

ber of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 ssed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.				
	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	3
	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	3	5
	0	0	2	2	0	1	1	4	6
	0	0	3	0	1	1	1	3	6
	0	0	3	1	0	2	0	3	6
	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	3	6
	0	0	6	0	0	4	0	4	10
	0	0	4	1	1	1	0	3	7
	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	1	0	6	2	0	0	0	2	8
	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	2	5
	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	1	0	5	1	0	1	0	2	7
	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	2	0	9	0	0	2	0	2	11
	7	0	76	13	7	12	4	36	112
	47.8	---	34.2	22.7	17.3	24.9	---	22.1	24.5
	12.3	---	8.5	6.5	5.3	3.9	---	5.8	6.5
	27.5	---	19.5	8.1	8.7	7.1	---	8.0	9.8
	39.8	---	28.0	14.6	14.0	11.0	---	13.8	16.3
	8.5	0.0	27.6	43.7	11.3	16.8	0.2	72.0	99.6

fall when arranged from low to high.
 ssed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Eagle County: Number of Cases of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending 1957

			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial Buildings
Sales Ratio Class (%)			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	1	0	1	
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	1	0	1	
14 "	"	16	0	0	2	0	0	2	
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	2	0	2	
18 "	"	20	0	1	1	0	1	3	
20 "	"	22	0	1	0	0	2	3	
22 "	"	24	0	0	2	1	0	3	
24 "	"	26	0	0	5	0	1	6	
26 "	"	28	1	0	0	1	2	4	
28 "	"	30	0	1	3	0	0	4	
30 "	"	32	0	2	2	1	0	5	
32 "	"	34	0	0	2	0	1	3	
34 "	"	36	0	0	1	0	1	2	
36 "	"	38	0	0	2	2	0	4	
38 "	"	40	0	0	1	0	0	1	
40 "	"	42	0	3	1	0	0	4	
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	
44 "	"	46	0	2	2	1	1	6	
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	1	1	
48 "	"	50	0	3	0	0	0	3	
50 "	"	55	0	0	1	0	0	1	
55 "	"	60	0	2	1	0	0	3	
60 and Over			0	0	2	3	2	7	
Total Cases			1	15	28	13	12	69	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			---	40.6	29.4	25.0	30.8	29.8	4
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio			---	9.8	4.4	7.8	7.6	7.4	1
Above Average Ratio			---	8.2	10.6	24.4	15.2	16.7	2
Total			---	18.0	15.0	32.2	22.8	24.1	3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			3.3	3.7	3.6	5.5	3.1	19.1	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall within each class.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

Elbert County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 1½ Years Ending

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (year)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	0	1
14 "	"	16		0	0	1	1	1
16 "	"	18		0	0	1	1	0
18 "	"	20		0	1	0	1	0
20 "	"	22		0	0	2	0	1
22 "	"	24		0	0	0	2	1
24 "	"	26		0	0	0	0	0
26 "	"	28		1	0	1	1	0
28 "	"	30		0	1	1	0	0
30 "	"	32		0	0	0	1	2
32 "	"	34		0	0	0	0	0
34 "	"	36		0	0	0	1	1
36 "	"	38		0	0	0	1	0
38 "	"	40		1	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42		0	0	0	0	1
42 "	"	44		0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55		0	0	1	0	0
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over				0	0	1	0	1
Total Cases				2	2	8	9	9
Average Sales Ratio (%)				---	---	22.3	23.9	26.5
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				---	---	3.5	5.4	7.0
Above Average Ratio				---	---	22.0	8.1	10.0
Total				---	---	22.2	13.5	17.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				1.1	0.5	0.9	2.7	1.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

er of Conveyances by Size
 les Ratio, Measure of Variation
 ed Value by Class of Property
 nding December 31, 1960

rs)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
	1	0	1	1	3	0	4	5
	3	0	3	2	1	0	3	6
	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
	2	0	2	6	0	0	6	8
	3	0	3	0	0	1	1	4
	3	1	4	1	1	0	2	6
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	3
	3	0	3	3	0	0	3	6
	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2	1	3	0	0	1	1	4
	30	5	35	16	7	2	25	60
	25.5	---	30.5	19.4	16.8	---	19.2	20.0
	5.6	---	8.8	2.6	3.6	---	2.6	3.1
	9.3	---	9.4	9.3	4.7	---	9.0	9.0
	14.9	---	18.2	11.9	8.3	---	11.6	12.1
	6.3	3.6	9.9	85.0	5.0	0.0	90.0	99.9

all when arranged from low to high.
 ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Elbert County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R
and Proportion of Assessed Va
for the 3½ Years Ending

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	2	0	2
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	1	2	3
14 "	"	16		0	0	1	2	3	6
16 "	"	18		0	0	1	3	1	5
18 "	"	20		0	1	3	4	1	9
20 "	"	22		0	0	2	1	2	5
22 "	"	24		0	0	0	2	1	3
24 "	"	26		0	0	0	1	1	2
26 "	"	28		2	0	1	1	0	4
28 "	"	30		0	1	1	1	1	4
30 "	"	32		0	0	0	3	2	5
32 "	"	34		0	0	0	0	0	0
34 "	"	36		0	0	0	2	1	3
36 "	"	38		0	0	0	1	1	2
38 "	"	40		1	0	0	0	0	1
40 "	"	42		0	0	0	1	1	2
42 "	"	44		0	0	1	0	0	1
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55		0	0	1	1	0	2
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over				0	0	2	0	1	3
Total Cases				3	2	13	26	18	62
Average Sales Ratio (%)				---	---	22.3	22.4	23.3	24.2
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio				---	---	3.5	5.4	7.6	5.7
Above Average Ratio				---	---	23.1	8.6	8.2	9.6
Total				---	---	26.6	14.0	15.8	15.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				1.1	0.5	0.9	2.7	1.0	6.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

Class	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
2	0	0	2	1	2	0	3	5
3	0	0	3	5	7	0	12	15
6	0	0	6	7	3	0	10	16
5	1	0	6	7	2	0	9	15
9	1	0	10	11	1	0	12	22
5	0	0	5	4	3	1	8	13
3	1	0	4	3	1	0	4	8
2	0	0	2	3	0	0	3	5
4	0	0	4	1	1	1	3	7
4	1	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
5	0	0	5	5	1	1	7	12
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	1	0	2	2	0	0	2	4
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	3
2	2	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
3	5	0	8	0	0	1	1	9
2	15	0	77	56	24	4	84	161
2	72.2	---	31.9	19.2	14.7	---	18.9	19.7
7	40.7	---	11.4	2.9	2.1	---	2.9	3.4
6	75.9	---	20.2	8.8	6.0	---	8.6	9.3
3	116.6	---	31.6	11.7	8.1	---	11.5	12.7
3	3.6	0.0	9.9	85.0	5.0	0.0	90.0	99.9

all when arranged from low to high.
 ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

El Paso County: Number of C
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat
and Proportion of Assessed Valu
for the 1½ Year

Sales Ratio Class (%)			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Famil Dwellings
			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under	10		0	0	0	8	15	23	0
10 and	"	12	11	0	2	13	26	52	1
12 "	"	14	6	5	6	21	48	86	1
14 "	"	16	8	13	9	38	81	149	0
16 "	"	18	12	19	13	48	74	166	2
18 "	"	20	33	39	16	35	72	195	1
20 "	"	22	62	59	18	35	63	237	1
22 "	"	24	113	70	18	15	53	269	4
24 "	"	26	266	73	11	17	44	411	2
26 "	"	28	407	60	6	10	18	501	2
28 "	"	30	413	34	3	9	18	477	1
30 "	"	32	361	17	1	4	12	395	6
32 "	"	34	274	19	1	2	8	304	5
34 "	"	36	160	7	0	3	9	179	6
36 "	"	38	73	3	0	2	5	83	4
38 "	"	40	31	7	1	0	4	43	10
40 "	"	42	14	3	0	1	7	25	6
42 "	"	44	3	0	0	3	0	6	0
44 "	"	46	0	1	0	0	2	3	3
46 "	"	48	3	0	0	0	0	3	1
48 "	"	50	4	2	0	1	0	7	2
50 "	"	55	2	2	0	1	0	5	1
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			2	1	0	2	2	7	1
Total Cases			2,258	434	105	268	561	3,626	60
Average Sales Ratio (%)			28.9	24.5	20.6	18.8	19.1	24.1	34.6
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio			2.6	3.4	3.2	3.5	3.8	3.1	5.0
Above Average Ratio			3.1	3.1	3.0	3.6	4.5	3.5	5.2
Total			5.7	6.5	6.2	7.1	8.3	6.6	10.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			32.1	7.7	2.3	7.4	11.3	60.8	3.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in Legislative Council.

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 1 1/2 Year Period

Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	3	0	26	2	2	2	6	12	38
1	2	0	55	3	0	8	2	13	68
1	3	0	90	4	0	5	1	10	100
0	3	0	152	2	1	10	0	13	165
2	5	2	175	2	1	6	3	12	187
1	5	0	201	2	0	6	1	9	210
1	3	1	242	1	1	6	0	8	250
4	6	0	279	1	2	11	1	15	294
2	0	1	414	0	0	11	2	13	427
2	2	1	506	1	0	11	0	12	518
1	3	1	482	1	0	2	0	3	485
6	0	1	402	0	0	4	0	4	406
5	0	0	309	0	0	2	0	2	311
6	3	0	188	0	0	1	0	1	189
4	1	1	89	0	0	0	0	0	89
10	2	0	55	0	0	1	1	2	57
6	0	0	31	2	0	0	2	4	35
0	0	1	7	1	0	3	0	4	11
3	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	1	7
1	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
2	0	1	10	0	0	1	0	1	11
1	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
1	1	0	9	0	0	2	0	2	11
60	44	11	3,741	22	9	92	19	142	3,883
34.6	23.6	31.0	24.5	16.0	22.7	22.3	13.2	20.1	23.7
5.0	7.7	9.0	4.3	3.8	9.6	6.7	5.3	6.2	4.7
5.2	5.1	10.5	4.1	7.0	6.2	4.4	10.9	5.8	4.4
10.2	12.8	19.5	8.4	10.8	15.8	11.1	16.2	12.0	9.1
3.1	15.5	3.2	82.6	1.6	0.4	12.1	1.7	15.8	98.3

1 when arranged from low to high.
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Coefficient of Variation
 Standard Deviation of Value by Class of Property
 1/2 Year Period

Single-Family Sales	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	4	0	55	8	5	6	16	35	90
2	6	1	115	12	2	12	5	31	146
1	11	1	237	12	1	14	7	34	271
1	8	4	322	7	2	13	5	27	349
3	16	3	377	7	4	15	6	32	409
2	11	0	407	9	1	16	2	28	435
3	10	3	483	4	2	16	1	23	506
6	11	1	615	4	2	18	1	25	640
6	10	3	879	3	0	16	4	23	902
9	11	2	1,182	6	2	19	1	28	1,210
8	7	3	1,013	3	0	8	1	12	1,025
15	4	2	829	1	0	6	0	7	836
11	2	0	619	2	0	2	0	4	623
20	4	1	362	0	0	2	1	3	365
12	2	1	156	0	0	1	0	1	157
17	5	0	94	1	1	4	1	7	101
12	1	0	54	2	0	1	2	5	59
6	0	1	17	1	0	4	0	5	22
4	3	0	16	0	1	0	1	2	18
2	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
2	0	1	16	1	0	2	0	3	19
5	0	1	15	0	0	1	0	1	16
0	2	0	6	0	1	0	0	1	7
1	5	0	27	0	0	5	0	5	32
18	134	29	7,905	83	24	181	54	342	8,247
.1	22.4	24.5	23.7	17.9	16.7	22.1	12.9	20.0	23.0
.1	5.8	7.7	3.8	5.8	5.7	6.1	4.1	5.8	4.1
3	6.3	6.3	4.2	5.7	6.3	4.9	6.6	5.3	4.4
4	12.1	14.0	8.0	11.5	12.0	11.0	10.7	11.1	8.5
1	15.5	3.2	82.6	1.6	0.4	12.1	1.7	15.8	98.3

are arranged from low to high.
 as reported by the assessor to the

El Paso County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ra
and Proportion of Assessed Val
for the 3½ Year

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>						
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Multi-Fami Dwelling</u>
	Under	10		1	1	1	17	31	51	0
10	and	"	12	12	3	4	36	51	106	2
12	"	"	14	17	8	16	64	119	224	1
14	"	"	16	15	23	22	93	156	309	1
16	"	"	18	24	39	24	112	156	355	3
18	"	"	20	60	74	28	92	140	394	2
20	"	"	22	124	108	30	72	133	367	3
22	"	"	24	289	151	28	34	95	597	6
24	"	"	26	612	132	17	27	72	860	6
26	"	"	28	988	99	11	22	40	1,160	9
28	"	"	30	892	57	5	12	29	995	8
30	"	"	32	736	42	2	8	20	808	15
32	"	"	34	552	28	2	4	20	606	11
34	"	"	36	300	18	1	6	12	337	20
36	"	"	38	119	7	1	5	9	141	12
38	"	"	40	52	12	2	0	6	72	17
40	"	"	42	26	4	0	3	8	41	12
42	"	"	44	4	0	0	5	1	10	6
44	"	"	46	4	2	0	0	3	9	4
46	"	"	48	4	0	0	1	0	5	2
48	"	"	50	6	2	0	4	1	13	2
50	"	"	55	3	3	1	1	1	9	5
55	"	"	60	2	2	0	0	0	4	0
60	and Over			4	1	2	6	8	21	1
Total Cases				4,846	816	197	624	1,111	7,594	148
Average Sales Ratio(%)				28.6	24.3	20.1	18.1	18.7	23.7	34.1
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio				2.5	3.3	3.6	3.3	3.7	3.1	5.1
Above Average Ratio				3.0	3.2	3.5	3.4	4.3	3.4	5.3
Total				5.5	6.5	7.1	6.7	8.0	6.5	10.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				32.1	7.7	2.3	7.4	11.3	60.8	3.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when a:
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in Legislative Council.

Fremont County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 1½ Years

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	
Under 10	0	0	0	1	0	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	5	6
12 " " 14	0	0	0	4	20	24
14 " " 16	0	3	1	6	12	22
16 " " 18	1	2	3	11	19	36
18 " " 20	1	6	0	7	19	33
20 " " 22	2	1	0	6	16	25
22 " " 24	9	4	0	3	14	30
24 " " 26	13	8	2	4	15	42
26 " " 28	16	7	0	1	3	27
28 " " 30	25	7	0	2	3	37
30 " " 32	18	1	1	0	3	23
32 " " 34	14	0	0	0	6	20
34 " " 36	9	0	0	1	2	12
36 " " 38	4	0	0	0	2	6
38 " " 40	3	1	0	1	2	7
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	2	2
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	1	2
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total Cases	115	41	7	50	146	359
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.1	24.7	19.0	19.1	19.6	21.8
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio	2.8	4.9	2.5	3.0	3.7	3.5
Above Average Ratio	3.1	3.2	6.2	3.9	5.0	4.3
Total	5.9	8.1	8.7	6.9	8.7	7.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.6	5.6	1.9	6.8	18.7	43.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land With Impts.</u>	<u>Misc. With Impts.</u>	<u>Rural Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	8
2	0	26	1	1	1	0	3	29
0	0	22	2	0	0	1	3	25
0	0	36	2	3	1	1	7	43
1	0	34	4	1	0	0	5	39
1	0	26	1	4	2	0	7	33
0	0	30	4	1	1	0	6	36
3	1	46	0	1	1	1	3	49
1	1	29	0	2	0	0	2	31
1	1	39	1	0	1	0	2	41
1	0	24	2	0	1	1	4	28
1	1	22	1	0	0	0	1	23
0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	12
0	0	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
0	0	7	0	2	0	0	2	9
0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	3
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	3
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
16	4	379	21	18	9	5	53	432
22.9	---	22.1	21.3	24.2	25.0	---	23.1	22.5
2.9	---	3.4	4.1	5.2	5.4	---	4.8	3.9
16.1	---	6.7	8.1	14.3	4.5	---	12.9	9.2
19.0	---	10.1	12.2	19.5	9.9	---	17.7	13.1
11.9	3.9	59.3	7.8	27.3	0.3	3.6	38.9	98.3

All when arranged from low to high.
 Assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

of Conveyances By Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 ending December 31, 1960

Family	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
	0	0	4	0	1	1	0	2	6
	1	0	20	2	0	0	2	4	24
	3	0	46	3	2	1	2	8	54
	2	0	67	4	1	1	1	7	74
	0	0	82	6	1	5	4	16	98
	2	0	92	6	1	3	0	10	102
	4	0	62	4	2	6	6	18	80
	0	0	77	5	0	7	3	15	92
	3	0	95	5	1	2	3	11	106
	3	0	71	1	0	3	3	7	78
	2	0	87	2	0	4	2	8	95
	2	0	51	3	1	0	3	7	58
	1	0	43	2	1	0	2	5	48
	1	0	20	0	1	1	1	3	23
	1	0	19	2	0	1	1	4	23
	1	0	11	1	0	2	0	3	14
	1	2	11	0	1	1	2	4	15
	0	0	3	0	2	1	0	3	6
	1	0	3	1	1	1	0	3	6
	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	3
	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2
	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	4
	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
	4	0	6	0	1	0	0	1	7
	34	2	878	48	17	43	36	144	1,022
	26.4	---	22.7	19.1	20.9	24.0	26.7	22.6	22.7
	6.2	---	4.1	2.1	4.4	4.0	7.5	3.7	3.9
	12.6	---	5.7	8.7	20.5	5.6	4.0	7.7	6.5
	18.8	---	9.8	10.8	24.9	9.6	11.5	11.4	10.4
	11.9	2.9	59.3	7.8	3.6	27.3	0.3	38.9	98.3

arranged from low to high.
 in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

66

Fremont County: Number of Co
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending De

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	2	2	4	0
10 and " 12	0	0	1	7	10	18	1
12 " " 14	1	1	0	9	32	43	0
14 " " 16	0	6	3	20	35	64	1
16 " " 18	6	6	4	20	46	82	0
18 " " 20	5	9	1	20	55	90	0
20 " " 22	9	7	0	11	30	57	1
22 " " 24	23	12	1	11	29	76	1
24 " " 26	32	16	4	10	28	90	2
26 " " 28	44	12	0	3	8	67	1
28 " " 30	55	13	1	5	10	84	1
30 " " 32	37	6	2	0	4	49	0
32 " " 34	21	4	0	3	13	41	1
34 " " 36	12	1	0	3	3	19	0
36 " " 38	7	2	0	2	6	17	1
38 " " 40	4	1	0	1	4	10	0
40 " " 42	1	2	0	0	5	8	0
42 " " 44	1	1	0	0	1	3	0
44 " " 46	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	2	3	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Total Cases	259	100	17	129	327	832	10
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.0	25.3	20.5	19.2	19.7	21.8	25.3
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	2.7	4.4	4.4	3.8	3.6	3.5	4.3
Above Average Ratio	3.0	3.6	4.9	4.2	4.7	4.2	3.7
Total	5.7	8.0	9.3	8.0	8.3	7.7	8.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.6	5.6	1.9	6.8	18.7	43.5	0.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in 1957.

Garfield County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 1½ Years Ending

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	1	4	5
10 and	"	12	0	4	1	0	3	8
12 "	"	14	0	2	1	2	7	12
14 "	"	16	0	2	0	1	7	10
16 "	"	18	0	2	1	1	1	5
18 "	"	20	1	3	1	1	2	8
20 "	"	22	4	2	0	2	4	12
22 "	"	24	3	0	0	0	3	6
24 "	"	26	2	3	1	0	1	7
26 "	"	28	5	6	0	0	2	13
28 "	"	30	7	2	0	2	2	13
30 "	"	32	9	3	0	0	0	12
32 "	"	34	6	5	0	0	1	12
34 "	"	36	1	2	0	0	1	4
36 "	"	38	1	2	1	1	1	6
38 "	"	40	1	1	0	0	0	2
40 "	"	42	0	1	0	1	1	3
42 "	"	44	1	1	0	0	0	2
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	1	0	1
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases			41	41	6	13	40	141
Average Sales Ratio (%)			29.1	25.1	17.1	19.3	16.8	21.9
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio			3.0	7.0	4.1	4.7	3.9	4.3
Above Average Ratio			2.8	7.6	7.9	12.1	6.5	6.5
Total			5.8	14.6	12.0	16.8	10.4	10.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			9.1	5.0	1.9	2.7	6.7	25.5

^a Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
^b Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 as Ending December 31, 1960

Size	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
5	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	6
8	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	1	9
12	0	0	12	0	0	3	0	3	15
10	0	0	10	0	2	1	0	3	13
5	1	1	7	4	2	1	0	7	14
8	0	0	8	0	1	2	0	3	11
12	0	1	13	1	1	1	3	6	19
6	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	8
7	1	0	8	0	2	1	0	3	11
13	0	0	13	0	1	0	0	1	14
13	0	0	13	1	1	1	1	4	17
12	2	0	14	1	1	2	0	4	18
12	0	0	12	1	0	1	0	2	14
4	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	2	6
6	0	1	7	3	1	2	0	6	13
2	2	0	4	2	1	0	0	3	7
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
2	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	4
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	4
0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
1	14	3	158	18	17	16	4	55	213
9	29.6	---	24.2	30.7	28.8	20.8	---	29.0	26.7
3	4.6	---	4.4	12.9	10.3	6.5	---	11.2	7.9
3	29.2	---	13.5	7.8	8.7	10.2	---	7.1	10.2
3	33.8	---	17.9	20.7	19.0	16.7	---	18.3	18.1
1	15.6	1.3	42.4	39.1	7.2	4.4	5.8	56.5	98.9

all when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

<u>Industrial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
			<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
0	0	10	1	0	0	0	1	11
0	0	13	0	0	2	3	5	18
0	0	18	1	1	4	3	9	27
0	0	18	1	2	5	2	10	28
1	0	22	7	1	6	3	17	39
1	0	20	1	0	1	2	4	24
1	0	30	4	4	4	2	14	44
0	0	23	4	0	2	0	6	29
0	0	18	5	1	7	3	16	34
1	0	32	3	0	3	2	8	40
0	0	30	3	2	2	2	9	39
0	0	35	2	0	3	2	7	42
0	0	24	3	1	1	2	7	31
0	0	7	1	0	1	0	2	9
1	0	10	5	0	2	2	9	19
0	1	10	3	0	2	0	5	15
0	0	5	1	1	1	3	6	11
0	1	7	2	0	0	1	3	10
0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	3
0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	4
0	0	0	2	1	1	0	4	4
0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	3
1	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
0	0	8	1	0	0	1	2	10
6	2	348	52	15	49	34	150	498
21.5	---	24.7	26.9	20.7	23.5	27.0	25.6	25.2
2.5	---	4.5	5.9	2.9	7.1	10.7	6.0	5.4
15.5	---	13.9	10.3	11.4	7.0	6.5	9.7	11.6
18.0	---	18.4	16.2	14.3	14.1	17.2	15.7	17.0
1.0	0.3	42.4	39.1	5.8	7.2	4.4	56.5	98.9

ranged from low to high.
 the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Garfield County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending Dec 31, 1957

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings By Age Class (years)						Commercial Buildings
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	
Under 10	0	0	0	1	9	10	0
10 and " 12	0	5	1	0	7	13	0
12 " " 14	0	2	1	4	11	18	0
14 " " 16	0	2	1	3	10	16	2
16 " " 18	0	3	3	5	9	20	1
18 " " 20	2	6	3	4	3	18	1
20 " " 22	10	5	0	6	8	29	0
22 " " 24	6	6	1	0	7	20	3
24 " " 26	6	7	1	0	3	17	1
26 " " 28	14	11	0	2	4	31	0
28 " " 30	18	4	0	2	5	29	1
30 " " 32	21	5	1	2	4	33	2
32 " " 34	12	7	0	1	2	22	2
34 " " 36	4	2	0	0	1	7	0
36 " " 38	4	2	1	1	1	9	0
38 " " 40	4	1	0	1	1	7	2
40 " " 42	0	1	0	3	1	5	0
42 " " 44	2	2	1	0	0	5	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
46 " " 48	1	2	0	0	0	3	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
60 and Over	1	1	1	0	0	3	5
Total Cases	105	74	15	37	86	317	23
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.2	25.2	20.5	20.7	17.8	22.9	28.8
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	2.9	5.0	4.0	4.2	4.8	4.2	5.6
Above Average Ratio	3.1	6.6	9.0	10.1	6.5	6.2	29.4
Total	6.0	11.6	13.0	14.3	11.3	10.4	35.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	9.1	5.0	1.9	2.7	6.7	25.5	15.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in 1957.

Gilpin County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land	
					With Impts.	Without Impts.
	Under 10	1	0	1	0	0
10 and	" 12	1	0	1	0	18
12 "	" 14	4	1	5	1	4
14 "	" 16	3	0	3	3	4
16 "	" 18	2	0	2	2	1
18 "	" 20	3	0	3	5	4
20 "	" 22	1	0	1	7	1
22 "	" 24	1	0	1	4	1
24 "	" 26	1	0	1	2	2
26 "	" 28	0	0	0	0	0
28 "	" 30	0	0	0	6	1
30 "	" 32	1	0	1	0	1
32 "	" 34	0	0	0	2	0
34 "	" 36	0	0	0	1	0
36 "	" 38	2	0	2	1	0
38 "	" 40	0	0	0	1	0
40 "	" 42	0	1	1	1	0
42 "	" 44	0	0	0	1	0
44 "	" 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	" 48	1	0	1	0	0
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	" 55	1	0	1	1	3
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over		0	1	1	0	0
Total Cases		22	3	25	38	40
Average Sales Ratio (%)		16.4	---	17.3	24.9	12.5
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio		3.1	---	1.6	5.5	1.4
Above Average Ratio		6.7	---	19.4	4.6	7.0
Total		9.8	---	21.0	10.1	8.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		10.4	7.7	18.2	30.7	38.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

ize
 /ariation
 roperty

<u>id</u> <u>out</u> <u>se</u>	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	0	0	1
	0	18	19
	1	6	11
	0	7	10
	0	3	5
	0	9	12
	0	8	9
	0	5	6
	0	4	5
	0	0	0
	0	7	7
	0	1	2
	0	2	2
	0	1	1
	0	1	3
	0	1	1
	0	1	2
	0	1	1
	0	0	0
	0	0	1
	0	0	0
	0	4	5
	0	0	0
	0	0	1
	1	79	104
----		16.0	16.2
----		2.5	2.3
----		6.3	8.8
----		8.8	11.1
	11.4	80.8	99.0

s fall when arranged from low
 essed value in the county

Gilpi
of Sales Ra
and Propc
for

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>One Famil Dwelli</u>
		Under	10	1
10	and	"	12	3
12	"	"	14	6
14	"	"	16	5
16	"	"	18	3
18	"	"	20	5
20	"	"	22	3
22	"	"	24	3
24	"	"	26	1
26	"	"	28	3
28	"	"	30	0
30	"	"	32	1
32	"	"	34	0
34	"	"	36	0
36	"	"	38	2
38	"	"	40	0
40	"	"	42	0
42	"	"	44	0
44	"	"	46	0
46	"	"	48	1
48	"	"	50	1
50	"	"	55	1
55	"	"	60	0
60	and Over			1
Total Cases				40
Average Sales Ratio (%)				16.7
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio				3.1
Above Average Ratio				6.9
Total				10.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				10.4

a. Range in percentage points w
to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by cla
reported by the assessor to

Polk County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>One</u> <u>Family</u> <u>Residences</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
			<u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Without</u> <u>Impts.</u>			
1	0	1	3	3	1	7	8
3	0	3	0	29	1	30	33
6	1	7	8	9	1	18	25
5	0	5	4	10	0	14	19
3	0	3	3	5	0	8	11
5	0	5	8	4	0	12	17
3	0	3	9	3	0	12	15
3	0	3	7	3	0	10	13
1	1	2	4	2	0	6	8
3	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
0	0	0	8	2	0	10	10
1	0	1	1	5	0	6	7
0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
2	0	2	2	1	0	3	5
0	0	0	1	2	0	3	3
0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	2	4	0	6	7
0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
1	1	2	1	0	0	1	3
40	4	44	69	84	3	156	200
16.7	---	18.2	21.3	16.4	----	16.4	16.7
3.1	---	2.8	3.8	5.2	----	4.1	3.9
6.9	---	15.7	8.1	5.6	----	5.4	7.1
10.0	---	18.5	11.9	10.8	----	9.5	11.0
10.4	7.7	18.2	30.7	38.8	11.4	80.8	99.0

Percentages within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported to the Legislative Council.

Grand County: Number of Cor
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 1½ Years Ending Dec

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>
Under 10	0	0	1	0	0	1
10 and m" 12	0	0	0	1	0	1
12 " " 14	1	0	1	0	1	3
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0	1
16 " " 18	0	2	0	1	1	4
18 " " 20	1	0	1	1	0	3
20 " " 22	1	0	0	2	0	3
22 " " 24	1	1	1	2	0	5
24 " " 26	6	0	1	0	0	7
26 " " 28	2	0	0	1	0	3
28 " " 30	2	2	1	1	0	6
30 " " 32	1	1	1	1	1	5
32 " " 34	0	0	1	0	0	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	1	1
36 " " 38	0	1	1	0	1	3
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	0	1
40 " " 42	1	0	1	1	0	3
42 " " 44	0	0	1	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	1	2
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	2	1	1	4
Total Cases	17	7	14	14	7	59
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.1	23.9	29.6	23.6	29.4	25.9
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio	2.2	5.0	6.6	4.6	8.9	4.3
Above Average Ratio	2.7	6.7	13.4	7.4	19.2	7.1
Total	4.9	11.7	20.0	12.0	28.1	11.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.6	5.5	4.1	4.3	2.2	26.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
			<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>			
0	0	1	0	2	0	2	3
0	0	1	1	2	0	3	4
0	0	3	0	6	0	6	9
1	0	2	0	5	0	5	7
1	0	5	1	4	1	6	11
0	0	3	4	1	0	5	8
0	0	3	3	11	0	14	17
1	0	6	2	2	0	4	10
1	0	8	5	0	1	6	14
0	0	3	1	2	0	3	6
1	0	7	0	1	0	1	8
0	0	5	1	1	2	4	9
0	0	1	1	2	0	3	4
1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	0	4	3	0	1	4	8
1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	0	4	0	2	0	2	6
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
1	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
0	0	4	0	1	1	2	6
11	0	70	23	43	6	72	142
28.0	0	26.7	23.7	17.6	----	27.6	27.2
4.5	---	4.4	3.8	3.3	----	4.4	4.4
12.5	---	9.2	6.3	5.6	----	7.1	8.0
17.0	---	13.6	10.1	8.9	----	11.5	12.4
18.4	0.1	45.2	17.6	1.1	34.0	52.7	97.9

1 when arranged from low to high.
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Grand County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 3½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Co Bu
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	1	0	0	1	
10 and " 12	0	0	1	2	0	3	
12 " " 14	1	0	1	0	1	3	
14 " " 16	0	2	0	1	0	3	
16 " " 18	0	3	2	2	2	9	
18 " " 20	2	0	2	2	0	6	
20 " " 22	1	0	2	3	0	6	
22 " " 24	3	1	2	2	1	9	
24 " " 26	9	2	3	2	0	16	
26 " " 28	2	2	2	2	0	8	
28 " " 30	5	4	1	1	0	11	
30 " " 32	3	3	1	1	1	9	
32 " " 34	1	3	2	1	0	7	
34 " " 36	1	1	0	0	1	3	
36 " " 38	1	1	1	2	1	6	
38 " " 40	0	0	0	2	0	2	
40 " " 42	1	0	2	1	0	4	
42 " " 44	0	0	2	1	0	3	
44 " " 46	0	1	0	0	0	1	
46 " " 48	1	0	0	0	0	1	
48 " " 50	1	0	0	0	0	1	
50 " " 55	1	1	0	0	1	3	
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	0	1	
60 and Over	0	1	2	4	1	8	
Total Cases	33	25	28	29	9	124	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.4	26.7	24.0	26.3	29.4	26.6	
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	3.1	2.6	4.0	6.2	12.2	4.3	
Above Average Ratio	3.8	6.5	14.8	12.5	11.5	8.3	
Total	6.9	9.1	18.8	18.7	23.7	12.6	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.6	5.5	4.1	4.3	2.2	26.8	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	1	0	0	1	13	14	15
0	0	3	0	0	3	2	5	8
0	1	4	0	0	1	8	9	13
1	0	4	2	0	0	10	12	16
4	0	13	1	2	3	7	13	26
2	0	8	2	1	4	3	10	18
1	0	7	1	0	6	15	22	29
2	0	11	1	0	4	5	10	21
1	0	17	1	1	5	2	9	26
1	0	9	0	1	4	3	8	17
2	0	13	0	0	2	3	5	18
0	1	10	1	1	5	1	8	18
1	0	8	0	0	2	4	6	14
1	0	4	0	0	2	0	2	6
2	0	8	1	0	3	0	4	12
1	1	4	0	0	2	0	2	6
2	0	6	0	0	1	5	6	12
2	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	6
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	3
1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	4	0	0	0	1	1	5
1	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	3
1	0	9	0	1	1	2	4	13
26	4	154	10	9	51	84	154	308
7	---	26.3	19.3	31.6	23.4	19.4	21.2	23.3
2	---	5.2	2.3	13.0	3.2	5.9	3.2	4.0
8	---	10.9	5.7	11.9	8.9	4.8	7.0	8.6
0	---	16.1	8.0	24.9	12.1	10.7	10.2	12.6
4	0.1	45.2	29.6	4.4	17.6	1.1	52.7	97.9

arranged from low to high.
 in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

72

Gunnison County: Number of Conve
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, M
and Proportion of Assessed Value by
for the 1½ Years Ending Decembe

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					All	Comm
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Buil</u>
	Under	10	0	0	1	0	0	1	
10 and	"	12	0	0	1	0	4	5	
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	4	4	
14 "	"	16	0	1	0	2	9	12	
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	2	1	3	
18 "	"	20	0	0	1	2	4	7	
20 "	"	22	0	2	1	0	5	8	
22 "	"	24	0	0	2	0	4	6	
24 "	"	26	7	1	0	1	4	13	
26 "	"	28	7	0	0	2	3	12	
28 "	"	30	3	1	0	0	2	6	
30 "	"	32	3	0	0	0	2	5	
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	0	2	2	
34 "	"	36	0	1	0	0	0	1	
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	1	1	2	
38 "	"	40	0	0	1	0	2	3	
40 "	"	42	0	0	1	0	2	3	
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	1	1	
60 and Over			0	1	0	0	0	1	
Total Cases			20	7	8	10	50	95	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			26.9	27.7	27.4	20.9	19.5	22.7	42
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio			1.5	6.9	12.4	4.4	4.5	4.8	3
Above Average Ratio			1.8	5.8	3.8	5.6	8.2	5.9	4
Total			3.3	12.7	16.2	10.0	12.7	10.7	8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			4.6	3.4	1.6	4.3	6.8	20.6	13

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Misc. With Impts.</u>	<u>Rural Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	1	2	0	0	2	3
0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
0	0	4	1	2	3	6	10
0	0	12	0	1	0	1	13
0	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
1	0	8	0	0	1	1	9
0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
0	0	6	1	0	0	1	7
0	0	13	1	0	0	1	14
0	0	12	0	0	1	1	13
0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
0	0	5	0	1	0	1	6
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
1	0	4	0	1	0	1	5
1	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
6	0	101	7	8	6	21	122
42.3	---	27.6	12.5	29.5	----	15.3	18.3
3.3	---	4.3	3.6	15.3	----	2.5	3.0
4.7	---	5.6	12.0	8.5	----	7.0	6.6
8.0	----	9.9	15.6	23.8	----	9.5	9.6
13.5	1.8	36.0	7.5	4.2	50.9	62.7	98.6

11 when arranged from low to high.
 d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Gunnison County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 3½ Years Endin

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>		<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					<u>All Ages</u>
		<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	
	Under 10	0	0	1	2	2	5
10 and	" 12	0	1	2	5	6	14
12 "	" 14	0	1	0	2	6	9
14 "	" 16	0	1	3	4	16	24
16 "	" 18	0	0	1	5	4	10
18 "	" 20	1	0	2	4	11	18
20 "	" 22	1	4	4	1	8	18
22 "	" 24	0	1	3	2	7	13
24 "	" 26	10	1	0	3	6	20
26 "	" 28	7	1	1	3	4	16
28 "	" 30	6	2	0	1	5	14
30 "	" 32	4	0	1	0	4	9
32 "	" 34	1	2	0	0	6	9
34 "	" 36	0	2	0	0	3	5
36 "	" 38	0	0	0	2	3	5
38 "	" 40	1	0	1	0	3	5
40 "	" 42	0	0	1	0	3	4
42 "	" 44	0	0	0	0	1	1
44 "	" 46	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	" 50	0	0	1	0	0	1
50 "	" 55	0	0	0	0	2	2
55 "	" 60	1	0	0	0	1	2
60 and Over		0	1	0	0	6	7
Total Cases		32	17	21	34	107	211
Average Sales Ratio (%)		27.1	26.3	24.0	18.1	21.4	22.4
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio		1.9	5.7	8.5	4.6	5.8	5.0
Above Average Ratio		2.6	6.5	0.5	6.2	11.0	7.0
Total		4.5	12.2	9.0	10.8	16.8	12.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		4.6	3.4	1.6	4.3	6.8	20.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed v

Conveyances by Size.
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	5	1	0	3	4	8	13
0	0	14	0	1	0	2	3	17
0	0	9	2	1	4	2	9	21
0	0	24	0	0	1	2	3	24
0	0	10	0	0	0	2	2	12
3	0	21	1	1	1	0	3	24
0	0	18	1	0	1	0	2	20
0	0	13	1	0	1	0	2	15
1	0	21	1	2	1	2	6	27
1	0	17	0	1	1	0	2	19
2	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	16
0	0	9	0	0	2	1	3	12
1	0	10	0	0	0	1	1	11
0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
0	0	5	0	0	0	1	1	6
2	0	7	1	0	0	1	2	9
1	0	5	0	0	0	1	1	6
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	3
1	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	3
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	3
1	0	8	0	0	0	1	1	9
15	0	226	9	7	17	21	54	280
31.7	---	25.3	18.9	14.6	15.1	16.1	17.5	19.7
6.2	---	5.4	5.7	0.1	2.5	4.9	4.3	4.6
12.3	---	8.6	9.6	12.0	12.8	17.9	11.0	10.3
18.5	---	14.0	15.3	12.1	15.3	22.8	15.3	14.9
13.5	1.8	36.0	42.6	8.3	7.5	4.2	62.7	98.6

When arranged from low to high.
 Value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	1	0	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	1	0	1
14 " " 16	0	1	1
16 " " 18	2	0	2
18 " " 20	1	0	1
20 " " 22	2	0	2
22 " " 24	2	0	2
24 " " 26	2	0	2
26 " " 28	0	0	0
28 " " 30	0	0	0
30 " " 32	2	0	2
32 " " 34	1	0	1
34 " " 36	1	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0
Total Cases	16	1	17
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.1	----	19.9
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	1.9	----	1.7
Above Average Ratio	10.9	----	11.1
Total	12.8	----	12.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.9	69.8	96.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	1	1	2
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	1	0	1
14 " " 16	0	1	1
16 " " 18	2	0	2
18 " " 20	5	0	5
20 " " 22	3	0	3
22 " " 24	3	0	3
24 " " 26	3	0	3
26 " " 28	0	0	0
28 " " 30	0	0	0
30 " " 32	3	0	3
32 " " 34	1	1	2
34 " " 36	1	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	1	0	1
60 and Over	1	0	1
Total Cases	26	3	29
Average Sales Ratio (%)	21.1	----	20.8
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	2.1	----	1.8
Above Average Ratio	9.9	----	10.2
Total	12.0	----	12.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	26.9	69.8	96.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Huerfano County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 1½ %

		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All	C
Sales Ratio Class (%)		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	Ages	E
	Under 10	0	0	0	1	1	2	
10 and	" 12	0	0	0	2	1	3	
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	1	2	3	
14 "	" 16	0	1	0	3	2	6	
16 "	" 18	0	0	2	1	1	4	
18 "	" 20	0	0	0	2	1	3	
20 "	" 22	1	0	0	1	1	3	
22 "	" 24	0	0	0	1	0	1	
24 "	" 26	0	0	1	0	1	2	
26 "	" 28	0	2	2	6	0	10	
28 "	" 30	0	0	0	4	3	7	
30 "	" 32	1	1	1	4	5	12	
32 "	" 34	0	0	1	3	2	6	
34 "	" 36	0	0	1	1	1	3	
36 "	" 38	0	0	1	2	1	4	
38 "	" 40	0	0	1	1	1	3	
40 "	" 42	0	0	1	0	0	1	
42 "	" 44	0	0	0	2	0	2	
44 "	" 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0	1	1	
50 "	" 55	0	0	0	2	2	4	
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	
60 and Over		1	0	2	2	3	8	
Total Cases		3	4	13	39	31	90	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		---	---	31.4	28.5	30.2	28.6	
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio		---	---	5.3	6.6	10.7	8.4	
Above Average Ratio		---	---	8.1	6.2	14.8	10.5	
Total		---	---	13.4	14.8	25.5	18.9	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		1.2	2.1	2.3	15.6	11.0	32.1	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed
the Legislative Council.

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 1½ Year Period

-	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
2	0	0	2	1	2	2	5	7
3	0	0	3	1	2	2	5	8
3	0	0	3	3	1	1	5	8
6	0	0	6	2	2	1	5	11
4	0	0	4	1	1	0	2	6
3	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
3	1	0	4	0	1	0	1	5
1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
2	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10
7	0	0	7	0	0	1	1	8
2	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	12
5	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
1	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
3	1	1	10	0	0	1	1	11
0	7	1	98	11	9	8	28	126
3	44.7	---	33.2	14.1	13.1	---	14.3	20.2
4	19.9	---	11.6	1.6	3.0	---	2.2	5.1
5	11.5	---	10.7	4.4	2.8	---	9.2	9.7
9	31.4	---	22.3	6.0	5.8	---	11.4	14.8
1	18.6	0.4	51.1	39.9	1.4	6.8	48.1	99.2

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to

77

Huerfano County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sale
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 3½ Years End

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Co Bu</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	3	2	5	
10 and " 12	1	0	0	2	3	6	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	2	3	
14 " " 16	1	1	0	5	6	13	
16 " " 18	0	0	2	2	3	7	
18 " " 20	1	0	0	2	3	6	
20 " " 22	1	0	0	3	8	12	
22 " " 24	0	0	0	7	1	8	
24 " " 26	1	0	2	3	2	8	
26 " " 28	0	4	3	9	1	17	
28 " " 30	1	1	0	7	5	14	
30 " " 32	1	1	1	5	8	16	
32 " " 34	0	2	1	4	3	10	
34 " " 36	0	2	1	2	3	8	
36 " " 38	0	1	1	5	2	9	
38 " " 40	0	1	3	2	1	7	
40 " " 42	0	0	1	5	1	7	
42 " " 44	0	0	0	5	0	5	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	2	0	2	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	2	1	3	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	2	3	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	3	2	5	
55 " " 60	1	0	1	2	2	6	
60 and Over	1	0	3	10	5	19	
Total Cases	9	13	19	92	66	199	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	24.5	27.0	36.0	31.2	26.7	29.2	
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	6.5	---	9.5	7.8	8.4	7.5	
Above Average Ratio	13.1	---	4.7	11.6	9.0	10.0	
Total	19.6	---	14.2	19.4	17.4	17.5	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.2	2.1	2.3	15.6	11.0	32.1	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall w
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed va

r of Conveyances by Size
 s Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 ing December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
1	0	6	3	6	0	4	13	19
0	0	6	3	5	2	3	13	19
0	0	3	5	5	0	1	11	14
0	0	13	5	9	2	0	16	29
1	0	8	1	2	0	1	4	12
0	0	6	3	5	1	0	9	15
1	0	13	2	1	0	0	3	16
2	0	10	3	2	0	0	5	15
2	0	10	0	2	1	0	3	13
0	0	17	2	1	0	0	3	20
0	0	14	0	0	2	0	2	16
0	0	16	3	0	0	1	4	20
0	0	10	3	1	0	0	4	14
0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	8
0	0	9	0	1	0	0	1	10
1	0	8	0	1	0	0	1	9
1	0	8	0	0	1	0	1	9
1	0	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	4
2	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
1	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	8
4	2	25	1	0	1	0	2	27
17	2	218	37	41	11	10	99	317
31.0	---	29.8	15.7	14.2	23.0	12.6	16.2	21.2
7.8	---	7.6	2.4	2.5	8.2	4.5	3.0	4.7
27.4	---	16.2	14.8	5.3	15.0	0.4	14.2	14.8
35.2	---	23.8	17.2	7.8	23.2	4.9	17.2	19.5
18.6	0.4	51.1	39.9	1.4	5.9	0.9	48.1	99.2

when arranged from low to high.
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

78

Jackson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	0	0
14 "	"	16		0	0	0	0	0
16 "	"	18		2	0	2	0	2
18 "	"	20		0	0	0	0	0
20 "	"	22		1	0	1	0	1
22 "	"	24		1	0	1	0	1
24 "	"	26		2	0	2	0	2
26 "	"	28		2	0	2	0	2
28 "	"	30		2	0	2	0	2
30 "	"	32		1	1	2	0	2
32 "	"	34		0	0	0	1	1
34 "	"	36		1	1	2	0	2
36 "	"	38		1	0	1	0	1
38 "	"	40		0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42		0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44		0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46		1	0	1	0	1
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55		0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over				1	1	2	0	2
Total Cases				15	3	18	1	19
Average Sales Ratio (%)				29.0	---	36.3	----	36.3
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				4.8	---	8.8	----	8.8
Above Average Ratio				11.5	---	15.7	----	15.7
Total				16.3	---	24.5	----	24.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				13.3	6.8	20.1	79.6	99.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Cou

cs

Assessed
Council.

Jackson County:
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-family Dwelling		
	1-8	9-18	19
Under 10	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	
12 " " 14	0	0	
14 " " 16	0	0	
16 " " 18	0	0	
18 " " 20	0	1	
20 " " 22	0	1	
22 " " 24	0	0	
24 " " 26	0	0	
26 " " 28	0	0	
28 " " 30	4	1	
30 " " 32	0	0	
32 " " 34	2	1	
34 " " 36	0	1	
36 " " 38	1	0	
38 " " 40	1	0	
40 " " 42	0	0	
42 " " 44	0	0	
44 " " 46	1	0	
46 " " 48	1	0	
48 " " 50	0	0	
50 " " 55	0	0	
55 " " 60	0	0	
60 and Over	0	0	
Total Cases	10	5	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.1	---	---
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	3.9	---	---
Above Average Ratio	5.9	---	---
Total	9.8	---	---
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.9	3.4	2.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the majority of cases fall.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as reported to the Legislative Council.

Number of Conveyances by Size
 age Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Conveyances by Age Class (years)</u>			<u>All</u> <u>Ages</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
<u>9-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>					
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
0	0	1	1	0	1	2	3
0	2	0	2	0	2	1	3
0	0	0	1	1	2	1	3
2	0	1	4	0	4	1	5
0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
0	3	1	4	0	4	1	5
1	3	0	4	0	4	0	4
0	0	0	5	0	5	0	5
0	1	1	2	1	3	2	5
0	0	0	3	0	3	1	4
0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2
0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	1	1	2	0	2
5	11	0	36	5	41	16	57
--	21.3		28.2	---	32.9	16.8	18.6
--	2.4	-	5.9	---	7.2	5.2	5.4
--	5.5	---	8.4	---	10.6	9.4	9.5
--	7.9	---	14.3	---	17.8	14.6	14.9
.3	2.3	1.4	13.3	6.8	20.1	79.6	99.7

Middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 5 per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor

Jefferson County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 1½ Year

Sales Ratio Class (%)			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Fam Dwellin
			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
	Under	10	1	5	4	20	2	32	0
10 and	"	12	2	2	3	16	12	35	0
12 "	"	14	2	12	5	18	12	49	0
14 "	"	16	6	16	7	32	11	72	0
16 "	"	18	11	17	10	29	11	78	1
18 "	"	20	17	26	18	28	19	108	2
20 "	"	22	34	40	23	28	16	141	1
22 "	"	24	80	58	24	18	3	183	4
24 "	"	26	197	84	17	17	5	320	15
26 "	"	28	244	93	20	6	7	370	14
28 "	"	30	325	77	7	4	1	414	14
30 "	"	32	254	40	7	5	0	306	9
32 "	"	34	202	18	1	3	1	225	4
34 "	"	36	98	12	0	3	1	114	9
36 "	"	38	44	8	1	0	0	53	5
38 "	"	40	12	5	1	0	0	18	2
40 "	"	42	2	2	2	1	0	7	2
42 "	"	44	8	3	3	0	0	14	1
44 "	"	46	2	4	3	0	0	9	1
46 "	"	48	2	2	1	1	0	6	0
48 "	"	50	2	2	0	0	0	4	0
50 "	"	55	2	3	0	2	0	7	0
55 "	"	60	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
60 and Over			2	1	1	0	1	5	0
Total Cases			1,549	531	158	232	102	2,572	84
Average Sales Ratio (%)			29.1	25.9	22.6	18.0	17.5	26.5	29.6
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio			2.8	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.1	3.9
Above Average Ratio			2.8	3.3	4.2	4.3	3.7	3.2	3.9
Total			5.6	6.7	7.6	8.1	7.3	6.3	7.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			44.6	11.8	3.6	4.0	2.3	66.3	3.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value
Legislative Council.

s by Size
of Variation
of Property

1	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land				All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			Remote From Denver With Impts.	Without Impts.	Near Denver With Impts.	Without Impts.			
	0	32	26	56	5	77	2	166	198
	0	38	27	16	4	8	0	55	93
	1	50	30	15	4	12	1	62	112
	0	73	27	12	2	11	1	53	126
	0	82	23	9	6	14	1	53	135
	0	111	23	17	17	5	0	62	173
	0	144	15	12	19	12	0	58	202
	0	189	13	39	29	3	0	84	273
	0	337	18	8	37	9	0	72	409
	0	387	16	5	52	3	0	76	463
	0	429	17	9	57	2	0	85	514
	0	318	10	9	65	5	0	89	407
	0	231	7	8	50	2	0	67	298
	0	126	5	1	26	1	0	33	159
	0	61	0	2	13	2	0	17	78
	0	21	3	0	5	1	0	9	30
	0	9	1	5	8	0	0	14	23
	0	15	2	1	1	0	0	4	19
	0	10	3	2	1	0	0	6	16
	0	6	4	0	5	0	0	9	15
	0	4	3	0	1	1	0	5	9
	0	7	0	9	1	4	0	14	21
	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	3	5
	0	7	7	6	4	1	0	18	25
	1	2,689	281	241	413	174	5	1,114	3,803
	---	26.5	19.0	15.6	29.0	10.9	---	19.9	25.4
	---	3.5	5.8	5.1	4.1	4.5	---	5.4	3.9
	---	4.1	8.1	9.6	3.5	9.7	---	5.6	4.3
	---	7.6	13.9	14.7	7.6	14.2	---	11.0	8.2
	2.4	84.3	4.3	0.5	5.5	0.9	2.4	13.5	97.9

low to high.
as reported by the assessor to the

81

Jefferson County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>						<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Multi-Family Dwellings</u>
	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>			
Under 10	2	7	11	27	5	52	1	
10 and " 12	4	5	14	39	17	79	0	
12 " " 14	7	25	14	35	21	102	0	
14 " " 16	10	28	17	56	32	143	0	
16 " " 18	19	42	22	56	24	163	1	
18 " " 20	40	59	33	46	30	208	2	
20 " " 22	103	100	49	62	27	341	1	
22 " " 24	172	141	40	35	12	400	7	
24 " " 26	441	173	32	35	15	696	22	
26 " " 28	627	172	31	18	7	855	22	
28 " " 30	720	139	13	7	6	885	26	
30 " " 32	623	77	13	10	1	724	20	
32 " " 34	508	40	4	6	3	561	13	
34 " " 36	268	21	1	3	1	294	15	
36 " " 38	224	11	2	2	3	242	9	
38 " " 40	45	10	3	0	2	60	5	
40 " " 42	13	7	2	2	1	25	5	
42 " " 44	10	11	4	1	0	26	1	
44 " " 46	6	8	3	1	0	18	2	
46 " " 48	7	7	2	1	0	17	1	
48 " " 50	2	3	2	0	0	7	1	
50 " " 55	4	4	1	4	1	14	2	
55 " " 60	2	3	0	1	0	6	1	
60 and Over	3	4	3	2	1	13	0	
Total Cases	3,860	1,097	316	449	209	5,931	157	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.5	25.5	21.9	18.4	18.0	26.7	30.8	
Measure of Variation^a								
Below Average Ratio	3.0	3.4	3.8	4.0	3.4	3.2	4.3	
Above Average Ratio	3.0	3.5	4.4	4.5	4.1	3.4	3.6	
Total	6.0	6.9	8.2	8.5	7.5	6.6	7.9	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	44.6	11.8	3.6	4.0	2.3	66.3	3.7	

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in Jefferson County as reported to the Legislative Council.

Jefferson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 3½ Year Period

<u>Age Class (years)</u>			<u>Multi-Family Dwellings</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>Industrial Buildings</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Re...</u>
<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>					<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	
27	5	52	1	1	0	54	5	5	
39	17	79	0	4	0	83	0	1	
35	21	102	0	0	2	104	4	0	
56	32	143	0	3	0	146	2	0	
56	24	163	1	3	0	167	2	0	
46	30	208	2	4	0	214	2	0	
62	27	341	1	4	0	346	2	1	
35	12	400	7	3	0	410	0	0	
35	15	696	22	2	0	720	1	0	
18	7	855	22	4	1	882	0	1	
7	6	885	26	5	0	916	0	0	
10	1	724	20	8	2	754	0	0	
6	3	561	13	4	0	578	1	0	
3	1	294	15	6	0	315	1	0	
2	3	242	9	5	1	257	0	0	
0	2	60	5	2	0	67	0	0	
2	1	25	5	1	0	31	0	1	
1	0	26	1	1	0	28	0	0	
1	0	18	2	0	0	20	0	0	
1	0	17	1	1	0	19	0	0	
0	0	7	1	0	0	8	0	0	
4	1	14	2	2	0	18	1	0	
1	0	6	1	0	1	8	0	0	
2	1	13	0	4	0	17	1	0	
449	209	5,931	157	67	7	6,162	22	9	
18.4	18.0	26.7	30.8	27.6	24.4	26.9	17.6	7.9	
4.0	3.4	3.2	4.3	6.7	7.5	3.9	5.4	2.6	
4.5	4.1	3.4	3.6	8.2	11.2	4.3	3.9	14.6	
8.5	7.5	6.6	7.9	14.9	18.7	8.2	9.3	17.2	
4.0	2.3	66.3	3.7	12.0	2.4	84.3	2.0	0.4	

middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

82(1)

ances by Size
 Measure of Variation
 Class of Property

Class	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land						Total Rural	Total County
			Agric. Land		Remote from Denver		Near Denver			
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
	0	54	5	5	48	113	9	106	286	340
	0	83	0	1	64	49	5	23	142	225
	2	104	4	0	58	37	6	33	138	242
	0	146	2	0	63	30	8	29	132	278
	0	167	2	0	60	28	12	42	144	311
	0	214	2	0	56	31	28	19	136	350
	0	346	2	1	45	32	42	37	159	505
	0	410	0	0	28	95	50	15	188	598
	0	720	1	0	43	22	70	25	161	881
	1	882	0	1	36	18	101	13	169	1,051
	0	916	0	0	39	19	142	7	207	1,123
	2	754	0	0	23	22	153	9	207	961
	0	578	1	0	20	22	118	9	170	748
	0	315	1	0	10	6	74	2	93	408
	1	257	0	0	6	7	31	7	51	308
	0	67	0	0	9	0	15	4	28	95
	0	31	0	1	9	14	15	2	41	72
	0	28	0	0	6	3	4	1	14	42
	0	20	0	0	8	8	4	0	20	40
	0	19	0	0	10	2	5	2	19	38
	0	8	0	0	7	2	3	2	14	22
	0	18	1	0	2	25	1	5	34	52
	1	8	0	0	2	0	4	2	8	16
	0	17	1	0	23	19	7	9	59	76
	7	6,162	22	9	675	604	907	403	2,620	8,782
	24.4	26.9	17.6	7.9	19.7	16.2	29.6	14.0	20.5	25.8
	7.5	3.9	5.4	2.6	5.7	4.6	3.7	4.4	4.7	4.0
	11.2	4.3	3.9	14.6	8.6	11.6	3.3	9.8	7.0	4.8
	18.7	8.2	9.3	17.2	14.3	16.2	7.0	14.2	11.7	8.8
	2.4	84.3	2.0	0.4	4.3	0.5	5.5	0.9	13.5	97.9

om low to high.
 y as reported by the assessor to the

82(2)

Kiowa County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variati
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and "	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " "	0	0	0	2	0	2
14 " "	0	0	0	1	2	3
16 " "	0	0	0	1	1	2
18 " "	1	0	1	1	0	1
20 " "	1	1	2	0	0	0
22 " "	4	0	4	2	0	2
24 " "	4	0	4	1	0	1
26 " "	1	0	1	0	0	0
28 " "	1	2	3	0	0	0
30 " "	3	0	3	1	0	1
32 " "	2	0	2	0	0	0
34 " "	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 " "	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 " "	1	0	1	0	0	0
40 " "	1	0	1	0	0	0
42 " "	1	0	1	0	0	0
44 " "	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " "	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " "	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " "	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " "	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	2	0	2	0	0	0
Total Cases	22	3	25	9	3	12
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.2	----	26.8	16.7	----	16.7
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio	4.1	----	4.4	2.1	----	2.1
Above Average Ratio	8.7	----	5.9	7.2	----	7.2
Total	12.8	----	10.3	9.3	----	9.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	7.5	12.6	20.0	32.1	47.4	79.5

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Size
of Variation
Property
50

<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0
0	0
2	2
3	3
2	2
1	2
0	2
2	6
1	5
0	1
0	3
1	4
0	2
0	0
0	0
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	2
12	37
16.7	18.1
2.1	1.8
7.2	7.7
9.3	9.5
79.5	99.5

... fall when arranged from
assessed value in the county

Kiowa County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R
and Proportion of Assessed Va
for the 3½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					A A
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29- 48	Over 48	
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0	
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	0	
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	0	
18 " " 20	0	0	3	0	1	
20 " " 22	0	0	0	1	0	
22 " " 24	0	1	2	4	0	
24 " " 26	1	1	1	4	1	
26 " " 28	1	0	0	0	1	
28 " " 30	0	0	0	1	0	
30 " " 32	1	1	1	2	1	
32 " " 34	2	0	1	1	1	
34 " " 36	0	1	0	0	1	
36 " " 38	1	0	0	0	0	
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	0	
40 " " 42	1	0	0	1	0	
42 " " 44	0	0	1	1	0	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	0	1	0	0	0	
50 " " 55	0	0	1	0	1	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over	0	1	0	4	0	
Total Cases	7	6	10	21	7	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.7	34.4	24.5	29.9	27.6	
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio	2.7	9.4	4.8	6.3	2.1	
Above Average Ratio	5.4	14.6	8.5	11.6	6.9	
Total	8.1	24.0	13.3	17.9	9.0	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.5	0.9	1.1	2.7	0.3	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed v
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Age Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Years Ending December 31, 1960

Age (years)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	4
0	0	0	0	1	6	2	9	9
0	1	0	1	2	10	1	13	14
1	4	0	4	0	7	0	7	11
0	1	1	2	0	6	0	6	8
0	7	0	7	2	6	0	8	15
1	8	1	9	3	3	1	7	16
1	2	1	3	0	4	0	4	7
0	1	2	3	0	2	0	2	5
1	6	1	7	2	8	0	10	17
1	5	0	5	1	0	1	2	7
1	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	4
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	3
0	2	0	2	0	1	1	2	4
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
1	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	5	0	5	0	2	0	2	7
7	51	6	57	16	64	6	86	143
7.6	29.6	----	27.1	26.2	22.2	----	24.4	24.9
2.1	5.0	----	3.5	6.2	5.2	----	5.7	5.3
6.9	9.2	----	5.5	7.6	6.8	----	7.3	6.9
9.0	14.2	----	9.0	13.8	12.0	----	13.0	12.2
0.3	7.5	12.6	20.0	47.4	32.1	---- ^c	79.5	99.5

ios fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Kit Carson County: N
of Sales Ratio, Average Sa
and Proportion of Assess
for the 1½ Years Er

			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					ALL
Sales Ratio Class (%)			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	Age
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	4	
12 "	"	14	0	1	0	5	1	
14 "	"	16	0	0	3	8	1	
16 "	"	18	1	2	0	6	2	
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	7	2	
20 "	"	22	2	1	0	2	0	
22 "	"	24	1	0	0	4	0	
24 "	"	26	2	2	0	1	0	
26 "	"	28	1	1	1	2	0	
28 "	"	30	1	1	0	1	1	
30 "	"	32	0	0	0	0	1	
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	2	1	
34 "	"	36	0	4	0	1	0	
36 "	"	38	0	1	0	2	0	
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	0	
40 "	"	42	0	1	0	0	0	
42 "	"	44	0	1	0	0	0	
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	1	1	
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0	
50 "	"	55	0	1	0	0	0	
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	1	0	
60 and Over			1	3	0	2	0	
Total Cases			9	19	4	45	14	91
Average Sales Ratio (%)			24.4	31.2	---	18.9	16.4	21.7
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio			3.2	6.4	---	3.3	4.6	3.8
Above Average Ratio			3.1	11.3	---	7.9	12.6	7.2
Total			6.3	17.7	---	11.2	17.2	10.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			3.6	2.6	1.0	4.3	0.7	12.5

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

Sales	Commercial Buildings	All		Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
		Other Urban	Total Urban	With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
4	0	0	4	2	1	0	3	7
7	0	0	7	0	2	0	2	9
2	0	0	12	2	3	0	5	17
1	0	0	11	1	0	0	1	12
9	0	0	9	0	0	1	1	10
5	0	0	5	0	0	1	1	6
5	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
5	2	0	7	1	0	0	1	8
4	1	0	5	0	2	0	2	7
1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
3	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
3	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6	5	1	12	0	0	0	0	12
01	12	2	105	7	9	2	18	123
7	41.4	---	30.3	14.8	14.4	---	14.6	16.9
6	10.4	---	7.6	2.6	2.3	---	2.4	3.1
2	36.1	---	14.1	6.7	4.5	---	5.5	6.8
8	46.5	---	21.7	9.3	6.8	---	7.9	9.9
2	8.3	6.2	26.7	32.6	39.9	0.3	72.9	99.6

Call when arranged from low to high.
 Assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

47

Kit Carson County: Num
of Sales Ratio, Average Sal
and Proportion of Assesse
for the 3½ Years End

Sales Ratio Class (%)			One -Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages
			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	
10 and	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	12	0	0	0	2	4	6
14 "	"	14	0	1	0	5	2	8
16 "	"	16	0	0	5	12	2	19
18 "	"	18	1	2	0	11	2	16
20 "	"	20	0	2	0	11	3	16
22 "	"	22	2	1	0	6	2	11
24 "	"	24	2	0	1	6	0	9
26 "	"	26	3	4	1	6	0	14
28 "	"	28	4	3	1	4	1	13
30 "	"	30	3	3	0	2	1	9
32 "	"	32	1	0	1	3	1	6
34 "	"	34	1	0	1	5	1	8
36 "	"	36	1	6	2	1	0	10
38 "	"	38	0	2	1	2	0	5
40 "	"	40	1	1	1	0	0	3
42 "	"	42	0	4	0	1	0	5
44 "	"	44	2	3	0	0	0	5
46 "	"	46	0	0	0	1	2	3
48 "	"	48	0	0	0	1	0	1
50 "	"	50	0	1	0	0	0	1
55 "	"	55	0	1	0	0	1	2
60 and Over	"	60	0	0	0	2	0	2
			1	3	2	4	0	10
Total Cases			22	37	16	85	22	182
Average Sales Ratio (%)			28.8	31.6	25.7	20.7	20.4	25.0
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio			4.5	6.0	10.1	4.3	6.9	5.3
Above Average Ratio			4.2	9.8	10.5	8.1	8.6	7.6
Total			8.7	15.8	20.6	12.4	15.5	12.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			3.6	2.6	1.0	4.3	0.7	12.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

ty: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Years Ending December 31, 1960

Years)	All		All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	Ages	Commerical Buildings			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
6	1	1	0	7	2	4	0	6	13
8	0	0	0	8	2	8	0	10	18
19	0	0	0	19	7	15	0	22	41
16	0	0	1	17	4	10	0	14	31
16	0	0	0	16	4	3	1	8	24
11	0	0	0	11	9	5	1	15	26
9	0	0	0	9	3	6	0	9	18
14	0	0	0	14	1	6	0	7	21
13	2	2	1	16	4	1	0	5	21
9	1	1	0	10	0	2	0	2	12
6	0	0	1	7	3	0	0	3	10
8	1	1	0	9	0	1	0	1	10
10	1	1	1	12	1	1	0	2	14
5	2	2	0	7	1	1	0	2	9
3	1	1	0	4	0	1	0	1	5
5	0	0	0	5	1	1	0	2	7
5	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
3	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	3	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
2	1	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	4
10	9	9	1	20	0	0	0	0	20
182	23	23	6	211	43	67	3	113	324
25.0	44.8	44.8	---	33.7	20.0	17.8	---	18.7	21.3
5.3	9.4	9.4	---	7.7	4.0	3.4	---	3.6	4.4
7.6	33.3	33.3	---	13.8	5.9	5.3	---	5.7	7.0
12.9	42.7	42.7	---	21.5	9.9	8.7	---	9.3	11.4
12.2	8.3	8.3	6.2	26.7	32.6	39.9	0.3	72.9	99.6

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council

86

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10		1	1	2
10 and	"	12		9	2	11
12 "	"	14		9	0	9
14 "	"	16		4	0	4
16 "	"	18		6	1	7
18 "	"	20		6	0	6
20 "	"	22		3	3	6
22 "	"	24		6	2	8
24 "	"	26		11	2	13
26 "	"	28		8	0	8
28 "	"	30		4	0	4
30 "	"	32		1	1	2
32 "	"	34		1	1	2
34 "	"	36		0	0	0
36 "	"	38		0	1	1
38 "	"	40		2	0	2
40 "	"	42		3	0	3
42 "	"	44		0	0	0
44 "	"	46		0	0	0
46 "	"	48		1	0	1
48 "	"	50		1	0	1
50 "	"	55		1	0	1
55 "	"	60		1	0	1
60 and Over				5	0	5
Total Cases				83	14	97
Average Sales Ratio (%)				23.2	14.5	22.4
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio				8.3	----	7.3
Above Average Ratio				4.6	----	5.2
Total				12.9	----	12.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				93.1	5.5	98.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
				<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
	Under	10		14	6	20
10 and	"	12		17	3	20
12 "	"	14		14	0	14
14 "	"	16		13	0	13
16 "	"	18		12	1	13
18 "	"	20		13	0	13
20 "	"	22		12	3	15
22 "	"	24		12	2	14
24 "	"	26		19	2	21
26 "	"	28		22	0	22
28 "	"	30		7	0	7
30 "	"	32		1	1	2
32 "	"	34		6	1	7
34 "	"	36		1	0	1
36 "	"	38		1	1	2
38 "	"	40		4	1	5
40 "	"	42		5	0	5
42 "	"	44		0	0	0
44 "	"	46		1	0	1
46 "	"	48		2	0	2
48 "	"	50		2	0	2
50 "	"	55		2	0	2
55 "	"	60		4	0	4
60 and Over				8	0	8
Total Cases				192	21	213
Average Sales Ratio (%)				22.9	12.1	21.8
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio				8.4	----	7.8
Above Average Ratio				4.7	----	5.5
Total				13.1	----	13.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				93.1	5.5	98.6

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

La Plata County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 1½ Years Ending

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	1	1	2
10 and " 12	0	0	2	1	1	4
12 " " 14	0	0	1	3	3	7
14 " " 16	3	0	1	4	3	11
16 " " 18	0	0	1	7	8	16
18 " " 20	2	2	2	2	4	12
20 " " 22	6	2	2	3	2	15
22 " " 24	13	6	1	2	1	23
24 " " 26	43	5	2	0	1	51
26 " " 28	45	4	0	1	2	52
28 " " 30	20	2	0	0	3	25
30 " " 32	10	2	2	0	2	16
32 " " 34	2	1	1	0	0	4
34 " " 36	2	2	0	1	1	6
36 " " 38	1	0	0	0	0	1
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total Cases	147	27	16	25	32	247
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.3	26.0	21.8	17.2	18.5	22.2
Measure of Variation^a						
Below Average Ratio	1.7	3.1	5.8	2.6	2.6	2.6
Above Average Ratio	1.6	3.2	6.2	3.3	7.3	4.0
Total	3.3	6.3	12.0	5.9	9.9	6.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	13.3	3.0	2.1	3.5	7.5	29.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

ber of Conveyances by Size
 les Ratio, Measure of Variation
 ed Value by Class of Property
 ding December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
1	0	3	1	0	1	5	7	10
0	0	4	1	0	2	1	4	8
2	0	9	2	0	2	4	8	17
0	0	11	3	0	4	4	11	22
0	0	16	1	1	6	3	11	27
2	0	14	0	2	7	1	10	24
0	0	15	0	1	4	2	7	22
0	1	24	1	0	3	2	6	30
0	0	51	1	1	2	1	5	56
0	0	52	1	1	4	0	6	58
1	0	26	0	0	3	0	3	29
0	1	17	2	0	3	0	5	22
2	0	6	1	0	0	1	2	8
0	0	6	1	0	1	1	3	9
1	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	4
0	1	2	1	0	2	0	3	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	0	1	2	3	4
9	3	259	17	6	49	28	100	359
20.4	---	21.9	19.7	19.3	21.7	16.6	20.1	21.0
7.2	---	4.7	5.6	0.8	4.6	4.1	4.9	4.8
12.4	---	7.2	12.2	5.7	7.5	6.4	9.8	8.5
19.6	---	11.9	17.8	6.5	12.1	10.5	14.7	13.3
18.2	2.8	50.5	24.7	2.7	17.6	3.3	48.2	98.7

ll when arranged from low to high.
 d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

La Plata County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Com Bui
		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10		0	0	0	1	4	5	
10 and "	12	0	0	4	4	8	16	
12 "	" 14	0	0	3	6	11	20	
14 "	" 16	5	2	2	13	10	32	
16 "	" 18	1	1	3	14	12	31	
18 "	" 20	6	6	5	8	12	37	
20 "	" 22	12	6	5	4	10	37	
22 "	" 24	21	10	4	4	11	50	
24 "	" 26	71	7	2	3	3	86	
26 "	" 28	87	10	0	1	5	103	
28 "	" 30	53	3	2	0	3	61	
30 "	" 32	23	3	3	1	5	35	
32 "	" 34	3	3	1	0	0	7	
34 "	" 36	3	3	1	1	1	9	
36 "	" 38	2	1	1	1	0	5	
38 "	" 40	2	1	0	1	0	4	
40 "	" 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	
42 "	" 44	0	0	1	0	1	2	
44 "	" 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	
46 "	" 48	0	1	0	0	0	1	
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	
50 "	" 55	0	1	0	0	0	1	
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over		1	1	2	1	0	5	
Total Cases		290	59	39	63	96	547	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		26.6	25.1	21.0	17.2	18.3	22.1	2
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio		1.9	3.2	4.5	2.5	4.1	3.0	
Above Average Ratio		1.9	4.4	8.2	3.4	4.6	3.6	
Total		3.8	7.6	12.7	5.9	8.7	6.6	1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		13.3	3.0	2.1	3.5	7.5	29.4	1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall within the class.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

All Sales	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
5	1	0	6	4	3	2	6	15	21
16	0	0	16	2	2	3	5	12	28
20	2	0	22	5	1	6	10	22	44
32	0	0	32	5	1	13	14	33	65
31	0	0	31	1	3	11	9	24	55
37	4	0	41	4	2	11	6	23	64
37	1	0	38	1	1	9	4	15	53
50	4	1	55	3	0	9	8	20	75
86	5	0	91	2	1	4	2	9	100
103	4	0	107	2	2	7	2	13	120
61	3	0	64	4	0	5	3	12	76
35	1	1	37	7	2	3	1	13	50
7	4	1	12	3	1	1	2	7	19
9	1	0	10	1	0	2	1	4	14
5	1	0	6	2	1	3	0	6	12
4	1	1	6	2	0	2	1	5	11
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	4	5
2	1	0	3	0	1	1	0	2	5
0	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	2	4
1	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	3	4
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2
5	3	1	9	0	0	3	2	5	14
47	38	6	591	52	23	101	79	255	846
.1	26.0	---	23.8	22.8	18.7	21.4	17.6	21.6	22.7
.0	3.2	---	3.2	8.0	5.2	5.2	3.8	6.5	4.9
.6	7.8	---	5.4	8.9	12.5	6.9	5.7	8.1	6.8
.6	11.0	---	8.6	16.9	17.7	12.1	9.5	14.6	11.7
.4	18.2	2.8	50.5	24.7	2.7	17.6	3.3	48.2	98.7

fall when arranged from low to high.
 Assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Larimer County: Number of Cases
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 1½ Years Ending Dec

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Multi-Family Dwellings</u>
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>		
	Under	10	1	0	0	2	2	5	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	6	4	10	0
12 "	"	14	2	0	1	9	16	28	0
14 "	"	16	0	1	3	20	26	50	1
16 "	"	18	2	2	1	36	41	82	0
18 "	"	20	5	6	1	50	46	108	0
20 "	"	22	3	6	4	34	42	89	0
22 "	"	24	15	18	10	34	36	113	0
24 "	"	26	28	23	9	29	35	124	0
26 "	"	28	62	28	9	19	29	147	1
28 "	"	30	94	36	1	10	14	155	3
30 "	"	32	110	27	2	5	5	149	4
32 "	"	34	84	17	0	5	6	112	5
34 "	"	36	52	9	0	3	7	71	4
36 "	"	38	35	8	0	1	10	54	1
38 "	"	40	14	7	2	2	3	28	1
40 "	"	42	5	5	0	2	0	12	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	3	2	5	1
44 "	"	46	3	3	0	1	1	8	1
46 "	"	48	1	0	0	1	0	2	0
48 "	"	50	2	0	0	1	1	4	0
50 "	"	55	0	1	0	0	1	2	0
55 "	"	60	0	1	0	0	1	2	0
60 and Over			1	1	1	1	1	5	0
Total Cases			519	199	44	274	329	1,365	22
Average Sales Ratio (%)			30.8	28.5	24.5	20.8	21.5	25.3	33.0
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio			2.5	3.0	2.3	3.0	3.8	2.9	2.8
Above Average Ratio			2.8	3.8	2.3	4.2	4.4	3.7	2.2
Total			5.3	6.8	4.6	7.2	8.2	6.6	5.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			15.6	6.9	2.5	9.0	8.2	42.2	0.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in 1957.

of Conveyances by Size
 es Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 ing December 31, 1960

Family Buildings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
1		0	6	1	2	4	4	11	17
0		0	10	2	1	7	4	14	24
0		0	28	3	1	9	1	14	42
1		0	52	5	1	15	3	24	76
2		1	85	2	0	15	5	22	107
0		0	108	0	0	23	3	26	134
2		1	92	2	1	20	2	25	117
0		1	114	1	1	22	2	26	140
6		0	130	3	0	17	2	22	152
0		0	148	4	0	23	4	31	179
3		0	161	3	0	13	1	17	178
1		0	154	4	0	14	7	25	179
2		0	119	2	0	12	2	16	135
2		1	78	4	0	2	3	9	87
0		0	55	1	1	7	2	11	66
1		0	30	3	1	6	1	11	41
1		0	13	1	0	1	4	6	19
0		0	6	0	0	3	0	3	9
2		1	12	1	1	4	0	6	18
3		0	5	0	0	0	1	1	6
0		1	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
0		0	2	0	0	3	3	6	8
1		0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
3		2	10	0	0	2	3	5	15
31		8	1,426	42	10	222	57	331	1,757
31.5		30.6	27.2	26.5	16.0	23.6	22.0	25.3	26.5
6.9		8.6	4.4	10.7	5.0	5.1	5.1	9.9	6.3
13.7		25.2	8.1	7.0	21.0	6.2	13.8	8.5	8.3
20.6		33.8	12.5	17.7	26.0	11.3	18.9	18.4	14.6
12.7		9.9	65.6	30.3	2.1	0.4	0.4	33.3	98.9

1 arranged from low to high.

2 in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Larimer County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Family Dwelling
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	1	0	0	2	2	5	0
10 and " 12	2	0	0	13	7	22	0
12 " " 14	4	0	4	18	25	51	0
14 " " 16	2	3	7	38	43	93	1
16 " " 18	3	8	3	52	71	137	0
18 " " 20	15	9	7	97	86	214	0
20 " " 22	12	18	12	88	92	222	0
22 " " 24	35	30	24	77	69	235	3
24 " " 26	62	51	20	63	80	276	1
26 " " 28	132	56	18	39	64	309	3
28 " " 30	173	71	7	33	44	328	3
30 " " 32	214	57	3	12	30	316	4
32 " " 34	171	49	5	15	14	254	7
34 " " 36	129	32	1	7	19	188	7
36 " " 38	89	20	3	9	19	140	3
38 " " 40	42	12	3	4	7	68	3
40 " " 42	21	10	0	3	6	40	1
42 " " 44	8	5	3	4	7	27	1
44 " " 46	6	5	0	2	3	16	3
46 " " 48	3	2	0	3	1	9	0
48 " " 50	4	1	0	1	2	8	0
50 " " 55	0	1	1	1	3	6	0
55 " " 60	1	1	1	1	4	8	0
60 and Over	1	4	2	1	3	11	1
Total Cases	1,130	445	124	583	701	2,983	41
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.2	29.3	24.7	21.8	22.9	26.2	33.6
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	3.0	3.6	3.0	3.3	4.3	3.4	4.1
Above Average Ratio	3.1	4.0	3.1	3.9	4.7	3.8	3.6
Total	6.1	7.6	6.1	7.2	9.0	7.2	7.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	15.6	6.9	2.5	9.0	8.2	42.2	0.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 as Ending December 31, 1960

Multi-Family wellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	2	0	7	4	5	4	14	27	34
0	0	0	22	5	3	16	12	36	58
0	3	1	55	6	2	20	9	37	92
1	2	0	96	7	2	28	6	43	139
0	4	2	143	7	1	41	16	65	208
0	0	0	214	4	1	35	6	46	260
0	9	1	232	15	2	44	14	75	307
3	4	2	244	13	1	41	3	58	302
1	9	1	287	10	1	34	14	59	346
3	3	0	315	13	1	49	9	72	387
3	4	0	335	12	0	27	3	42	377
4	6	0	326	9	2	28	13	52	378
7	4	1	266	5	0	29	7	41	307
7	5	1	201	10	1	17	4	32	233
3	2	0	145	6	1	12	3	22	167
3	4	1	76	9	3	15	3	30	106
1	3	0	44	5	0	6	9	20	64
1	0	0	28	1	0	9	2	12	40
3	3	1	23	2	1	7	3	13	36
0	3	2	14	5	0	3	2	10	24
0	0	1	9	2	0	3	2	7	16
0	4	0	10	2	1	6	7	16	26
0	1	0	9	1	0	1	0	2	11
1	6	2	20	0	1	7	14	22	42
41	81	16	3,121	153	29	482	175	839	3,960
33.6	31.3	31.4	27.9	27.3	19.2	24.8	22.1	26.5	27.4
4.1	9.2	9.6	5.3	6.6	7.7	6.1	5.8	6.7	5.8
3.6	8.6	15.6	6.2	7.7	16.3	6.8	14.6	8.5	7.6
7.7	17.8	25.2	11.5	14.3	24.0	12.9	20.4	15.2	12.8
0.8	12.7	9.9	65.6	30.3	2.1	0.4	0.4	33.3	98.9

when arranged from low to high.
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Las Animas County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 1½ Years Ending Dec

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	1	1
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	1	3	4
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	0	1	1
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	4	4	8
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	1	1	2
20 "	"	22	0	0	1	2	5	8
22 "	"	24	0	0	1	2	5	8
24 "	"	26	3	1	1	4	3	12
26 "	"	28	0	1	1	4	3	9
28 "	"	30	0	0	0	1	3	4
30 "	"	32	0	1	1	0	5	7
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	0	1	1
34 "	"	36	2	1	0	0	1	4
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	0	3	3
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	1	2	3
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	1	1	2
42 "	"	44	0	0	1	2	3	6
44 "	"	46	0	1	0	0	2	3
46 "	"	48	0	0	1	1	0	2
48 "	"	50	0	0	1	0	0	1
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	1	1
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	3	3
60 and Over			0	0	0	1	5	6
Total Cases			5	5	8	25	56	99
Average Sales Ratio (%)			---	---	29.3	25.4	27.4	27.0
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio			---	---	5.3	5.3	5.8	5.1
Above Average Ratio			---	---	15.7	6.1	14.3	10.4
Total			---	---	21.0	11.4	20.1	15.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			3.8	1.6	1.2	8.1	12.1	26.6

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 alue by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Land With Impts.	Rural All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	2	1	3	4
4	1	0	5	0	1	1	2	7
1	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
3	0	0	8	1	1	0	2	10
2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
3	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
3	0	0	8	0	1	0	1	9
2	1	0	13	1	1	0	2	15
9	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
4	0	0	4	2	0	0	2	6
7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
1	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	3
4	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	5
3	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	0	0	2	2	0	1	3	5
5	0	0	6	1	0	0	1	7
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
3	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
5	2	1	9	1	1	1	3	12
9	6	1	106	14	10	5	29	135
0	39.9	---	30.4	20.6	17.8	----	17.7	21.6
1	24.9	---	10.3	1.6	4.8	----	4.6	6.2
4	27.6	---	14.9	22.4	19.2	----	43.1	34.1
5	52.5	---	25.2	24.0	24.0	----	47.7	40.3
5	14.1	1.9	42.6	8.1	3.4	44.5	55.9	98.5

all when arranged from low to high.
 ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Las Animas County: Numk
of Sales Ratio, Average Sale
and Proportion of Assessec
for the 3½ Years Endi

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	6	7	7
14 " " 16	0	1	1	4	3	9	9
16 " " 18	0	3	1	7	7	18	18
18 " " 20	0	2	1	3	4	10	10
20 " " 22	2	2	1	8	11	24	24
22 " " 24	0	2	1	9	12	24	24
24 " " 26	6	1	2	14	10	33	33
26 " " 28	2	1	3	7	9	22	22
28 " " 30	2	3	1	4	16	26	26
30 " " 32	1	3	1	7	12	24	24
32 " " 34	3	0	0	4	6	13	13
34 " " 36	2	1	0	3	7	13	13
36 " " 38	0	1	1	1	7	10	10
38 " " 40	1	0	1	6	5	13	13
40 " " 42	0	0	0	1	4	5	5
42 " " 44	0	1	1	2	8	12	12
44 " " 46	0	2	0	1	4	7	7
46 " " 48	0	0	3	1	0	4	4
48 " " 50	0	0	1	0	3	4	4
50 " " 55	0	0	0	3	2	5	5
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	6	7	7
60 and Over	1	1	1	11	15	29	29
Total Cases	20	24	21	97	159	321	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.7	26.7	26.2	27.4	28.7	27.9	
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	5.7	6.7	2.0	5.1	5.6	5.3	
Above Average Ratio	5.6	6.6	20.3	10.8	12.4	11.0	
Total	11.3	13.3	22.3	15.9	18.0	16.3	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.8	1.6	1.2	8.1	12.1	26.6	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed v

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.				
0	0	0	0	4	1	1	6	6
0	0	2	1	2	4	0	7	9
1	0	8	3	3	2	0	8	16
1	0	10	3	5	1	1	10	20
0	0	18	0	2	1	0	3	21
0	0	10	1	2	2	0	5	15
0	0	24	1	1	0	0	2	26
0	1	25	0	2	1	1	4	29
2	0	35	1	2	1	0	4	39
0	0	22	2	1	0	0	3	25
0	0	26	1	2	1	0	4	30
0	0	24	0	3	1	0	4	28
0	0	13	0	4	1	1	6	19
0	0	13	2	1	0	0	3	16
0	1	11	0	1	1	0	2	13
1	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	14
0	0	5	0	4	0	1	5	10
0	0	12	2	1	0	0	3	15
1	0	8	0	1	1	0	2	10
1	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	6
1	0	5	0	1	1	0	2	7
0	0	5	0	1	1	0	2	7
0	0	7	1	1	0	0	2	9
7	1	37	3	1	5	0	9	46
15	3	339	21	45	26	5	97	436
46.9	---	32.4	20.6	16.3	24.8	---	19.8	23.8
18.0	---	8.3	5.8	1.4	11.3	---	5.3	6.3
47.3	---	19.6	22.2	19.2	24.2	---	20.9	20.4
65.3	---	27.9	28.0	20.6	35.5	---	26.2	26.7
14.1	1.9	42.6	36.6	8.1	3.4	7.9	55.9	98.5

11 when arranged from low to high.
 d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lincoln County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R
and Proportion of Assessed Va
for the 1½ Years Ending

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	1	
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	1	
14 "	"	16		0	0	0	5	
16 "	"	18		0	0	0	1	
18 "	"	20		1	0	0	2	
20 "	"	22		1	0	0	6	
22 "	"	24		2	0	1	1	
24 "	"	26		2	1	0	3	
26 "	"	28		0	1	0	0	
28 "	"	30		1	1	0	1	
30 "	"	32		0	0	0	0	
32 "	"	34		4	0	0	1	
34 "	"	36		0	0	0	1	
36 "	"	38		1	1	0	0	
38 "	"	40		1	0	0	0	
40 "	"	42		0	0	0	0	
42 "	"	44		0	0	0	0	
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	0	
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	
50 "	"	55		0	0	0	1	
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	1	
60 and Over				0	0	0	1	
Total Cases				13	4	1	26	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				29.0	---	---	20.3	21
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				5.8	---	---	4.5	6
Above Average Ratio				4.4	---	---	5.4	6
Total				10.2	---	---	9.9	13
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				2.8	2.2	0.5	3.9	2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

Area	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	0	0	2	0	2
0	1	0	0	1	1	2
2	7	0	0	7	1	8
1	2	1	1	4	1	5
0	3	1	0	4	2	6
1	8	1	0	9	0	9
0	4	0	0	4	1	5
0	6	0	0	6	0	6
1	2	0	0	2	1	3
1	4	0	0	4	0	4
0	0	2	0	2	1	3
0	5	0	1	6	1	7
0	1	0	1	2	0	2
0	2	0	0	2	0	2
0	1	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1
1	1	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	1	0	1
0	1	0	0	1	1	2
0	1	1	0	2	0	2
8	52	6	3	61	11	72
1.1	23.6	21.6	---	22.7	20.3	20.8
6.1	5.0	2.6	---	3.9	4.6	4.5
6.9	5.3	9.9	---	7.4	4.5	5.0
3.0	10.3	12.5	---	11.3	9.1	9.5
2.7	12.2	8.7	0.2	21.1	78.2	99.2

all when arranged from low to high.
 ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

95

Lincoln County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					All	Comm
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Build</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	1	2	3	
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	2	0	2	
14 "	"	16	0	1	0	7	3	11	
16 "	"	18	0	0	1	2	1	4	
18 "	"	20	1	0	0	5	2	8	
20 "	"	22	1	0	0	11	2	14	
22 "	"	24	3	0	2	1	0	6	
24 "	"	26	2	1	0	3	2	8	
26 "	"	28	1	2	0	2	2	7	
28 "	"	30	3	2	0	1	1	7	
30 "	"	32	1	0	0	0	0	1	
32 "	"	34	4	0	0	1	0	5	
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	2	0	2	
36 "	"	38	2	1	0	0	0	3	
38 "	"	40	3	0	0	0	0	3	
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	2	0	2	
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	2	2	
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	1	1	2	
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	1	0	1	
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	1	0	1	
60 and Over			1	0	0	1	0	2	
Total Cases			22	7	3	44	18	94	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			30.3	26.8	---	20.3	22.3	23.7	27
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio			5.8	1.4	---	3.3	6.6	4.2	6
Above Average Ratio			6.2	2.4	---	6.7	5.2	5.3	4
Total			12.0	3.8	---	10.0	11.8	9.5	11
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			2.8	2.2	0.5	3.9	2.7	12.2	8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

f Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 g December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.				
0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4
0	0	3	0	3	0	0	3	6
0	0	2	1	3	0	1	5	7
0	0	11	4	3	0	1	8	19
1	1	6	4	4	0	0	8	14
1	0	9	2	9	1	0	12	21
2	0	16	6	4	0	0	10	26
0	0	6	6	6	1	0	13	19
0	0	8	0	3	1	0	4	12
0	0	7	1	2	0	1	4	11
1	0	8	0	1	0	0	1	9
3	0	4	3	0	0	0	3	7
0	1	6	0	2	1	0	3	9
0	1	3	0	2	0	0	2	5
0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	3	1	0	1	0	2	5
0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	3
0	1	1	0	2	0	1	3	4
0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	4
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2
2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
10	4	108	31	49	6	4	90	198
7.0	---	24.9	22.9	20.7	24.2	---	21.9	22.5
5.5	---	5.1	5.5	5.2	1.2	---	5.3	5.2
4.7	---	5.2	3.3	3.8	14.8	---	3.8	4.0
1.2	---	10.3	8.8	9.0	16.0	---	9.1	9.2
3.7	0.2	21.1	42.0	34.3	1.9	0.0	78.2	99.2

are arranged from low to high.
 are in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

96

Logan County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessec
for the 1½ Years Endi

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	3	3	6
14 " " 16	0	1	1	12	2	16
16 " " 18	0	0	2	12	3	17
18 " " 20	2	1	3	10	9	25
20 " " 22	1	3	1	12	11	28
22 " " 24	6	2	1	11	1	21
24 " " 26	9	6	3	7	3	28
26 " " 28	23	10	0	4	1	38
28 " " 30	53	6	0	5	2	66
30 " " 32	30	0	0	6	2	38
32 " " 34	11	1	0	3	0	15
34 " " 36	4	0	0	3	1	8
36 " " 38	1	1	0	3	0	5
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	0	1
40 " " 42	3	0	0	1	0	4
42 " " 44	1	0	1	0	0	2
44 " " 46	0	1	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	1	0	2	0	3
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	3	0	3
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	0	1
60 and Over	0	2	0	2	1	5
Total Cases	144	35	12	101	40	332
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.2	26.3	21.0	22.5	20.9	25.0
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio	1.6	1.7	3.1	4.8	2.7	3.1
Above Average Ratio	1.7	2.8	3.7	7.4	2.7	4.3
Total	3.3	4.5	6.8	12.2	5.4	7.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	12.0	2.0	1.2	10.3	2.4	27.9

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

ber of Conveyances by Size
Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
ssed Value by Class of Property
Ending December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.				
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
1	0	7	0	2	1	0	3	10
0	0	16	0	2	0	1	3	19
0	0	17	3	1	2	0	6	23
0	0	25	1	1	3	1	6	31
0	0	28	1	0	0	2	3	31
0	0	21	0	0	3	0	3	24
1	0	29	0	0	2	1	3	32
0	0	38	5	1	0	0	6	44
0	3	69	3	0	0	0	3	72
0	1	39	1	0	0	0	1	40
2	0	17	1	0	0	0	1	18
0	1	9	2	0	0	0	2	11
0	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	6
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
0	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	4
1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
4	2	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
14	7	353	20	8	12	5	45	398
43.7	---	29.1	25.9	15.8	21.2	---	21.2	24.2
10.2	---	4.4	2.3	2.8	3.2	---	2.5	3.2
23.8	---	13.6	7.9	2.2	3.1	---	5.2	8.4
34.0	---	18.0	10.2	5.0	6.3	---	7.7	11.6
10.8	7.0	45.8	33.9	17.8	2.1	c	53.7	99.5

ll when arranged from low to high.
d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

97

Logan County: Number of Co
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat
and Proportion of Assessed Valu
for the 3½ Years Ending D

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
12 " " 14	0	1	1	9	5	16	0
14 " " 16	1	1	4	22	4	32	0
16 " " 18	0	1	7	37	5	50	0
18 " " 20	4	3	5	38	15	65	0
20 " " 22	1	6	4	26	15	52	0
22 " " 24	13	5	1	30	4	53	0
24 " " 26	21	15	6	24	4	70	2
26 " " 28	81	16	1	20	3	121	0
28 " " 30	132	8	0	13	4	157	2
30 " " 32	63	2	0	14	3	82	1
32 " " 34	31	4	0	6	1	42	1
34 " " 36	8	3	0	4	2	17	1
36 " " 38	3	2	0	7	0	12	0
38 " " 40	1	0	0	1	0	2	0
40 " " 42	7	0	0	3	0	10	1
42 " " 44	2	0	2	2	0	6	0
44 " " 46	0	1	0	1	1	3	0
46 " " 48	0	2	0	2	0	4	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	0	0	4	0	5	0
55 " " 60	1	0	0	2	0	3	0
60 and Over	0	2	0	5	1	8	1
Total Cases	370	72	31	270	69	812	9
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.9	26.2	20.4	22.1	20.9	24.7	30.6
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	1.6	2.1	3.6	4.1	2.7	2.9	2.8
Above Average Ratio	1.9	3.3	4.0	5.5	4.0	3.8	5.9
Total	3.5	5.4	7.6	9.6	6.7	6.7	8.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	12.0	2.0	1.2	10.3	2.4	27.9	0.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in 1957.
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

of Conveyances by Size
 es Ratio, Measure of Variation
 d Value by Class of Property
 ding December 31, 1960

Family lings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2
0	0	0	2	2	2	0	2	6	8
0	1	0	17	0	4	1	6	11	28
0	0	0	32	3	3	1	1	8	40
0	1	0	51	8	6	3	0	17	68
0	0	0	65	5	6	4	1	16	81
0	1	0	53	5	1	0	5	11	64
0	1	0	54	2	1	5	0	8	62
2	3	0	75	2	3	5	2	12	87
0	0	0	121	8	3	0	0	11	132
2	1	1	161	6	2	3	1	12	173
1	2	1	86	2	1	2	0	5	91
1	2	0	45	3	1	1	0	5	50
1	0	0	18	5	0	0	0	5	23
0	0	1	13	2	0	0	0	2	15
0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	4
1	2	0	13	0	1	1	1	3	16
0	0	2	8	1	0	2	0	3	11
0	2	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	6
0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
0	5	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
0	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
1	9	1	19	0	0	0	0	0	19
9	34	8	863	57	35	29	19	140	1,003
10.6	42.9	36.5	28.9	24.0	19.0	24.3	17.5	22.1	24.8
2.8	12.4	2.5	4.3	5.9	3.8	5.2	4.6	5.1	4.8
5.9	19.6	12.3	7.3	6.8	6.5	5.6	4.2	6.6	6.9
8.7	32.0	14.8	11.6	12.7	10.3	10.8	8.8	11.7	11.7
0.8	10.8	6.2	45.8	33.9	17.8	2.1	-c-	53.7	99.5

When arranged from low to high.
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

98

Mesa County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sale
and Proportion of Assessee
for the 1½ Years Ending

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					All Ages	Commer Buildi
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>		
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	and	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	"	14	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
14	"	16	0	1	2	4	6	13	0
16	"	18	1	0	3	9	12	25	0
18	"	20	1	1	1	13	15	31	0
20	"	22	1	9	8	13	11	42	0
22	"	24	4	10	11	14	14	53	1
24	"	26	11	12	13	7	13	56	0
26	"	28	36	21	11	6	8	82	0
28	"	30	69	16	6	4	15	110	2
30	"	32	106	24	8	2	7	147	1
32	"	34	74	18	5	1	6	104	2
34	"	36	51	18	0	1	5	75	1
36	"	38	38	5	0	3	1	47	1
38	"	40	26	7	0	1	3	37	1
40	"	42	14	4	2	1	1	22	0
42	"	44	16	2	0	1	0	19	1
44	"	46	8	0	0	0	0	9	0
46	"	48	4	0	0	0	1	5	0
48	"	50	3	2	0	0	0	5	0
50	"	55	3	1	1	0	0	5	0
55	"	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60	and Over		1	2	0	0	3	6	0
Total Cases			467	153	71	81	122	894	10
Average Sales Ratio (%)			32.5	30.1	25.9	22.0	23.9	28.9	34.5
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio			2.7	3.6	3.2	3.0	4.4	3.2	5.0
Above Average Ratio			3.4	4.2	3.5	3.9	5.6	4.0	2.5
Total			6.1	7.8	6.7	6.9	10.0	7.2	7.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			20.1	5.7	1.9	3.8	4.9	36.4	16.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2
0	0	0	2	1	2	4	8	15	17
0	0	0	13	4	1	5	3	13	26
0	0	0	25	7	2	8	3	20	45
0	2	0	33	7	0	6	2	15	48
0	0	0	42	11	2	7	3	23	65
1	1	0	55	6	2	11	1	20	75
0	1	0	57	3	1	19	2	25	82
0	1	0	83	6	4	14	1	25	108
2	1	0	113	7	0	5	1	13	126
1	0	0	148	4	0	14	0	18	166
2	1	0	107	3	0	20	1	24	131
1	1	0	77	3	0	17	1	21	98
1	0	1	49	2	0	14	0	16	65
1	0	0	38	1	0	9	0	10	48
0	0	0	22	1	0	5	0	6	28
1	1	0	21	1	2	4	1	8	29
0	0	0	8	2	1	1	0	4	12
0	0	0	5	1	0	2	0	3	8
0	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	6
0	0	0	5	0	1	4	2	7	12
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	2	8
0	9	1	914	70	18	174	30	292	1,206
5	24.3	---	29.9	23.8	24.7	30.6	16.8	25.4	27.9
0	2.2	---	3.6	4.2	7.2	6.4	3.2	5.1	4.2
5	9.2	---	4.1	6.4	3.1	5.3	8.7	5.8	4.8
5	11.4	---	7.7	10.6	10.3	11.7	11.9	10.9	9.0
4	4.3	3.7	60.8	23.1	4.1	11.3	0.6	39.1	99.9

Ranking arranged from low to high.
 as reported in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mesa County: Number of Con
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending De

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Commercial I Buildings E
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	1	0	1	1	3	0
10 and " 12	0	1	1	5	4	11	0
12 " " 14	0	0	1	6	4	11	2
14 " " 16	0	6	6	12	11	35	0
16 " " 18	3	5	8	29	39	84	2
18 " " 20	5	9	6	35	39	94	4
20 " " 22	5	24	28	27	33	117	2
22 " " 24	26	27	20	28	36	137	4
24 " " 26	46	36	22	24	34	162	2
26 " " 28	104	52	19	15	22	212	4
28 " " 30	207	48	9	15	21	300	4
30 " " 32	283	50	14	8	12	367	5
32 " " 34	226	34	6	1	13	280	2
34 " " 36	123	30	1	2	8	164	4
36 " " 38	95	14	0	5	2	116	3
38 " " 40	64	16	3	2	4	89	2
40 " " 42	39	5	4	1	2	51	0
42 " " 44	32	4	0	1	1	38	2
44 " " 46	19	1	0	0	4	24	2
46 " " 48	11	0	0	3	3	17	2
48 " " 50	7	2	1	1	1	12	1
50 " " 55	4	1	2	0	0	7	1
55 " " 60	2	0	0	1	0	3	0
60 and Over	3	3	1	0	4	11	3
Total Cases	1,304	369	152	222	298	2,345	51
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.0	28.8	24.8	21.4	22.9	28.1	29.1
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	2.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	4.1	3.2	5.7
Above Average Ratio	3.2	4.2	3.9	4.6	5.1	3.9	9.2
Total	5.9	7.9	7.6	7.9	9.2	7.1	14.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	20.1	5.7	1.9	3.8	4.9	36.4	16.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arra
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in t

Deviations by Size
 Coefficient of Variation
 by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

Industrial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	4
0	0	11	1	2	2	7	12	23
0	0	13	3	5	6	15	29	42
0	0	35	7	7	11	11	36	71
1	0	87	16	4	17	11	48	135
2	1	101	17	4	16	3	40	141
0	0	119	34	8	18	11	71	190
1	0	142	22	5	21	1	49	191
2	0	166	21	2	30	7	60	226
2	0	218	18	8	29	7	62	280
3	0	307	22	2	15	2	41	348
0	0	372	19	2	28	1	50	422
1	0	283	8	2	32	1	43	326
3	0	171	8	1	29	1	39	210
0	1	120	7	0	23	0	30	150
0	0	91	7	0	15	0	22	113
0	0	51	7	0	11	1	10	70
1	0	41	4	2	8	2	16	57
1	0	27	2	1	5	1	9	36
0	0	19	1	0	3	0	4	23
0	0	13	3	0	1	0	4	17
1	0	9	2	2	4	3	11	20
0	0	3	2	0	1	0	3	6
1	0	15	1	1	4	1	7	22
19	2	2,417	232	59	329	86	706	3,123
27.0	---	28.3	25.3	20.5	29.7	17.9	25.6	27.2
2.2	---	3.8	----	4.5	6.5	4.0	5.0	4.4
8.5	---	5.7	----	7.1	5.8	7.7	6.2	5.9
10.7	---	9.5	----	11.6	12.3	11.7	11.2	10.3
4.3	3.7	60.8	23.1	4.1	11.3	0.6	39.1	99.9

10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
30
32
34
36
38
40
42
44
46
48
50
55
60
Total
Average
Mean
B
A
Pro
a.
b.

arranged from low to high.
 the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	1	1
16 " " 18	0	0	0
18 " " 20	0	0	0
20 " " 22	1	0	1
22 " " 24	0	0	0
24 " " 26	1	0	1
26 " " 28	0	0	0
28 " " 30	0	0	0
30 " " 32	1	0	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	1
40 " " 42	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	2	2	4
Total Cases	8	4	12
Average Sales Ratio (%)	41.4	16.6	19.7
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	13.4	----	6.4
Above Average Ratio	21.1	----	76.6
Total	34.5	----	83.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.3	72.7	99.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>Total</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
	Under	10		0	1	1
10 and	"	12		1	0	1
12 "	"	14		0	1	1
14 "	"	16		0	1	1
16 "	"	18		0	0	0
18 "	"	20		0	0	0
20 "	"	22		3	0	3
22 "	"	24		1	1	2
24 "	"	26		1	0	1
26 "	"	28		3	1	4
28 "	"	30		1	0	1
30 "	"	32		1	0	1
32 "	"	34		0	0	0
34 "	"	36		1	0	1
36 "	"	38		0	0	0
38 "	"	40		1	0	1
40 "	"	42		1	0	1
42 "	"	44		0	0	0
44 "	"	46		4	0	4
46 "	"	48		0	0	0
48 "	"	50		0	0	0
50 "	"	55		1	0	1
55 "	"	60		1	0	1
60 and Over				8	2	10
Total Cases				28	7	35
Average Sales Ratio (%)				39.3	14.3	17.2
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio				12.6	----	2.2
Above Average Ratio				27.6	----	54.6
Total				40.2	----	56.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				26.3	72.7	99.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Moffat County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R
and Proportion of Assessed Va
for the 1½ Years Ending

			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)				
Sales Ratio Class (%)			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	1	0	0	2	2
12 "	"	14	2	2	0	3	0
14 "	"	16	0	0	2	2	0
16 "	"	18	0	0	3	1	0
18 "	"	20	0	0	2	1	0
20 "	"	22	2	4	2	1	0
22 "	"	24	3	6	1	1	0
24 "	"	26	5	4	0	1	0
26 "	"	28	6	3	0	2	0
28 "	"	30	1	2	0	0	0
30 "	"	32	1	3	0	1	0
32 "	"	34	1	0	0	0	0
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	0	0
36 "	"	38	0	1	0	0	0
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42	0	1	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	1	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	1	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases			22	28	10	15	2
Average Sales Ratio (%)			25.0	25.1	17.7	19.1	---
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			2.7	2.8	1.4	5.9	---
Above Average Ratio			2.2	4.8	2.8	5.4	---
Total			4.9	7.6	4.2	11.3	---
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			5.3	7.9	2.4	5.7	0.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

ber of Conveyances by Size
 ales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 sed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

rs) ver 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
0	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	5	0	0	5	2	7
0	7	1	0	8	1	9
0	4	1	0	5	0	5
0	4	0	0	4	0	4
0	3	1	0	4	0	4
0	9	0	0	9	1	10
0	11	0	0	11	0	11
0	10	3	0	13	1	14
0	11	0	0	11	1	12
0	3	2	1	6	1	7
0	5	0	1	6	0	6
0	1	0	0	1	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	2	1	3
0	1	1	0	2	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	2	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	77	11	2	90	10	100
---	21.3	28.0	----	23.7	23.0	23.3
---	3.4	7.7	----	4.9	8.5	6.3
---	3.8	10.1	----	6.1	9.9	7.8
---	7.2	17.8	----	11.0	18.4	14.1
0.1	22.3	16.8	11.8	50.9	47.3	98.3

all when arranged from low to high.
 ad value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council

Moffat County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending 1957

				One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					Comm. Build.	
Sales Ratio Class (%)				1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		All Ages
	Under	10		1	0	0	0	1	2	
10 and	"	12		1	0	0	3	3	7	
12 "	"	14		2	3	0	4	0	9	
14 "	"	16		0	2	6	3	0	11	
16 "	"	18		0	0	7	1	0	8	
18 "	"	20		1	3	3	4	1	12	
20 "	"	22		3	9	4	1	0	17	
22 "	"	24		8	12	2	4	0	26	
24 "	"	26		8	11	0	2	0	21	
26 "	"	28		7	9	0	2	0	18	
28 "	"	30		4	6	0	0	0	10	
30 "	"	32		3	3	0	2	1	9	
32 "	"	34		2	3	0	0	0	5	
34 "	"	36		0	2	0	0	0	2	
36 "	"	38		1	2	1	0	0	4	
38 "	"	40		0	1	0	0	0	1	
40 "	"	42		0	2	0	0	0	2	
42 "	"	44		0	1	0	0	0	1	
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	0	0	0	
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	0	0	
50 "	"	55		1	1	0	0	0	2	
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over				0	3	0	1	0	4	
Total Cases				42	73	23	27	6	171	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				25.3	25.6	18.1	20.7	14.1	22.4	31.1
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio				2.7	3.4	2.2	6.7	3.8	4.1	8.1
Above Average Ratio				2.9	4.3	2.5	3.6	4.9	3.6	11.1
Total				5.6	7.7	4.7	10.4	8.7	7.7	20.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				5.3	7.9	2.4	5.7	1.0	22.3	16.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

ber of Conveyances by Size
 ales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 sed Value by Class of Property
 nding December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.				
0	0	2	2	2	0	1	5	7
0	0	7	1	1	1	0	3	10
1	0	10	1	4	0	1	6	16
1	0	12	1	2	0	0	3	15
1	0	9	0	1	1	0	2	11
1	0	13	1	2	0	0	3	16
1	0	18	2	3	1	0	6	24
1	0	27	0	2	0	0	2	29
3	0	24	0	4	0	0	4	28
1	0	19	2	1	2	0	5	24
2	3	15	1	4	0	0	5	20
0	1	10	2	2	0	0	4	14
1	0	6	3	0	0	0	3	9
1	0	3	2	1	0	1	4	7
1	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	6
0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	3
1	0	3	0	1	1	1	3	6
1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
22	4	197	18	33	6	4	61	258
31.7	----	26.4	20.7	21.9	20.9	----	23.1	24.7
8.7	----	4.8	5.7	6.7	3.9	----	9.4	7.2
11.3	----	5.0	11.6	7.5	6.6	----	10.0	7.6
20.0	-----	9.8	17.3	14.2	10.5	----	19.4	14.8
16.8	11.8	50.9	12.7	3.9	10.1	20.6	47.3	98.3

...l when arranged from low to high.
 ...value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montezuma County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat
and Proportion of Assesed Valt
for the 1½ Years Ending I

			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>
	Under 10		0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	" 12		0	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	" 14		0	0	0	2	1	3
14 "	" 16		0	0	0	1	1	2
16 "	" 18		0	1	1	1	0	3
18 "	" 20		0	3	0	1	1	5
20 "	" 22		2	0	2	1	1	6
22 "	" 24		6	3	2	0	1	12
24 "	" 26		12	1	1	1	3	18
26 "	" 28		13	3	2	0	0	18
28 "	" 30		18	3	1	1	1	24
30 "	" 32		5	2	1	0	1	9
32 "	" 34		5	1	0	1	0	7
34 "	" 36		1	1	0	0	0	2
36 "	" 38		1	0	0	1	0	2
38 "	" 40		0	0	1	0	0	1
40 "	" 42		0	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	" 44		0	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	" 46		1	0	0	0	0	1
46 "	" 48		0	0	0	0	1	1
48 "	" 50		0	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	" 55		0	1	0	0	0	1
55 "	" 60		1	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over			0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases			65	19	11	10	11	116
Average Sales Ratio (%)			27.8	26.3	24.9	21.3	24.1	25.6
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio			2.4	3.8	3.1	6.3	4.6	3.7
Above Average Ratio			2.0	3.9	3.7	7.7	4.1	3.8
Total			4.4	7.7	6.8	14.0	8.7	7.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			10.0	6.8	4.6	3.2	3.8	28.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Classes</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land With Impts.</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land With Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	4	4	0	8	8
3	0	0	3	1	1	3	5	8
2	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	3
3	0	0	3	4	1	0	5	8
5	0	0	5	1	1	0	2	7
6	1	0	7	0	1	2	3	10
12	0	0	12	1	2	0	3	15
18	2	0	20	0	0	0	0	20
18	1	0	19	1	0	0	1	20
24	0	2	26	0	0	0	0	26
9	0	0	9	1	0	1	2	11
7	0	0	7	0	0	1	1	8
2	0	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
2	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	3
1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
16	9	2	127	15	13	10	38	165
16	33.7	---	27.9	17.3	22.1	---	18.3	21.6
17	8.5	---	5.1	5.4	10.5	---	6.4	5.9
18	20.1	---	8.5	8.7	4.3	---	8.1	8.2
15	28.6	---	13.6	14.1	14.8	---	14.5	14.1
15	15.1	0.0	43.6	41.7	9.3	4.4	55.4	98.9

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

105

Montezuma County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R
and Proportion of Assessed Va
for the 3½ Years Ending

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Comm Buil</u>
Under 10	0	0	1	1	1	3	
10 and " 12	0	0	1	0	1	2	
12 " " 14	0	0	3	3	3	9	
14 " " 16	2	0	1	6	4	13	
16 " " 18	1	4	2	6	1	14	
18 " " 20	1	5	1	6	5	18	
20 " " 22	5	4	6	1	2	18	
22 " " 24	8	11	6	3	2	29	
24 " " 26	18	7	4	3	6	38	
26 " " 28	21	4	2	1	3	31	
28 " " 30	20	5	2	1	2	30	
30 " " 32	9	6	1	1	2	19	
32 " " 34	5	1	0	1	0	7	
34 " " 36	1	3	1	0	2	7	
36 " " 38	2	1	1	2	1	7	
38 " " 40	1	0	1	0	0	2	
40 " " 42	1	0	0	1	1	3	
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	
44 " " 46	2	0	0	0	0	2	
46 " " 48	1	1	0	0	1	3	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	2	2	
50 " " 55	0	1	0	1	1	3	
55 " " 60	1	0	0	0	0	1	
60 and Over	1	0	2	0	0	3	
Total Cases	100	54	35	37	39	265	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.4	25.7	22.1	19.5	25.0	24.6	29
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	2.5	3.6	2.8	3.7	7.7	3.6	13
Above Average Ratio	2.5	4.5	5.1	5.7	5.2	4.2	19
Total	5.0	8.1	7.9	9.4	12.9	7.8	33
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.0	6.8	4.6	3.2	3.8	28.5	15

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

r of Conveyances by Size
 s Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 ing December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
2	0	5	0	5	1	1	7	12
1	0	3	8	2	4	0	14	17
1	0	10	4	6	2	2	14	24
2	0	15	5	2	5	2	14	29
1	0	15	7	2	4	2	15	30
0	0	18	2	1	2	0	5	23
1	0	19	4	2	2	4	12	31
1	1	31	5	0	3	0	8	39
2	0	40	3	3	0	1	7	47
1	0	32	2	0	1	0	3	35
0	2	32	1	1	0	0	2	34
0	0	19	1	1	1	0	3	22
0	0	7	1	2	1	1	5	12
0	0	7	2	2	1	0	5	12
1	1	9	0	0	2	1	3	12
1	1	4	1	0	0	0	1	5
1	1	5	0	0	1	0	1	6
0	1	2	1	0	1	0	2	4
1	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
2	0	5	0	0	0	1	1	6
0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	3
2	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	6
2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
2	2	7	0	0	1	1	2	9
24	9	298	48	30	33	16	127	425
29.7	---	26.2	18.7	16.5	21.8	21.1	19.0	21.6
13.5	---	6.7	4.7	4.3	7.3	6.1	5.1	5.6
19.7	---	8.9	6.0	9.2	9.7	7.9	6.8	7.6
33.2	---	15.6	10.7	13.5	17.0	14.0	11.9	13.2
15.1	0.0	43.6	41.7	4.0	9.3	0.3	55.4	98.9

When arranged from low to high.
 Value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

106

Montrose County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 1½ Years Ending

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

Sales Ratio Class (%)		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Cor Bu:
	Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	" 12	0	0	0	3	0	3	
12 "	" 14	0	1	0	0	2	3	
14 "	" 16	0	0	0	1	4	5	
16 "	" 18	0	4	0	2	4	10	
18 "	" 20	1	2	0	3	5	11	
20 "	" 22	0	2	7	5	4	18	
22 "	" 24	2	4	3	3	6	18	
24 "	" 26	4	4	1	1	2	12	
26 "	" 28	9	3	0	1	2	15	
28 "	" 30	4	2	0	1	3	10	
30 "	" 32	6	0	1	1	3	11	
32 "	" 34	2	2	2	1	2	9	
34 "	" 36	4	1	0	2	0	7	
36 "	" 38	1	2	0	1	2	6	
38 "	" 40	1	0	0	0	1	2	
40 "	" 42	1	0	0	0	0	1	
42 "	" 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	
44 "	" 46	2	1	0	0	0	3	
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	
50 "	" 55	0	1	0	0	0	1	
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	
60 and Over		0	3	0	0	0	3	
Total Cases		37	33	14	25	41	150	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		29.4	26.9	22.8	21.4	21.8	24.0	
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio		2.9	5.7	1.8	3.3	3.7	3.5	
Above Average Ratio		4.3	8.5	2.2	6.1	7.4	6.1	
Total		7.2	14.2	4.0	9.4	11.1	9.6	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		6.4	5.5	3.1	7.4	6.6	29.1	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall within
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	3	0	0	3	1	4	7
0	0	3	2	0	0	0	2	5
0	0	5	5	0	1	0	6	11
1	0	11	3	2	0	1	6	17
0	0	11	6	0	4	0	10	21
0	0	18	4	0	2	1	7	25
2	0	20	5	1	4	0	10	30
0	1	13	3	2	4	1	10	23
0	0	15	1	0	3	0	4	19
0	0	10	5	0	2	0	7	17
0	0	11	1	0	1	1	3	14
0	0	9	2	0	0	0	2	11
0	0	7	1	0	0	1	2	9
0	0	6	0	0	1	0	1	7
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4	0	7	1	0	1	1	3	10
8	2	160	40	6	26	8	80	240
39.5	---	27.7	22.0	20.5	23.1	19.6	22.0	24.3
16.5	---	6.5	4.1	3.0	3.9	5.6	3.9	5.0
69.3	---	19.3	6.4	5.0	3.9	13.4	5.7	11.1
85.8	---	25.8	10.5	8.0	7.8	19.0	9.6	16.1
13.2	2.6	44.9	34.7	6.7	11.5	0.2	53.2	98.1

1 when arranged from low to high.
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montrose County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Comm Build
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and "	0	0	0	5	0	5	
12 " "	0	1	1	1	5	8	
14 " "	1	1	0	3	7	12	
16 " "	0	4	3	6	8	21	
18 " "	2	4	3	6	10	25	
20 " "	2	4	11	10	9	36	
22 " "	6	7	6	5	12	36	
24 " "	8	8	2	7	9	34	
26 " "	14	8	3	4	8	37	
28 " "	18	3	1	5	4	31	
30 " "	16	3	2	3	4	28	
32 " "	3	4	3	3	5	18	
34 " "	7	4	1	5	1	18	
36 " "	1	4	0	3	2	10	
38 " "	3	0	1	1	2	7	
40 " "	1	2	0	0	1	4	
42 " "	1	1	0	0	0	2	
44 " "	4	4	1	0	1	10	
46 " "	1	0	0	0	0	1	
48 " "	0	0	1	1	0	2	
50 " "	2	2	0	0	2	6	
55 " "	2	0	0	0	1	3	
60 and Over	6	5	2	0	0	13	
Total Cases	98	69	41	68	91	367	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.2	28.7	24.5	23.5	22.4	25.4	3
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	3.4	5.8	3.9	4.8	3.8	4.3	1
Above Average Ratio	4.8	7.7	6.3	6.1	5.7	6.1	1
Total	8.2	13.5	10.2	10.9	9.5	10.4	3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.4	5.5	3.1	7.4	6.6	29.1	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall within
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4	4
2	0	7	2	1	5	1	9	16
1	0	9	6	1	1	0	8	17
1	0	13	10	3	4	1	18	31
1	0	22	5	3	0	1	9	31
1	1	27	11	1	4	0	16	43
1	0	37	8	4	4	2	18	55
2	0	38	14	1	5	0	20	58
1	1	36	8	2	8	1	19	55
0	0	37	7	0	8	0	15	52
0	0	31	8	2	4	0	14	45
1	0	29	7	1	3	2	13	42
0	0	18	5	0	0	0	5	23
0	0	18	3	0	1	1	5	23
0	0	10	2	0	2	0	4	14
1	1	9	2	0	1	1	4	13
2	2	8	2	0	1	0	3	11
2	1	5	2	0	1	1	4	9
1	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
0	0	1	1	1	1	0	3	4
1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
2	0	8	1	0	0	0	1	9
0	0	3	0	2	0	0	2	5
5	0	18	1	0	2	2	5	23
25	6	398	105	23	57	14	199	597
32.9	---	27.5	23.4	18.3	24.0	23.8	22.7	24.7
12.4	---	6.4	4.8	2.5	4.9	6.8	4.4	5.3
19.0	---	9.5	6.6	9.5	4.9	15.2	6.8	7.9
31.4	---	15.9	11.4	12.0	9.8	22.0	11.2	13.2
13.2	2.6	44.9	34.7	6.7	11.5	0.2	53.2	98.1

When arranged from low to high.
 Value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

108

Morgan County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sale
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 1½ Years End:

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Con Bui</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	1	2	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	3	4	
14 " " 16	0	0	1	5	7	13	
16 " " 18	2	0	0	6	6	14	
18 " " 20	2	0	0	9	11	22	
20 " " 22	2	1	0	15	10	28	
22 " " 24	2	2	2	15	8	29	
24 " " 26	5	8	3	13	8	37	
26 " " 28	16	5	1	8	3	33	
28 " " 30	20	8	3	2	2	35	
30 " " 32	24	8	2	5	3	42	
32 " " 34	18	6	0	1	2	27	
34 " " 36	20	3	0	0	3	26	
36 " " 38	6	4	2	3	2	17	
38 " " 40	5	4	0	2	0	11	
40 " " 42	3	1	2	1	0	7	
42 " " 44	2	0	0	0	0	2	
44 " " 46	1	1	0	0	0	2	
46 " " 48	1	1	0	1	0	3	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	
50 " " 55	1	0	0	1	0	2	
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	0	1	
60 and Over	1	1	0	0	0	2	
Total Cases	131	54	16	89	69	359	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.4	30.8	27.5	23.1	21.3	27.3	2
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	3.0	3.8	2.8	3.1	3.3	3.1	
Above Average Ratio	3.3	4.9	6.5	3.3	4.1	3.7	2
Total	6.3	8.7	9.3	6.4	7.4	6.8	3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	14.2	3.2	1.8	8.1	2.8	30.0	1

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.				
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	2	0	0	2	2	4	6
1	0	5	2	2	1	0	5	10
0	0	13	1	2	0	0	3	16
0	0	14	1	0	2	0	3	17
0	0	22	1	1	2	0	4	26
1	0	29	6	0	1	0	7	36
3	0	32	1	0	3	0	4	36
0	0	37	3	0	6	0	9	46
1	0	34	0	0	5	0	5	39
0	0	35	3	0	3	0	6	41
0	0	42	1	0	4	0	5	47
0	0	27	2	0	3	0	5	32
0	2	28	0	0	1	0	1	29
1	0	18	1	0	2	0	3	21
1	0	12	0	0	1	0	1	13
0	0	7	1	1	0	0	2	9
0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	3
0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	3
0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
2	1	5	0	0	1	0	1	6
11	5	375	24	6	38	3	71	446
29.6	---	28.9	23.6	14.5	26.4	---	22.3	24.8
7.1	---	4.5	3.3	1.0	3.4	---	2.9	3.5
23.3	---	8.2	6.7	4.5	5.4	---	6.0	6.8
30.4	---	12.7	10.0	5.5	8.8	---	8.9	10.3
10.1	3.8	43.8	36.2	7.3	11.9	0.0 ^c	55.4	99.2

[1] when arranged from low to high.
 c value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Morgan County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R
and Proportion of Assessed Va
for the 3¼ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	1	5	4	10	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	1	12	11	24	0	0
16 " " 18	2	1	1	13	9	26	0	0
18 " " 20	2	0	1	18	17	38	0	0
20 " " 22	5	2	2	32	15	56	1	1
22 " " 24	5	4	2	34	12	57	0	0
24 " " 26	12	11	7	17	15	62	0	0
26 " " 28	38	7	1	11	9	66	0	0
28 " " 30	40	12	3	8	6	69	0	0
30 " " 32	50	12	2	12	8	84	1	1
32 " " 34	41	12	0	4	3	60	2	2
34 " " 36	49	8	0	3	7	67	1	1
36 " " 38	22	4	2	7	3	38	1	1
38 " " 40	19	7	1	5	0	32	0	0
40 " " 42	9	3	2	3	0	17	2	2
42 " " 44	6	0	0	1	0	7	1	1
44 " " 46	4	4	0	1	0	9	0	0
46 " " 48	1	3	1	1	1	7	0	0
48 " " 50	1	0	0	1	0	2	3	3
50 " " 55	3	2	1	1	0	7	1	1
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
60 and Over	3	1	0	2	0	6	2	2
Total Cases	312	94	28	192	121	747	15	15
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.2	31.9	25.9	23.2	22.6	27.8	39.8	39.8
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio	3.5	4.3	2.9	3.3	4.0	3.5	5.9	5.9
Above Average Ratio	3.4	4.9	8.1	5.0	4.9	4.5	9.7	9.7
Total	6.9	9.2	11.0	8.3	8.9	8.0	15.6	15.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	14.2	3.2	1.8	8.1	2.8	30.0	1.2	1.2

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when ar
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>Industrial Buildings</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
			<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
0	0	0	1	2	0	6	9	9
1	0	3	2	0	3	2	7	10
1	0	11	3	3	2	0	8	19
0	0	24	4	3	3	1	11	35
1	0	27	7	1	2	1	11	38
0	0	38	10	4	3	1	18	56
1	0	58	8	3	3	1	15	73
5	0	62	3	3	5	1	12	74
0	1	63	7	1	12	1	21	84
1	1	68	9	2	11	0	22	90
0	0	69	8	0	9	0	17	86
0	0	85	3	1	9	0	13	98
0	0	62	6	1	6	0	13	75
0	1	69	1	0	3	0	4	73
3	0	42	4	0	3	0	7	49
2	0	34	5	0	1	0	6	40
1	0	20	1	1	2	0	4	24
2	0	10	2	0	0	0	2	12
0	0	9	1	0	2	0	3	12
1	1	9	1	0	1	0	2	11
1	0	6	0	1	0	0	1	7
1	0	9	1	1	0	0	2	11
1	1	3	0	2	1	0	3	6
4	1	13	0	2	4	1	7	20
26	6	794	87	31	85	15	218	1,012
31.7	48.9	29.6	24.3	25.0	27.7	12.2	25.0	26.9
8.7	21.9	5.3	5.3	9.0	3.7	4.4	5.4	5.4
17.3	8.6	7.5	7.7	7.5	4.9	8.3	7.2	7.3
26.0	30.5	12.8	13.0	16.5	8.6	12.7	12.6	12.7
10.1	2.6	43.8	36.2	7.3	11.9	0.0 ^c	55.4	99.2

arranged from low to high.
 in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Otero County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed for the 1½ Years Endi

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Fa Dwelli
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and "	0	0	1	1	0	2	0
12 " "	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
14 " "	0	0	0	1	3	4	0
16 " "	0	0	1	2	11	14	0
18 " "	2	1	0	3	9	15	0
20 " "	0	1	0	3	11	15	1
22 " "	3	0	2	12	24	41	0
24 " "	6	3	0	12	28	49	0
26 " "	12	6	1	11	13	43	0
28 " "	15	5	2	12	20	54	1
30 " "	16	5	0	12	16	49	0
32 " "	11	9	4	12	11	47	0
34 " "	3	6	0	5	14	28	2
36 " "	7	7	0	4	8	26	0
38 " "	5	9	1	3	8	26	1
40 " "	0	8	0	4	8	20	0
42 " "	0	4	2	4	2	12	1
44 " "	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
46 " "	0	0	0	2	1	3	0
48 " "	1	0	0	0	1	2	0
50 " "	0	0	0	1	4	5	1
55 " "	0	1	0	1	2	4	0
60 and Over	0	4	0	2	5	11	1
Total Cases	81	69	14	109	200	473	8
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.2	34.6	32.3	29.4	28.3	29.8	36.9
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	2.7	4.1	8.8	4.7	5.0	4.6	5.1
Above Average Ratio	3.0	5.3	1.5	4.6	6.3	5.0	10.9
Total	5.7	9.4	10.3	9.3	11.3	9.6	16.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.3	5.7	1.8	13.2	14.4	41.4	2.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

\$ Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 ending December 31, 1960

Family	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	3
	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	4	6
	0	0	1	0	2	2	2	6	7
	1	0	5	1	1	1	0	3	8
	0	0	14	0	0	1	0	1	15
	2	0	17	0	2	2	0	4	21
	0	0	16	2	0	4	0	6	22
	0	0	41	0	2	1	0	3	44
	0	0	49	2	0	4	1	7	56
	1	0	44	0	0	2	0	2	46
	0	0	55	2	0	2	0	4	59
	0	0	49	4	0	1	0	5	54
	1	0	48	0	1	4	0	5	53
	1	0	31	2	0	2	0	4	35
	2	1	29	3	0	1	0	4	33
	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	27
	1	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	21
	0	0	13	3	0	1	0	4	17
	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	3
	2	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	6
	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
	1	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	6
	4	0	16	3	1	1	1	6	22
	17	1	499	24	11	30	9	74	573
	39.5	---	31.8	34.1	19.1	26.2	13.6	31.0	31.5
	8.0	---	5.2	5.1	5.2	6.0	3.0	5.2	5.2
	19.3	---	7.8	9.2	11.5	6.6	2.8	9.6	8.5
	27.3	---	13.0	14.3	16.7	12.6	5.8	14.8	13.7
	12.5	1.8	58.0	35.2	4.9	1.0	-c-	41.1	99.0

arranged from low to high.
 in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Otero County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Multi-Fam Dwellin</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	1	1	2	2	6	0
12 " " 14	1	0	1	2	4	8	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0	2	4	6	0
16 " " 18	0	3	1	4	16	24	1
18 " " 20	2	2	0	7	19	30	0
20 " " 22	2	2	2	10	24	40	1
22 " " 24	5	1	3	20	48	77	2
24 " " 26	10	5	2	25	42	84	1
26 " " 28	14	10	4	17	29	74	0
28 " " 30	27	12	6	23	46	114	1
30 " " 32	26	15	2	29	37	109	0
32 " " 34	21	18	5	33	20	97	0
34 " " 36	16	22	0	14	25	77	2
36 " " 38	19	18	2	11	15	65	0
38 " " 40	10	12	2	10	16	50	2
40 " " 42	5	11	1	7	17	41	0
42 " " 44	3	9	4	10	6	32	1
44 " " 46	2	2	1	8	6	19	0
46 " " 48	1	0	1	3	4	9	0
48 " " 50	3	0	0	5	4	12	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	3	8	11	2
55 " " 60	0	3	1	3	4	11	1
60 and Over	1	8	0	7	6	22	1
Total Cases	168	154	39	255	402	1,018	15
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.9	34.3	32.0	31.1	28.5	30.7	34.9
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	3.3	4.0	6.3	5.8	5.2	5.0	11.0
Above Average Ratio	4.3	4.8	6.2	5.5	6.3	5.5	14.3
Total	7.6	8.8	12.5	11.3	11.5	10.5	25.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.3	5.7	1.8	13.2	14.4	41.4	2.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

by Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	0	2	1	1	4	4
0	0	6	0	0	0	4	4	10
0	0	8	0	2	4	2	8	16
2	0	8	1	3	2	0	6	14
0	0	25	0	0	4	0	4	29
2	0	32	3	5	5	0	13	45
1	0	42	8	0	5	0	13	55
0	0	79	5	3	6	0	14	93
0	0	85	2	1	8	1	12	97
1	0	75	3	2	5	1	11	86
0	0	115	4	1	5	0	10	125
0	1	110	10	0	4	0	14	124
1	0	98	4	2	6	0	12	110
1	0	80	6	0	2	0	8	88
3	1	69	6	0	2	0	8	77
0	0	52	1	0	3	0	4	56
2	1	44	2	0	2	1	5	49
0	0	33	4	0	2	0	6	39
0	1	20	3	0	0	0	3	23
4	0	13	5	1	0	0	6	19
1	0	13	2	0	0	0	2	15
1	0	14	2	0	0	0	2	16
1	0	13	0	1	3	1	5	18
12	1	36	5	1	2	1	9	45
32	5	1,070	76	24	71	12	183	1,253
45.1	---	33.3	32.8	19.5	27.4	25.1	30.2	31.9
9.5	---	5.8	6.9	4.2	6.7	14.1	6.4	6.0
29.3	---	10.4	10.2	8.7	6.0	8.9	9.8	10.2
38.8	---	16.2	17.1	12.9	12.7	23.0	16.2	16.2
12.5	1.8	58.0	35.2	4.9	1.0	-c-	41.1	99.0

arranged from low to high.
 in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

112