

Ouray County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10		1	0	1
10 and	"	12		0	3	3
12 "	"	14		1	1	2
14 "	"	16		2	1	3
16 "	"	18		1	2	3
18 "	"	20		1	0	1
20 "	"	22		2	1	3
22 "	"	24		3	1	4
24 "	"	26		0	1	1
26 "	"	28		0	0	0
28 "	"	30		2	1	3
30 "	"	32		4	0	4
32 "	"	34		0	0	0
34 "	"	36		0	0	0
36 "	"	38		1	0	1
38 "	"	40		1	0	1
40 "	"	42		0	0	0
42 "	"	44		1	0	1
44 "	"	46		0	0	0
46 "	"	48		0	0	0
48 "	"	50		1	0	1
50 "	"	55		2	0	2
55 "	"	60		0	0	0
60 and Over				1	0	1
Total Cases				24	11	35
Average Sales Ratio (%)				27.6	17.0	19.3
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio				7.8	----	5.6
Above Average Ratio				10.4	----	6.6
Total				18.2	----	12.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				30.6	68.3	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Ouray County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	1	1	2
10 and " 12	0	3	3
12 " " 14	5	1	6
14 " " 16	3	2	5
16 " " 18	1	2	3
18 " " 20	3	3	6
20 " " 22	2	5	7
22 " " 24	6	12	18
24 " " 26	5	2	7
26 " " 28	1	0	1
28 " " 30	3	2	5
30 " " 32	6	0	6
32 " " 34	3	1	4
34 " " 36	2	1	3
36 " " 38	1	1	2
38 " " 40	1	0	1
40 " " 42	1	0	1
42 " " 44	1	1	2
44 " " 46	1	3	4
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	2	0	2
50 " " 55	2	0	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	5	4	9
Total Cases	55	44	99
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.5	19.2	21.2
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	6.7	----	1.5
Above Average Ratio	9.1	----	11.3
Total	15.8	----	12.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	30.6	68.3	98.9

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Park County: Number of Con
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati
and Proporation of Assessed Value
for the 1½ Year

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Age
		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	
	Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	" 12	1	0	0	0	0	
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	3	1	
14 "	" 16	1	0	0	1	1	
16 "	" 18	0	1	2	1	0	
18 "	" 20	0	2	0	1	0	
20 "	" 22	0	0	2	0	1	
22 "	" 24	1	0	1	2	1	
24 "	" 26	0	0	1	1	1	
26 "	" 28	1	0	0	0	1	
28 "	" 30	1	2	0	1	0	
30 "	" 32	0	1	1	0	1	
32 "	" 34	0	0	0	1	1	
34 "	" 36	0	0	1	0	0	
36 "	" 38	0	0	0	0	0	
38 "	" 40	0	0	0	0	0	
40 "	" 42	0	0	1	1	0	
42 "	" 44	0	0	0	0	0	
44 "	" 46	0	0	0	0	0	
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0	0	
50 "	" 55	0	0	0	0	0	
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over		0	0	1	3	2	
Total Cases		5	6	10	15	10	4
Average Sales Ratio (%)		-	24.2	22.3	23.1	31.1	23.
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio		-	5.7	1.8	7.6	10.1	5.
Above Average Ratio		-	5.3	12.7	15.9	1.9	8.
Total		-	11.0	14.5	23.5	12.0	14.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		3.4	2.4	3.4	1.7	2.1	13.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

er of Conveyances by Size
 les Ratio, Measure of Variation
 sed Value by Class of Property
 1½ Year Period

Years)				Rural Land				
	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	With Impts.	Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	2	2	0	4	5
4	4	0	4	2	6	0	8	12
3	3	0	3	4	5	0	9	12
4	4	0	4	2	3	1	6	10
3	3	0	3	1	3	0	4	7
3	3	0	3	1	9	0	10	13
5	5	0	5	2	4	0	6	11
3	3	0	3	0	11	0	11	14
2	2	0	2	4	5	0	9	11
4	4	1	5	0	3	2	5	10
3	3	1	4	1	1	0	2	6
2	2	0	2	0	4	0	4	6
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	4	0	5	5
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
6	6	1	7	0	7	0	7	14
46	46	4	50	22	70	4	96	146
23.4	23.4	-	25.6	21.2	23.7	-	27.2	26.9
5.8	5.8	-	5.9	6.4	4.7	-	8.2	7.8
8.7	8.7	-	9.9	6.0	8.5	-	2.0	3.6
14.5	14.5	-	15.8	12.4	13.2	-	10.2	11.4
13.1	13.1	4.4	17.5	8.8	6.7	55.9	71.4	88.9

fall when arranged from low to high.

used value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Park County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Com Bui
		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
	Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	" 12	1	0	0	0	0	1	
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	3	1	4	
14 "	" 16	1	1	0	2	1	5	
16 "	" 18	0	2	2	1	0	5	
18 "	" 20	0	3	1	2	0	6	
20 "	" 22	0	1	3	1	1	6	
22 "	" 24	1	1	3	3	1	9	
24 "	" 26	0	1	1	1	2	5	
26 "	" 28	1	1	0	2	3	7	
28 "	" 30	1	2	0	1	1	5	
30 "	" 32	0	1	1	1	2	5	
32 "	" 34	0	0	1	1	1	3	
34 "	" 36	0	0	2	1	2	5	
36 "	" 38	0	0	0	0	1	1	
38 "	" 40	0	0	0	1	3	4	
40 "	" 42	1	0	2	1	1	5	
42 "	" 44	0	0	0	2	0	2	
44 "	" 46	1	1	0	0	0	2	
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	
50 "	" 55	0	0	0	0	0	0	
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over		0	0	1	5	6	12	
Total Cases		7	14	17	28	26	92	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		26.1	24.2	23.9	24.7	34.3	25.9	3
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio		9.1	5.9	3.1	5.7	8.0	6.2	
Above Average Ratio		11.9	4.3	10.9	17.1	6.7	10.2	3
Total		21.0	10.2	14.0	22.8	14.7	16.4	3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		3.4	2.4	3.4	1.7	2.1	13.1	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
			<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
1	0	1	0	3	1	2	6	7
0	0	1	3	1	3	4	11	12
0	0	4	1	0	3	10	14	18
0	0	5	4	1	4	6	15	20
0	0	5	3	1	3	4	11	16
0	0	6	1	0	1	5	7	13
0	0	6	1	1	4	13	19	25
0	0	9	1	0	3	5	9	18
0	0	5	2	0	4	14	20	25
0	0	7	2	0	4	9	15	22
1	0	6	2	0	1	8	11	17
1	0	6	0	0	2	3	5	11
0	0	3	0	0	1	6	7	10
0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
1	0	2	0	0	1	3	4	6
0	0	4	0	0	1	1	2	6
0	0	5	0	1	0	3	4	9
0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	4
0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	3	5	8	9
0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4	4
2	0	14	0	0	0	11	11	25
6	1	99	21	9	41	117	188	287
30.4	---	26.8	22.7	15.0	22.2	24.1	22.3	23.1
1.4	---	5.1	8.1	6.2	6.6	4.8	7.5	7.1
37.1	---	15.9	3.1	11.0	7.3	9.5	4.6	6.5
38.5	---	21.0	11.2	17.2	13.9	14.3	12.1	13.6
4.1	0.3	17.5	53.2	2.7	8.8	6.7	71.4	88.9

11 when arranged from low to high.
 d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Phillips County: Number of Conveyances by
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of P
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 196

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Age</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	
12 " " 14	1	0	1	1	0	
14 " " 16	0	0	1	3	0	
16 " " 18	0	1	0	2	0	
18 " " 20	1	0	0	3	1	
20 " " 22	1	1	0	1	1	
22 " " 24	0	0	0	4	0	
24 " " 26	1	1	0	0	3	
26 " " 28	2	1	0	1	1	
28 " " 30	1	1	0	0	1	
30 " " 32	2	0	0	0	1	
32 " " 34	0	2	0	0	0	
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	0	
36 " " 38	2	2	0	0	0	
38 " " 40	0	0	2	0	0	
40 " " 42	0	0	0	1	0	
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0	
50 " " 55	0	1	0	0	3	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Cases	12	11	4	16	13	5
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.2	30.2	---	19.5	28.4	23
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio	5.2	4.7	---	3.4	4.9	4
Above Average Ratio	5.0	7.0	---	3.5	18.1	5
Total	10.2	11.7	---	6.9	23.0	10
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.9	2.2	1.1	6.2	0.8	12

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value to the Legislative Council.

nces by Size
 ure of Variation
 ss of Property
 31, 1960

<u>8</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	0	0	0	1	1
	1	0	1	0	1
	3	0	3	0	3
	4	0	4	2	6
	3	0	3	0	3
	5	0	5	1	6
	4	0	4	1	5
	4	1	5	2	7
	5	0	5	0	5
	5	0	5	0	5
	3	0	3	0	3
	3	0	3	2	5
	2	0	2	0	2
	1	0	1	0	1
	4	0	4	0	4
	2	0	2	0	2
	1	0	1	0	1
	1	0	1	1	2
	1	0	1	0	1
	0	1	1	0	1
	0	1	1	0	1
	4	0	4	1	5
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	56	3	59	11	70
	23.3	----	24.4	20.9	21.5
	4.8	----	4.5	3.0	3.2
	5.4	----	10.4	7.0	7.7
	10.2	----	14.9	10.0	10.9
	12.2	14.4	26.6	73.2	99.7

ns fall when arranged from low to high.
 essed value in the county as reported by the assessor

Phillips County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed for the 3½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages
			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	
Under 10			0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	1	2	3
12 "	"	14	1	1	1	1	2	6
14 "	"	16	0	0	1	7	0	8
16 "	"	18	0	1	0	8	0	9
18 "	"	20	1	0	1	9	1	12
20 "	"	22	2	1	0	6	2	11
22 "	"	24	0	0	1	10	1	12
24 "	"	26	1	1	0	6	3	11
26 "	"	28	4	2	2	6	3	17
28 "	"	30	1	1	0	1	1	4
30 "	"	32	2	0	1	3	1	7
32 "	"	34	5	2	0	0	0	7
34 "	"	36	1	1	0	0	0	2
36 "	"	38	3	2	0	2	1	8
38 "	"	40	1	1	2	1	1	6
40 "	"	42	0	0	1	1	0	2
42 "	"	44	0	1	0	0	0	1
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	1	1	2
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	1	0	0	3	4
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	1	0	0	0	1
Total Cases			22	16	10	63	22	133
Average Sales Ratio (%)			29.6	30.1	30.3	21.7	25.1	24.8
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio			3.4	4.3	11.3	4.0	4.6	4.5
Above Average Ratio			4.2	8.1	8.2	4.1	11.9	5.6
Total			7.6	12.4	19.5	8.1	16.5	10.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			1.9	2.2	1.1	6.2	0.8	12.2

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960

<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
			<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>			
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
0	0	6	0	2	0	2	8
0	0	8	2	12	0	14	22
1	0	10	1	5	0	6	16
0	0	12	4	7	0	11	23
0	0	11	2	5	0	7	18
1	0	13	2	3	0	5	18
0	0	11	1	0	0	1	12
0	0	17	3	0	0	3	20
1	0	5	0	2	0	2	7
0	0	7	2	0	1	3	10
1	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
2	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
1	1	10	0	0	0	0	10
0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	4	0	0	1	1	5
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
3	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
17	2	152	18	37	3	58	210
36.8	---	27.8	22.4	17.2	---	19.3	20.6
2.7	---	4.0	3.6	2.2	---	2.7	2.9
20.1	---	9.2	4.6	3.1	---	3.9	4.6
22.8	---	13.2	8.2	5.3	---	6.6	7.5
6.1	8.3	26.6	31.5	39.9	1.8	73.2	99.7

all when arranged from low to high.
 d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pitkin County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 1½ Years Ending

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	2
10 and	"	12	1	0	1	0	9
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	1	4
14 "	"	16	1	0	0	0	4
16 "	"	18	4	1	0	0	3
18 "	"	20	5	0	0	0	1
20 "	"	22	2	0	0	0	2
22 "	"	24	4	1	0	0	0
24 "	"	26	3	0	0	0	0
26 "	"	28	1	0	0	0	1
28 "	"	30	2	0	0	0	1
30 "	"	32	0	1	0	1	0
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	0	0
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	0	0
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	0	0
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	1
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	1	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over			0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases			24	3	1	2	29
Average Sales Ratio (%)			21.5	---	---	---	14.2
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			3.5	---	---	---	3.0
Above Average Ratio			3.2	---	---	---	3.8
Total			6.7	---	---	---	6.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			10.8	1.7	0.1	0.1	12.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

110

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 as of December 31, 1960

years)	All		Total	Misc. Rural Land		All	Total	Total
	48	Ages		Urban	Urban			
2	2	0	2	0	17	0	17	19
9	11	0	11	0	1	0	1	12
4	5	0	5	0	1	0	1	6
4	5	1	6	0	1	1	2	8
3	8	3	11	1	1	0	2	13
1	6	0	6	0	1	0	1	7
2	4	0	4	2	1	0	3	7
0	5	1	6	2	0	0	2	8
0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
1	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	3
1	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	59	7	66	6	24	1	31	97
2	17.2	----	20.0	22.4	7.0	----	17.7	18.7
0	3.4	----	5.3	1.9	0.9	----	1.6	3.4
3	3.6	----	3.6	1.1	5.0	----	2.3	3.4
3	7.0	----	8.9	3.0	5.9	----	3.9	6.8
3	25.5	19.8	45.2	24.2	3.4	25.1	52.7	97.9

Values fall when arranged from low to high.
 Assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

11a

Pitkin County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ra
and Proportion of Assessed Val
for the 3½ Years Ending

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>						
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Comm</u>
										<u>Build</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	7	7	
10 and	"	12		1	0	1	0	14	16	
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	1	10	11	
14 "	"	16		3	3	0	0	12	18	
16 "	"	18		5	1	0	0	8	14	
18 "	"	20		9	2	0	0	3	14	
20 "	"	22		6	1	0	0	5	12	
22 "	"	24		8	2	0	0	6	16	
24 "	"	26		5	0	0	0	1	6	
26 "	"	28		4	0	0	0	1	5	
28 "	"	30		4	0	0	0	2	6	
30 "	"	32		2	1	0	1	0	4	
32 "	"	34		2	0	0	0	0	2	
34 "	"	36		0	0	0	0	0	0	
36 "	"	38		0	0	0	0	0	0	
38 "	"	40		0	0	0	0	1	1	
40 "	"	42		0	0	0	0	0	0	
42 "	"	44		1	0	0	0	0	1	
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	0	0	0	
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	0	0	
50 "	"	55		0	0	0	0	0	0	
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0	1	1	
60 and Over				0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Cases				50	10	1	2	71	134	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				22.3	22.4	---	---	14.7	17.7	23
Measure of Variation ^a										
Below Average Ratio				3.5	6.7	---	---	3.2	3.5	6
Above Average Ratio				3.9	0.1	---	---	4.8	4.2	3
Total				7.4	6.8	---	---	8.0	7.7	10
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				10.8	1.7	0.1	0.1	12.8	25.5	18

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

of Conveyances by Size
 s Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 ing December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	7	0	1	0	22	23	30
1	0	17	0	0	0	3	3	20
0	0	11	2	0	1	1	4	15
2	0	20	2	1	0	1	4	24
1	2	17	0	0	3	4	7	24
1	0	15	0	0	0	1	1	16
1	0	13	1	0	4	4	9	22
2	0	18	1	0	2	0	3	21
0	0	6	0	1	0	1	2	8
3	0	8	0	1	0	1	2	10
1	0	7	0	0	2	0	2	9
0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
0	1	3	0	1	0	1	2	5
1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	5
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
14	4	152	6	7	14	46	73	225
23.9	---	19.9	15.3	28.4	21.6	13.0	17.6	18.6
6.9	---	4.7	1.8	10.9	3.9	6.5	3.1	3.8
3.8	---	4.2	5.7	7.6	6.9	8.2	6.4	5.4
10.7	---	8.9	7.5	18.5	10.8	14.7	9.5	9.2
18.2	1.6	45.2	24.2	0.9	24.2	3.4	52.7	97.9

When arranged from low to high.
 Value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Prowers County: Number of Cases
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 1½ Years Ending Dec 31, 1957

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Com Bui
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	2	3	
14 " " 16	0	0	0	3	2	5	
16 " " 18	0	1	1	4	3	9	
18 " " 20	2	2	1	2	6	13	
20 " " 22	2	7	1	2	10	22	
22 " " 24	5	4	2	5	8	24	
24 " " 26	4	6	1	12	6	29	
26 " " 28	7	10	0	12	8	37	
28 " " 30	4	5	0	0	7	16	
30 " " 32	1	2	0	5	0	8	
32 " " 34	1	1	0	3	3	8	
34 " " 36	0	0	1	0	2	3	
36 " " 38	1	0	0	1	2	4	
38 " " 40	0	1	0	1	2	4	
40 " " 42	0	0	0	2	3	5	
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	
44 " " 46	0	0	1	0	0	1	
46 " " 48	0	1	0	2	0	3	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	1	1	
50 " " 55	1	1	1	1	0	4	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over	0	2	0	2	1	5	
Total Cases	28	43	9	58	66	204	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.5	25.5	23.8	25.7	24.6	25.2	
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	2.3	3.1	3.3	2.7	3.9	3.0	
Above Average Ratio	3.0	3.4	13.7	5.3	4.7	4.8	
Total	5.3	6.5	17.0	8.0	8.6	7.8	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.9	5.2	1.4	6.1	5.5	23.1	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall within each class.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

Class	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	1	0	4	0	3	0	3	7
5	0	0	5	0	4	0	4	9
9	0	0	9	1	0	0	1	10
13	0	0	13	0	3	0	3	16
22	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	22
24	0	1	25	0	0	0	0	25
29	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	29
37	0	0	37	2	1	0	3	40
16	1	1	18	0	0	0	0	18
8	1	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
8	0	0	8	2	0	0	2	10
3	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	4
4	1	1	6	1	0	0	1	7
4	1	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
5	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
3	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	5
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
5	5	0	10	1	0	0	1	11
204	17	5	226	9	11	0	20	246
5.2	48.4	---	30.7	36.2	15.5	---	27.6	28.8
3.0	9.9	---	4.7	9.0	1.7	---	5.9	5.5
4.8	13.5	---	6.4	4.3	3.3	---	3.9	4.8
7.8	23.4	---	11.1	13.3	5.0	---	9.8	10.3
3.1	12.2	4.3	39.6	45.7	13.8	0.0	59.4	99.1

These figures fall when arranged from low to high.
 The assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Prowers County:
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of Assessed
for Total

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>A</u>
	Under	10	0	1	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	2	1	
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	2	2	
14 "	"	16	2	0	1	5	2	
16 "	"	18	0	1	1	6	3	
18 "	"	20	2	3	2	3	11	
20 "	"	22	3	13	2	7	12	
22 "	"	24	8	7	3	11	12	
24 "	"	26	4	9	4	17	13	
26 "	"	28	17	18	1	18	15	
28 "	"	30	10	14	3	3	11	
30 "	"	32	9	6	2	5	3	
32 "	"	34	4	3	0	6	6	
34 "	"	36	2	1	1	3	5	
36 "	"	38	3	1	1	3	4	
38 "	"	40	0	1	0	2	4	
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	3	5	
42 "	"	44	0	1	1	0	3	
44 "	"	46	0	0	1	1	0	
46 "	"	48	0	1	0	3	1	
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	2	1	
50 "	"	55	1	2	1	2	2	
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	1	
60 and Over			0	5	0	10	1	
Total Cases			65	87	24	114	118	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			26.9	27.0	25.3	26.3	25.9	
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio			2.3	3.9	3.4	3.7	4.1	
Above Average Ratio			3.7	2.9	5.7	8.0	7.3	
Total			6.0	6.8	9.1	11.7	11.4	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			4.9	5.2	1.4	6.1	5.5	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio falls.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value as determined by the Legislative Council.

County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 , Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 on of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the 3½ Year Period

(years)	All	Commercial	All	Total	Agric. Land		All	Total	Total
er 48	Ages	Buildings	Other	Urban	With	Without	Other	Rural	County
			Urban		Impts.	Impts.	Rural		
0	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	3	4
1	3	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	5
2	4	1	0	5	3	7	0	10	15
2	10	0	0	10	1	10	0	11	21
3	11	0	0	11	4	9	0	13	24
11	21	0	0	21	1	9	0	10	31
12	37	0	1	38	0	7	0	7	45
12	41	1	1	43	1	3	0	4	47
13	47	0	0	47	1	5	0	6	53
15	69	0	0	69	2	2	0	4	73
11	41	2	1	44	0	0	0	0	44
3	25	2	0	27	2	3	0	5	32
6	19	0	0	19	5	1	0	6	25
5	12	0	1	13	3	0	0	3	16
4	12	2	1	15	2	0	0	2	17
4	7	2	0	9	1	0	0	1	10
5	8	2	0	10	2	1	0	3	13
3	5	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
0	2	3	0	5	3	0	0	3	8
1	5	1	1	7	0	1	0	1	8
1	3	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
2	8	1	0	9	3	0	0	3	12
1	1	3	0	4	0	1	0	1	5
1	16	6	1	23	2	1	0	3	26
18	408	26	7	441	38	66	0	104	545
5.9	26.4	41.8	---	30.5	33.7	18.2	---	28.1	29.1
4.1	3.5	5.3	---	4.2	10.7	3.3	---	8.0	6.6
7.3	5.7	17.4	---	9.1	9.3	5.5	---	8.0	8.3
11.4	9.2	22.7	---	13.3	20.0	8.8	---	16.0	14.9
5.5	23.1	12.2	4.3	39.6	45.7	13.8	0.0	59.4	99.1

the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to

Pueblo County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 1½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48			
Under 10	1	1	1	1	9	13	0	
10 and " 12	3	4	0	7	32	46	0	
12 " " 14	1	1	5	10	33	60	0	
14 " " 16	5	3	4	35	52	99	0	
16 " " 18	4	5	2	34	40	85	2	
18 " " 20	11	16	6	26	27	86	1	
20 " " 22	44	32	10	36	32	154	3	
22 " " 24	75	51	9	23	33	191	2	
24 " " 26	154	48	11	17	18	248	1	
26 " " 28	198	56	4	12	16	286	1	
28 " " 30	208	33	4	6	5	256	0	
30 " " 32	122	13	1	6	9	151	2	
32 " " 34	59	15	0	3	4	81	2	
34 " " 36	16	12	2	0	7	37	2	
36 " " 38	7	3	4	1	1	16	1	
38 " " 40	8	2	1	3	3	17	2	
40 " " 42	6	11	0	3	3	23	0	
42 " " 44	4	5	0	0	1	10	0	
44 " " 46	1	1	1	1	2	6	0	
46 " " 48	2	3	1	1	0	7	0	
48 " " 50	4	6	0	0	1	11	0	
50 " " 55	4	1	0	1	0	6	1	
55 " " 60	1	2	0	1	0	4	1	
60 and Over	10	2	1	1	1	15	0	
Total Cases	948	326	67	238	329	1,908	21	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.7	25.9	23.0	19.5	18.6	23.5	29.3	
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio	2.5	3.1	3.4	3.7	4.3	3.3	7.8	
Above Average Ratio	2.5	3.3	4.1	4.2	4.7	3.6	6.6	
Total	5.0	6.9	7.5	7.9	9.0	6.9	14.4	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	19.9	8.4	2.5	8.3	7.9	47.0	1.5	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when a
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

Single-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	2	15	3	1	4	18	26	41
0	0	0	46	1	0	3	10	14	60
0	1	0	61	4	1	5	20	30	91
0	2	2	103	1	1	4	11	17	120
2	0	0	87	3	0	13	12	28	115
1	5	0	92	2	1	14	11	28	120
3	2	0	159	0	2	9	21	32	191
2	3	1	197	0	0	8	7	15	212
1	1	0	250	2	0	6	5	13	263
1	2	0	289	2	1	5	4	12	301
0	2	0	258	0	0	6	7	13	271
2	1	1	155	1	0	4	16	21	176
2	2	0	85	0	1	2	3	6	91
2	3	0	42	0	0	4	5	9	51
1	1	0	18	1	0	2	2	5	23
2	2	0	21	0	1	3	2	6	27
0	1	0	24	0	0	2	1	3	27
0	2	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	12
0	1	0	7	0	0	2	2	4	11
0	2	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
0	1	1	13	0	0	1	0	1	14
1	1	0	8	0	0	1	0	1	9
1	2	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
0	3	0	18	0	0	2	0	2	20
21	40	7	1,976	20	9	100	157	286	2,262
1.3	32.8	25.6	25.4	15.9	16.3	23.0	18.8	20.8	23.6
.8	10.9	15.4	5.1	3.4	1.8	5.6	5.7	4.9	5.0
.6	11.4	3.4	5.1	9.1	12.2	6.3	8.6	7.2	5.9
.4	22.3	18.8	10.2	12.5	14.0	11.9	14.3	12.1	10.9
.5	15.5	1.7	65.7	7.2	0.4	24.7	0.3	32.7	98.4

are arranged from low to high.
 as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pueblo County: Number of Cases
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1957

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48			
Under 10	1	3	2	5	31	42	0	
10 and "	5	5	1	21	70	102	0	
12 " "	4	4	10	44	81	143	0	
14 " "	9	11	14	72	119	225	0	
16 " "	9	11	8	77	110	215	3	
18 " "	25	39	16	80	55	215	2	
20 " "	88	75	28	85	76	352	5	
22 " "	198	109	22	67	59	455	2	
24 " "	409	101	22	47	33	612	4	
26 " "	468	109	16	31	31	655	5	
28 " "	466	71	7	22	18	584	3	
30 " "	305	31	8	20	15	379	4	
32 " "	150	32	1	14	14	211	3	
34 " "	66	23	9	1	13	112	5	
36 " "	26	9	8	3	7	53	2	
38 " "	22	3	2	5	6	38	3	
40 " "	16	18	0	4	4	42	1	
42 " "	13	6	0	2	3	24	1	
44 " "	4	4	2	2	3	15	0	
46 " "	13	5	2	1	2	23	0	
48 " "	7	7	1	1	3	19	0	
50 " "	9	3	0	3	0	15	1	
55 " "	4	4	0	1	1	10	2	
60 and Over	18	7	1	2	1	29	0	
Total Cases	2,335	690	180	610	755	4,570	46	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.8	25.7	23.3	20.3	18.2	23.6	29.1	
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio	2.6	3.3	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.4	5.6	
Above Average Ratio	2.7	3.7	4.2	4.0	4.6	3.6	6.5	
Total	5.3	7.0	8.3	8.0	8.7	7.0	12.1	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	19.9	8.4	2.5	8.3	7.9	47.0	1.5	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county.

ber of Conveyances by Size
ales Ratio, Measure of Variation
sed Value by Class of Property
Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Family</u> <u>Buildings</u>	<u>Commercial</u> <u>Buildings</u>	<u>Industrial</u> <u>Buildings</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
				<u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Without</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Without</u> <u>Impts.</u>		
0	0	2	44	3	8	5	39	55	99
0	0	0	102	2	1	7	13	23	125
0	2	0	145	5	2	12	30	49	194
0	4	2	231	6	1	7	11	25	256
3	3	0	221	8	5	17	16	46	267
2	8	2	227	5	4	21	14	44	271
5	4	0	361	3	9	13	28	53	414
2	7	1	465	4	2	14	13	33	498
4	3	2	621	8	0	9	9	26	647
5	6	0	666	8	1	9	4	22	688
3	6	0	593	1	0	11	8	20	613
4	3	1	387	2	0	5	16	23	410
3	5	1	220	1	1	4	3	9	229
5	9	0	126	0	1	5	8	14	140
2	6	0	61	2	0	3	2	7	68
3	4	1	46	0	1	6	2	9	55
1	3	0	46	0	1	3	1	5	51
1	3	0	28	0	1	1	0	2	30
0	3	0	18	0	0	2	2	4	22
0	3	0	26	0	1	0	0	1	27
0	1	1	21	0	0	1	0	1	22
1	3	0	19	0	0	2	1	3	22
2	4	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	16
0	6	2	37	1	0	3	1	5	42
6	96	15	4,727	59	39	160	221	479	5,206
	32.3	29.1	25.4	18.8	13.3	22.1	17.2	21.0	23.8
	9.4	12.9	4.7	3.2	0.5	5.0	5.0	4.4	4.7
	9.0	8.4	4.8	7.3	8.5	7.0	7.2	7.1	5.7
	18.4	21.3	9.5	10.5	9.0	12.0	12.2	11.5	10.4
	15.5	1.7	65.7	7.2	0.4	24.7	0.3	32.7	98.4

arranged from low to high.
in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

124

Rio Blanco County: Number of Conveyances by
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					All
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	0	1	0	0	0	1
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	1	0	1
16 "	"	18	0	2	0	1	0	3
18 "	"	20	0	1	0	1	0	2
20 "	"	22	0	1	0	1	0	2
22 "	"	24	0	3	1	1	1	6
24 "	"	26	0	4	0	0	1	5
26 "	"	28	0	2	0	0	0	2
28 "	"	30	1	3	0	0	0	4
30 "	"	32	0	2	0	0	0	2
32 "	"	34	1	2	0	0	0	3
34 "	"	36	1	3	1	0	0	5
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	0	0	0
38 "	"	40	1	0	0	0	0	1
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	1	0	0	0	1
48 "	"	50	1	1	0	0	0	2
50 "	"	55	1	2	0	0	0	3
55 "	"	60	0	1	0	0	0	1
60 and Over			0	1	0	0	1	2
Total Cases			6	30	0	5	0	46
Average Sales Ratio (%)			36.0	28.1	---	---	---	28.3
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio			3.0	4.4	---	---	---	4.2
Above Average Ratio			13.0	6.9	---	---	---	7.3
Total			16.0	11.3	---	---	---	13.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			6.4	7.8	2.6	3.3	2.9	23.1

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when compared to the Legislative Council.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value when compared to the Legislative Council.

nces by Size
 ure of Variation
 ss of Property
 31, 1960

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	0	1
3	0	3	0	3
2	0	2	0	2
2	0	2	0	2
6	0	6	0	6
5	0	5	0	5
2	1	3	0	3
4	0	4	0	4
2	0	2	0	2
3	0	3	0	3
5	0	5	0	5
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	1
1	0	1	0	1
2	0	2	0	2
3	0	3	0	3
1	1	2	1	3
2	0	2	0	2
46	2	48	4	52
28.3	----	28.8	24.6	26.0
4.2	----	4.6	----	1.8
9.3	----	9.8	----	12.6
13.5	----	14.4	----	14.4
23.1	13.2	36.3	61.2	97.5

Call when arranged from low to high.
 sed value in the county as reported by the assessor

125

Rio Blanco County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending D

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	1	0	1	0
14 " " 16	0	0	3	1	3
16 " " 18	0	3	1	1	1
18 " " 20	0	2	0	1	0
20 " " 22	1	2	1	1	1
22 " " 24	0	3	1	1	1
24 " " 26	4	4	0	0	1
26 " " 28	2	3	1	0	0
28 " " 30	3	11	0	1	2
30 " " 32	1	7	0	2	0
32 " " 34	1	5	0	0	0
34 " " 36	3	7	2	0	0
36 " " 38	1	2	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	1	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	4	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	1	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	1	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	1	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	2	0	0	0
55 " " 60	1	1	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	2	0	0	2
Total Cases	22	62	9	9	11
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.3	29.8	20.4	20.6	20.7
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	3.8	3.5	4.9	4.1	4.7
Above Average Ratio	8.7	5.8	8.5	8.8	8.5
Total	12.5	9.3	13.4	12.9	13.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.4	7.8	2.6	3.3	2.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall within

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 December 31, 1960.

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agriculture Land</u>		<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
			<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>			
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	3	0	3	3
2	0	2	2	2	0	4	6
7	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
6	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
3	0	3	0	0	1	1	4
6	1	7	0	1	1	2	9
6	0	6	0	1	0	1	7
9	0	9	0	0	1	1	10
6	1	7	0	1	0	1	8
17	0	17	1	0	0	1	18
10	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
6	0	6	1	0	0	1	7
12	0	12	0	0	1	1	13
3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
5	0	5	2	1	0	3	8
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1	1	2	3
1	2	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	1	3	0	0	0	0	3
3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	3	5	0	0	1	1	6
4	2	6	0	1	0	1	7
113	11	124	6	12	6	24	148
25.5	---	32.5	22.0	15.3	---	21.3	24.5
4.0	---	8.9	8.5	4.0	---	7.5	7.9
7.8	---	11.9	18.5	18.7	---	18.4	16.6
11.8	---	20.8	27.0	22.7	---	25.9	24.5
23.1	13.2	36.3	50.5	4.0	6.7	61.2	97.5

when arranged from low to high.

value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

126

Rio Grande County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sale
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 1½ Years Endi

Sales Ratio Class (%)			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Co E
			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
	Under 10		0	1	0	0	0	1	
10 and	" 12		0	0	0	0	1	1	
12 "	" 14		0	0	1	1	1	3	
14 "	" 16		0	0	0	0	0	0	
16 "	" 18		0	0	0	0	2	2	
18 "	" 20		0	0	1	0	2	3	
20 "	" 22		0	0	0	2	1	3	
22 "	" 24		0	1	0	1	4	6	
24 "	" 26		0	1	0	4	3	8	
26 "	" 28		1	0	0	3	2	6	
28 "	" 30		4	4	0	6	1	15	
30 "	" 32		4	2	1	1	6	14	
32 "	" 34		1	3	0	0	4	8	
34 "	" 36		0	3	0	1	5	9	
36 "	" 38		2	0	0	1	2	5	
38 "	" 40		0	1	0	0	1	2	
40 "	" 42		0	1	0	0	0	1	
42 "	" 44		0	0	1	0	1	2	
44 "	" 46		1	1	0	1	0	3	
46 "	" 48		0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	" 50		0	0	0	0	0	0	
50 "	" 55		0	0	1	0	1	2	
55 "	" 60		0	0	1	0	0	1	
60 and Over			0	0	0	1	2	3	
Total Cases			13	18	6	22	39	98	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			31.0	31.3	31.8	27.0	28.1	29.3	
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio			1.9	2.5	12.8	2.2	4.7	3.9	
Above Average Ratio			2.9	3.7	20.7	2.8	6.8	5.7	
Total			4.8	6.2	33.5	5.0	11.5	9.6	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			4.8	3.1	2.0	4.8	5.7	20.3	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 of Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>Industrial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land With Impts.</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land With Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	3	0	0	1	1	4
1	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
1	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	5
0	1	0	7	1	0	0	1	8
1	0	0	9	0	0	1	1	10
0	1	0	7	1	1	0	2	9
0	0	0	15	1	1	0	2	17
0	0	0	14	1	3	0	4	18
1	0	0	9	1	1	1	3	11
0	0	0	9	0	2	0	2	12
0	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	6
1	0	0	3	1	2	0	3	6
0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	1	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
1	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	3
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
7	6	0	111	11	12	5	28	139
29.6	35.8	---	29.5	32.7	31.5	---	32.5	31.4
7.6	12.8	---	5.2	5.2	1.9	---	4.8	4.9
15.4	9.2	---	8.9	10.8	5.5	---	10.0	9.6
23.0	22.0	---	14.1	16.0	7.4	---	14.8	14.5
10.2	0.6	0.8	31.9	54.2	8.9	4.3	67.4	99.3

11 when arranged from low to high.
 Value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

127

Rio Grande County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending Dec

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>
Under 10	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
12 " " 14	0	0	1	1	1	3	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
18 " " 20	0	0	2	4	3	9	3
20 " " 22	0	0	0	4	6	10	1
22 " " 24	1	1	0	8	6	16	0
24 " " 26	0	2	1	8	5	16	3
26 " " 28	2	1	2	12	7	24	0
28 " " 30	5	7	3	7	9	31	1
30 " " 32	12	4	1	4	13	34	0
32 " " 34	6	4	1	0	6	17	1
34 " " 36	2	5	0	3	9	19	0
36 " " 38	4	2	1	1	7	15	0
38 " " 40	3	2	1	1	2	9	3
40 " " 42	3	3	0	0	4	10	3
42 " " 44	1	0	1	1	3	6	1
44 " " 46	1	2	0	1	1	5	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	3	3	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
50 " " 55	0	1	1	0	2	4	1
55 " " 60	0	1	1	1	1	4	0
60 and Over	2	2	2	2	6	14	2
Total Cases	42	38	18	59	99	256	22
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.2	33.7	31.3	26.3	30.5	30.5	34.2
Measure of Variation^a							
Below Average Ratio	2.8	4.4	4.8	3.1	4.6	3.8	9.2
Above Average Ratio	4.6	5.8	11.7	3.5	7.0	5.8	12.3
Total	7.4	10.2	16.5	6.6	11.6	9.6	21.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	4.8	3.1	2.0	4.8	5.7	20.3	10.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in that year.

Number of Conveyances By Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Commercial</u> <u>Buildings</u>	<u>Industrial</u> <u>Buildings</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Agric.</u> <u>Land</u> <u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Misc.</u> <u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural Land</u> <u>Without</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
0	1	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	5
0	0	0	2	1	2	1	0	4	6
0	1	0	3	5	0	1	0	6	9
3	0	0	12	3	0	1	0	4	16
1	0	0	11	2	1	1	0	4	15
0	1	0	17	1	2	0	0	3	20
3	0	0	19	1	1	2	0	4	23
0	1	0	25	3	4	0	0	7	32
1	0	0	32	1	1	1	2	5	37
0	0	0	34	1	4	0	0	5	39
1	0	0	18	3	3	1	0	7	25
0	1	0	20	3	2	0	1	6	26
0	0	0	15	2	1	0	0	3	18
3	0	0	12	3	3	0	0	6	18
3	1	0	14	0	0	0	2	2	16
1	0	0	7	1	1	0	0	2	9
0	1	0	6	4	0	0	0	4	10
2	0	0	5	1	1	0	0	2	7
1	1	0	3	4	0	0	0	4	7
1	0	0	5	2	1	0	0	3	8
0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	2	6
2	0	0	16	1	1	2	0	4	20
2	8	0	286	45	29	10	5	89	375
2	26.5	---	31.5	33.6	31.2	25.9	---	32.9	32.4
2	6.5	---	5.5	12.4	5.1	6.9	---	10.5	8.8
1	16.5	---	8.0	11.8	6.3	7.1	---	11.0	10.1
1	23.0	---	13.5	24.2	11.4	14.0	---	21.5	18.9
1	0.6	0.8	31.9	54.2	8.9	0.3	4.0	67.4	99.3

arranged from low to high.
 in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

128

Routt County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 1½ Years Ending

				One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					
Sales Ratio Class (%)				1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	1	0	1
14 "	"	16		0	0	0	0	0	0
16 "	"	18		0	0	1	1	0	2
18 "	"	20		1	2	0	2	0	5
20 "	"	22		0	1	0	0	3	4
22 "	"	24		0	0	2	2	0	4
24 "	"	26		0	2	0	1	2	5
26 "	"	28		1	2	0	1	1	5
28 "	"	30		1	3	2	4	4	14
30 "	"	32		0	3	0	3	1	7
32 "	"	34		0	1	2	3	1	7
34 "	"	36		0	2	1	1	0	4
36 "	"	38		0	0	0	1	1	2
38 "	"	40		0	2	0	2	1	5
40 "	"	42		0	2	1	1	2	6
42 "	"	44		0	1	0	2	0	3
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	2	1	3
46 "	"	48		0	2	0	1	0	3
48 "	"	50		0	1	2	1	0	4
50 "	"	55		0	0	1	1	1	3
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0	1	1
60 and Over				0	1	6	14	0	21
Total Cases				3	25	18	44	19	109
Average Sales Ratio (%)				---	33.0	39.3	36.9	30.6	32.3
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio				---	5.8	9.8	7.4	4.8	5.8
Above Average Ratio				---	7.8	22.6	26.1	9.5	14.5
Total				---	13.6	32.4	33.5	14.3	20.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				3.3	4.6	2.5	6.5	2.0	19.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

-	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	4
5	0	0	5	1	2	0	1	4	9
4	0	0	4	0	1	0	1	2	6
4	0	0	4	1	2	2	1	6	10
5	0	0	5	2	0	0	1	3	8
5	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	1	6
4	1	0	15	0	3	2	0	5	20
7	0	0	7	0	1	2	1	4	11
7	2	0	9	0	1	1	0	2	11
4	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
2	1	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	4
5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
5	1	0	7	1	0	1	0	2	9
3	1	0	4	3	0	0	0	3	7
3	2	0	5	3	0	0	0	3	8
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
3	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	2	0	23	0	0	1	2	3	26
9	12	0	121	12	11	10		41	162
3	40.3	---	34.6	27.9	23.9	29.5	23.4	27.7	29.4
3	6.1	---	5.9	4.1	2.5	6.0	5.4	4.2	4.6
5	8.7	---	12.8	16.1	6.8	3.5	17.7	14.7	14.2
3	14.8	---	18.7	20.2	9.3	9.5	24.1	18.9	18.8
0	9.1	0.7	28.8	59.1	5.1	4.0	2.5	70.6	99.4

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Routt County: Number of Co
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat
and Proportion of Assessed Valu
for the 3½ Years Ending D

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						All Ages	Commercial Buildings
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48			
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16 " " 18	0	0	1	2	1	4	0	0
18 " " 20	1	2	0	3	0	6	0	0
20 " " 22	0	2	0	0	3	5	2	2
22 " " 24	0	0	3	2	0	5	0	0
24 " " 26	0	2	1	6	2	11	0	0
26 " " 28	2	4	0	10	3	19	0	0
28 " " 30	1	5	3	8	5	22	1	1
30 " " 32	3	3	2	13	2	23	1	1
32 " " 34	1	6	4	6	3	20	2	2
34 " " 36	1	7	1	6	0	15	1	1
36 " " 38	3	4	0	4	2	13	1	1
38 " " 40	2	3	0	2	2	9	0	0
40 " " 42	1	2	2	5	3	13	3	3
42 " " 44	3	1	1	3	0	8	1	1
44 " " 46	0	1	3	4	2	10	2	2
46 " " 48	0	2	1	2	1	6	0	0
48 " " 50	1	3	2	3	0	9	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	1	4	2	7	2	2
55 " " 60	1	1	1	0	1	4	1	1
60 and Over	0	7	15	27	7	56	4	4
Total Cases	20	55	41	111	39	266	21	21
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.6	34.7	41.3	35.3	34.6	35.3	39.9	39.9
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio	1.9	5.2	9.2	6.4	6.3	5.6	6.7	6.7
Above Average Ratio	9.0	9.8	23.9	18.8	15.6	14.9	14.5	14.5
Total	10.9	15.0	33.1	25.2	21.9	20.5	21.2	21.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.3	4.6	2.5	6.5	2.0	19.0	9.1	9.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in that year.

of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 ending December 31, 1960

Residential Buildings	Industrial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	3	4
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	4	1	1	2	1	5	9
0	0	0	6	1	3	0	1	5	11
1	0	0	8	3	5	2	1	11	19
0	0	0	5	2	3	2	1	8	13
0	0	0	11	3	2	0	1	6	17
0	0	0	19	3	3	0	1	7	26
0	0	0	23	2	3	5	0	10	33
0	0	0	24	4	3	3	1	11	35
0	0	0	22	1	2	1	0	4	26
0	1	0	17	0	1	0	0	1	18
0	0	0	14	1	1	0	0	2	16
0	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	1	10
0	1	0	17	1	1	3	2	7	24
3	0	0	12	5	0	0	0	5	17
0	0	0	12	3	2	0	0	5	17
0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
0	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	1	10
1	0	0	10	0	2	0	0	2	12
0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
1	0	0	61	1	1	2	2	6	67
6	2	2	295	34	35	22	12	103	398
42.9	---	---	36.8	27.8	23.0	29.7	27.2	27.5	29.6
0.6	---	---	5.9	4.3	1.9	1.2	7.2	4.4	4.7
9.6	---	---	14.7	14.4	10.2	10.6	13.8	13.8	14.1
10.2	---	---	20.6	18.7	12.1	17.8	21.0	18.2	18.8
0.6	0.1	0.1	28.8	59.1	5.1	4.0	2.5	70.6	99.4

Sorted from low to high.

by county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Saguache County: Num
of Sales Ratio, Average Sa
and Proportion of Assess
for the 1½ Years E

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (year</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	0	
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	0	
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	1	
20 "	"	22	0	1	0	0	
22 "	"	24	0	0	0	0	
24 "	"	26	0	2	0	0	
26 "	"	28	0	1	0	1	
28 "	"	30	0	0	1	1	
30 "	"	32	0	1	0	1	
32 "	"	34	0	1	0	0	
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	1	
36 "	"	38	1	0	0	1	
38 "	"	40	0	1	1	0	
40 "	"	42	0	0	1	0	
42 "	"	44	0	0	1	0	
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	1	
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	1	
50 "	"	55	0	0	1	0	
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over			0	2	0	1	
Total Cases			1	9	5	9	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			---	30.9	---	33.1	---
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			---	5.7	---	4.6	---
Above Average Ratio			---	22.7	---	14.4	---
Total			---	28.4	---	19.0	---
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			1.9	2.7	2.1	4.7	2.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

Number of Conveyances by Size
 e Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 essed Value by Class of Property
 rs Ending December 31, 1960

<u>(years)</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Agric.</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Land</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
		<u>Urban</u>		<u>With</u>	<u>Rural</u>		
				<u>Impts.</u>			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	2	0	2	3	0	3	5
0	2	0	2	0	1	1	3
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	1	0	1	2	0	2	3
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
2	4	1	5	0	0	0	5
1	3	1	4	1	0	1	5
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	1	2	0	1	1	3
0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	3	0	3	1	0	1	4
3	27	4	31	9	3	12	43
---	34.0	---	33.6	34.0	---	31.1	31.6
---	3.7	---	4.5	7.2	---	6.0	5.8
---	13.3	---	13.4	8.4	---	9.0	9.7
---	17.0	---	17.9	15.6	---	15.0	15.5
2.0	13.4	6.6	20.0	69.7	9.8	79.5	99.5

fall when arranged from low to high.
 sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

131

Saguache County:
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of Ass
for t

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					A
			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	0	0	1	0	0	
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	0	
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	0	0	
16 "	"	18	0	1	0	0	0	
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	1	0	
20 "	"	22	0	2	0	1	0	
22 "	"	24	0	0	1	0	0	
24 "	"	26	0	2	1	1	0	
26 "	"	28	0	3	2	2	0	
28 "	"	30	0	0	2	2	0	
30 "	"	32	0	3	0	2	0	
32 "	"	34	1	2	0	2	1	
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	3	1	
36 "	"	38	2	0	0	3	2	
38 "	"	40	0	2	1	0	1	
40 "	"	42	0	0	1	0	0	
42 "	"	44	0	0	1	1	1	
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0	
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	2	0	
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	1	0	
50 "	"	55	0	0	1	0	0	
55 "	"	60	0	0	1	0	0	
60 and Over			0	4	0	2	2	
Total Cases			3	19	12	23	8	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			---	28.4	27.3	32.8	39.2	3
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio			---	2.7	1.5	4.0	3.4	
Above Average Ratio			---	10.8	14.7	8.9	16.0	1
Total			---	13.5	16.2	12.9	19.4	1
Prop. of Ass'd. Valued			1.9	2.7	2.1	4.7	2.0	1

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as
the Legislative Council.

y: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Range Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the 3½ Year Period

Age	Commerical Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
3	0	0	3	1	0	2	3	6
1	2	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
4	0	0	4	0	1	1	2	6
7	0	0	7	3	1	0	4	11
4	0	0	4	0	1	0	1	5
5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
6	0	0	6	3	1	0	4	10
4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
7	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	9
4	1	0	5	2	1	0	3	8
1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
1	1	0	2	1	0	1	2	4
1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	3
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8	2	0	10	3	0	0	3	13
65	8	2	75	17	10	4	31	106
32.0	39.2	---	34.1	40.0	22.2	---	36.6	36.1
3.0	16.2	---	6.8	8.8	5.2	---	8.0	7.7
10.3	31.6	---	16.3	11.9	10.8	---	11.5	12.5
13.3	47.8	---	23.1	20.7	16.0	---	19.5	20.2
13.4	6.6	0.0	20.0	69.7	7.5	2.4	79.5	99.5

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to

San Juan County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0
10 and	"	12		1	0	1
12 "	"	14		0	0	0
14 "	"	16		3	0	3
16 "	"	18		1	0	1
18 "	"	20		3	0	3
20 "	"	22		3	0	3
22 "	"	24		0	0	0
24 "	"	26		0	0	0
26 "	"	28		2	0	2
28 "	"	30		1	0	1
30 "	"	32		2	0	2
32 "	"	34		2	0	2
34 "	"	36		5	0	5
36 "	"	38		1	0	1
38 "	"	40		1	0	1
40 "	"	42		0	0	0
42 "	"	44		0	0	0
44 "	"	46		1	0	1
46 "	"	48		0	0	0
48 "	"	50		1	0	1
50 "	"	55		0	0	0
55 "	"	60		0	0	0
60 and Over				3	0	3
Total Cases				30	0	30
Average Sales Ratio (%)				28.1	----	28.1
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio				8.4	----	8.4
Above Average Ratio				7.7	----	7.7
Total				16.1	----	16.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				30.8	68.1	98.9

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Juan County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>Total</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>	<u>ales</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	
10 and	"	12		1	0	1	0 and
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	2 "
14 "	"	16		3	0	3	4 "
16 "	"	18		2	0	2	6 "
18 "	"	20		3	0	3	8 "
20 "	"	22		3	0	3	10 "
22 "	"	24		3	0	3	12 "
24 "	"	26		2	0	2	14 "
26 "	"	28		2	0	2	16 "
28 "	"	30		2	0	2	18 "
30 "	"	32		4	0	4	20 "
32 "	"	34		2	0	2	22 "
34 "	"	36		5	0	5	24 "
36 "	"	38		1	0	1	26 "
38 "	"	40		3	0	3	28 "
40 "	"	42		2	0	2	30 "
42 "	"	44		1	0	1	32 "
44 "	"	46		2	0	2	34 "
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	36 "
48 "	"	50		1	1	2	38 "
50 "	"	55		2	0	2	40 "
55 "	"	60		1	0	1	42 "
60 and Over				8	0	8	44 "
							46 "
							48 "
							50 "
							55 "
							60 "
Total Cases				53	1	54	To
Average Sales Ratio (%)				31.6	----	32.1	Av
Measure of Variation ^a							M
Below Average Ratio				8.8	----	9.3	
Above Average Ratio				13.2	----	12.7	
Total				22.0	----	22.0	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				30.8		98.9	

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Miguel County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)				One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	0	0
14 "	"	16		0	0	0	0	0
16 "	"	18		0	0	0	0	0
18 "	"	20		1	0	1	0	1
20 "	"	22		0	0	0	0	0
22 "	"	24		3	1	4	0	4
24 "	"	26		4	0	4	1	5
26 "	"	28		4	0	4	0	4
28 "	"	30		3	0	3	0	3
30 "	"	32		4	0	4	2	6
32 "	"	34		2	0	2	0	2
34 "	"	36		3	0	3	1	4
36 "	"	38		2	0	2	0	2
38 "	"	40		1	0	1	0	1
40 "	"	42		1	0	1	1	2
42 "	"	44		2	1	3	0	3
44 "	"	46		2	0	2	0	2
46 "	"	48		1	0	1	0	1
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55		1	0	1	1	2
55 "	"	60		1	0	1	0	1
60 and Over				7	3	10	0	10
Total Cases				42	5	47	6	53
Average Sales Ratio (%)				31.9	---	32.4	33.9	33.6
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				4.7	---	2.7	3.4	3.3
Above Average Ratio				13.6	---	20.0	7.1	10.0
Total				18.3	---	22.7	10.5	13.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				16.5	5.2	21.7	78.0	99.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council

San Miguel County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	" 12	0	0	0	1	1
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	0	0
14 "	" 16	0	0	0	1	1
16 "	" 18	1	0	1	2	3
18 "	" 20	3	0	3	0	3
20 "	" 22	1	0	1	1	2
22 "	" 24	3	2	5	1	6
24 "	" 26	4	0	4	2	6
26 "	" 28	7	0	7	1	8
28 "	" 30	4	0	4	0	4
30 "	" 32	4	1	5	3	8
32 "	" 34	4	0	4	0	4
34 "	" 36	4	0	4	1	5
36 "	" 38	4	0	4	0	4
38 "	" 40	2	0	2	2	4
40 "	" 42	2	0	2	2	4
42 "	" 44	3	1	4	0	4
44 "	" 46	3	0	3	1	4
46 "	" 48	1	0	1	0	1
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	" 55	4	0	4	2	6
55 "	" 60	4	1	5	0	5
60 and Over		18	5	23	4	27
Total Cases		76	10	86	24	110
Average Sales Ratio (%)		34.9	---	35.4	28.2	29.5
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio		6.9	---	6.7	4.4	4.8
Above Average Ratio		23.9	---	28.9	19.9	21.5
Total		30.8	---	35.6	24.3	26.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		16.5	5.2	21.7	78.0	99.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sedgwick County:
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of Asses
for the 1½ Year

otal
nty

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class

Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
0 Under 10	0	0	0	0
1 10 and " 12	0	0	0	0
3 12 " " 14	0	0	0	2
3 14 " " 16	0	0	0	0
2 16 " " 18	0	0	1	2
6 18 " " 20	0	0	1	4
6 20 " " 22	0	0	0	4
8 22 " " 24	1	1	0	5
4 24 " " 26	0	1	2	0
8 26 " " 28	0	5	0	4
4 28 " " 30	0	2	1	0
5 30 " " 32	1	2	0	0
4 32 " " 34	0	0	0	1
4 34 " " 36	1	1	1	1
4 36 " " 38	0	0	0	2
4 38 " " 40	0	1	0	1
4 40 " " 42	0	0	1	1
1 42 " " 44	0	0	0	0
0 44 " " 46	0	0	0	0
6 46 " " 48	0	1	0	0
5 48 " " 50	0	0	0	0
27 50 " " 55	0	0	0	0
10 55 " " 60	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	1	0	2
.5 Total Cases	3	15	7	30
.8 Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	30.5	26.1	23.7
.5 Measure of Variation ^a				
.3 Below Average Ratio	---	3.8	5.7	3.9
.7 Above Average Ratio	---	3.6	7.4	11.3
Total	---	7.4	13.1	15.2
itic Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.2	2.3	1.4	5.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total asses

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

years) over 48	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2
0	3	0	3	3	0	3	6
0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
0	4	1	5	1	1	2	7
2	9	0	9	1	0	1	10
0	3	1	4	0	0	0	4
1	10	1	11	0	1	1	12
0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
1	3	1	4	0	0	0	4
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
1	4	3	7	0	0	0	7
5	60	9	69	6	4	10	79
---	26.7	----	29.8	17.7	----	19.5	21.9
---	4.5	----	7.4	1.4	----	6.3	6.5
---	8.1	----	19.7	3.3	----	4.0	7.8
---	12.6	----	27.1	4.7	----	10.3	14.3
0.8	13.4	18.4	31.9	26.6	41.1	67.7	99.5

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sedgwick County
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
		Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10	"	"	12	0	0	0	0	0
12	"	"	14	0	0	0	3	0
14	"	"	16	0	0	0	3	0
16	"	"	18	0	0	1	3	0
18	"	"	20	0	0	2	8	2
20	"	"	22	0	0	0	5	0
22	"	"	24	1	2	0	9	3
24	"	"	26	0	3	2	6	3
26	"	"	28	1	7	1	5	2
28	"	"	30	1	2	1	3	0
30	"	"	32	3	2	0	2	0
32	"	"	34	1	1	1	3	0
34	"	"	36	3	2	2	1	0
36	"	"	38	0	0	0	2	1
38	"	"	40	1	2	0	1	0
40	"	"	42	0	0	1	1	0
42	"	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44	"	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46	"	"	48	0	1	0	0	0
48	"	"	50	0	0	0	1	0
50	"	"	55	0	0	0	1	1
55	"	"	60	0	0	0	2	0
60 and Over				0	1	1	2	2
Total Cases				11	23	12	61	14
Average Sales Ratio (%)				31.3	29.6	26.4	23.7	29.9
Measure of Variation								
Below Average Ratio				2.0	3.4	4.4	4.1	6.9
Above Average Ratio				3.5	4.5	8.6	7.1	7.1
Total				5.5	7.9	13.0	11.2	14.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				3.2	2.3	1.4	5.7	0.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio falls.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value as determined by the Legislative Council.

nty: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 1/2 Years Ending December 31, 1960

Years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
					With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	4	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	3
3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
3	1	0	0	4	2	2	0	4	8
4	0	0	0	4	3	6	0	9	13
12	0	0	0	12	1	2	0	3	15
5	1	0	0	6	2	2	0	4	10
15	0	0	0	15	1	1	0	2	17
14	0	0	1	15	1	0	0	1	16
16	0	0	1	17	2	0	0	2	19
7	0	0	0	7	1	1	0	2	9
7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
8	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
3	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
2	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	1	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	4
6	3	0	2	11	0	0	0	0	11
121	6	0	8	135	15	17	4	36	171
26.8	26.2	---	---	29.9	21.1	17.1	---	19.3	21.8
3.8	5.2	---	---	4.4	5.3	1.9	---	3.8	4.0
6.1	42.6	---	---	18.9	3.4	2.7	---	3.2	6.8
9.9	47.8	---	---	23.3	8.7	4.6	---	7.0	10.8
13.4	6.5	---	---	31.9	40.9	26.6	0.2	67.7	99.5

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 1 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

138

Summit County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variatic
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Tot Rur
Under 10	0	0	0	1	0	
10 and "	1	0	1	1	0	
12 " "	1	0	1	0	0	
14 " "	2	0	2	0	0	
16 " "	2	0	2	1	1	
18 " "	0	0	0	0	0	
20 " "	1	0	1	0	1	
22 " "	2	0	2	0	0	
24 " "	2	1	3	1	0	
26 " "	1	0	1	0	0	
28 " "	0	0	0	1	0	
30 " "	1	0	1	0	0	
32 " "	1	1	2	2	0	
34 " "	1	0	1	1	2	
36 " "	0	0	0	0	0	
38 " "	0	0	0	0	0	
40 " "	0	0	0	0	0	
42 " "	1	0	1	0	0	
44 " "	0	0	0	0	0	
46 " "	0	0	0	0	0	
48 " "	0	0	0	0	0	
50 " "	0	1	1	1	0	
55 " "	1	0	1	0	0	
60 and Over	5	0	5	0	1	
Total Cases	22	3	25	9	5	14
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.3	---	28.3	21.0	----	27.6
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio	6.3	---	5.5	5.5	----	8.9
Above Average Ratio	33.3	---	26.6	12.9	----	13.2
Total	39.6	---	32.1	18.4	----	22.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.5	7.0	15.5	15.2	68.7	83.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

iation
erty

<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
1	1
1	2
0	1
0	2
2	4
0	0
1	2
0	2
1	4
0	1
1	1
0	1
2	4
3	4
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	1
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
1	2
0	1
1	6
14	39
27.6	27.7
8.9	8.4
13.2	15.0
22.1	23.4
83.9	99.4

all when arranged from
ed value in the county

139

Summit County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	2
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	4
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	4
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	0
20 " " 22	0	1	0	0	3
22 " " 24	0	1	0	1	3
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	4
26 " " 28	0	0	0	0	2
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	0
30 " " 32	0	1	0	0	1
32 " " 34	1	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	1	1	1	0	1
36 " " 38	1	0	0	1	0
38 " " 40	1	0	1	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	2	1	0	0	0
55 " " 60	1	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	11
Total Cases	7	5	2	2	40
Average Sales Ratio (%)	40.4	---	---	----	24.6
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	4.9	---	---	---	7.1
Above Average Ratio	12.7	---	---	---	39.5
Total	17.6	---	---	---	46.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.1	0.8	0.4	0.7	4.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

Years)	All	All	Total	Misc. Rural Land	All	Total	Total	
48	Ages	Other	Urban	With	Without	Rural	Rural	County
		Urban		Impts.	Impts.			
	0	0	0	0	6	1	7	7
	2	0	2	1	2	0	3	5
	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	3
	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
	4	0	4	1	1	0	2	6
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	4	0	4	2	0	1	3	7
	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
	4	1	5	0	1	0	1	6
	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	1	1	2	1	3	0	4	6
	4	0	4	2	2	0	4	8
	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	3	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	1	4	1	1	0	2	6
	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
	11	0	11	1	1	0	2	13
	56	4	60	10	25	2	37	97
	28.7	---	29.8	29.8	30.3	----	23.7	24.5
	6.6	---	5.3	9.3	20.0	----	9.0	8.7
	28.3	---	24.3	22.7	4.5	----	15.7	16.6
	34.9	---	29.6	32.0	24.5	----	24.7	25.3
	8.5	7.0	15.5	54.6	15.2	14.1	83.9	99.4

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Teller County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 1½ Years Ending

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10		1	0	0	1	0
10 and	"	12		1	0	1	3	2
12 "	"	14		0	0	1	2	2
14 "	"	16		3	1	1	0	6
16 "	"	18		2	3	1	1	2
18 "	"	20		0	0	0	0	2
20 "	"	22		1	0	1	0	1
22 "	"	24		3	1	0	1	3
24 "	"	26		0	0	0	0	2
26 "	"	28		0	2	0	0	1
28 "	"	30		0	0	0	2	1
30 "	"	32		1	0	0	0	3
32 "	"	34		0	0	0	1	2
34 "	"	36		0	0	0	0	2
36 "	"	38		0	0	0	0	2
38 "	"	40		0	0	0	0	1
40 "	"	42		0	0	0	0	1
42 "	"	44		1	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	1	3
46 "	"	48		1	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	2
50 "	"	55		0	0	0	1	1
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0	2
60 and Over				0	0	2	2	1
Total Cases				14	7	7	15	42
Average Sales Ratio (%)				21.3	19.0	16.8	16.6	23.4
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				6.3	2.5	3.3	4.7	6.9
Above Average Ratio				2.4	6.6	50.3	25.4	15.6
Total				8.7	9.1	53.6	30.1	22.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				7.2	3.3	3.2	2.4	7.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios :
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

Years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
2	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	3
7	7	0	0	7	2	1	3	10
5	5	1	0	6	6	2	8	14
11	11	0	0	11	0	1	1	12
9	9	0	0	9	4	1	5	14
2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
3	4	1	0	4	13	1	14	18
8	8	0	0	8	1	0	1	9
2	2	0	0	2	1	1	2	4
3	3	0	0	3	2	0	2	5
3	4	1	0	4	2	0	2	6
4	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
3	3	0	0	3	2	0	2	5
2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	2
4	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
2	2	0	0	2	0	1	1	3
2	2	0	0	2	2	1	3	5
2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
5	8	3	0	8	0	0	0	8
85	92	7	0	92	36	9	45	137
20.2	28.6	---	---	22.3	19.8	----	19.4	20.4
5.2	5.6	---	---	5.3	4.8	----	4.3	4.7
17.4	109.5	---	---	40.7	4.2	----	13.8	22.8
22.6	115.1	---	---	46.0	9.0	----	18.1	27.5
23.5	11.3	0.1	0.1	34.8	9.5	50.6	60.1	94.9

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

141

Teller County:
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of Ass
for the 3½ Year

Sales Ratio Class (%)			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Age
			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	
Under 10			2	0	0	2	1	
10 and	"	12	2	0	1	5	7	1
12 "	"	14	0	0	1	4	8	1
14 "	"	16	3	2	3	2	10	2
16 "	"	18	3	7	2	1	7	2
18 "	"	20	4	0	0	0	3	
20 "	"	22	3	1	3	1	5	1
22 "	"	24	6	1	1	2	8	1
24 "	"	26	2	0	0	1	6	
26 "	"	28	1	2	0	0	6	
28 "	"	30	0	0	1	2	4	7
30 "	"	32	1	0	0	0	6	7
32 "	"	34	1	0	0	1	11	13
34 "	"	36	1	0	0	0	5	6
36 "	"	38	2	0	1	0	8	11
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	1	1
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	2	2
42 "	"	44	1	1	0	0	4	6
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	1	4	5
46 "	"	48	1	0	1	1	0	3
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	1	5	6
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	1	2	3
55 "	"	60	0	0	1	0	4	5
60 and Over			0	2	2	3	11	18
Total Cases			33	16	17	28	128	222
Average Sales Ratio (%)			21.7	19.5	19.7	17.9	25.6	21.6
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio			4.9	2.9	4.2	5.9	7.9	5.4
Above Average Ratio			4.2	7.5	19.8	21.1	14.2	11.8
Total			9.1	10.4	24.0	27.0	22.1	17.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			7.2	3.3	3.2	2.4	7.3	23.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total asses

by: Number of Conveyances by Size
Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
of Assessed Value by Class of Property
2 Years Ending December 31, 1960

Years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural
					With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.	
5		0	0	5	4	5	1	10	20
15		1	0	16	3	1	3	5	12
13		1	0	14	5	0	1	6	12
20		0	0	20	0	0	3	3	6
20		2	0	22	1	1	1	5	8
7		3	0	10	1	0	2	4	7
13		1	0	14	0	0	2	15	17
18		1	0	19	0	0	0	1	1
9		2	0	11	2	0	3	1	6
9		2	0	11	0	0	0	2	2
7		2	0	9	0	0	0	2	2
7		0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
13		0	0	13	0	0	0	2	2
6		0	0	6	0	1	0	0	1
11		2	0	13	0	0	1	0	1
1		1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
2		0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
6		2	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
5		0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
3		0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1
6		0	0	6	0	0	1	0	1
3		1	0	4	0	0	1	2	3
5		0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
18		5	0	23	0	0	0	0	0
222		26	0	248	16	9	19	58	102
21.6		24.8	---	22.5	16.4	14.5	15.9	15.0	15.9
5.4		5.1	---	5.3	----	12.3	2.6	3.2	4.5
11.8		18.7	---	13.9	----	7.0	8.9	6.4	4.3
17.2		23.8	---	19.2	----	19.3	11.5	9.6	8.8
23.5		11.3	0.1	34.8	26.1	1.3	23.2	9.5	60.1

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
1 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council

Washington County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 1½ Years Ending Dec

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					A A
		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	
	Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	" 12	0	1	0	0	1	
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	2	1	
14 "	" 16	0	0	0	1	1	
16 "	" 18	0	0	0	8	1	
18 "	" 20	1	0	0	4	1	
20 "	" 22	0	0	0	1	0	
22 "	" 24	0	0	0	2	2	
24 "	" 26	2	1	0	2	0	
26 "	" 28	1	0	0	1	0	
28 "	" 30	2	1	0	2	1	
30 "	" 32	2	0	0	0	2	
32 "	" 34	2	0	0	5	0	
34 "	" 36	1	1	1	1	0	
36 "	" 38	0	0	0	0	0	
38 "	" 40	1	1	0	0	0	
40 "	" 42	1	0	0	0	0	
42 "	" 44	0	0	0	0	0	
44 "	" 46	0	0	0	0	0	
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	0	0	
48 "	" 50	0	1	0	1	0	
50 "	" 55	0	0	0	0	0	
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over		0	0	0	0	1	
Total Cases		13	6	1	30	11	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		30.0	28.9	---	22.3	20.7	2
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio		3.5	3.9	---	5.2	5.2	
Above Average Ratio		3.9	10.1	---	7.2	9.4	
Total		7.4	14.0	---	12.4	14.6	1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		1.7	0.9	0.4	2.5	0.6	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall w
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed va

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960.

Ages)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agriculture Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	6
	2	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
	9	0	9	1	4	0	5	14
	6	0	6	1	0	0	1	7
	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
	5	0	5	1	2	0	3	8
	2	2	4	0	1	0	1	5
	6	0	6	1	1	0	2	8
	4	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
	7	0	7	1	0	0	1	8
	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	3
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	61	3	64	9	13	0	22	86
	24.9	---	27.5	20.3	17.4	---	18.5	19.2
	4.6	---	4.8	3.8	3.9	---	3.9	4.0
	7.1	---	10.5	9.2	7.4	---	8.1	8.2
	11.7	---	15.3	13.0	11.3	---	12.0	12.2
	6.2	4.5	10.7	37.1	51.7	0.0	88.8	99.5

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Washington County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R
and Proportion of Assessed V
for the 3½ Years Ending

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class(%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	1	0
10 and " 12	0	1	0	2	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	6	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	2	1
16 " " 18	0	0	0	11	1
18 " " 20	1	0	1	5	1
20 " " 22	1	0	1	4	0
22 " " 24	0	1	0	4	2
24 " " 26	3	2	0	6	1
26 " " 28	2	2	0	4	0
28 " " 30	2	1	0	5	2
30 " " 32	5	0	0	0	4
32 " " 34	3	1	0	6	0
34 " " 36	2	2	1	1	0
36 " " 38	2	0	0	2	0
38 " " 40	2	1	0	0	0
40 " " 42	3	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	2	0	1	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	1	0	0	1	1
Total Cases	27	13	3	62	15
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.0	29.4	---	21.8	22.1
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	4.2	4.2	---	5.0	4.6
Above Average Ratio	5.2	7.0	---	6.8	8.5
Total	9.4	11.2	---	11.8	13.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.7	0.9	0.4	2.5	0.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

ber of Conveyances by Size
 es Ratio, Measure of Variation
 ed Value by Class of Property
 ding December 31, 1960.

s) 3)	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agriculture Land</u>		<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
				<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>			
	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	3
	4	0	4	2	2	0	4	8
	7	0	7	0	5	0	5	12
	3	0	3	4	8	0	12	15
	12	0	12	1	12	0	13	25
	8	0	8	6	8	0	14	22
	6	0	6	4	5	0	9	15
	7	0	7	3	11	0	14	21
	12	0	12	3	3	0	6	18
	8	2	10	4	5	0	9	19
	10	0	10	3	1	0	4	14
	9	0	9	4	2	0	6	15
	10	0	10	1	0	0	1	11
	6	0	6	2	0	0	2	8
	4	0	4	1	1	0	2	6
	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	1	4	0	1	0	1	5
	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	2	5	0	0	0	0	5
	120	6	126	42	66	0	108	234
	24.8	---	28.1	22.0	19.6	---	20.5	21.1
	4.2	---	2.9	3.2	3.5	---	3.3	3.3
	7.1	---	13.0	8.2	3.9	---	5.6	6.2
	11.3	---	15.9	11.4	7.4	---	8.9	9.5
	6.2	4.5	10.7	37.1	51.7	0.0	88.8	99.5

when arranged from low to high.

value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Weld County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R
and Proportion of Assessed Va
for the 1½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Famil Dwellings
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	2	4	6	0
10 and " 12	1	0	0	3	4	8	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	12	12	24	0
14 " " 16	0	2	1	10	20	33	0
16 " " 18	1	1	0	14	20	36	0
18 " " 20	1	3	6	20	22	52	0
20 " " 22	5	4	3	18	24	54	0
22 " " 24	22	12	5	28	20	87	1
24 " " 26	54	7	10	16	23	110	0
26 " " 28	100	33	7	14	24	178	0
28 " " 30	115	25	8	13	14	175	0
30 " " 32	141	22	6	9	10	188	1
32 " " 34	126	23	8	9	13	179	1
34 " " 36	44	11	1	5	5	66	1
36 " " 38	18	8	3	1	8	38	0
38 " " 40	12	4	1	1	2	20	1
40 " " 42	5	5	0	0	3	13	1
42 " " 44	2	4	1	1	3	11	0
44 " " 46	1	2	0	1	2	6	0
46 " " 48	0	0	1	1	0	2	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
50 " " 55	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
60 and Over	2	1	0	2	3	8	0
Total Cases	650	168	61	181	239	1,299	6
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.3	30.0	27.4	22.8	23.2	26.7	34.4
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	2.7	3.2	3.4	4.4	5.2	3.8	3.4
Above Average Ratio	2.5	3.5	4.5	5.0	5.7	4.1	4.6
Total	5.2	6.7	7.9	9.4	10.9	7.9	8.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	9.8	4.5	2.2	5.8	4.9	27.2	0.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

er of Conveyances by Size
 ales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 sed Value by Class of Property
 inding December 31, 1960

Family lings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	6	4	1	0	3	8	14
0	1	0	9	2	0	5	1	8	17
0	0	0	24	6	2	6	0	14	38
0	2	0	35	5	2	2	3	12	47
0	2	0	38	10	3	8	4	25	63
0	2	0	54	7	3	2	4	16	70
0	0	1	55	7	1	0	3	11	66
1	4	1	93	8	5	6	13	32	125
0	1	0	111	5	1	5	1	12	123
0	5	0	183	5	1	5	0	11	194
0	2	1	178	5	0	5	1	11	189
1	2	0	191	9	0	25	3	37	228
1	3	0	183	1	0	11	1	13	196
1	3	0	70	4	0	5	0	9	79
0	3	0	41	3	0	0	0	3	44
1	2	0	23	2	0	1	0	3	26
1	1	0	15	1	1	0	0	2	17
0	1	0	12	1	0	0	0	1	13
0	2	1	9	1	0	0	0	1	10
0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	3
0	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	4
0	1	2	5	2	0	1	0	3	8
0	3	2	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
0	13	2	23	2	0	2	1	5	28
5	54	10	1,369	92	20	90	38	240	1,609
4	34.5	41.5	28.5	24.6	18.2	28.1	18.7	23.8	25.4
4	7.9	12.5	4.7	7.4	2.3	8.6	1.5	6.6	6.0
5	24.7	17.3	8.2	6.5	5.0	3.8	4.9	6.0	6.7
0	32.6	29.8	12.9	13.9	7.3	12.4	6.4	12.6	12.7
3	8.4	1.1	37.0	46.0	8.4	7.8	0.2	62.4	99.4

en arranged from low to high.
 e in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

145

Weld County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the 3½ Years Ending

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	1	5	5	11	0
10 and " 12	2	0	1	8	8	19	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	22	18	40	0
14 " " 16	1	4	3	26	34	68	0
16 " " 18	3	3	0	31	40	77	0
18 " " 20	4	8	11	43	46	112	0
20 " " 22	15	11	6	45	38	115	0
22 " " 24	35	21	13	55	47	171	1
24 " " 26	88	16	17	44	48	213	0
26 " " 28	167	49	11	36	42	305	0
28 " " 30	236	52	13	37	28	366	0
30 " " 32	259	55	11	22	21	368	1
32 " " 34	216	41	15	16	30	318	1
34 " " 36	116	35	1	14	18	184	1
36 " " 38	49	22	4	3	13	91	0
38 " " 40	32	14	1	2	7	56	1
40 " " 42	15	10	1	2	7	35	1
42 " " 44	7	8	4	3	5	27	0
44 " " 46	4	5	0	3	6	18	0
46 " " 48	5	2	2	2	1	12	0
48 " " 50	0	3	1	0	2	6	0
50 " " 55	1	2	0	1	2	6	0
55 " " 60	1	1	0	1	1	4	0
60 and Over	3	2	0	6	5	16	0
Total Cases	1,259	364	116	427	472	2,638	6
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.6	30.8	27.3	23.2	23.7	27.1	34.4
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	2.6	3.7	4.2	4.5	5.1	3.9	3.4
Above Average Ratio	2.6	3.9	4.7	5.1	6.3	4.3	4.6
Total	5.2	7.6	8.9	9.6	11.4	8.2	8.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	9.8	4.5	2.2	5.8	4.9	27.2	0.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in 1957.

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 as of December 31, 1960

No. of Commercial Buildings	No. of Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
2	0	13	6	6	2	5	19	32
1	1	21	9	5	5	1	20	41
0	0	40	16	10	8	1	35	75
3	1	72	14	8	7	3	32	104
4	1	82	24	10	11	7	52	134
6	0	118	14	9	4	4	31	149
2	2	119	27	6	2	10	45	164
4	3	179	27	6	9	15	57	236
6	0	219	29	2	6	4	41	260
8	0	313	12	5	7	2	26	339
2	1	369	24	1	8	2	35	404
5	0	374	27	1	27	6	61	435
8	3	330	11	1	12	1	25	355
7	3	195	15	2	8	0	25	220
4	0	95	9	0	3	0	12	107
5	0	62	6	1	3	0	10	72
7	0	43	8	3	1	0	12	55
3	1	31	4	1	0	0	5	36
2	2	22	3	2	1	0	6	28
3	1	16	2	0	1	0	3	19
2	0	8	3	2	0	0	5	13
1	2	9	4	2	2	1	9	18
4	4	12	1	0	0	0	1	13
25	3	44	2	1	3	1	7	51
114	28	2,786	297	84	130	63	574	3,360
33.6	37.8	28.7	25.2	19.5	27.0	19.2	24.4	25.8
7.5	14.5	4.8	6.4	5.5	9.1	1.6	6.5	5.9
22.0	16.9	8.0	6.3	6.9	5.2	5.4	6.3	6.9
29.5	31.4	12.8	12.7	12.4	14.3	7.0	12.8	12.8
8.4	1.1	37.0	46.0	8.4	7.8	0.2	62.4	99.4

Sorted from low to high.
 The county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

146

Yuma County: Number of C
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ra
and Proportion of Assessed Val
for the 1½ Years Ending D

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	Comme Build
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	1	2	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	1	2	
14 " " 16	0	0	0	3	5	8	
16 " " 18	1	0	0	2	2	5	
18 " " 20	0	0	1	6	2	9	
20 " " 22	0	0	1	6	0	7	
22 " " 24	1	1	0	4	4	10	
24 " " 26	0	2	0	3	0	5	
26 " " 28	3	0	0	5	3	11	
28 " " 30	0	2	0	0	0	2	
30 " " 32	0	3	0	0	0	3	
32 " " 34	1	2	0	2	1	6	
34 " " 36	2	1	0	3	1	7	
36 " " 38	1	0	0	1	0	2	
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0	0	
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1	1	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	0	1	
50 " " 55	0	1	0	0	1	2	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over	0	0	0	2	0	2	
Total Cases	9	13	2	40	22	86	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.5	29.9	---	22.4	20.3	23.6	47.
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	3.0	2.1	---	3.4	4.9	3.3	6.
Above Average Ratio	6.3	4.0	---	5.2	6.7	5.4	1.
Total	9.3	6.1	---	8.6	11.6	8.7	8.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.4	1.7	0.8	6.0	2.8	14.7	8.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Ending December 31, 1960

	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.				
	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	0	0	2	0	4	1	0	5	7
	0	0	8	1	1	1	0	3	11
	0	0	5	4	2	0	1	7	12
	0	0	9	1	1	0	0	2	11
	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	1	8
	1	0	11	1	1	0	0	2	13
	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
	0	0	11	0	0	3	0	3	14
	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	3
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
	2	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	6	0	92	8	11	6	2	27	119
	47.9	---	28.7	18.4	13.3	23.8	---	16.7	18.4
	6.9	---	4.0	1.9	----	8.8	---	1.3	1.6
	1.6	---	4.6	2.6	----	3.9	---	4.2	4.3
	8.5	---	8.6	4.5	----	12.7	---	5.5	5.9
	8.0	0.3	23.0	54.5	21.5	0.8	0.0	76.9	99.8

All when arranged from low to high.
 Assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Yuma County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sale
and Proportion of Assessed
for the 3½ Years Endi

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					All Ages	C B
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48		
Under 10	0	0	0	1	0	1	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	3	4	
12 " " 14	0	1	0	5	3	9	
14 " " 16	0	0	1	10	11	22	
16 " " 18	1	0	0	6	4	11	
18 " " 20	1	0	2	9	4	16	
20 " " 22	1	1	2	11	5	20	
22 " " 24	2	1	0	7	5	15	
24 " " 26	0	2	0	12	3	17	
26 " " 28	3	1	0	6	3	13	
28 " " 30	3	4	0	3	1	11	
30 " " 32	0	4	0	5	2	11	
32 " " 34	3	2	0	3	2	10	
34 " " 36	2	3	0	5	2	12	
36 " " 38	1	0	0	2	3	6	
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0	0	1	
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	0	1	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	2	3	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	1	1	
48 " " 50	0	1	0	2	0	3	
50 " " 55	0	1	0	0	1	2	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	0	1	
60 and Over	0	0	0	4	0	4	
Total Cases	17	23	5	94	55	194	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.1	31.1	---	22.1	20.2	23.3	
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio	4.9	3.8	---	4.0	4.8	4.0	
Above Average Ratio	5.1	3.7	---	7.6	8.5	6.6	
Total	10.0	7.5	---	11.6	13.3	10.6	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.4	1.7	0.8	6.0	2.8	14.7	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

r of Conveyances by Size
 les Ratio, Measure of Variation
 ed Value by Class of Property
 ding December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.				
0	0	1	0	3	0	2	5	6
0	0	4	3	2	0	3	8	12
0	0	9	6	12	2	1	21	30
0	0	22	5	8	1	1	15	37
1	0	12	12	3	0	2	17	29
0	0	16	4	3	1	0	8	24
0	0	20	3	3	1	0	7	27
2	0	17	3	3	1	0	7	24
0	0	17	3	4	1	0	8	25
1	0	14	3	1	5	0	9	23
0	0	11	1	2	0	0	3	14
0	0	11	1	0	0	0	1	12
0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	10
0	0	12	0	1	0	0	1	13
2	0	8	0	1	0	0	1	9
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
1	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	4
2	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	6
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
13	0	207	45	47	14	9	115	322
36.4	---	26.7	18.1	14.8	23.0	---	17.1	18.6
10.3	---	5.7	3.2	1.7	4.0	---	2.7	3.2
19.8	---	10.1	4.4	8.0	4.4	---	5.5	6.3
30.1	---	15.8	7.6	9.7	8.4	---	8.2	9.5
8.0	0.3	23.0	54.5	21.5	0.8	0.0	76.9	99.8

when arranged from low to high.
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

148