 "	"	28	1	1	0	2	2	6	
28 "	"	30	1	2	1	5	2	11	
30 "	"	32	0	0	1	5	0	6	
32 "	"	34	1	2	1	2	0	6	
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	0	2	2	
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	2	1	3	
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	2	0	2	
40 "	"	42	0	1	0	2	2	5	
42 "	"	44	1	2	0	0	1	4	
44 "	"	46	0	0	1	0	2	3	
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	2	2	
50 "	"	55	0	1	0	1	3	5	
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	1	0	1	
60 and Over			1	0	3	10	4	18	
Total Cases			5	12	9	41	28	95	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			---	33.1	35.4	31.9	31.4	32.8	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>									
Below Average Ratio			---	8.1	7.4	4.7	7.6	7.2	
Above Average Ratio			---	8.7	38.4	26.9	18.5	21.0	
Total			---	16.8	45.8	31.6	26.1	28.2	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>			1.0	2.4	1.7	3.6	5.4	14.2	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

of Conveyances by Size  
 s Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Value by Class of Property  
 ng December 31, 1960

l ges	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agri. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
2	1	0	3	1	3	0	4	7
2	0	0	2	3	0	0	3	5
6	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
2	0	0	2	3	4	1	8	10
4	0	0	4	2	0	0	2	6
2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
6	0	0	6	3	2	0	5	11
11	1	0	12	0	2	0	2	14
6	0	0	6	4	3	0	7	13
6	0	0	6	3	6	0	9	15
2	0	0	2	4	5	0	9	11
3	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	5
2	0	1	3	0	1	0	1	4
5	1	0	6	2	2	0	4	10
4	0	0	4	1	1	0	2	6
3	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
2	0	0	2	1	2	0	3	5
5	1	1	7	2	1	0	3	10
1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
18	1	2	21	8	3	0	11	32
95	6	4	105	42	40	1	83	188
2.8	41.9	---	36.7	33.7	32.2	---	33.5	34.1
7.2	22.9	---	12.0	10.2	5.2	---	9.5	10.0
1.0	10.6	---	19.0	17.5	7.6	---	16.1	16.7
3.2	33.5	---	31.0	27.7	12.8	---	25.6	26.7
4.2	4.9	1.4	20.5	68.3	10.4	0.0	78.7	99.2

fall when arranged from low to high.  
 ssed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Costilla County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variatic  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sale Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and "	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " "	0	0	0	0	0	2
14 " "	0	1	1	0	0	2
16 " "	0	0	0	0	0	1
18 " "	0	0	0	0	0	1
20 " "	1	0	1	1	0	0
22 " "	0	0	0	2	0	0
24 " "	0	0	0	0	0	2
26 " "	0	0	0	0	1	0
28 " "	2	0	2	1	0	1
30 " "	1	0	1	1	0	0
32 " "	3	0	3	0	0	0
34 " "	1	0	1	0	0	1
36 " "	1	0	1	1	0	0
38 " "	0	0	0	1	1	0
40 " "	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " "	1	0	1	0	0	0
44 " "	0	0	0	0	0	1
46 " "	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " "	1	0	1	0	0	1
50 " "	0	0	0	0	1	0
55 " "	0	0	0	0	1	0
60 and Over	5	1	6	1	2	1
Total Cases	16	2	18	8	6	13
Average Sales Ratio (%)	38.4	---	29.3	28.6	51.4	17.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>						
Below Average Ratio	3.6	---	5.2	5.6	12.4	2.1
Above Average Ratio	26.2	---	47.7	9.4	11.1	20.2
Total	29.8	---	52.9	15.0	23.5	22.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	11.9	7.0	18.9	61.1	14.5	0.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.



ze  
riation  
perty

sc. ral and thout pts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
2	0	2	2
2	0	2	3
1	0	1	1
1	0	1	1
0	0	1	2
0	0	2	2
2	0	2	2
0	0	1	1
1	0	2	4
0	0	1	2
0	0	0	3
1	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
0	0	2	2
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2
0	0	1	1
0	0	1	1
1	1	5	11
13	1	28	46
.3	---	31.0	30.7
.1	---	6.3	6.1
.2	---	9.8	17.0
.3	---	16.1	23.1
.7	2.9	79.1	98.1

fall when arranged from low to  
ssed value in the county as

Costilla County: Number  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio  
and Proportion of Assessed Value  
for the 31st Year

Sales Ratio Class (%)			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					ALL Ages
			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	0	0	0
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
20 "	"	22	0	0	0	2	0	2
22 "	"	24	0	0	0	1	0	1
24 "	"	26	0	0	0	0	0	0
26 "	"	28	0	0	0	0	0	0
28 "	"	30	1	0	0	0	1	2
30 "	"	32	0	0	0	1	0	1
32 "	"	34	1	0	0	1	1	3
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	1	0	1
36 "	"	38	0	1	0	0	1	2
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	3	2	0	5
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	1	0	1
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	1	1	1	3
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	1	1	0	2
60 and Over			0	2	3	4	1	10
Total Cases			2	3	8	15	5	33
Average Sales Ratio (%)			---	---	49.4	48.8	---	44.0
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>								
Below Average Ratio			---	---	6.1	17.3	---	8.3
Above Average Ratio			---	---	25.6	17.4	---	19.0
Total			---	---	31.7	34.7	---	27.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>			1.7	1.7	3.3	3.5	1.8	11.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall.  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value as reported to the Legislative Council.

Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 for the 3½ Year Period

years)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.				
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	3
	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	3	4
	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
	2	0	2	2	1	0	0	3	5
	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	3
	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	3
	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	4	4
	2	0	2	2	0	1	0	3	5
	1	0	1	1	6	0	0	7	8
	3	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	4
	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	3
	2	0	2	2	2	0	0	4	6
	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2
	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	5	5
	5	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	6
	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	3	4
	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	3	3
	3	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3
	2	0	2	2	3	0	0	5	7
	10	1	11	5	3	1	3	12	23
	33	2	35	21	31	19	5	76	111
	44.0	---	32.1	32.2	27.6	18.5	---	31.5	31.6
	8.3	---	7.5	6.1	---	2.6	---	4.2	4.8
	19.0	---	44.0	27.4	---	22.3	---	26.9	30.2
	27.3	---	51.5	33.5	---	24.9	---	31.1	35.0
	11.9	7.0	18.9	61.1	14.5	0.7	2.9	79.1	98.1

The ratios fall when arranged from low to high  
 total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to

Crowley County: Number of  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat  
and Proportion of Assessed Val  
for the 1½ Years Ending D

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0	
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	1	
14 "	"	16	0	0	1	0	0	
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	1	0	
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	1	0	
20 "	"	22	0	0	0	2	2	
22 "	"	24	0	0	0	2	2	
24 "	"	26	0	0	0	0	0	
26 "	"	28	0	0	0	0	1	
28 "	"	30	0	0	0	0	1	
30 "	"	32	0	0	0	2	1	
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	1	1	
34 "	"	36	0	1	0	0	0	
36 "	"	38	0	2	0	0	0	
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	2	
40 "	"	42	0	1	0	0	1	
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	2	0	
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	1	
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	1	0	
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0	
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0	
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over			0	1	0	1	0	
Total Cases			0	5	1	13	13	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			-	-	-	26.9	28.5	29
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>								
Below Average Ratio			-	-	-	5.7	6.3	5
Above Average Ratio			-	-	-	15.9	10.3	15
Total			-	-	-	21.6	16.6	20
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>			1.1	3.2	0.6	8.0	3.5	16

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh

b. Assessed vlaue in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 Ending December 31, 1961.

Years)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agriculture Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
2	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
1	1	0	1	2	1	0	3	4
1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
1	3	0	3	1	0	1	2	5
1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	3
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
2	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
1	2	1	3	1	0	0	1	4
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	3
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	2	1	3	0	1	1	2	5
3	32	4	36	11	6	2	19	55
5	29.0	-	30.2	32.6	46.7	-	34.8	33.6
3	5.0	-	5.9	6.8	11.7	-	7.5	7.1
3	15.7	-	16.4	7.9	5.8	-	7.6	9.9
5	20.7	-	22.3	14.7	17.5	-	15.1	17.0
5	16.4	7.7	24.1	54.6	14.7	6.1	75.4	99.5

... fall when arranged from low to high.

... assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Crowley County: Number of C  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat  
and Proportion of Assessed Valu  
for the 3½ Years Ending De

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	
Under 10	0	0	0	1	0	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	2	2
14 " " 16	0	0	1	0	1	2
16 " " 18	0	1	1	3	0	5
18 " " 20	0	0	1	5	3	9
20 " " 22	0	0	0	5	5	10
22 " " 24	0	1	0	3	2	6
24 " " 26	0	1	0	3	2	6
26 " " 28	0	0	0	2	2	4
28 " " 30	0	0	0	2	1	3
30 " " 32	0	0	0	2	1	3
32 " " 34	0	0	0	2	2	4
34 " " 36	1	1	0	0	0	2
36 " " 38	0	2	0	2	0	4
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	3	3
40 " " 42	0	2	0	0	1	3
42 " " 44	0	0	0	3	1	4
44 " " 46	0	0	0	2	1	3
46 " " 48	0	0	0	1	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	1	1
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	2	0	2
60 and Over	0	1	1	3	0	5
Total Cases	2	9	4	41	28	84
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	31.6	---	27.3	27.8	29.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>						
Below Average Ratio	---	7.1	---	6.8	7.4	7.7
Above Average Ratio	---	9.2	---	15.2	8.1	11.9
Total	---	16.3	---	22.0	15.5	19.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.1	3.2	0.6	8.0	3.5	16.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 Ending December 31, 1960

Years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
2	1	0	0	3	0	4	0	4	7
5	0	0	0	5	2	2	0	4	9
9	0	0	0	9	1	0	0	1	10
10	0	0	0	10	1	1	0	2	12
6	0	0	0	6	1	2	0	3	9
6	0	0	0	6	2	1	0	3	9
4	0	0	0	4	3	1	0	4	8
3	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
3	0	0	0	3	3	0	1	4	7
4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
2	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
4	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	3	7
3	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
3	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
4	0	0	0	4	0	1	1	2	6
3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
1	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	3	4
1	1	0	0	2	3	1	0	4	6
1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
2	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	3
5	6	0	0	11	0	1	2	3	15
84	10	0	0	94	24	20	5	49	143
29.3	49.2	---	---	33.1	30.2	26.7	---	29.4	30.2
7.7	8.2	---	---	7.9	6.4	10.6	---	4.5	5.3
11.9	24.6	---	---	14.2	9.8	18.1	---	18.4	17.5
19.6	32.8	---	---	22.1	16.2	28.7	---	22.9	22.8
16.4	6.3	1.4	---	24.1	54.6	14.7	6.1	75.4	99.5

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council

Custer County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	1
12 " " 14	1	0	1	0	1	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0	1
16 " " 18	1	0	1	2	2	1
18 " " 20	1	0	1	0	1	1
20 " " 22	2	0	2	0	1	2
22 " " 24	0	0	0	0	0	2
24 " " 26	1	1	2	0	1	2
26 " " 28	2	0	2	1	0	2
28 " " 30	0	0	0	1	0	2
30 " " 32	1	0	1	1	0	3
32 " " 34	1	0	1	0	0	3
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0	3
36 " " 38	1	0	1	0	0	3
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	0	3
40 " " 42	1	0	1	0	0	4
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	4
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	4
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	4
48 " " 50	2	0	2	0	0	4
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	5
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	5
60 and Over	0	1	1	0	0	6
Total Cases	14	2	16	7	8	1
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.2	---	27.4	22.9	20.1	A
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>						1
Below Average Ratio	3.5	---	3.4	6.1	3.1	
Above Average Ratio	7.4	---	20.4	7.6	14.9	
Total	10.9	---	23.8	13.7	18.0	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	8.6	3.2	11.8	9.5	4.6	

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.



n

<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
1	1	1
0	0	0
0	1	2
1	2	2
1	5	6
0	1	2
1	2	4
0	0	0
1	2	4
0	1	3
0	1	1
0	1	2
0	0	1
0	0	0
0	0	1
0	1	1
0	0	0
0	0	0
1	2	2
0	0	0
1	1	3
0	0	0
0	1	1
0	0	1
7	22	38
----	24.4	24.7
----	9.2	8.6
----	10.9	11.9
----	20.1	20.5
73.8	87.9	99.7

fall when arranged from low  
 used value in the county

Custer County: Number of  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R  
and Proportion of Assessed Va  
for the 3½ Years Ending

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>						
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Comm Build</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	
12 "	"	14	1	0	0	1	0	2	
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	
16 "	"	18	0	1	0	1	1	3	
18 "	"	20	1	0	1	1	1	4	
20 "	"	22	0	5	2	0	2	9	
22 "	"	24	1	0	0	0	1	2	
24 "	"	26	0	1	0	0	0	1	
26 "	"	28	0	1	1	0	2	4	
28 "	"	30	1	0	0	0	0	1	
30 "	"	32	1	0	0	0	0	1	
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	0	1	1	
34 "	"	36	0	1	0	0	0	1	
36 "	"	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	1	1	
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	3	3	
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	1	1	
44 "	"	46	0	1	0	0	0	1	
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50	0	1	1	0	1	3	
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	
55 "	"	60	0	1	0	0	1	2	
60 and Over			1	0	0	0	1	2	
Total Cases			8	12	5	3	16	44	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			20.0	24.0	---	---	28.7	23.0	2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>									
Below Average Ratio			4.0	3.2	---	---	6.5	4.2	
Above Average Ratio			14.0	16.0	---	---	13.6	10.7	3
Total			18.0	19.2	---	---	20.1	14.9	3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>			1.1	0.3	0.4	1.6	5.3	8.6	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

r of Conveyances by Size  
 es Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 d Value by Class of Property  
 ing December 31, 1960

<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
			<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
0	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	4
0	0	0	3	0	2	1	6	6
2	0	5	2	1	4	6	13	18
1	0	5	2	0	0	1	3	8
0	0	9	0	1	0	1	2	11
0	0	2	1	1	1	0	3	5
1	0	2	2	1	0	3	6	8
1	0	5	0	0	2	1	3	8
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	3
0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	3
0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	4
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
0	0	1	2	0	2	2	6	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	4	0	1	0	0	1	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	3
2	0	4	0	0	2	0	2	6
7	1	52	15	10	17	20	62	114
26.5	---	23.8	23.3	11.4	23.4	26.9	22.8	22.9
8.6	---	5.2	7.4	---	6.3	9.9	6.9	6.7
30.9	---	15.3	9.3	---	17.4	12.1	10.7	11.3
39.5	---	20.5	16.7	---	23.7	22.0	17.6	18.0
2.9	0.3	11.8	71.2	2.6	9.5	4.6	87.9	99.7

All when arranged from low to high.  
 and value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Delta County: Number of  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sale  
and Proportion of Assessed  
for the 1½ Years Endi

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	1	1	0	2
12 " " 14	0	0	2	2	1	5
14 " " 16	0	1	1	3	3	8
16 " " 18	0	3	2	3	5	13
18 " " 20	0	2	2	4	8	16
20 " " 22	0	7	2	6	4	19
22 " " 24	3	5	1	3	1	13
24 " " 26	3	3	3	2	3	14
26 " " 28	2	3	2	5	2	14
28 " " 30	2	2	1	3	4	12
30 " " 32	1	4	1	1	3	10
32 " " 34	3	0	1	1	0	5
34 " " 36	0	0	0	1	1	2
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	2	2
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	2	2
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	2	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	2	3
60 and Over	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>Total Cases</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>Average Sales Ratio (%)</b>	<b>27.2</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>20.5</b>	<b>21.1</b>	<b>23.9</b>	<b>23.5</b>
<b>Measure of Variation<sup>a</sup></b>						
Below Average Ratio	2.9	3.4	3.5	3.3	5.4	3.8
Above Average Ratio	3.8	4.1	6.5	5.8	7.4	5.6
Total	6.7	7.5	10.0	9.1	12.8	9.4
<b>Prop. of Ass'd. Value<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>32.0</b>

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

of Conveyances by Size  
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Based Value by Class of Property  
 Ending December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	2	5	1	1	2	9	11
0	0	5	5	0	1	0	6	11
1	0	9	6	0	2	3	11	20
0	0	13	5	0	6	3	14	27
1	0	17	6	1	3	1	11	28
2	0	21	3	1	2	0	6	27
0	0	13	5	1	3	1	10	23
1	1	16	5	1	4	1	11	27
1	0	15	1	1	3	0	5	20
0	0	12	3	0	1	0	4	16
0	0	10	2	1	0	1	4	14
0	0	5	1	0	1	1	3	8
0	0	2	3	0	0	1	4	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
1	0	3	1	1	1	0	3	6
0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	0	3	4
0	1	3	0	0	0	1	1	4
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	3
0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	4
2	0	3	0	0	4	0	4	7
12	2	159	52	10	34	18	114	273
33.6	---	25.7	20.2	27.2	22.7	21.0	21.0	22.9
12.6	---	5.7	5.2	6.2	5.5	5.3	5.3	5.5
18.9	---	8.3	5.4	11.8	5.0	14.0	6.0	7.0
31.5	---	14.0	10.6	18.0	10.5	19.3	11.3	12.5
12.3	2.1	46.4	43.1	6.4	3.3	0.1	52.8	99.2

when arranged from low to high.  
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Delta County: Number of C  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ra  
and Proportion of Assessed Val  
for the 3½ Years Ending D

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Con Bui</u>
			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>		
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	0	0	2	2	3	7	
12 "	"	14	0	0	2	4	3	9	
14 "	"	16	0	2	1	8	4	15	
16 "	"	18	0	6	2	9	11	28	
18 "	"	20	0	2	4	12	19	37	
20 "	"	22	0	10	6	19	12	47	
22 "	"	24	6	12	4	6	7	35	
24 "	"	26	5	10	7	6	11	39	
26 "	"	28	5	12	6	13	11	47	
28 "	"	30	10	7	3	4	6	30	
30 "	"	32	5	7	7	7	6	32	
32 "	"	34	5	4	1	3	4	17	
34 "	"	36	3	4	1	3	3	14	
36 "	"	38	3	1	0	2	3	9	
38 "	"	40	1	0	2	1	4	8	
40 "	"	42	2	0	1	0	6	9	
42 "	"	44	0	3	0	0	1	4	
44 "	"	46	1	0	0	0	1	2	
46 "	"	48	1	0	0	0	2	3	
48 "	"	50	1	0	0	0	1	2	
50 "	"	55	0	2	1	0	1	4	
55 "	"	60	0	0	2	0	2	4	
60 and Over			0	3	0	1	0	4	
Total Cases			48	85	52	100	121	406	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			30.1	26.0	24.5	22.2	23.9	25.1	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>									
Below Average Ratio			3.7	3.8	3.8	3.9	4.9	4.2	
Above Average Ratio			4.0	4.8	6.1	5.2	7.3	5.5	
Total			7.7	8.6	9.9	9.1	12.2	9.7	
Prop. of Ass'd Value <sup>b</sup>			6.7	7.1	2.6	6.9	8.7	32.0	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall with  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

of Conveyances by Size  
 es Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 d Value by Class of Property  
 ing December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	1	3	1	2	7	7
0	0	7	7	3	3	4	17	24
0	0	9	11	2	4	1	18	27
1	0	16	11	3	4	4	22	38
0	0	28	21	3	7	3	34	62
1	0	38	19	2	8	1	30	68
4	0	51	11	4	5	0	20	71
1	1	37	14	3	5	3	25	62
2	1	42	24	4	6	1	35	77
2	0	49	8	2	7	1	18	67
1	0	31	8	0	6	0	14	45
4	0	36	10	3	7	2	22	58
0	0	17	10	1	4	1	16	33
1	0	15	5	0	1	1	7	22
0	0	9	2	0	1	3	6	15
1	0	9	7	2	1	0	10	19
0	0	9	1	3	3	3	10	19
0	0	4	0	1	1	0	2	6
0	1	3	1	0	1	1	3	6
0	1	4	2	0	0	0	2	6
3	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	6
3	0	7	3	0	1	1	5	12
1	1	6	0	1	1	0	2	8
6	0	10	1	5	9	0	15	25
31	5	442	178	45	86	32	341	783
33.9	---	27.5	22.7	25.7	24.6	23.0	23.1	25.0
9.1	---	5.2	5.3	9.5	6.0	8.5	5.8	5.6
19.9	---	8.7	6.9	13.1	8.2	12.7	7.7	8.1
29.0	---	13.9	12.2	22.6	14.2	21.2	13.5	13.7
12.3	2.1	46.4	43.1	6.4	3.3	0.1	52.8	99.2

all when arranged from low to high.  
 ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Denver County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>
	Under	10	1	0	1	2	6	10
10 and	"	12	0	0	1	12	22	35
12 "	"	14	0	2	0	18	66	86
14 "	"	16	0	1	1	17	101	120
16 "	"	18	6	3	9	41	122	181
18 "	"	20	5	17	10	70	154	256
20 "	"	22	9	36	25	119	169	358
22 "	"	24	20	99	46	197	215	577
24 "	"	26	89	247	66	223	196	821
26 "	"	28	297	374	80	267	172	1,190
28 "	"	30	547	396	88	214	128	1,373
30 "	"	32	670	420	87	147	87	1,411
32 "	"	34	707	259	74	92	65	1,197
34 "	"	36	633	179	39	55	35	941
36 "	"	38	432	92	31	40	33	628
38 "	"	40	234	52	19	18	16	339
40 "	"	42	116	31	13	16	16	192
42 "	"	44	53	11	7	12	7	90
44 "	"	46	28	7	6	6	7	54
46 "	"	48	17	7	0	4	6	34
48 "	"	50	2	4	2	6	6	20
50 "	"	55	4	6	2	5	3	20
55 "	"	60	0	5	1	3	4	13
60 and Over			14	8	2	5	12	41
Total Cases			3,884	2,256	610	1,589	1,648	9,98
Average Sales Ratio (%)			32.9	29.8	29.6	26.7	23.7	29.5
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>								
Below Average Ratio			2.9	2.9	3.8	3.5	4.5	3.3
Above Average Ratio			2.9	3.0	3.6	3.5	4.5	3.3
Total			5.8	5.9	7.4	7.0	9.0	6.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>			21.1	10.4	4.3	10.4	5.1	51.2

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when compared to the average ratio.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value reported to the Legislative Council.



s by Size  
 re of Variation  
 s of Property  
 1, 1960

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Multi-Family Dwellings</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>Industrial Buildings</u>	<u>Total County</u>
10	2	1	0	13
35	5	1	0	41
86	8	2	0	96
120	10	0	1	131
181	27	2	3	213
256	58	4	0	318
358	67	6	1	432
577	78	7	2	664
821	77	5	12	915
1,190	107	10	3	1,310
1,373	99	13	10	1,495
1,411	109	6	9	1,535
1,197	95	8	3	1,303
941	84	13	4	1,042
628	61	9	8	706
339	56	14	7	416
192	44	11	7	254
90	32	6	5	133
54	16	5	4	79
34	7	4	4	49
20	5	6	3	34
20	12	6	8	46
13	4	3	1	21
41	10	16	9	76
9,987	1,073	158	104	11,322
29.5	30.2	36.8	35.7	31.9
3.3	5.9	8.6	6.9	5.2
3.3	5.3	7.4	9.8	5.1
6.6	11.2	16.0	16.7	10.3
51.2	9.5	25.0	12.3	98.1

all when arranged from low to high.  
 ed value in the county as reported by the assessor

Denver County: Number  
of Sales Ratio, Average  
and Proportion of Assessed  
for the 3½ Years

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by</u>			
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-39</u>
		Under	10	3	2	1	
10	and	"	12	0	1	3	
12	"	"	14	1	2	2	
14	"	"	16	2	4	11	
16	"	"	18	9	4	13	
18	"	"	20	8	27	16	
20	"	"	22	12	66	40	
22	"	"	24	35	159	86	
24	"	"	26	115	405	116	
26	"	"	28	374	676	169	
28	"	"	30	769	614	176	
30	"	"	32	1,252	885	169	
32	"	"	34	1,761	618	161	
34	"	"	36	1,685	414	108	
36	"	"	38	1,165	259	79	
38	"	"	40	720	137	42	
40	"	"	42	364	83	24	
42	"	"	44	180	37	15	
44	"	"	46	76	30	11	
46	"	"	48	41	15	1	
48	"	"	50	18	11	5	
50	"	"	55	15	13	5	
55	"	"	60	2	7	4	
60	and Over			21	20	6	
Total Cases				8,628	4,689	1,265	3,000
Average Sales Ratio (%)				33.9	30.5	30.0	29.0
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>							
Below Average Ratio				2.6	3.0	3.7	
Above Average Ratio				2.9	3.0	3.8	
Total				5.5	6.0	7.5	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>				21.1	10.4	4.3	1.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of cases fall.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of assessed value as determined by Legislative Council.

7: Number of Conveyances by Size  
 Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Assessed Value by Class of Property  
 Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Years by Age Class (years)</u>		<u>All</u>	<u>Multi-Family</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Industrial</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Dwellings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>County</u>
4	26	36	4	3	0	43
19	66	89	8	1	0	98
34	145	184	19	5	2	210
45	210	272	30	2	1	305
84	270	380	58	7	4	449
143	295	489	104	9	1	603
243	338	699	134	17	2	852
376	395	1,051	160	14	6	1,231
508	393	1,537	180	17	13	1,747
560	301	2,080	200	20	4	2,304
482	232	2,475	195	36	15	2,721
336	155	2,797	206	18	12	3,033
226	134	2,900	190	21	11	3,122
149	84	2,440	158	24	14	2,636
86	58	1,647	130	27	15	1,819
58	39	996	99	24	10	1,129
39	29	539	80	24	14	657
24	18	274	56	12	6	348
16	17	150	40	10	6	206
8	11	76	19	10	7	112
13	11	58	20	12	3	93
10	9	52	27	14	14	107
5	7	25	9	8	3	45
12	24	83	18	41	14	156
3,480	3,267	21,329	2,144	376	177	24,026
27.0	23.4	30.0	30.3	36.0	36.4	32.1
3.4	4.7	3.2	6.1	8.1	6.9	5.0
3.7	4.6	3.4	5.2	8.2	8.5	5.3
7.1	9.3	6.6	11.3	16.3	15.4	10.3
10.4	5.1	51.2	9.5	25.0	12.3	98.1

able half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.  
 per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

Dolores County: Number of Conveyances by Size  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property  
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0
10	and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0
12	"	"	14	0	1	1	0	1
14	"	"	16	0	0	0	1	1
16	"	"	18	0	0	0	1	1
18	"	"	20	0	0	0	2	2
20	"	"	22	3	0	3	0	3
22	"	"	24	1	0	1	0	1
24	"	"	26	2	0	2	0	2
26	"	"	28	1	0	1	0	1
28	"	"	30	1	0	1	0	1
30	"	"	32	2	0	2	0	2
32	"	"	34	3	0	3	0	3
34	"	"	36	1	0	1	0	1
36	"	"	38	2	0	2	0	2
38	"	"	40	0	0	0	0	0
40	"	"	42	0	0	0	0	0
42	"	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44	"	"	46	2	0	2	0	2
46	"	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48	"	"	50	1	0	1	0	1
50	"	"	55	1	0	1	0	1
55	"	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60	and Over			0	0	0	1	1
Total Cases				20	1	21	5	26
Average Sales Ratio (%)				29.7	---	29.6	20.5	22.1
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>								
Below Average Ratio				4.9	---	4.8	----	----
Above Average Ratio				7.9	---	8.0	----	----
Total				12.8	---	12.8	----	----
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>				14.9	8.9	23.8	75.8	99.5

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Dolores County: Number of Cases  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio,  
and Proportion of Assessed Value  
for the 3½ Years Ending Decen

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages
		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	
	Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	" 12	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	2	0	2
14 "	" 16	0	0	0	0	0	0
16 "	" 18	0	1	0	0	0	1
18 "	" 20	0	2	0	0	0	2
20 "	" 22	1	2	2	0	0	5
22 "	" 24	1	9	0	0	0	10
24 "	" 26	1	1	0	1	1	4
26 "	" 28	2	2	1	0	0	5
28 "	" 30	1	1	1	1	0	4
30 "	" 32	1	0	0	2	0	3
32 "	" 34	1	1	0	1	1	4
34 "	" 36	1	2	0	0	1	4
36 "	" 38	2	0	0	0	1	3
38 "	" 40	0	0	0	1	0	1
40 "	" 42	0	0	0	0	1	1
42 "	" 44	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	" 46	0	0	2	1	0	3
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	" 50	0	1	2	0	0	3
50 "	" 55	0	0	1	0	0	1
55 "	" 60	0	0	1	0	0	1
60 and Over		0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases		11	22	0	9	5	57
Average Sales Ratio (%)		28.9	24.2	33.4	24.1	---	27.9
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>							
Below Average Ratio		3.5	2.1	6.4	2.0	---	3.3
Above Average Ratio		5.6	3.3	16.1	10.4	---	6.5
Total		9.1	5.4	22.5	12.4	---	9.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>		3.2	4.9	2.6	1.8	2.4	14.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall  
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

f Conveyances by Size  
 Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 alue by Class of Property  
 December 31, 1960

-	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.				
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2
2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	4	1	1	6	6
1	0	1	3	1	1	0	5	6
2	0	2	1	1	1	0	3	5
5	0	5	2	1	1	0	4	9
0	0	10	0	1	0	0	1	11
4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
5	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	6
4	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	5
3	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	4
4	1	5	0	1	0	0	1	6
4	1	5	0	1	0	0	1	6
3	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	4
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2
57	5	62	10	11	7	4	32	94
.9	---	31.8	21.6	22.2	27.5	---	23.1	24.7
.3	---	7.6	4.6	6.8	10.0	---	6.6	6.8
.5	---	3.9	5.4	8.3	12.5	---	8.3	7.5
.8	---	11.5	10.0	15.1	22.5	---	14.9	14.3
.9	8.8	23.7	28.2	25.8	20.8	1.0	75.8	99.5

fall when arranged from low to high.  
 sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

of Conveyances by Size  
 Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 Value by Class of Property  
 December 31, 1960

	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	Misc. With Impts.	Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	3	0	4	4
0	0	0	1	0	10	0	11	11
2	0	2	1	0	4	0	5	7
2	0	2	3	1	6	0	10	12
1	0	1	0	0	5	0	5	6
2	0	2	3	1	8	1	13	15
3	0	3	2	1	3	0	6	9
5	0	5	1	5	10	0	16	21
4	0	4	0	0	4	0	4	8
5	2	7	0	2	3	0	5	12
2	0	2	2	2	4	0	8	10
1	0	1	0	3	2	0	5	6
0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	4
1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	2	6	0	8	8
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
9	2	31	14	20	76	1	111	142
4	---	26.0	19.5	28.7	22.1	---	25.6	25.7
0	---	2.4	3.2	3.9	5.4	---	3.8	2.9
4	---	2.9	4.0	6.6	8.4	---	5.9	4.3
4	---	5.3	7.2	10.5	13.8	---	9.7	7.2
2	7.4	22.7	61.6	10.0	0.6	3.2	75.4	98.1

all when arranged from low to high.  
 ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

57

Douglas County: Number of  
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R  
and Proportion of Assessed Va  
for the 1½ Years Ending

			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All
Sales Ratio Class (%)			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	Ages
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	1	1	2
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	1	1	2
18 "	"	20	0	0	1	0	0	1
20 "	"	22	0	0	1	1	0	2
22 "	"	24	0	2	0	1	0	3
24 "	"	26	0	3	0	0	2	5
26 "	"	28	2	2	0	0	0	4
28 "	"	30	2	2	0	0	1	5
30 "	"	32	2	0	0	0	0	2
32 "	"	34	1	0	0	0	0	1
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 "	"	38	1	0	0	0	0	1
38 "	"	40	1	0	0	0	0	1
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases			9	9	2	4	5	29
Average Sales Ratio (%)			30.8	25.5	---	---	---	25.4
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>								
Below Average Ratio			2.6	1.4	---	---	---	3.0
Above Average Ratio			3.2	2.3	---	---	---	3.4
Total			5.8	3.7	---	---	---	6.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>			8.0	1.6	0.6	2.1	2.9	15.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed



Douglas County: Number of Cases of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1957

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
12 " " 14	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	2	3	0
16 " " 18	0	1	0	2	4	7	0
18 " " 20	0	0	1	0	2	3	0
20 " " 22	0	2	1	1	1	5	0
22 " " 24	3	2	1	3	1	10	0
24 " " 26	1	4	0	2	3	10	0
26 " " 28	3	3	0	0	1	7	0
28 " " 30	4	3	1	0	2	10	0
30 " " 32	4	0	0	0	2	6	0
32 " " 34	3	0	0	0	0	3	0
34 " " 36	5	0	0	2	0	7	0
36 " " 38	1	2	0	0	0	3	0
38 " " 40	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	28	18	4	12	19	81	0
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.3	25.0	---	22.3	21.5	26.3	26.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>							
Below Average Ratio	3.3	2.5	---	5.3	4.6	3.7	-
Above Average Ratio	3.7	3.3	---	2.7	5.2	3.9	-
Total	7.0	5.8	---	8.0	9.8	7.6	-
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	8.0	1.6	0.6	2.1	2.9	15.2	4.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when compared to the average ratio.  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

of Conveyances by Size  
 as Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 and Value by Class of Property  
 ending December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	0	1	0	5	6	6
0	0	1	3	3	1	8	15	16
0	0	2	1	3	0	17	21	23
1	0	4	3	1	0	5	9	13
0	0	7	0	5	3	9	17	24
0	0	3	0	2	0	7	9	12
0	0	5	3	4	1	16	24	29
1	0	11	3	3	3	6	15	26
0	0	10	1	1	6	13	21	31
0	0	7	0	0	3	5	8	15
3	0	13	0	0	3	9	12	25
0	0	0	0	2	2	1	3	14
0	0	3	0	0	4	6	10	13
0	0	7	0	0	2	4	6	13
1	0	4	0	1	2	0	3	7
0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	4
0	0	0	0	0	5	7	12	12
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
1	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	3
2	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	3
9	0	90	14	26	40	127	207	297
26.6	---	26.3	15.9	15.3	28.6	21.1	16.9	18.4
---	---	2.8	2.9	1.6	3.9	6.4	2.9	3.1
---	---	7.7	6.4	7.0	9.4	7.8	6.7	6.7
---	---	10.5	9.3	8.6	13.3	14.2	9.6	9.8
4.2	3.2	22.7	61.6	3.2	10.0	0.6	75.4	98.1

when arranged from low to high.  
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Eagle County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 1½ Years

				One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (y)				
Sales Ratio Class (%)				1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	1	
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	0	
14 "	"	16		0	0	2	0	
16 "	"	18		0	0	0	0	
18 "	"	20		0	0	0	0	
20 "	"	22		0	0	0	0	
22 "	"	24		0	0	1	1	
24 "	"	26		0	0	2	0	
26 "	"	28		1	0	0	0	
28 "	"	30		0	0	3	0	
30 "	"	32		0	1	2	0	
32 "	"	34		0	0	1	0	
34 "	"	36		0	0	0	0	
36 "	"	38		0	0	1	1	
38 "	"	40		0	0	1	0	
40 "	"	42		0	2	1	0	
42 "	"	44		0	0	0	0	
44 "	"	46		0	0	1	0	
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	
50 "	"	55		0	0	0	0	
55 "	"	60		0	0	1	0	
60 and Over				0	0	1	1	
Total Cases				1	3	17	4	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				---	---	29.2	---	28.
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>								
Below Average Ratio				---	---	4.0	---	6.
Above Average Ratio				---	---	10.3	---	6.
Total				---	---	14.3	---	13.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>				3.3	3.7	3.6	5.5	3.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall  
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

Number of Conveyances by Size  
 e Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation  
 essed Value by Class of Property  
 ns Ending December 31, 1960

(years)	All	All	Total	Misc.	All	Total	Total
<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
		<u>Urban</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Land</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
				<u>With</u>			
				<u>Impts.</u>			
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	2	0	2	0	1	1	3
0	2	0	2	2	0	2	4
1	2	0	2	1	0	1	3
0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
0	3	0	3	0	1	1	4
1	2	0	2	0	1	1	3
1	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
0	2	1	3	0	0	0	3
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	2	0	2	2	0	2	4
6	31	2	33	6	5	11	44
28.4	26.6	---	29.3	25.3	----	27.2	27.7
6.9	4.4	---	3.5	0.8	----	2.3	2.6
6.6	18.0	---	13.2	50.9	----	18.3	17.0
13.5	22.4	---	16.7	51.7	----	20.6	19.6
3.1	19.1	8.5	27.6	16.8	55.2	72.0	99.6

os fall when arranged from low to high.  
 essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.