SECTION X. MONITORING CHECKLIST

START-UP CHECKLIST

Grantee/Project Name: _		
Project #:	_	
Date:	_	
Completed by:		

Circle yes or no to the following questions	Is this project "multi-jurisdictional"? YES / NO		
queenesse	If yes, each participating jurisdiction must have a citizen participation plan, public		
	hearing, and statement of assurances.		
	ASSURANCES AND CERTIFICATIONS		
	Is there a signed copy of the Statement of Assurances and Certifications?		
	VEC / NO		
	YES / NO		
The format provided in the	CITIZEN PARTICIPATION		
application contains all the			
required elements: how public	3. Does CDBG file contain a Citizen Participation Plan?		
hearings will be held, how to	YES / NO		
access information and records			
pertaining to the project, technical assistance in	Does it include the required elements?		
developing CDBG proposals and	YES / NO		
how written comments and			
responses will be answered.			
File should contain proof of public hearing.	4. Is there evidence that a public hearing was held?		
pasio ricaring.	YES / NO Date:		
This is required of all projects	RARA		
regardless of the activity being	5. Is there a Residential Anti-displacement and Relocation Assistance plan on		
funded	file?		
	YES / NO		
	GENERAL		
	6. Is grantee planning to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG by assessing low and moderate income persons?		
	YES / NO		
The Armstrong/Walker	EXCESSIVE FORCE		
"Excessive Force" Amendment	7. Has an excessive force policy been adopted?		
prohibits the use of excessive	V/50 / NO		
force in non-violent civil rights	YES / NO		
demonstrations. Grantee certifies that they will have an	If No, a sample Excessive Force Policy is in Start-up chapter Exhibit B.		
excessive force policy at the	in two, a sample excessive roller folloy is in start-up chapter exhibit b.		
time they make application.			
Acquisition includes permanent	ACQUISITION		
easements	8. Does application indicate that property was acquired for this project?		
	YES / NO		
	If yes, project monitor must complete Acquisition Checklist.		
	1 yee, p. ejest memer maet eemplete / tequiotion emodiale.		

Monitoring - 1 Revised 4/07

	RELOCATION 9. Were there any tenants or businesses occupying the property at the time of rehab or acquisition?
	YES / NO
	If yes, project monitor must complete Relocation Checklist.
e.g., home converted to shelter	1-1 REPLACEMENT
for abused women, homeless shelter or day care center	10. Does application indicate that any housing units were being demolished or converted to another use as a result of this project? YES / NO
	If yes, project monitor must complete Acquisition, One for One Checklist
Area wide e.g., whole county, town, census tract, block group	NATIONAL OBJECTIVE - Indicate which national objective applies to this project. 11. Benefit persons of Low/Mod Income
	a. area wide benefit % low/mod # total #
	census tract (if applicable)
Income survey - using HUD methodology, computed by Demography	b. income survey % low/mod # total #
Presumed benefit e.g., senior	
citizens, abused children, battered spouses, homeless, migrant workers	c. presumed benefit Type of clientele
Requires information on family size and income so that it is evident that at least 51% are	d. limited clientele % proposed low/moderate income benefit
low/mod, can also qualify if nature of service is restricted to low-income (social service buildings, etc. If limited clientele, this must be monitored at time of project close-out in order to verify a minimum of 51% low/moderate income benefit.	
Households served - eligibility requirements which limit the activity exclusively to low/mod households based on HUD income limits (Exhibit B in Contract) - water tap fees, etc. If this national objective is used, it must be monitored at time of project close-out.	e. households served # of households
Spot Basis - rehab restricted to eliminating public health and	12. SLUM/BLIGHT
safety issues only	a. Spot Basis List activity

Monitoring - 2 Revised 4/07

Slum/Blight Area - throughout the area there is a substantial	b. In Slum/Blighted area List activity
number of deteriorated or deteriorating buildings or public improvements and grantee has	- does the area meet the definition of slum blighted area? YES / NO
declared the area a slum/blight area (substantial is not defined,	are boundaries of area documented? YES / NO
however, is generally considered to be 25%)	is there a description of conditions which qualified the area? YES / NO
	does the activity address one or more of the conditions? YES / NO
Grantee must certify that all of the points mentioned existed in order for urgent need to apply.	13. URGENT NEED List activity Does condition pose a serious and immediate threat to the community? YES / NO
	Does the activity address the urgent need? YES / NO
	Did condition develop or become critical within 18 months preceding the CDBG application? YES / NO
	Is there evidence that other resources are not available? YES / NO

LIMITED REVIEW -- FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Should include CDBG, grantee	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT
and any other grants or fund sources as applicable.	Do records include all fund sources identified in the contract?
	YES / NO
Purpose is to document appropriate segregation of duties.	2. Describe grantee's system for processing invoices for approval and payment:
	BUDGET CONTROL
See Contract Scope of Services for breakdown of each activity	Does Grantee reflect a budget for each activity undertaken?
funded	YES / NO
	4. Do financial records reflect expended, unexpended and unobligated balances for each activity undertaken?
Constally this secret is an a	YES / NO
Generally, this grant is on a reimbursement basis. Cash on hand should be less than	CASH MANAGEMENT5. Has cash on hand been used as requested and kept at a minimum?
\$5,000 or returned if not needed within a reasonable amount of time. Reasonable is considered to be 3 - 5 days.	YES / NO If no, please explain the circumstances.
Interest amounts in excess of \$100 per year must be returned to the state.	6. Has interest been earned on funds received from this grant prior to disbursement by the Grantee?
	YES / NO

Monitoring - 3 Revised 4/07

Fidelity bonds are not required by statute. Failure to have a fidelity bond is not considered a finding, however, it may be a concern of management capability.	7. Does the Grantee have a Fidelity Bond or its equivalent? YES / NO
1/10 to 1/12 of the total grant is considered sufficient.	Is the bond sufficient to cover the federal grant for people handling cash? YES / NO
Public facilities projects do NOT have program income - Some Housing and Economic Development projects do.	FOR HOUSING OR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS ONLY 9. Is program income being earned? YES / NO
	How is it being used?
	On what activity?
	SOURCE DOCUMENTATION
e.g., invoices, statements	10. Are revenues and expenditures supported by documentation?
	YES / NO
If administration was funded with CDBG, timesheets showing time charged to CDBG are required	11. Are direct salaries and wages of employees which are chargeable to the grant supported by time records? YES / NO
Track invoices to requests for reimbursements and to	12. Did a review sample of the supporting documents show any problems?
payments to contractor.	YES / NO
	Explain deficiency:

13. Please give a sample of expenditures monitored for this grant:

Payee	Date of Invoice	Am	ount	Invoice #	Reimbursement Check #	Amount and Date of Check
CDBG Handbook, Section Exhibit II-C-7 describes unallowable costs			e there any ES / NO	unallowable o	osts?	
Example: A CDBG grant is awarded to a county a passed through the court	ınd		s the Gran	IONITORING tee performed	monitoring on thei	r sub-grantees in the past 12
non-profit agency, the nois considered to be the signantee.		YI	ES / NO			
		16. If Yes, what areas were monitored:				
		Cost A	ial Manage llowability	YES	/ NO S / NO	
		Project Audit re	-	YE: YE:	S / NO S / NO S / NO	
1		Other (Specify)	YES	3 / NO	

Monitoring - 4 Revised 4/07

17. If sub-grantee monitoring was performed, were any areas deficient? YES / NO
Explain deficiency:
18. Does the Grantee have a signed agreement or contract with its sub-grantee? YES / NO
Are there any comments or findings as a result of this monitoring? YES / NO If yes, please explain:

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

STATEMENT OF	Was a "Statement of Continued Relevancy" used for this project?
CONTINUED RELEVANCY	YES / NO
	Is there a copy of the Release of Funds from the state? YES / NO
EXEMPT ACTIVITIES	Did the project involve exempt activities? YES / NO Has a Finding of Exemption been completed? YES / NO
See Manual Narrative, page IV-2, for examples of exempt activities.	4. Is there a Release of Funds from the state for the Exempt Activities listed in the contract? YES / NO (Except for OED projects)
CATEGORICALLY EXCLUDED ACTIVITIES	5. Was the Statutory Checklist Used? YES / NO
See Manual Narrative, page IV-3, for examples of categorically excluded activities.	6. Is it signed and dated? YES / NO
	7. Are there copies of letters from SHPO, Floodplain management, and others agencies as applicable? YES / NO
	What was the determination made on the Statutory Checklist?
	Exempt (No publication required)
IF Publication Required, answer 9 & 10.	Categorically Excluded (Publication required ONLY if there is mitigation to be undertaken)
	9. Is there a copy of the Notice of Intent to Request for Release of Funds and evidence of its publication on file? YES / NO
	Were comments received during the public review and comment period? YES / NO Is there evidence of consideration and resolution of comments received as a
	result of the publication? YES / NO
Agencies listed in Exhibit IV-C	11. Were comments received from the stated regulatory agencies? YES / NO
	If yes, is there evidence of consideration and resolution of comments received? YES / NO

Monitoring - 5 Revised 4/07

	12. Is there a copy of the Release of Funds?
	YES / NO
	13. Is there evidence that any funds were obligated prior to the Release of
	Funds date for any of the activities contained in the Release? YES / NO
ENVIRONMENTAL	14. Was the Environmental Assessment checklist completed?
ASSESSMENT	YES / NO
See Manual Narrative for a discussion of activities that fall	15. Is there documentation in the file to substantiate the statements made in the Environmental Assessment Form? YES / NO
in this category.	Environmental Assessment Form? TES / NO
in the edicacity.	16. Is there a copy of the Combined Notice and evidence of its publication in the
	file?
	YES / NO
	17. Were comments received during the public review and comment period? YES / NO
	If yes, Is there evidence of consideration and resolution of comments received as a result of the publication? YES / NO
	18. Were comments received during the public review and comment period from the stated agencies? YES / NO
	If yes, is there evidence of consideration and resolution of comments received as a result of the comments? YES / NO
	19. Is there evidence of notification to the appropriate agencies of the Combined Notice? YES / NO
	20. Is there a copy of the Release of Funds from the state? YES / NO
	21. Is there evidence that any funds were obligated prior to the Release of Funds? YES / NO
Changes in Projects and Environmental Reviews	22. Were there substantial changes in the project after a Release of Funds was issued by the state? YES / NO
	23. Is there evidence that any funds were obligated prior to the Release of Funds? YES / NO
	,
	If yes, were the changes compared to the original Environmental Review and was the environmental review revised accordingly?
	YES / NO
FOR HOUSING REHAB &	24. Is there an individual environmental review record for each home/residence
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	or business assisted with CDBG funds?
PROJECTS ONLY	YES / NO 25. If yes, is there a floodplain determination, noise determination, and letter
	from SHPO? YES / NO
	26. Is there evidence of any changes in the current project as compared to the original environmental review? YES / NO
	If yes, please identify:

Summary of Environmental Review:

Was there any mitigation required? YES / NO

If yes, how did you verify that it was completed?

Were there any environmental findings or concerns? YES / NO

If yes, please itemize and identify corrective action to be taken by grantee.

Monitoring - 6 Revised 4/07

LABOR & CONSTRUCTION

There are two objectives in monitoring Public Facilities/Construction Projects:

Debarment

- 1. Assure that grantees have followed a process that provides for fair and competitive awards of all contracts and subcontracts for construction of their project.
- 2. Assure that grantees, contractors, and subcontractors comply with applicable Federal and State requirements (e.g. labor standards, civil rights, and procurement).

1. Is there documentation that the grantee inquired and was informed that the successful

bidder and all subcontractors were not on the list of debarred contractors? YES / NO Was this done prior to signing the construction contract? Davis-Bacon 2. Do Davis-Bacon prevailing wage requirements apply to the project? **Prevailing Wages** (Exhibit VIII-C) If D-B wages do not apply, is the "Davis-Bacon Exemption Checklist" (Exhibit VIII-C in the Grantee Handbook) completed and on file? Yes No If Davis-Bacon Applies, and project was competitively bid, answer a - e below. If a negotiated contract (sole source), answer f only) a. Is a wage determination on file? YES / NO Be sure to include the b. What is the wage decision number and its date? wage decision AND Decision number: Mod # Date: mod #. c. Is there evidence the contractor "locked in" the wage determination? Lock-in occurs on the date of bid opening. YES / NO Grantee must check to see if a modification When and how was this done? (If there has been a modification, grantee must make was issued 10 days every effort to provide the modified wage decision to all bidders. If they are unable to notify all bidders of the modified decision, they must certify they were unable to notify. prior to bid opening. Only then can they use the rates specified in the bid package.) d. Are the proper wage rates incorporated in the contract documents, including the Re-check wage solicitation and award documents? decision in contract documents. YES / NO For negotiated e. For negotiated contracts, if Davis-Bacon applies, give date construction contract was awarded or date construction began, whichever occurred first. Date: contracts, the "lock-in" date is the date Wage decision: Mod # Date: provided here. Engineering and/or 3. Is there a copy of a contract with the engineer/architect which specifies those products Architectural Design to be received? and Specifications YES / NO a. State law requires that the documents be reviewed and approved by an engineer/architect registered in the State of Colorado. Has this been done? YES / NO b. Is the Architect's Certification (Architectural Barrier's Act) on file? YES / NO

If not, are the appropriate HUD waivers on file?

YES / NO

Monitoring - 7 Revised 4/0

(Water/Sewer projects only!)	c. In accordance with state law, is there evidence of approval of the plans/specifications from the Colorado Department of Health? YES / NO
	d. Are there copies of the design including cost estimates? YES / NO
Bid Package	Is there a copy of the Bid Package sent to all bidders? YES / NO
(Labor Standards Provisions) HUD-4010 should be incorporated into contract documents. If a Public Housing Authority, HUD-5370, 5370 EZ and 5370 C as appropriate are required if Davis- Bacon applies.	Does it include the following: a. Federal Labor Standards Provisions included verbatim in all project contracts and subcontracts? YES / NO
	b. Advertisement for bids? YES / NO
	c. Instructions for bidders on method of bidding, process for evaluation and method of contract award? YES / NO
	d. General conditions for the construction contract? YES / NO
	e. Supplemental General Conditions for the contract? YES / NO
	f. Bid proposal forms? YES / NO
	g. Evidence of review by grantee's attorney? YES / NO
	h. Evidence that amendments were sent to each bidder? YES / NO
	i. Section 3 information? YES / NO
Bid Advertisement	5. Is there a copy of the Bid Advertisement? YES / NO
	a. Evidence of publication including dates? YES / NO
	b. Evidence that bidders were allowed a minimum of 15 days to respond?
	c. A log of bids received by time, date of receipt, and offerer? YES / NO
Bid Opening This date should correspond with the information given in 2d. above.	6. Date of Bid Opening: Are there minutes of the Bid Opening including a tabulation of the bids? YES / NO
	a. Is there evidence of Bid Bond? YES / NO
	b. Is the chosen contractor the low bidder? YES / NO
	If not, is there a copy of a statement of justification sent to the low bidder? YES / NO

Monitoring - 8 Revised 4/07

Grantee is required to	c. Is there a copy of the Contract Award in the file? YES / NO
update the wage decision if the contract	Date of Contract Award:
is awarded more than 90 days after bid opening and	Is the Date of Contract Award within 90 days of the Bid Opening Date? YES / NO
incorporate changes in the contract document. Proof of lock-in is required at the time of project monitoring.	If "NO" did grantee re "lock-in" to new wage decision prior to contract award. (Contact Denver staff for information on applicable wage rates!)
Executed Contract	7. Is there a copy of the executed construction contract for the project? YES / NO
	Date of Contract: Does contract include the following: All items in the bid package? YES / NO
	Contractor's Bid Proposal? YES / NO
	Contractor's certifications? YES / NO
	Bond and insurance forms? YES / NO
	Section 3 clause? YES / NO
Pre-Construction Conference Notice to Proceed	8. Copy of the minutes of the pre-construction conference? YES / NO Were the following items discussed in the pre-construction conference: Federal Labor Standards Provisions (Exhibit VIII-J, pgs 26-30)? YES / NO Process of Obtaining Additional Classifications (If needed)? YES / NO Weekly payrolls and statements of compliance? YES / NO Conforming to and posting of wage rate decision? YES / NO Apprentices? YES / NO Overtime pay provisions? YES / NO Payroll deductions? YES / NO Employee interviews? YES / NO Section 3 Plans? (Local hiring potential or commitment to hire locally for the project whenever possible.) YES / NO 9. Is there a copy of the Notice to Proceed? YES / NO Was a copy sent to the DOLA Project Monitor? YES / NO
	What date did construction actually began?
Monitoring & Inspection	10. Are there copies of monitoring and inspection reports? YES / NO
	11. Evidence of general supervision of construction activities through monitoring the schedule and approval of contract amendments? YES / NO
	12. Evidence of supervision to assure compliance with technical specifications and conformance with codes and standards? YES / NO
	13. Were any additional classifications needed? YES / NO
	Was the appropriate form sent to the state and response from Dept. Of Labor received and on file?

Monitoring - 9 Revised 4/07

Monitor must review sample of payrolls to ensure appropriate	14. Were payrolls submitted and kept on file? (Payrolls should begin one week after start of construction – compare to date construction began in #9 above)
wages were paid and all payrolls are on file.	YES / NO
an payrone are on me.	Did grantee obtain all payrolls (first to current/last) for each contractor on the job? YES /
	NO If no, state reason:
	Were payrolls signed by a principal of the firm?
	If an authorized agent signed the payrolls, was there a copy of the authorization on file? If not, one should be obtained.
If inadequate payroll information is available, grantee must ask	15. Were payrolls complete, accurately submitted, and sufficient to represent all work performed?
contractor for additional information. All payrolls should include a signed Statement of	If there were errors, what steps were taken to correct these errors?
Compliance	Are corrected payrolls on file?
	16. Is payroll data consistent with inspection and on-site reports?
	17. Were payrolls reviewed in a timely manner?
Employee interviews	YES / NO 18. Were worker interviews conducted, documented and compared to appropriate payroll
are used to validate and test submitted certified	data? YES / NO
payroll data and are of	
extreme importance to the overall enforcement activities of state	How many trades were selected for employee interviews?
grantees.	Is the ratio of trade skill workers to laborers acceptable?
	19. Review payrolls to ensure that correct wages were paid in accordance with the wage decision:
	# of payrolls reviewed:
	Discrepancies requiring restitution:
	20. If the proper Davis-Bacon wages were not paid and required restitution of \$1,000.00 or more, was an enforcement report submitted to the state and is this documented?
	YES / NO
Applies to Water Treatment, Supply and	21. Engineer's certification to Department of Health that project was completed as stated in as-built plans? YES / NO
Sewer projects only	22. Copy of Notice of Acceptance of Work? YES / NO

Monitoring - 10 Revised 4/07

23. Evidence of Lien Waiver Certificate? YE	S / NO
If no, what has the grantee done to protect itself against	any outstanding claims?
24. Evidence of disposition of an outstanding claims ag YES / NO	painst the contract?

General Comments:

Deficiencies Noticed and Suggested Corrective Actions:

LIMITED REVIEW -- CIVIL RIGHTS

DIRECT BENEFIT projects - answer questions 1-2. Minorities and heads of households should benefit from the project activities approximately to the same extent as they are represented in the group of beneficiaries. Everyone in a project area should have access to information concerning opportunities available as a result of this project. (i.e. employment, training, business, contracting and housing opportunities.) For example, if the project takes place in a predominantly Spanish speaking area, is information made available to them in Spanish?	1. Is data kept on beneficiaries by race, ethnicity, handicapped status and gender of head of household? YES / NO 2. Do all persons have equal access to information related to this project? YES / NO How was this done?
ANSWER IF GRANTEE HAS 15 OR MORE EMPLOYEES This question also applies to subgrantees with 15 or more employees.	Does grantee keep data on persons employed in departments carrying out CDBG funded activities by professional category, race, ethnicity, handicap status and head of household? YES / NO
The finding would be as a result of a compliance review conducted by the HUD Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity.	4. Has there ever been a formal finding of discrimination against the agency? YES / NO If yes, what was the nature of the finding? If yes, is there now an affirmative action plan in place? YES / NO

Monitoring - 11 Revised 4/0

FOR CONSTRUCTION	5. Is the Equal Employment Opportunity Clause included in the construction
PROJECTS ONLY	contract as required?
This applies for any construction	
contract the grantee makes in	YES / NO
excess of \$2,000	
APPLICABLE FOR ALL	6. What actions to affirmatively further fair housing have been taken?
PROJECTS. In most cases,	·
merely posting Fair Housing	
posters is not considered to be	
sufficient, particularly if grantee	
has received CDBG funds in the	
past. See Civil Rights Section	
for list of suggested actions to	
be taken. Actions taken are	
also to be included in Project	
Completion Report.	
·	SECTION 3
Regardless of whether this	
project is considered a "Section	7. Have any project area businesses been utilized?
3" project, the grantee has	
certified at time of application	YES / NO If yes, how many?
that to the greatest extent	
feasible, opportunities for	
training and employment will be	
given to lower-income persons	
in the project area. These	
questions are merely asking how	
they attempted to comply with	
this certification. The Section 3	
report form is not required to	
be filled out if total project	
costs are less than \$200,000.	
,,	8. What has grantee done to provide lower-income residents opportunities for
	training or employment in relation to this project?
	3 , ,
	9. What has grantee done to provide area businesses opportunities to be
	employed by grantee or its contractors working on this project?

In most cases, grantee has had to complete a self evaluation for ADA, from the standpoint of accessibility to buildings, if they are in compliance with ADA they have complied with Section 504 as far as buildings are concerned. Section 504, however, includes nonphysical changes - programs, policies.

SECTION 504

10. Has the grantee completed a self evaluation plan?

YES / NO

Were physical changes needed to achieve accessibility?

YES / NO

If yes, then has a transition plan for physical changes been completed?

YES / NO

Monitoring - 12 (Revised 4/03)

This question may apply to any non-physical changes - policies, etc.	Have steps been taken to remedy any discrimination that was found in programs or policies during the self-evaluation? YES / NO
Public Notices should include language about special accommodations on request.	12. How does grantee notify public that it does not discriminate on the basis of handicap in any of its federally funded programs?
	13. Is there a written plan for communicating with beneficiaries who have a hearing, speech or vision impairments?
	YES / NO
If grantee does not have a TDD or other system available to communicate with hearing	14. Is there a Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) available for communicating with the hearing impaired?
impaired, most telephone service now offers a relay	YES / NO
system. Often libraries or sheriff's offices also have TDD's available.	If no, what system is available to facilitate telephone conversations with the hearing impaired?
	Is it advertised?
	YES / NO
FOR GRANTEES WITH 15 OR MORE EMPLOYEES ANSWER QUESTONS #15-17.	15. Does grantee keep information on the persons consulted, problems identified and modifications made as a result of the completion of the Self-Evaluation Plan?
	YES / NO
	16. Has a person been designated to act as the coordinator for Section 504 responsibilities?
	YES / NO
	17. Does grantee have formal grievance procedures in place to ensure timely resolution of complaints?
	YES / NO

FOR HOUSING PROJECTS ONLY	18. If project includes new construction or rehabilitation of multi-family housing units:
The 2% for hearing impaired can be part of the 5% handicap accessible, in any case, no less than 1 unit should be adaptable.	Were a minimum of 5% of these units made handicap accessible or adaptable? YES / NO
If at all possible, units should be evenly distributed throughout the project.	Were a minimum of 2% of these units made adaptable for the hearing impaired? YES / NO
	19. How is the owner/manager of the housing project notifying public of the availability of handicap accessible units?

Monitoring - 13 (Revised 4/03)

LIMITED REVIEW -- ACQUISITION and ONE-FOR-ONE REPLACEMENT

EXEMPT OR VOLUNTARY PROJECTS

Answer Questions 1-4

Allower Questions 1-4	EXEMPT OR VOCONTART PROJECTS
Must have proof that the	1. Was owner notified in writing that the agency was not intending to acquire the
acquisition was purely voluntary	property by eminent domain if negotiations failed?
on the part of the seller.	
	YES / NO
A formal appraisal does not	2. Was Fair Market Value for the property established?
	2. Was I all Market value for the property established!
have to be performed for exempt	VEO / NO
or voluntary acquisitions,	YES / NO
however, there must be a	
reasonable basis for determining	How was this done?
fair market value - e.g., real	
estate transactions of	
comparable land.	
See Section VI of manual for	3. If acquisition was voluntary, is there a copy of the signed owner(s)
definition of what is considered	acknowledgement of voluntary agreement on file?
	actiowicagement or voluntary agreement on the:
to be voluntary - all five criteria	VEC / NO Data Clarad
must be met	YES / NO Date Signed
Examples: Temporary	4. If acquisition was exempt, was a the Determination of Exemption filled out
easements, acquisition from a	and kept on file?
public agency if the buying	
agency does not have the	YES / NO Date Signed
authority to acquire through	
condemnation	
Questions 5-13	PROJECTS WHICH TRIGGER URA REQUIREMENTS (NOT VOLUNTARY)
HUD provides these brochures	5. Was owner given the brochure entitled "When a Public Agency Acquires Your
to DOLA upon request - should	Property"?
be given to owner prior to	Troporty :
starting acquisition process	YES / NO Date:
If waiver is signed, Questions	6. Did owner decided to waive his rights by signing the appropriate waiver form?
7-12 do not apply.	Copy should be in the agency's acquisition file.
	VEO INO Deter
	YES / NO Date:
	<u> </u>
Questions 7-12 apply ONLY if	7. Was property appraised?
answer to question 6 was	
"NO"	YES / NO Date:
Not required if land is vacant	8. Was owner or appointed designee invited to attend the appraisal?
•	
	YES / NO
	Was the appraisal conducted by a qualified appraiser?
	o. True the apprecial contracted by a qualified apprecial.
	YES / NO
Povious appraisal is a required	10. Was a review appraisal conducted by a qualified person?
Review appraisal is a required	To. was a review appraisal conducted by a qualified person?
element under URA.	VE2 (NO _ D (
	YES / NO Date:
	11. Was a written offer describing the basis for determining just compensation
	provided to the owner prior to any bargaining?
	YES / NO Date of offer:

Monitoring - 14 (Revised 4/03)

	12. Purchase amount:
	12. Fulchase amount.
	Date of closing:
Questions 13-21	ONE-FOR-ONE REPLACEMENT
	13. Were any low-income housing units converted or demolished as a result of this project?
	YES / NO If no, questions 14 through 21 are N/A.
	14. If yes, how many units were converted or demolished?
	How many bedrooms in each unit
Any time a unit is converted from	15. Is there a plan to replace these units?
permanent housing, whether owner or tenant occupied, to temporary or transitional housing, it is then considered a	YES / NO If Yes, when?
public facility through "conversion" and the units must be replaced.	
	16. Do the replacement units meet Section 8 standards?
	YES / NO
To be considered a low-income housing unit the unit or its equivalent would have to have had a market rent including utilities which does not exceed	17. Is there a written plan in keep the units at or below Fair Market Rent for at least 10 years? YES / NO
the fair market rent established by HUD for Section 8 housing. Income of tenant is irrelevant.	
See Section VI for more information.	
	18. Are the replacement units located within the grantee's jurisdiction?
	YES / NO
	19. Do the units have at least the same number of bedrooms as the converted or demolished units?
	YES / NO
	20. Was the public and DOLA made aware of the grantee's plans for replacement?
	YES / NO

Monitoring - 15 (Revised 4/03)

LIMITED REVIEW -- RELOCATION

If no displacement occurred, the only requirement under URA is that the tenant is notified that	1. For occupants not displaced, did they receive a letter saying they would NOT be displaced?
they would not be displaced.	YES / NO If no, grantee should be aware that they could potentially be held liable for relocation expenses by any tenants that moved as a result of this project.
Questions 2-7 are general, answer in all cases whether	2. Is there a separate relocation file for each displaced household or business?
business or residential displacement occurs	YES / NO
	3. Did each person/business receive a notice of displacement prior to project taking place?
	YES / NO
	Were personal interviews conducted to determine relocation needs and preferences for the displacee?
	YES / NO
Even though relocation may	5. Did displacee receive a 90 day notice of displacement?
occur in less than 90 days, the	
displacee must be allowed at	YES / NO
least that length of time to move.	6. Were relocation claims filed, reviewed and paid within a reasonable time
	period?
	YES / NO
	7. Was displacee given the choice between fixed or actual moving costs?
	YES / NO
Answer only if there is permanent displacement - if	8. Is data kept on race and ethnicity of households and handicapped status of persons displaced?
businesses were displaced records should be kept on	YES / NO
ethnicity and Women Business	120 / 140
Enterprises	
RESIDENTIAL CASES ONLY	9. If ordered to move, how many referrals for comparable replacement housing
Questions 9 - 17	were given?
Grantee is required to provide displacee in writing with	
comparable replacement	
dwellings. If possible, at least 3	
should be made available.	
	10. If displacee refused a referral, is documentation for refusal on file?
	YES / NO
See Section VII for more information	11. If low-income displacee, were benefits under both Section 104(d) and URA offered so that they could make a choice?
	YES / NO

1	
	12. If offered Section 8 assistance in place of cash rental assistance under Section 104(d) were suitable referrals offered?
	YES / NO
	13. Did agency inspect replacement unit and determine that it was decent, safe and sanitary?
	YES / NO
	14. Do files contain claim forms for payment of replacement housing payments?
	YES / NO
e.g., increased housing costs, moving expenses to and from temporary unit, telephone	15. If tenants were not displaced, but temporarily relocated, were they reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses?
hookup	YES / NO
	16. Was temporary unit decent, safe and sanitary?
	YES / NO
If yes, grantee is required to have a written relocation policy adopted and available for public	17. Was any relocation assistance offered that was not required under the Uniform Relocation Act?
inspection which provided for optional assistance to all classes of citizens	YES / NO
NON-RESIDENTIAL CASES Does not apply for farms	18. Was an appropriate level of help offered to enable the business to find a suitable replacement location?
	YES / NO
	19. Were benefits explained to the displaced business?
	YES / NO
This amount is not to exceed \$10,000	20. Did business receive payment for expenses incurred in re-establishing the business?
	YES / NO

Monitoring - 17 (Revised 2/05)

CDBG PROJECT CLOSE-OUT

	1. Have all Quarterly Financial Status Reports (FSR) and Performance Reports been submitted?
	YES / NO
	If No, when will a final FSR be submitted?
PCR must be signed by grantee and field rep before sending to	2. Has a Project Completion Report (PCR) been completed?
Denver office.	YES / NO
	If No, when will the PCR be submitted?
See Civil Rights monitoring,	3. If applicable, has a Section 3 report been completed and submitted with
question 10 - if project total cost exceeds \$200,000, a Section 3 report must be completed.	PCR? YES / NO / N/A
roport made be completed.	If No, when will the Section 3 report be submitted?
	VERIFICATION OF NATIONAL OBJECTIVE - Indicate which national objective
	applies to this project.
	4. (Check which one applies):
	limited clientele % low/mod Is there documentation that income
<u>Limited clientele</u> e.g., requires	records were kept on clientele served?
information on family size and income so that it is evident that	OR;
at least 51% are low/mod, can	
also qualify if nature of service is	household served # of households
restricted to low-income (social service buildings, etc.)	Total # of persons in households
Household conved aligibility	Were correct income limits used? YES / NO
Household served - eligibility requirements which limit the	If none of the above, what is the nature of the service being provided?
activity exclusively to low/mod based on HUD income limits -	
water tap fees, etc.	
	5. Has a post award hearing been held?
	YES / NO Date:
	Any comments at the hearing?
	6. During on-site monitoring, were filing and record keeping systems adequate to allow department review and audits?
	YES / NO
	7. What firm has been selected to perform the audit(s)?

Monitoring - 18 (Revised 2/05)

	8. When will the audit be completed?
Applies to grantees who receive \$500,00 or above in federal funds in one fiscal year.	9. Has the grantee provided the auditor with the audit requirements including the "Compliance Supplement"? YES / NO
	10. List any unresolved issues or findings and the actions to be taken for resolution:
	11. By what date do the issues or findings have to be resolved?
	12. Date monitoring letter was sent to grantee:
	13. Does grantee have a continuing capacity to carry out community development activities in a timely and effective manner?
	YES / NO

Monitoring - 19 (Revised 2/05)