

# THE EFFECT OF GREEN MANURES AND CROP RESIDUES ON SOIL REACTION

By WALTER G. SACKETT, ALVIN KEZER, IDA W. FERGUSON,  
JUSTUS C. WARD



COLORADO EXPERIMENT STATION  
BACTERIOLOGICAL SECTION  
FORT COLLINS  
1928

# The Colorado Agricultural College

FORT COLLINS, COLORADO

## THE STATE BOARD OF AGRICULTURE

J. C. BELL.....	Montrose	A. A. EDWARDS, Pres.	Fort Collins
W. I. GIFFORD.....	Hesperus	J. S. CALKINS.....	Westminster
H. B. DYE.....	Manzanola	E. R. BLISS.....	Greeley
JAMES B. MCKELVEY.....	La Jara	MARY ISHAM.....	Brighton
Ex-Officio	} GOVERNOR W. H. ADAMS		
		PRESIDENT CHAS. A. LORY	
L. M. TAYLOR, Secretary		G. A. WEBB, Treasurer	

## OFFICERS OF THE EXPERIMENT STATION

CHAS. A. LORY, M.S., LL.D., D.Sc.....	President
C. P. GILLETTE, M.Sc.....	Director
L. D. CRAIN, B.M.E., M.M.E.....	Vice-Director
L. M. TAYLOR.....	Secretary
ANNA T. BAKER.....	Executive Clerk

## STATION STAFF AGRICULTURAL DIVISION

C. P. GILLETTE, M.S., D.Sc., Director.....	Entomologist
WM. P. HEADDEN, A.M., Ph.D., D.Sc.....	Chemist
G. H. GLOVER, M.S., D.V.M.....	Veterinarian
W. G. SACKETT, Ph.D.....	Bacteriologist
ALVIN KEZER, A.M.....	Agronomist
GEO. E. MORTON, B.S., M.L.....	Animal Husbandman
E. P. SANDSTEN, M.S., Ph.D.....	Horticulturist
B. O. LONGYEAR, B.S., M.F.....	Forestry Investigations
I. E. NEWSOM, B.S., D.V.S.....	Veterinary Pathologist
L. W. DURRELL, Ph. D.....	Botanist
RALPH L. PARSHALL, B.S.....	U. S. Irrig. Eng. Irrigation Investigations
R. E. TRIMBLE, B.S.....	Asst. Irrig. Investigations (Meteorology)
EARL DOUGLASS, M.S.....	Associate in Chemistry
MIRIAM A. PALMER, M.A., M.S.....	Delineator and Associate in Entomology
J. W. ADAMS, B.S., Cheyenne Wells.....	Assistant in Agronomy, Dry Farming
CHARLES R. JONES, B.S., M.S., Ph.D.....	Associate in Entomology
CARL ROHWER, B.S., C.E.....	Associate in Irrigation Investigations
GEORGE M. LIST, B.S., M.S.....	Associate in Entomology
E. J. MAYNARD, B.S.A., M.A.....	Associate Animal Husbandman
W. L. BURNETT.....	Rodent Investigations
FLOYD CROSS, D.V.M.....	Associate Veterinary Pathologist
J. H. NEWTON, B.S.....	Associate in Entomology
*JOHN L. HOERNER, B.S., M.S.....	Assistant in Entomology
J. W. TOBISKA, B.S., M.A.....	Associate in Chemistry
C. E. VAIL, B.S., M.A.....	Associate in Chemistry
DAVID W. ROBERTSON, B.S., M.S.....	Associate in Agronomy
I. G. KINGHORN.....	Editor
R. A. MCGINTY, B.S., A.M.....	Associate in Horticulture
L. A. MOORHOUSE, B.S.A., M.S.....	Rural Economist
R. T. BURDICK, B.S., M.S.....	Associate in Rural Economics
B. F. COEN, B.L. A.M.....	Associate in Rural Sociology
CHAS. N. SHEPARDSON, B.S., M.S.....	In charge of Official Testing
J. C. WARD, B.S., Rocky Ford.....	Soil Chemistry
J. W. DEMING, B.S.A.....	Assistant in Agronomy
*H. B. PINGREY, B.S.....	Assistant in Rural Economics
IDA WRAY FERGUSON, R.N.....	Assistant in Bacteriology
DWIGHT KOONCE, B.S.....	Assistant in Agronomy
E. A. LUNGREN, B.S., M.S.....	Assistant in Plant Pathology
CHARLES F. ROGERS, A.B., M.S.....	Assistant in Botany
ANNA M. LUTE, A.B., B.Sc.....	Seed Analyst
E. L. LeCLERG, B.S., M.S.....	Assistant in Plant Pathology
HERBERT C. HANSON, A.B., A.M., Ph.D.....	Associate in Botany
CARL METZGER, B.S., M.S.....	Assistant in Horticulture
MARJORIE V. PETERSON, B.A., M.S.....	Home Economics Investigations
RICHARD V. LOTT, B.S., M.S.....	Assistant in Horticulture
HENRY L. MORENCY, Ph.B., M.S., D.V.M.....	Assistant in Veterinary Pathology
D. N. DONALDSON, B.S., M.S.....	Assistant in Marketing
CHAS. H. RUSSELL, B.S.....	Agent, U. S. D. A., Rural Economics
RUDGER H. WALKER, B.S., M.S., Ph.D.....	Assistant in Agronomy
WALTER S. BALL, B.S., M.S.....	Assistant in Botany

## ENGINEERING DIVISION

L. D. CRAIN, B.M.E., M.M.E., Chairman.....	Mechanical Engineering
E. B. HOUSE, B.S., (E.E.), M.S.....	Civil Engineering
.....	Assistant in Civil Engineering
CHARLES A. LOGAN, B.S.A.....	Assistant in Mechanical Engineering

\* On leave, 1927-28

## FOREWORD

This bulletin is the first of a series of reports to be made by Dr. W. G. Sackett of the Bacteriology Section, and Professor Alvin Kezer of the Agronomy Section of this station, who have been co-operating in carrying on work under a project entitled "Arkansas Valley Niter Control."

This project was undertaken for the purpose of working out effective and practical methods which the farmer might use to control the bad conditions of the soil which were shown to exist in the Arkansas Valley, and in some other portions of Colorado, as the result of the researches of Dr. W. P. Headden and Dr. W. G. Sackett which have been published in former bulletins of the station. This unfavorable condition resulting from an excess of nitrates in the soil over considerable areas of agricultural lands in this state, fluctuates in its severity in a given locality from year to year, as the result of seasonal changes and soil conditions but in general, has not greatly changed in recent years and continues to be a serious factor causing heavy losses in agricultural and horticultural crops.

The progress that has already been made in these experiments to determine methods of control is most encouraging, and leads us to believe that the losses to agricultural crops, due to excessive nitrates in the soil, thru the activities of *Azotobacter*, can be largely overcome by simple and practical methods that any farmer can use.

C. P. GILLETTE, *Director*.

# THE EFFECT OF GREEN MANURES AND CROP RESIDUES ON SOIL REACTION

BY WALTER G. SACKETT, ALVIN KEZER, IDA W. FERGUSON,  
JUSTUS C. WARD

In our previous publications\* we have called attention to the accumulation of excessive nitrates in certain Colorado soils, have pointed out their harmful effect upon the growing crops and have attempted to explain their origin thru bacterial activity.

Having established the cause of these nitrates beyond a reasonable doubt, the natural sequence of events demanded that we next find some suitable means of controlling their formation or at least of limiting the amount to such as could be utilized to advantage by vegetation.

Inasmuch as any control measure which might prove to be effective would have to stand the test of field practice, the wisdom of carrying on our experiments under actual field conditions is obvious. Accordingly, in the spring of 1922, work was begun on the Experimental Farm at Rocky Ford, Colorado, with the following objectives:

1. To determine the effect of different crops, crop sequences, cultural practices and fertilizer treatments upon the development of soil nitrates.
2. To formulate methods for the control of excessive nitrate production by employing the results obtained from 1.
3. To determine the crops best suited to soils containing excessive nitrates.

The present paper is the first of a series of articles to be published, giving the outcome of different phases of our experiments. In it are reported the results of one season's study of the effect of green manures, crop residues and commercial fertilizers on the soil reaction.

We have taken up this aspect of the question because our problem was primarily a matter of controlling excessive bacterial development, particularly that of *Azotobacter*.

It is a fact well known to all bacteriologists that the reaction of the cultural medium is a limiting factor to bacterial growth, and these limits of acid and alkali have been established quite accurately by several investigators, both for the growth of *Azotobacter* and its power to fix atmospheric nitrogen.

Fred and Davenport<sup>1</sup> in their studies of the influence of reaction on nitrogen-assimilating bacteria noted the extreme sensitiveness of

---

\* 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, and 18.

Azotobacter to slight changes in reaction. They established as the growth limits hydrogen-ion concentrations expressed by pH 6.5 for acid and pH 8.6 for alkali.

Johnson and Lipman<sup>13</sup> found that there was an abrupt decrease in the amount of nitrogen fixed by Azotobacter between pH 6.0 and 6.2; that the alkaline limit was near pH 9.0; also that fixation of nitrogen was not greatly affected between pH 6.2 and 8.8 and that the most favorable reaction was between pH 7.0 and 8.0.

Gainey,<sup>2, 3, 4, 5</sup> who has made a very extensive study of this subject reported the acid limit for the growth of pure cultures of Azotobacter to be between pH 5.9 and 6.0. He states that vigorous growth and nitrogen fixation took place at pH 6.1 and 6.5, the optimum for nitrogen fixation being apparently somewhat higher than for growth. As a result of the examination of 418 soils from different parts of the United States, he concluded that there is a very close correlation between the absolute reaction of the soil and the presence or absence of Azotobacter in the soil, and that very few soils having a hydrogen-ion concentration greater than pH 6.0 contain Azotobacter, while this group of organisms is usually present where the hydrogen-ion concentration is lower. According to Gainey's experiments, the average hydrogen-ion concentration for 119 soils, which contained Azotobacter, was pH 6.72, while that for 205 where Azotobacter was absent was pH 5.44.

In the limited number of Colorado soils that have been examined, we have never found Azotobacter present where the hydrogen-ion concentration was greater than pH 6.5. With the exception of three soils, and two of these came from uncultivated mountain sides, Azotobacter has always been found where the reaction was between pH 6.5 and 7.7.

Evidence such as that just cited suggested to us the possibility that the acid formed by the microbial fermentation of green manures and crop residues might be adequate to limit the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen by Azotobacter, if not sufficient to prevent its growth.

#### HISTORY OF PLOTS

The soil of the Experimental Farm is a silt loam and is representative of the better class of agricultural land in the Arkansas Valley. The normal reaction varies between hydrogen-ion concentrations expressed by pH 7.6 and 7.7. It contains 3.184 percent of calcium carbonate which might be expected to prevent the accumulation of any appreciable amount of free acid. The nitric nitrogen has varied in a single season between 10.00 and 113.55 parts per million, depending upon the time of year the sample was taken and the treatment

the soil had received. Illustrative of the effect which the method of cropping has upon the development of soil nitrates, the following case may be cited:

Neighboring plots, on March 28, 1922, showed 10.00 and 8.17 parts per million of nitric nitrogen respectively. The first was planted to onions and the second to barley. The onions were cultivated at frequent intervals thruout the growing season, but the barley, of course, was not. Determinations of nitric nitrogen made on July 31 showed the onion plot to contain 113.55 and the barley only 6.22 parts per million. On the one hand, nitric nitrogen equivalent to 688.99 pounds of sodium nitrate per acre had been formed in four months in the surface 3 inches; on the other, only 37.74 pounds were present, less than in the beginning. Similar results have been obtained repeatedly under cultivated and non-cultivated crops, which points conclusively to the stimulating effect that cultivation thru aeration has upon nitrogen fixation, ammonification and nitrification.

#### PLAN OF THE EXPERIMENT

GREEN MANURES AND CROP RESIDUES.—Organic matter, capable of undergoing an acid fermentation, was added to the soil in the form of alfalfa hay, barley straw, corn fodder, green barley and green cane. The first three were finely ground before being applied and were mixed into the soil by sowing them in shallow furrows.

As commercial fertilizers are being used to a limited extent in some of the truck-growing sections of the state, we included dried blood, superphosphate and sulphur in the experiment for the sake of comparison.

RATE OF APPLICATION.—For reasons irrelevant to this investigation, the applications of the dried residues and blood meal were made at the rate of 36.6 pounds of nitrogen per acre which amount represented the weight of the nitrogen in 8000 pounds of dried corn fodder. The nitrogen content being lowest in the fodder, then increasing in the straw, alfalfa and blood meal in the order named, it is apparent that the mass of the material added would be inversely proportional to the nitrogen content. In other words, so far as the materials of our experiment were concerned, the bulk of the fodder was much greater than that of the dried blood; that of the barley straw and alfalfa occupying intermediate positions. These were applied April 21, 1925.

The barley and cane which served as green manures, were planted on March 27 and plowed under on June 29. A second planting was made immediately on June 30 and turned under on August 15. Thus,

two crops of green material were added to the soil during the period of the experiment.

The superphosphate was used at the rate of 500 pounds and the sulphur at 1000 pounds per acre.

Each plot, including two checks, was irrigated and cultivated thruout the season the same as if it had been planted to a crop.

SIZE OF PLOTS.—The areas of the different plots were as follows:

Dried residues and blood meal  $1/100$  acre.

Superphosphate and sulphur  $1/50$  acre.

Green barley and green cane  $1/2$  acre.

Checks  $1/12$  acre.

## METHOD OF PROCEDURE

From April 1 to October 1, daily samples were obtained from each plot for hydrogen-ion determinations. These were taken to a depth of four inches and represented a portion of a composite sample. All of the soils were air dried and ground to pass a 100-mesh sieve before the reaction was determined.

The measurements of the hydrogen-ion concentration were made by the colorimetric method and were checked electrometrically, the results obtained by the two different procedures agreeing so closely that they can be considered identical for all practical purposes.

The soil extracts for the hydrogen-ion determinations were prepared by suspending 15 grams of the air-dried soil sample in 70 c.c. of triply distilled conductivity water. These were shaken vigorously for one minute and allowed to settle for 10 minutes after which 50 c.c. of the supernatant fluid were decanted to centrifuge tubes and centrifuged for 15 minutes. Ten cubic centimeters of the clarified liquid were removed at once with a pipette for the test, and the readings were made according to the technique of Medalia<sup>14</sup>.

The daily results for each plot are given in Tables 1 to 11.

**Table 1.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil of North Check Plot.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sample No.	Date of Collection	Date of Examination	pH	Sample No.	Date of Collection	Date of Examination	pH
1	April 1	May 4	7.6	201	April 27	May 14	7.3
9	April 2	May 5	7.7	209	April 28	May 14	7.4
17	April 3	May 7	7.5	217	April 29	May 15	7.4
25	April 4	May 7	7.5	225	April 30	May 12	7.5
33	April 5	May 8	7.5	233	May 1	May 12	7.5
41	April 6	May 8	7.5	241	May 2	May 12	7.5
49	April 7	May 8	7.5	249	May 3	May 11	7.5
57	April 8	May 8	7.5	257	May 4	May 11	7.5
65	April 9	May 9	7.6	265	May 5	May 19	7.4
73	April 10	May 9	7.5	273	May 6	May 19	7.5
81	April 11	May 13	7.5	281	May 7	May 19	7.5
89	April 12	May 18	7.5	289	May 8	May 19	7.5
97	April 13	May 18	7.5	297	May 9	May 17	7.6
105	April 14	May 18	7.1	305	May 13	June 17	7.6
113	April 15	May 19	7.3	313	May 14	June 17	7.7
121	April 17	April 29	7.5	321	May 15	June 17	7.7
129	April 18	April 29	7.7	329	May 16	June 17	7.6
137	April 19	April 30	7.5	337	May 17	June 17	7.6
145	April 20	May 1	7.5	345	May 18	June 17	7.6
153	April 21	May 15	7.3	353	May 19	June 17	7.6
161	April 22	May 18	7.5	361	May 20	June 17	7.6
169	April 23	May 18	7.3	369	May 21	June 17	7.6
177	April 24	May 18	7.5	377	May 22	June 20	7.7
185	April 25	May 15	7.5	385	May 23	June 22	7.6
193	April 26	May 15	7.3	393	May 24	June 22	7.6



**Table 1.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil of North Check Plot.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
401	.....May 25	June 24	7.6	881	.....July 21	August 22	7.7
409	.....May 26	June 24	7.6	891	.....July 22	August 19	7.7
417	.....May 27	June 24	7.7	901	.....July 23	August 19	7.7
425	.....May 28	June 26	7.6	911	.....July 24	August 22	7.7
433	.....May 29	June 24	7.6	921	.....July 25	August 22	7.7
441	.....May 30	June 24	7.6	931	.....July 26	August 22	7.7
441A	.....May 31	June 25	7.7	941	.....July 27	August 24	7.7
449	.....June 1	June 25	7.7	951	.....July 28	No Sample	
457	.....June 2	June 25	7.6	961	.....July 29	August 24	7.6
465	.....June 3	June 25	7.5	971	....August 1	August 24	7.6
473	.....June 4	June 25	7.6	981	....August 2	August 25	7.7
481	.....June 5	June 25	7.6	991	....August 3	August 25	7.8
489	.....June 6	June 26	7.6	1001	....August 4	August 25	7.7
497	.....June 7	No Sample		1011	....August 5	August 25	7.7
505	.....June 8	June 26	7.6	1021	....August 6	August 26	7.7
513	.....June 9	June 26	7.6	1031	....August 7	August 26	7.7
521	.....June 10	June 26	7.6	1041	....August 8	August 26	7.7
529	.....June 11	June 26	7.6	1051	....August 9	August 26	7.7
537	.....June 12	June 26	7.6	1061	....August 11	August 27	7.7
545	.....June 13	June 27	7.6	1072	....August 12	August 27	7.7
553	.....June 14	June 27	7.7	1083	....August 14	August 27	7.7
561	.....June 15	June 27	7.6	1094	....August 15	August 27	7.7
569	.....June 16	July 8	7.6	1105	....August 16	August 27	7.7
577	.....June 17	July 8	7.6	1116	....August 17	August 27	7.6
586	.....June 18	July 8	7.6	1127	....August 18	August 31	7.7
595	.....June 19	July 9	7.6	1138	....August 19	August 31	7.7
604	.....June 20	July 10	7.6	1148	....August 20	August 31	7.6
613	.....June 22	July 10	7.6	1158	....August 21	August 31	7.6
623	.....June 23	July 11	7.6	1168	....August 22	Sept. 1	7.7
632	.....June 24	July 11	7.5	1178	....August 23	Sept. 1	7.7
641	.....June 25	July 13	7.6	1188	....August 24	Sept. 1	7.7
651	.....June 26	July 13	7.6	1198	....August 25	Sept. 1	7.6
661	.....June 27	July 13	7.6	1208	....August 26	Sept. 2	7.7
671	.....June 28	July 13	7.6	1218	....August 27	Sept. 15	7.7
681	.....June 29	July 16	7.7	1228	....August 28	Sept. 15	7.7
691	.....June 30	July 16	7.6	1238	....August 29	Sept. 16	7.7
701	.....July 2	July 16	7.6	1248	....August 30	Sept. 16	7.7
711	.....July 3	July 16	7.6	1258	....August 31	Sept. 16	7.7
721	.....July 4	July 16	7.6	1268	.....Sept. 1	Sept. 17	7.7
731	.....July 6	July 16	7.6	1278	.....Sept. 2	Sept. 18	7.6
741	.....July 7	July 17	7.7	1288	.....Sept. 3	Sept. 18	7.7
751	.....July 8	July 24	7.7	1298	.....Sept. 4	Oct. 5	7.7
761	.....July 9	July 24	7.6	1308	.....Sept. 5	Oct. 5	7.7
771	.....July 10	July 24	7.7	1318	.....Sept. 6	Oct. 5	7.7
781	.....July 11	July 24	7.7	1328	.....Sept. 7	Oct. 5	7.7
791	.....July 12	July 24	7.6	1338	.....Sept. 8	Oct. 5	7.6
801	.....July 13	July 24	7.6	1348	.....Sept. 9	Oct. 5	7.6
811	.....July 14	July 24	7.6	1358	.....Sept. 10	Oct. 5	7.6
821	.....July 15	July 24	7.6	1368	.....Sept. 11	Oct. 5	7.6
831	.....July 16	July 25	7.7	1378	.....Sept. 12	Oct. 5	7.6
841	.....July 17	August 6	7.7	1388	.....Sept. 13	Oct. 15	7.6
851	.....July 18	August 6	7.7	1398	.....Sept. 14	Oct. 15	7.7
861	.....July 20	August 6	7.7	1408	.....Sept. 15	Oct. 15	7.7
871	.....July 19	August 22	7.8	1418	.....Sept. 16	Oct. 15	7.6

**Table 1.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil of North Check Plot.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
1428	Sept. 17	Oct. 9	7.6	1498	Sept. 24	Oct. 8	7.6
1438	Sept. 18	Oct. 9	7.6	1508	Sept. 25	Oct. 7	7.7
1448	Sept. 19	Oct. 9	7.6	1518	Sept. 26	Oct. 7	7.6
1458	Sept. 20	Oct. 9	7.6	1528	Sept. 27	Oct. 7	7.6
1468	Sept. 21	Oct. 9	7.6	1538	Sept. 28	Oct. 7	7.6
1478	Sept. 22	Oct. 9	7.6	1548	Sept. 29	Oct. 7	7.7
1488	Sept. 23	Oct. 8	7.6	1558	Sept. 30	Oct. 7	7.7

The results for the season show that 78.69 percent of the determinations gave pH readings of 7.6 or above and 21.31 percent, of 7.5 or below with 77.51 percent from 7.6 to 7.7. From this it is clear that the reaction of the north check plot was well on the alkaline side thruout the experimental period

**Table 2.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil of South Check Plot.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
2	April 1	May 4	7.7	234	May 1	May 12	7.5
10	April 2	May 4	7.6	242	May 2	May 12	7.5
18	April 3	May 7	7.6	250	May 3	May 11	7.5
26	April 4	May 7	7.6	258	May 4	May 11	7.5
34	April 5	May 8	7.7	266	May 5	May 19	7.5
42	April 6	May 8	7.7	274	May 6	May 19	7.3
50	April 7	May 8	7.6	282	May 7	May 19	7.5
58	April 8	May 8	7.7	290	May 8	May 19	7.3
66	April 9	May 9	7.7	298	May 9	June 17	7.5
74	April 10	May 9	7.7	306	May 13	June 17	7.6
82	April 11	May 13	7.6	314	May 14	June 17	7.7
90	April 12	May 18	7.5	322	May 15	June 17	7.7
98	April 13	May 18	7.6	330	May 16	June 17	7.7
106	April 14	May 18	7.6	338	May 17	June 17	7.5
114	April 15	May 19	7.6	346	May 18	June 17	7.7
122	April 17	April 29	7.7	354	May 19	June 17	7.7
130	April 18	April 29	7.7	362	May 20	June 17	7.7
138	April 19	April 30	7.7	370	May 21	June 17	7.7
146	April 20	May 1	7.6	378	May 22	June 20	7.7
154	April 21	May 15	7.6	386	May 23	June 22	7.7
162	April 22	May 18	7.6	394	May 24	June 22	7.6
170	April 23	May 18	7.6	402	May 25	June 24	7.7
178	April 24	May 18	7.5	410	May 26	June 24	7.7
186	April 25	May 15	7.5	418	May 27	June 24	7.7
194	April 26	May 14	7.5	426	May 28	June 24	7.7
202	April 27	May 14	7.5	434	May 29	June 24	7.6
209	April 28	May 14	7.5	442	May 30	June 24	7.6
218	April 29	May 15	7.5	442A	May 31	June 25	7.7
226	April 30	May 13	7.5	450	June 1	June 25	7.7

**Table 2.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil of South Check Plot.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
458	June 2	June 25	7.6	1002	August 4	August 25	7.7
466	June 3	June 25	7.6	1012	August 5	August 25	7.7
474	June 4	June 25	7.7	1022	August 6	August 26	7.7
482	June 5	June 25	7.7	1032	August 7	August 26	7.7
490	June 6	June 26	7.7	1042	August 8	August 26	7.8
506	June 8	June 26	7.7	1052	August 9	August 26	7.8
514	June 9	June 26	7.6	1062	August 11	August 27	7.7
522	June 10	June 25	7.7	1073	August 12	August 27	7.7
530	June 11	June 26	7.6	1084	August 14	August 27	7.7
538	June 12	June 26	7.7	1095	August 15	August 27	7.7
546	June 13	June 27	7.7	1106	August 16	August 27	7.7
554	June 14	June 27	7.7	1117	August 17	August 31	7.6
562	June 15	June 27	7.7	1128	August 18	August 31	7.7
570	June 16	July 8	7.7	1139	August 19	August 31	7.7
578	June 17	July 8	7.7	1149	August 20	August 31	7.7
587	June 18	July 8	7.7	1159	August 21	August 31	7.6
595	June 19	July 9	7.7	1169	August 22	Sept. 1	7.7
605	June 20	July 10	7.6	1179	August 23	Sept. 1	7.7
614	June 22	July 11	7.7	1189	August 24	Sept. 1	7.7
624	June 23	July 11	7.6	1199	August 25	Sept. 1	7.8
633	June 24	July 13	7.6	1209	August 26	Sept. 2	7.7
642	June 25	July 13	7.6	1219	August 27	Sept. 15	7.7
652	June 26	July 13	7.7	1229	August 28	Sept. 15	7.7
662	June 27	July 13	7.6	1239	August 29	Sept. 16	7.7
672	June 28	July 13	7.6	1249	August 30	Sept. 16	7.7
682	June 29	July 16	7.7	1259	August 31	Sept. 16	7.7
692	June 30	July 16	7.7	1269	Sept. 1	Sept. 17	7.7
702	July 2	July 16	7.7	1279	Sept. 2	Sept. 18	7.7
712	July 3	July 16	7.6	1289	Sept. 3	Sept. 18	7.7
722	July 5	July 16	7.6	1299	Sept. 4	Oct. 5	7.7
732	July 6	July 16	7.6	1309	Sept. 5	Oct. 5	7.7
742	July 7	July 17	7.7	1319	Sept. 6	Oct. 2	7.7
752	July 8	July 24	7.6	1329	Sept. 7	Oct. 2	7.7
762	July 9	July 24	7.7	1339	Sept. 8	Oct. 2	7.6
772	July 10	July 24	7.7	1349	Sept. 9	Oct. 2	7.7
782	July 11	July 24	7.7	1359	Sept. 10	Oct. 2	7.6
792	July 12	July 24	7.6	1369	Sept. 11	Oct. 2	7.6
802	July 13	July 24	7.6	1379	Sept. 12	Oct. 2	7.6
812	July 14	July 24	7.6	1389	Sept. 13	Oct. 15	7.7
822	July 15	July 24	7.6	1399	Sept. 14	Oct. 15	7.7
832	July 16	July 25	7.7	1409	Sept. 15	Oct. 15	7.7
842	July 17	August 6	7.7	1419	Sept. 16	Oct. 15	7.6
852	July 18	August 6	7.7	1429	Sept. 17	Oct. 9	7.7
862	July 20	August 6	7.7	1439	Sept. 18	Oct. 9	7.7
882	July 21	August 6	7.6	1449	Sept. 19	Oct. 9	7.7
892	July 22	August 19	7.7	1459	Sept. 20	Oct. 9	7.5
902	July 23	August 19	7.8	1469	Sept. 21	Oct. 9	7.7
912	July 24	August 22	7.7	1479	Sept. 22	Oct. 9	7.7
922	July 25	August 22	7.7	1489	Sept. 23	Oct. 8	7.7
932	July 26	August 22	7.7	1499	Sept. 24	Oct. 8	7.7
942	July 27	August 22	7.7	1509	Sept. 25	Oct. 7	7.7
952		No sample		1519	Sept. 26	Oct. 7	7.7
962	July 29	August 24	7.6	1529	Sept. 27	Oct. 7	7.7
972	August 1	August 24	7.6	1539	Sept. 28	Oct. 7	7.7
982	August 2	August 25	7.7	1549	Sept. 29	Oct. 7	7.7
992	August 3	August 25	7.8	1559	Sept. 30	Oct. 9	7.7

The results for the season show that 87.56 percent of the determinations gave pH readings of 7.6 or above and 12.44 percent, of 7.5 or below with 84.61 percent from 7.6 to 7.7. As compared with the other check plot, this one appears to have been slightly more alkaline over a little longer period; but always well on the alkaline side.

**Table 3.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Blood Meal.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
3	April 1	May 4	7.6	371	May 21	June 17	7.6
11	April 2	May 4	7.6	379	May 22	June 20	7.6
19	April 3	May 7	7.5	387	May 23	June 22	7.6
27	April 4	May 7	7.4	395	May 24	June 22	7.6
34	April 5	May 8	7.5	403	May 25	June 24	7.6
43	April 6	May 8	7.5	411	May 26	June 24	7.6
51	April 7	May 8	7.5	419	May 27	June 24	7.7
59	April 8	May 8	7.5	427	May 28	June 24	7.6
67	April 9	May 9	7.5	435	May 29	June 24	7.6
75	April 10	May 9	7.5	443	May 30	June 24	7.6
83	April 11	May 13	7.4	443A	May 31	June 25	7.5
91	April 12	May 18	7.4	451	June 1	June 25	7.7
99	April 13	May 18	7.4	459	June 2	June 25	7.5
107	April 14	May 18	7.3	467	June 3	June 25	7.5
115	April 15	May 19	7.3	475	June 4	June 25	7.6
123	April 17	No Sample		483	June 5	June 25	7.5
131	April 18	April 29	7.5	491	June 6	June 26	7.6
139	April 19	April 30	7.5	507	June 8	June 26	7.6
146	April 20	May 1	7.5	515	June 9	June 26	7.6
155	April 21	May 15	7.2	523	June 10	June 26	7.6
163	April 22	May 18	7.5	531	June 11	June 26	7.6
171	April 23	May 18	7.5	539	June 12	June 26	7.6
179	April 25	May 15	7.4	547	June 13	June 29	7.6
195	April 26	May 14	7.1	555	June 14	June 29	7.6
203	April 27	May 14	7.3	563	June 15	June 29	7.6
211	April 28	May 14	7.3	571	June 16	July 8	7.6
219	April 29	May 15	7.3	579	June 17	July 8	7.6
227	April 30	May 13	7.4	588	June 18	July 8	7.5
235	May 1	May 12	7.4	597	June 19	July 9	7.6
243	May 2	May 12	7.4	606	June 20	July 10	7.6
251	May 3	May 11	7.1	615	June 22	July 10	7.6
259	May 4	May 11	7.4	625	June 23	July 11	7.4
267	May 5	May 19	7.2	634	June 24	July 11	7.5
275	May 6	May 19	7.3	643	June 25	July 13	7.6
283	May 7	May 19	7.4	653	June 26	July 13	7.5
291	May 8	May 19	7.2	663	June 27	July 13	7.5
299	May 9	June 17	7.5	673	June 28	July 13	7.3
307	May 13	June 17	7.6	683	June 29	July 16	7.5
315	May 14	June 17	7.6	693	June 30	July 16	7.5
323	May 15	June 17	7.6	703	July 2	July 16	7.6
331	May 16	June 17	7.6	713	July 3	July 16	7.5
339	May 17	June 17	7.7	723	July 5	July 16	7.6
347	May 18	June 17	7.6	733	July 6	July 16	7.6
355	May 19	June 17	7.6	743	July 7	July 17	7.5
363	May 28	June 17	7.6	753	July 8	July 24	7.6

**Table 3.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Blood Meal.**  
**All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
763	.....July 9	July 24	7.6	1180	....August 23	Sept. 1	7.7
773	.....July 10	July 24	7.7	1190	....August 24	Sept. 1	7.6
783	.....July 11	July 24	7.6	1200	....August 25	Sept. 1	7.6
793	.....July 12	July 24	7.6	1210	....August 26	Sept. 2	7.6
803	.....July 13	July 24	7.6	1220	....August 27	Sept. 15	7.7
813	.....July 14	July 24	7.5	1230	....August 28	Sept. 15	7.6
823	.....July 15	July 24	7.6	1240	....August 29	Sept. 16	7.7
833	.....July 16	July 24	7.7	1250	....August 30	Sept. 16	7.5
843	.....July 17	August 6	7.7	1260	....August 31	Sept. 16	7.5
853	.....July 18	August 6	7.7	1270	.....Sept. 1	Sept. 17	7.6
863	.....July 20	August 6	7.6	1280	.....Sept. 2	Sept. 18	7.6
883	.....July 21	August 6	7.5	1290	.....Sept. 3	Sept. 18	7.6
893	.....July 22	August 6	7.7	1300	.....Sept. 4	Oct. 5	7.6
903	.....July 23	August 19	7.8	1310	.....Sept. 5	Oct. 5	7.6
913	.....July 24	August 22	7.5	1320	.....Sept. 6	Oct. 2	7.6
923	.....July 25	August 22	7.5	1330	.....Sept. 7	Oct. 2	7.6
933	.....July 26	August 22	7.5	1340	.....Sept. 8	Oct. 2	7.6
943	.....July 27	August 24	7.6	1350	.....Sept. 9	Oct. 2	7.6
953	.....July 28	No Sample		1360	.....Sept. 10	Oct. 2	7.6
963	.....July 29	August 24	7.5	1370	.....Sept. 11	Oct. 2	7.5
973	....August 1	August 24	7.6	1380	.....Sept. 12	Oct. 2	7.6
983	....August 2	August 25	7.6	1390	.....Sept. 13	Oct. 15	7.6
993	....August 3	August 25	7.6	1400	.....Sept. 14	Oct. 15	7.7
1003	....August 4	August 25	7.6	1410	.....Sept. 15	Oct. 15	7.6
1013	....August 5	August 26	7.6	1420	.....Sept. 16	Oct. 15	7.5
1023	....August 6	August 26	7.6	1430	.....Sept. 17	Oct. 9	7.6
1033	....August 7	August 26	7.6	1440	.....Sept. 18	Oct. 9	7.6
1043	....August 8	August 26	7.7	1450	.....Sept. 19	Oct. 9	7.5
1053	....August 9	August 27	7.6	1460	.....Sept. 20	Oct. 9	7.6
1063	....August 11	August 27	7.7	1470	.....Sept. 21	Oct. 9	7.6
1074	....August 12	August 27	7.6	1480	.....Sept. 22	Oct. 9	7.6
1085	....August 14	August 27	7.7	1490	.....Sept. 23	Oct. 8	7.6
1096	....August 15	August 27	7.6	1500	.....Sept. 24	Oct. 8	7.6
1107	....August 16	August 27	7.6	1510	.....Sept. 25	Oct. 7	7.6
1118	....August 17	August 27	7.5	1520	.....Sept. 26	Oct. 7	7.7
1129	....August 18	August 31	7.6	1530	.....Sept. 27	Oct. 7	7.6
1140	....August 19	August 31	7.6	1540	.....Sept. 28	Oct. 7	7.6
1150	....August 20	August 31	7.6	1550	.....Sept. 29	Oct. 7	7.6
1160	....August 21	August 31	7.6	1560	.....Sept. 30	Oct. 9	7.6
1170	....August 22	Sept. 1	7.7				

The results for the season show that 63.68 percent of the determinations gave pH readings of 7.6 or above and 36.32 percent, of 7.5 and below with 63.09 percent from 7.6 to 7.7. While all of the readings are well on the alkaline side, yet the blood meal has shown the greatest tendency toward acid production of any treatment.

**Table 4.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Alfalfa Meal. All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
4	April 1	May 4	7.6	436	May 29	June 24	7.6
12	April 2	May 4	7.6	444	May 30	June 24	7.6
20	April 3	May 7	7.4	444A	May 31	June 24	7.7
28	April 4	May 7	7.4	452	June 1	June 25	7.6
36	April 5	May 8	7.5	460	June 2	June 25	7.6
44	April 6	May 8	7.5	468	June 3	June 25	7.6
52	April 7	May 8	7.5	476	June 4	June 25	7.6
60	April 8	May 8	7.5	484	June 5	June 25	7.6
68	April 9	May 9	7.5	492	June 6	June 26	7.6
76	April 10	May 9	7.5	508	June 8	June 26	7.6
84	April 11	May 13	7.5	516	June 9	June 26	7.6
92	April 12	May 18	7.3	524	June 10	June 26	7.6
100	April 13	May 18	7.4	532	June 11	June 26	7.6
108	April 14	May 18	7.5	540	June 12	June 26	7.6
116	April 15	May 19	7.3	548	June 13	June 27	7.7
124	April 17	April 29	7.5	556	June 14	June 27	7.7
132	April 18	April 29	7.5	564	June 15	June 27	7.6
140	April 19	April 30	7.5	572	June 16	July 8	7.6
148	April 20	May 1	7.5	580	June 17	July 8	7.6
156	April 21	May 15	7.5	589	June 18	July 8	7.6
164	April 22	May 18	7.5	598	June 19	July 9	7.6
172	April 23	May 18	7.5	607	June 20	July 10	7.6
180	April 24	May 18	7.5	617	June 22	July 10	7.6
188	April 25	May 15	7.3	626	June 23	July 11	7.5
196	April 26	May 14	7.3	635	June 24	July 11	7.5
204	April 27	May 14	7.2	644	June 25	July 13	7.6
212	April 28	May 14	7.4	654	June 26	July 13	7.6
220	April 29	May 15	7.3	664	June 27	July 13	7.5
228	April 30	May 13	7.4	674	June 28	July 13	7.5
236	May 1	May 12	7.3	684	June 29	July 16	7.5
244	May 2	May 12	7.1	694	June 30	July 16	7.6
252	May 3	May 11	7.5	704	July 2	July 16	7.6
260	May 4	May 11	7.5	714	July 3	July 16	7.5
268	May 5	May 19	7.4	724	July 5	July 16	7.6
276	May 6	May 19	7.1	734	July 6	July 16	7.5
284	May 7	May 19	7.5	744	July 7	July 17	7.5
292	May 8	May 19	7.3	754	July 8	July 24	7.6
300	May 9	June 17	7.3	764	July 9	July 24	7.6
308	May 13	June 17	7.6	774	July 10	July 24	7.7
316	May 14	June 17	7.6	784	July 11	July 24	7.6
325	May 15	June 17	7.6	794	July 12	July 24	7.6
332	May 16	June 17	7.6	804	July 13	July 24	7.6
340	May 17	June 17	7.6	814	July 14	July 24	7.6
348	May 18	June 17	7.6	824	July 15	July 24	7.6
356	May 19	June 17	7.6	834	July 16	July 25	7.7
364	May 20	June 17	7.6	844	July 17	August 6	7.7
372	May 21	June 17	7.6	854	July 18	August 6	7.7
380	May 22	June 20	7.6	864	July 20	August 6	7.7
388	May 23	June 22	7.6	884	July 21	August 6	7.6
396	May 24	June 22	7.6	894	July 22	August 19	7.7
404	May 25	June 24	7.6	904	July 23	August 19	7.8
412	May 26	June 24	7.6	914	July 24	August 22	7.5
420	May 27	June 24	7.7	924	July 25	August 22	7.6
428	May 28	June 24	7.6	934	July 26	August 22	7.6

**Table 4.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Alfalfa Meal.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
944	..... July 27	August 24	7.6	1261	.... August 31	Sept. 16	7.7
954	..... July 28	No Sample		1271	..... Sept. 1	Sept. 17	7.6
964	..... July 29	August 24	7.6	1281	..... Sept. 2	Sept. 18	7.6
974	.... August 1	August 24	7.6	1291	..... Sept. 3	Sept. 18	7.7
984	.... August 2	August 25	7.7	1301	..... Sept. 4	Oct. 5	7.6
994	.... August 3	August 25	7.7	1311	..... Sept. 5	Oct. 5	7.7
1004	.... August 4	August 25	7.6	1321	..... Sept. 6	Oct. 5	7.7
1014	.... August 5	August 25	7.7	1331	..... Sept. 7	Oct. 2	7.6
1024	.... August 6	August 26	7.7	1341	..... Sept. 8	Oct. 2	7.6
1034	.... August 7	August 26	7.7	1351	..... Sept. 9	Oct. 2	7.6
1044	.... August 8	August 26	7.7	1361	..... Sept. 10	Oct. 2	7.6
1054	.... August 9	August 26	7.7	1371	..... Sept. 11	Oct. 2	7.5
1064	.... August 11	August 27	7.7	1381	..... Sept. 12	Oct. 2	7.6
1075	.... August 12	August 27	7.7	1391	..... Sept. 13	Oct. 15	7.6
1086	.... August 14	August 27	7.7	1401	..... Sept. 14	Oct. 15	7.6
1097	.... August 15	August 27	7.7	1411	..... Sept. 15	Oct. 15	7.6
1108	.... August 16	August 27	7.6	1421	..... Sept. 16	Oct. 15	7.5
1119	.... August 17	August 27	7.6	1431	..... Sept. 17	Oct. 9	7.6
1130	.... August 18	August 31	7.7	1441	..... Sept. 18	Oct. 9	7.5
1141	.... August 19	August 31	7.7	1451	..... Sept. 19	Oct. 9	7.5
1151	.... August 20	August 31	7.6	1461	..... Sept. 20	Oct. 9	7.6
1161	.... August 21	August 31	7.6	1471	..... Sept. 21	Oct. 9	7.6
1171	.... August 22	Sept. 1	7.7	1481	..... Sept. 22	Oct. 9	7.6
1181	.... August 23	Sept. 1	7.7	1491	..... Sept. 23	Oct. 8	7.6
1191	.... August 24	Sept. 1	7.6	1501	..... Sept. 24	Oct. 8	7.6
1201	.... August 25	Sept. 1	7.6	1511	..... Sept. 25	Oct. 7	7.6
1211	.... August 26	Sept. 2	7.6	1521	..... Sept. 26	Oct. 7	7.6
1221	.... August 27	Sept. 15	7.7	1531	..... Sept. 27	Oct. 7	7.6
1231	.... August 28	Sept. 15	7.6	1541	..... Sept. 28	Oct. 7	7.6
1241	.... August 29	Sept. 16	7.7	1551	..... Sept. 29	Oct. 7	7.6
1251	.... August 30	Sept. 16	7.7	1561	..... Sept. 30	Oct. 9	7.6

The results for the season show that 71.59 percent of the determinations gave pH readings of 7.6 or above and 28.41 percent, of 7.5 or below with 71.00 percent from 7.6 to 7.7. As compared with the check plots, there was a slight tendency to produce a little acid, but not as much as with the blood meal. At all times the plot remained distinctly alkaline.

**Table 5.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Barley Straw.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
5	April 1	May 4	7.7	437	May 29	June 24	7.6
13	April 2	May 4	7.6	445	May 30	June 24	7.6
21	April 3	May 7	7.5	445A	May 31	June 24	7.7
29	April 4	May 7	7.4	453	June 1	June 25	7.6
37	April 5	May 8	7.6	461	June 2	June 25	7.6
45	April 6	May 8	7.6	469	June 3	June 25	7.6
53	April 7	May 8	7.5	477	June 4	June 25	7.6
61	April 8	May 8	7.5	485	June 5	June 25	7.6
69	April 9	May 9	7.6	493	June 6	June 25	7.6
77	April 10	May 9	7.5	509	June 8	June 26	7.6
85	April 11	May 13	7.5	517	June 9	June 26	7.5
93	April 12	May 18	7.5	525	June 10	June 26	7.5
101	April 13	May 18	7.5	533	June 11	June 26	7.6
109	April 14	May 18	7.3	541	June 12	June 26	7.7
117	April 15	May 19	7.5	549	June 13	June 27	7.7
125	April 17	April 29	7.7	557	June 14	June 27	7.7
133	April 18	April 29	7.6	565	June 15	June 27	7.6
141	April 19	April 30	7.6	573	June 16	July 8	7.6
149	April 20	May 1	7.6	581	June 17	July 8	7.6
157	April 21	May 15	7.5	590	June 18	July 8	7.6
165	April 22	May 18	7.6	599	June 19	July 9	7.6
173	April 23	May 18	7.5	608	June 20	July 10	7.6
181	April 24	May 18	7.5	618	June 22	July 10	7.6
189	April 25	May 15	7.3	627	June 23	July 11	7.6
197	April 26	May 14	7.3	636	June 24	July 11	7.6
205	April 27	May 14	7.1	645	June 25	July 13	7.6
213	April 28	May 14	7.3	655	June 26	July 13	7.6
221	April 29	May 15	7.4	665	June 27	July 13	7.6
229	April 30	May 13	7.5	675	June 28	July 16	7.6
237	May 1	May 12	7.5	685	June 29	July 16	7.6
245	May 2	May 12	7.4	695	June 30	July 16	7.6
253	May 3	May 11	7.5	705	July 2	July 16	7.6
261	May 4	May 11	7.6	715	July 3	July 16	7.6
269	May 5	May 19	7.4	725	July 5	July 16	7.6
277	May 6	May 19	7.4	735	July 6	July 16	7.6
285	May 7	May 19	7.4	745	July 7	July 17	7.6
293	May 8	May 19	7.4	755	July 8	July 24	7.7
301	May 9	June 17	7.4	765	July 9	July 24	7.6
309	May 13	June 17	7.6	775	July 10	July 24	7.6
317	May 14	June 17	7.6	785	July 11	July 24	7.6
325	May 15	June 17	7.6	795	July 12	July 24	7.6
333	May 16	June 17	7.6	805	July 13	July 24	7.6
341	May 17	June 17	7.6	815	July 14	July 24	7.6
349	May 18	June 17	7.6	825	July 15	July 24	7.6
357	May 19	June 17	7.6	835	July 16	July 25	7.7
365	May 20	June 17	7.6	845	July 17	August 6	7.7
373	May 21	June 17	7.7	855	July 18	August 6	7.7
381	May 22	June 20	7.7	865	July 20	August 6	7.7
389	May 23	June 22	7.6	885	July 21	August 6	7.7
397	May 24	June 22	7.6	895	July 22	August 19	7.7
405	May 25	June 24	7.7	905	July 23	August 19	7.7
413	May 26	June 24	7.7	915	July 24	August 22	7.7
421	May 27	June 24	7.7	925	July 25	August 22	7.7
429	May 28	June 24	7.7	935	July 26	August 22	7.7



**Table 5.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Barley Straw.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
945	.....July 27	August 24	7.6	1262	....August 31	Sept. 16	7.7
955	.....July 28	No Sample		1272	.....Sept. 1	Sept. 17	7.6
965	.....July 29	August 24	7.5	1282	.....Sept. 2	Sept. 18	7.6
975	.....August 1	August 24	7.6	1292	.....Sept. 3	Sept. 18	7.6
985	....August 2	August 25	7.7	1302	.....Sept. 4	Oct. 5	7.7
995	....August 3	August 25	7.7	1312	.....Sept. 5	Oct. 5	7.7
1005	....August 4	August 25	7.6	1322	.....Sept. 6	Oct. 5	7.7
1015	....August 5	August 25	7.7	1332	.....Sept. 7	Oct. 2	7.7
1025	....August 6	August 26	7.7	1342	.....Sept. 8	Oct. 2	7.6
1035	....August 7	August 26	7.7	1352	.....Sept. 9	Oct. 2	7.6
1045	....August 8	August 26	7.7	1362	.....Sept. 10	Oct. 2	7.6
1055	....August 9	August 26	7.7	1372	.....Sept. 11	Oct. 2	7.6
1065	....August 11	August 27	7.7	1382	.....Sept. 12	Oct. 2	7.7
1075	....August 12	August 27	7.7	1392	.....Sept. 13	Oct. 15	7.6
1087	....August 14	August 27	7.7	1402	.....Sept. 14	Oct. 15	7.7
1098	....August 15	August 27	7.7	1412	.....Sept. 15	Oct. 15	7.7
1109	....August 16	August 27	7.7	1422	.....Sept. 16	Oct. 15	7.6
1120	....August 17	August 27	7.6	1432	.....Sept. 17	Oct. 9	7.6
1131	....August 18	August 31	7.7	1442	.....Sept. 18	Oct. 9	7.6
1142	....August 19	August 31	7.7	1452	.....Sept. 19	Oct. 9	7.6
1152	....August 20	August 31	7.6	1462	.....Sept. 20	Oct. 9	7.5
1162	....August 21	August 31	7.6	1472	.....Sept. 21	Oct. 9	7.7
1172	....August 22	Sept. 1	7.7	1482	.....Sept. 22	Oct. 9	7.7
1182	....August 23	Sept. 1	7.7	1492	.....Sept. 23	Oct. 8	7.6
1192	....August 24	Sept. 1	7.6	1502	.....Sept. 24	Oct. 7	7.6
1202	....August 25	Sept. 1	7.6	1512	.....Sept. 25	Oct. 7	7.6
1212	....August 26	Sept. 2	7.6	1522	.....Sept. 26	Oct. 7	7.7
1222	....August 27	Sept. 15	7.7	1532	.....Sept. 27	Oct. 7	7.7
1232	....August 28	Sept. 15	7.7	1542	.....Sept. 28	Oct. 7	7.6
1242	....August 29	Sept. 16	7.7	1552	.....Sept. 29	Oct. 7	7.6
1252	....August 30	Sept. 16	7.7	1562	.....Sept. 30	Oct. 7	7.7

The results for the season show that 81.65 percent of the determinations gave pH readings of 7.6 or above, and 18.35 percent, of 7.5 or below, with 81.65 percent from 7.6 to 7.7. The reaction of this plot appears to occupy an intermediate position between the two check plots, and the barley straw seems to have had little if any effect.

**Table 6.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Corn Fodder.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
6	April 1	May 4	7.6	438	May 29	June 24	7.6
14	April 2	May 4	7.6	446	May 30	June 24	7.6
22	April 3	May 7	7.6	446A	May 31	June 24	7.7
30	April 4	May 7	7.5	454	June 1	June 25	7.6
38	April 5	May 8	7.6	462	June 2	June 25	7.6
46	April 6	May 8	7.6	470	June 3	June 25	7.6
54	April 7	May 8	7.6	478	June 4	June 25	7.6
62	April 8	May 8	7.6	486	June 5	June 25	7.6
70	April 9	May 9	7.6	494	June 6	June 25	7.7
78	April 10	May 9	7.6	510	June 8	June 25	7.7
86	April 11	May 13	7.5	518	June 9	June 26	7.7
94	April 12	May 18	7.5	526	June 10	June 26	7.5
102	April 13	May 18	7.5	534	June 11	June 26	7.6
110	April 14	May 18	7.5	542	June 12	June 26	7.6
118	April 15	May 19	7.4	550	June 13	June 27	7.6
126	April 17	April 29	7.7	558	June 14	June 27	7.7
134	April 18	April 29	7.6	566	June 15	June 27	7.6
142	April 19	April 30	7.6	574	June 16	July 8	7.6
150	April 20	May 1	7.6	582	June 17	July 8	7.6
158	April 21	May 15	7.5	591	June 18	July 8	7.6
166	April 22	May 18	7.6	600	June 19	July 9	7.6
174	April 23	May 18	7.5	609	June 20	July 10	7.6
182	April 24	May 18	7.6	619	June 22	July 10	7.6
190	April 25	May 15	7.4	628	June 23	July 11	7.6
198	April 26	May 14	7.3	637	June 24	July 11	7.6
206	April 27	May 14	7.3	646	June 25	July 13	7.6
214	April 28	May 14	7.4	656	June 26	July 13	7.6
222	April 29	May 15	7.5	666	June 27	July 13	7.6
230	April 30	May 13	7.5	676	June 28	July 13	7.6
238	May 1	May 12	7.5	686	June 29	July 16	7.6
246	May 2	May 12	7.5	696	June 30	July 16	7.6
254	May 3	May 11	7.5	706	July 2	July 16	7.6
262	May 4	May 11	7.5	716	July 3	July 16	7.5
270	May 5	May 19	7.5	726	July 5	July 16	7.6
278	May 6	May 19	7.4	736	July 6	July 16	7.6
286	May 7	May 19	7.5	746	July 7	July 17	7.6
294	May 8	May 19	7.4	756	July 8	July 24	7.6
302	May 9	June 17	7.5	766	July 9	July 24	7.6
310	May 13	June 17	7.6	776	July 10	July 24	7.6
318	May 14	June 17	7.6	786	July 11	July 24	7.6
326	May 15	June 17	7.7	796	July 12	July 24	7.6
334	May 16	June 17	7.6	806	July 13	July 24	7.6
342	May 17	June 17	7.6	816	July 14	July 24	7.6
350	May 18	June 17	7.6	826	July 15	July 24	7.7
358	May 19	June 17	7.6	836	July 16	July 25	7.7
366	May 20	June 17	7.7	846	July 17	August 6	7.7
374	May 21	June 17	7.7	856	July 18	August 6	7.7
382	May 22	June 20	7.7	866	July 20	August 6	7.7
390	May 23	June 22	7.6	886	July 21	August 6	7.7
398	May 24	June 22	7.6	896	July 22	August 19	7.7
406	May 25	June 24	7.7	906	July 23	August 19	7.8
414	May 26	June 24	7.6	916	July 24	August 22	7.8
422	May 27	June 24	7.7	926	July 25	August 22	7.7
430	May 28	June 24	7.7	936	July 26	August 22	7.7

**Table 6.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Corn Fodder.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	ple Sam- No.	Collec- Date of tion	Examina- Date of tion	pH
946	.....July 27	August 24	7.6	1263	....August 31	Sept. 16	7.7
956	.....July 28	No Sample		1273	.....Sept. 1	Sept. 17	7.7
966	.....July 29	August 24	7.7	1283	.....Sept. 2	Sept. 18	7.6
976	....August 1	August 24	7.6	1293	.....Sept. 3	Sept. 18	7.7
986	....August 2	August 25	7.7	1303	.....Sept. 4	Oct. 5	7.7
996	....August 3	August 25	7.8	1313	.....Sept. 5	Oct. 5	7.7
1006	....August 4	August 25	7.7	1323	.....Sept. 6	Oct. 5	7.7
1016	....August 5	August 25	7.7	1333	.....Sept. 7	Oct. 2	7.6
1026	....August 6	August 26	7.7	1343	.....Sept. 8	Oct. 2	7.6
1036	....August 7	August 26	7.7	1353	.....Sept. 9	Oct. 2	7.7
1046	....August 8	August 26	7.7	1363	.....Sept. 10	Oct. 2	7.7
1056	....August 9	August 26	7.7	1373	.....Sept. 11	Oct. 2	7.6
1066	....August 11	August 27	7.7	1383	.....Sept. 12	Oct. 2	7.7
1077	....August 12	August 27	7.7	1393	.....Sept. 13	Oct. 15	7.7
1088	....August 14	August 27	7.7	1403	.....Sept. 14	Oct. 15	7.7
1099	....August 15	August 27	7.5	1413	.....Sept. 15	Oct. 15	7.7
1110	....August 16	August 27	7.7	1423	.....Sept. 16	Oct. 15	7.6
1121	....August 17	August 27	7.7	1433	.....Sept. 17	Oct. 9	7.7
1132	....August 18	August 27	7.7	1453	.....Sept. 19	Oct. 9	7.7
1143	....August 19	August 31	7.7	1463	.....Sept. 20	Oct. 9	7.6
1153	....August 20	August 31	7.6	1473	.....Sept. 21	Oct. 9	7.7
1163	....August 21	August 31	7.6	1483	.....Sept. 22	Oct. 9	7.7
1173	....August 22	Sept. 1	7.7	1493	.....Sept. 23	Oct. 8	7.7
1183	....August 23	Sept. 1	7.7	1503	.....Sept. 24	Oct. 8	7.7
1193	....August 24	Sept. 1	7.6	1513	.....Sept. 25	Oct. 7	7.7
1203	....August 25	Sept. 1	7.6	1523	.....Sept. 26	Oct. 7	7.7
1213	....August 26	Sept. 2	7.6	1533	.....Sept. 27	Oct. 7	7.7
1223	....August 27	Sept. 15	7.7	1543	.....Sept. 28	Oct. 7	7.7
1233	....August 28	Sept. 15	7.7	1553	.....Sept. 29	Oct. 7	7.7
1243	....August 29	Sept. 16	7.7	1563	.....Sept. 30	Oct. 9	7.7
1253	....August 30	Sept. 16	7.7				

The results for the season show that 84.60 percent of the determinations gave pH readings of 7.6 or above, and 15.40 percent, of 7.5 or below, with 82.83 percent from 7.6 to 7.7. Here, as with the barley straw, the corn fodder has produced no change in the soil reaction.

**Table 7.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Superphosphate.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
7	April 1	May 4	7.7	439	May 29	June 24	7.6
15	April 2	May 4	7.7	447	May 30	June 24	7.6
23	April 3	May 7	7.6	447A	May 31	June 24	7.7
31	April 4	May 7	7.5	455	June 1	June 25	7.7
39	April 5	May 8	7.6	463	June 2	June 25	7.6
47	April 6	May 8	7.6	471	June 3	June 25	7.5
55	April 7	May 8	7.6	479	June 4	June 25	7.7
63	April 8	May 8	7.6	487	June 5	June 25	7.6
71	April 9	May 9	7.6	495	June 6	June 26	7.7
79	April 10	May 9	7.6	511	June 8	June 26	7.7
87	April 11	May 13	7.5	519	June 9	June 26	7.6
95	April 12	May 18	7.5	527	June 10	June 26	7.7
103	April 13	May 18	7.5	535	June 11	June 26	7.6
111	April 14	May 18	7.5	543	June 12	June 26	7.7
119	April 15	May 19	7.5	551	June 13	June 27	7.7
127	April 17	April 29	7.6	559	June 14	June 27	7.7
135	April 18	April 29	7.6	567	June 15	June 27	7.6
143	April 19	April 30	7.6	575	June 16	July 8	7.6
151	April 20	May 1	7.6	583	June 17	July 8	7.6
159	April 21	May 15	7.6	592	June 18	July 9	7.6
167	April 22	May 18	7.6	601	June 19	July 7	7.6
175	April 23	May 18	7.6	610	June 20	July 10	7.7
183	April 24	May 18	7.6	620	June 22	July 10	7.6
191	April 25	May 15	7.3	629	June 23	July 11	7.6
199	April 26	May 14	7.5	638	June 24	July 13	7.6
207	April 27	May 14	7.3	647	June 25	July 13	7.6
215	April 28	May 14	7.4	657	June 26	July 13	7.6
223	April 29	May 15	7.5	667	June 27	July 13	7.6
231	April 30	May 13	7.4	677	June 28	July 16	7.6
239	May 1	May 12	7.5	687	June 29	July 16	7.6
241	May 2	May 12	7.5	697	June 30	July 16	7.6
255	May 3	May 11	7.5	707	July 2	July 16	7.6
263	May 4	May 11	7.5	717	July 3	July 16	7.6
271	May 5	May 19	7.5	727	July 5	July 16	7.6
279	May 6	May 19	7.4	737	July 6	July 16	7.6
287	May 7	May 19	7.5	747	July 7	July 17	7.7
295	May 8	May 19	7.6	757	July 8	July 24	7.7
303	May 9	June 17	7.6	767	July 9	July 24	7.6
311	May 13	June 17	7.6	777	July 10	July 24	7.7
319	May 14	June 17	7.7	787	July 11	July 24	7.6
327	May 15	June 15	7.7	797	July 12	July 24	7.6
335	May 16	June 17	7.7	807	July 13	July 24	7.6
343	May 17	June 17	7.7	817	July 14	July 24	7.6
351	May 18	June 17	7.7	827	July 15	July 24	7.7
359	May 19	June 17	7.6	837	July 16	July 25	7.7
367	May 20	June 17	7.7	847	July 17	August 6	7.7
375	May 21	June 17	7.7	857	July 18	August 6	7.7
383	May 22	June 20	7.7	867	July 20	August 6	7.7
391	May 23	June 22	7.6	887	July 21	August 6	7.7
399	May 24	June 22	7.6	897	July 22	August 19	7.7
407	May 25	June 24	7.7	907	July 23	August 19	7.7
415	May 26	June 24	7.6	917	July 24	August 22	7.7
423	May 27	June 24	7.7	927	July 25	August 22	7.7
431	May 28	June 24	7.7	937	July 26	August 22	7.7

**Table 7.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Superphosphate.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
947	.....July 27	August 24	7.7	1264	....August 31	Sept. 16	7.7
957	.....July 28	No Sample		1274	.....Sept. 1	Sept. 17	7.7
967	.....July 29	August 24	7.7	1284	.....Sept. 2	Sept. 18	7.7
977	....August 1	August 24	7.6	1294	.....Sept. 3	Sept. 18	7.7
987	....August 2	August 25	7.7	1304	.....Sept. 4	Oct. 5	7.7
997	....August 3	August 25	7.7	1314	.....Sept. 5	Oct. 5	7.7
1007	....August 4	August 25	7.7	1324	.....Sept. 6	Oct. 5	7.7
1017	....August 5	August 26	7.7	1334	.....Sept. 7	Oct. 2	7.6
1027	....August 6	August 26	7.7	1344	.....Sept. 8	Oct. 2	7.7
1037	....August 7	August 26	7.7	1354	.....Sept. 9	Oct. 2	7.6
1047	....August 8	August 26	7.7	1364	.....Sept. 10	Oct. 2	7.6
1057	....August 9	August 26	7.7	1374	.....Sept. 11	Oct. 2	7.6
1067	....August 11	August 27	7.7	1384	.....Sept. 12	Oct. 2	7.7
1078	....August 12	August 27	7.7	1394	.....Sept. 13	Oct. 15	7.6
1089	....August 14	August 27	7.7	1404	.....Sept. 14	Oct. 15	7.7
1100	....August 15	August 27	7.7	1414	.....Sept. 15	Oct. 15	7.7
1111	....August 16	August 27	7.7	1424	.....Sept. 16	Oct. 15	7.6
1122	....August 17	August 27	7.7	1434	.....Sept. 17	Oct. 9	7.6
1133	....August 18	August 31	7.7	1444	.....Sept. 19	Oct. 9	7.7
1144	....August 19	August 31	7.7	1454	.....Sept. 19	Oct. 9	7.7
1155	....August 20	August 31	7.7	1464	.....Sept. 20	Oct. 9	7.6
1164	....August 21	August 31	7.6	1474	.....Sept. 21	Oct. 9	7.7
1174	....August 22	Sept. 1	7.7	1484	.....Sept. 22	Oct. 9	7.7
1184	....August 23	Sept. 1	7.7	1494	.....Sept. 23	Oct. 9	7.7
1194	....August 24	Sept. 1	7.7	1504	.....Sept. 24	Oct. 8	7.7
1204	....August 25	Sept. 1	7.7	1514	.....Sept. 25	Oct. 8	7.7
1214	....August 26	Sept. 2	7.7	1524	.....Sept. 26	Oct. 7	7.7
1224	....August 27	Sept. 15	7.7	1534	.....Sept. 27	Oct. 7	7.7
1234	....August 28	Sept. 15	7.7	1544	.....Sept. 28	Oct. 7	7.7
1244	....August 29	Sept. 16	7.7	1554	.....Sept. 29	Oct. 7	7.7
1254	....August 30	Sept. 16	7.7	1564	.....Sept. 30	Oct. 9	7.7

The results for the season show that 88.08 percent of the determinations gave pH readings of 7.6 or above, and 11.92 percent, of 7.5 or below, with 88.08 percent from 7.6 to 7.7. Here there is a suggestion of a slight increase in the alkalinity accompanying the use of superphosphate.

**Table 8.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Sulphur.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
8	April 1	May 4	7.7	448	May 30	June 24	7.6
16	April 2	May 4	7.7	448A	May 31	June 25	7.7
24	April 3	May 7	7.6	456	June 1	June 25	7.7
32	April 4	May 7	7.6	464	June 2	June 25	7.5
48	April 6	May 8	7.6	472	June 3	June 25	7.6
56	April 7	May 8	7.6	480	June 4	June 25	7.6
64	April 8	May 8	7.6	488	June 5	June 25	7.6
72	April 9	May 9	7.6	496	June 6	June 26	7.7
80	April 10	May 9	7.6	512	June 8	June 26	7.7
88	April 11	May 13	7.5	520	June 9	June 26	7.6
96	April 12	May 18	7.5	528	June 10	June 26	7.6
104	April 13	May 18	7.5	536	June 11	June 26	7.6
112	April 14	May 18	7.5	544	June 12	June 26	7.6
120	April 15	May 19	7.5	552	June 13	June 27	7.6
128	April 17	April 29	7.7	560	June 14	June 27	7.5
136	April 18	April 30	7.6	568	June 15	June 27	7.6
144	April 19	April 30	7.6	576	June 16	July 8	7.6
152	April 20	May 1	7.7	584	June 17	July 8	7.6
160	April 21	May 15	7.4	593	June 18	July 9	7.6
168	April 22	May 18	7.5	602	June 19	July 10	7.6
176	April 23	May 18	7.6	611	June 20	July 10	7.6
184	April 24	May 18	7.6	621	June 22	July 11	7.6
192	April 25	May 15	7.4	630	June 23	July 11	7.5
200	April 26	May 14	7.5	639	June 24	July 11	7.5
208	April 27	May 14	7.2	648	June 25	July 13	7.6
216	April 28	May 14	7.3	658	June 26	July 13	7.6
224	April 29	May 15	7.3	668	June 27	July 13	7.6
232	April 30	May 13	7.5	678	June 28	July 13	7.5
240	May 1	May 12	7.5	688	June 29	July 16	7.6
248	May 2	May 12	7.5	698	June 30	July 16	7.6
256	May 3	May 11	7.5	708	July 2	July 16	7.6
264	May 4	May 11	7.5	718	July 3	July 16	7.6
272	May 5	May 19	7.4	728	July 5	July 16	7.5
280	May 6	May 19	7.4	738	July 6	July 16	7.5
288	May 7	May 19	7.5	748	July 7	July 17	7.5
296	May 8	May 19	7.4	758	July 8	July 24	7.6
304	May 9	June 17	7.5	768	July 9	July 24	7.6
312	May 13	June 17	7.6	778	July 10	July 24	7.6
320	May 14	June 17	7.7	788	July 11	July 24	7.6
328	May 15	June 17	7.7	798	July 12	July 24	7.6
336	May 16	June 17	7.6	808	July 13	July 24	7.6
344	May 17	June 17	7.6	818	July 14	July 24	7.6
352	May 18	June 17	7.6	828	July 15	July 24	7.6
360	May 19	June 17	7.6	838	July 16	July 25	7.6
368	May 20	June 17	7.7	848	July 17	August 6	7.7
376	May 21	June 17	7.7	858	July 18	August 6	7.7
384	May 22	June 20	7.7	868	July 20	August 6	7.7
392	May 23	June 22	7.5	888	July 21	August 6	7.5
400	May 24	June 22	7.6	898	July 22	August 19	7.6
408	May 25	June 24	7.6	908	July 23	August 19	7.7
416	May 26	June 24	7.6	918	July 24	August 22	7.6
424	May 27	June 24	7.7	928	July 25	August 22	7.6
432	May 28	June 24	7.7	938	July 26	August 22	7.6
440	May 29	June 24	7.6	948	July 27	August 24	7.6

**Table 8.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil Which Received Sulphur.  
All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
958	..... July 28	No Sample		1275	..... Sept. 1	Sept. 17	7.6
968	..... July 29	August 24	7.6	1285	..... Sept. 2	Sept. 18	7.7
978	.... August 1	August 24	7.6	1295	..... Sept. 3	Sept. 18	7.6
988	.... August 2	August 25	7.7	1305	..... Sept. 4	Oct. 5	7.6
998	.... August 3	August 25	7.6	1315	..... Sept. 5	Oct. 5	7.7
1008	.... August 4	August 25	7.6	1325	..... Sept. 6	Oct. 5	7.7
1018	.... August 5	August 25	7.6	1335	..... Sept. 7	Oct. 2	7.6
1028	.... August 6	August 26	7.5	1345	..... Sept. 8	Oct. 2	7.6
1038	.... August 7	August 26	7.6	1355	..... Sept. 9	Oct. 2	7.6
1048	.... August 8	August 26	7.7	1365	..... Sept. 10	Oct. 2	7.6
1058	.... August 9	August 26	7.7	1375	..... Sept. 11	Oct. 2	7.6
1068	.... August 11	August 27	7.7	1385	..... Sept. 12	Oct. 2	7.6
1079	.... August 12	August 27	7.7	1395	..... Sept. 13	Oct. 15	7.6
1090	.... August 14	August 27	7.6	1405	..... Sept. 14	Oct. 15	7.7
1101	.... August 15	August 27	7.6	1415	..... Sept. 15	Oct. 15	7.7
1112	.... August 16	August 27	7.7	1425	..... Sept. 16	Oct. 15	7.6
1123	.... August 17	August 27	7.7	1435	..... Sept. 17	Oct. 9	7.6
1134	.... August 18	August 31	7.6	1445	..... Sept. 18	Oct. 9	7.6
1145	.... August 19	August 31	7.6	1455	..... Sept. 19	Oct. 9	7.6
1155	.... August 20	August 31	7.5	1465	..... Sept. 20	Oct. 9	7.6
1165	.... August 21	August 31	7.6	1475	..... Sept. 21	Oct. 9	7.6
1175	.... August 22	Sept. 1	7.7	1485	..... Sept. 22	Oct. 9	7.6
1185	.... August 23	Sept. 1	7.7	1495	..... Sept. 23	Oct. 8	7.6
1195	.... August 24	Sept. 1	7.7	1505	..... Sept. 24	Oct. 8	7.5
1205	.... August 25	Sept. 1	7.5	1515	..... Sept. 25	Oct. 7	7.7
1215	.... August 26	Sept. 2	7.6	1525	..... Sept. 26	Oct. 7	7.7
1225	.... August 27	Sept. 15	7.7	1535	..... Sept. 27	Oct. 7	7.6
1235	.... August 28	Sept. 15	7.7	1545	..... Sept. 28	Oct. 7	7.6
1245	.... August 29	Sept. 16	7.7	1555	..... Sept. 29	Oct. 7	7.6
1255	.... August 30	Sept. 16	7.7	1565	..... Sept. 30	Oct. 9	7.7
1265	.... August 31	Sept. 16	7.7				

The results for the season show that 78.69 percent of the determinations gave pH readings of 7.6 or above and 21.31 percent, of 7.5 or below, with 78.69 percent from 7.6 to 7.7. The sulphur appears to have produced practically no change in the reaction altho when compared with the south check there is a slight indication in the acid direction. The soil was distinctly alkaline at all times.

**Table 9.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil in Which Green Barley Was Plowed Under. All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
585	..... June 17	July 8	7.5	1080	.... August 12	August 28	7.7
594	..... June 18	July 8	7.5	1091	.... August 14	August 28	7.7
603	..... June 19	July 8	7.6	1100	.... August 18	August 28	7.2
612	..... June 20	July 10	7.6	1113	.... August. 16	August 28	7.8
622	..... June 22	No Sample		1124	.... August 17	August 28	7.7
631	..... June 23	No Sample		1135	.... August 18	Sept. 2	7.7
640	..... June 24	No Sample		1146	.... August 19	Sept. 2	7.7
649	..... June 25	July 13	7.6	1156	.... August 20	Sept. 2	7.7
659	..... June 26	July 13	7.6	1166	.... August 21	Sept. 2	7.6
669	..... June 27	July 13	7.6	1176	.... August 22	Sept. 2	7.7
679	..... June 28	July 14	7.6	1186	.... August 23	Sept. 2	7.7
689	..... June 29	July 14	7.6	1196	.... August 24	Sept. 2	7.7
699	..... June 30	July 14	7.6	1206	.... August 25	Sept. 2	7.6
709	..... July 2	July 14	7.6	1216	.... August 26	Sept. 2	7.6
719	..... July 3	July 14	7.6	1226	.... August 27	Sept. 15	7.6
729	..... July 5	July 17	7.6	1236	.... August 28	Sept. 15	7.6
739	..... July 6	July 17	7.6	1246	.... August 29	Sept. 16	7.7
749	..... July 7	July 17	7.6	1256	.... August 30	Sept. 16	7.6
759	..... July 8	July 24	7.6	1266	.... August 31	Sept. 17	7.6
769	..... July 9	July 24	7.6	1276	..... Sept. 1	Sept. 17	7.6
779	..... July 10	July 25	7.6	1286	..... Sept. 2	Sept. 18	7.6
789	..... July 11	July 25	7.7	1296	..... Sept. 3	Sept. 18	7.7
799	..... July 12	July 24	7.6	1306	..... Sept. 4	Oct. 2	7.7
809	..... July 13	July 24	7.6	1316	..... Sept. 5	Oct. 2	7.7
819	..... July 14	July 24	7.6	1326	..... Sept. 6	Oct. 5	7.7
829	..... July 15	July 24	7.6	1330	..... Sept. 7	Oct. 2	7.7
839	..... July 16	July 25	7.6	1346	..... Sept. 8	Oct. 2	7.7
849	..... July 17	August 24	7.7	1356	..... Sept. 9	Oct. 2	7.7
859	..... July 18	August 24	7.7	1366	..... Sept. 10	Oct. 2	7.7
869	..... July 20	August 24	7.6	1376	..... Sept. 11	Oct. 2	7.7
889	..... July 21	August 24	7.6	1386	..... Sept. 12	Oct. 2	7.7
899	..... July 22	August 24	7.6	1396	..... Sept. 13	Oct. 2	7.7
909	..... July 23	August 24	7.6	1406	..... Sept. 14	Oct. 2	7.7
919	..... July 24	August 24	7.6	1416	..... Sept. 15	Oct. 13	7.7
929	..... July 25	August 24	7.6	1426	..... Sept. 16	Oct. 13	7.7
939	..... July 26	August 24	7.6	1436	..... Sept. 17	Oct. 13	7.6
949	..... July 27	August 24	7.6	1446	..... Sept. 18	Oct. 13	7.6
959	..... July 28	No Sample		1456	..... Sept. 19	Oct. 9	7.6
969	..... July 29	August 24	7.6	1466	..... Sept. 20	Oct. 9	7.6
979	.... August 1	August 24	7.6	1476	..... Sept. 21	Oct. 9	7.6
989	.... August 2	August 24	7.6	1486	..... Sept. 22	Oct. 9	7.7
999	.... August 3	August 25	7.6	1496	..... Sept. 23	Oct. 9	7.7
1009	.... August 4	August 26	7.7	1506	..... Sept. 24	Oct. 9	7.6
1019	.... August 5	August 26	7.7	1516	..... Sept. 25	Oct. 9	7.6
1029	.... August 6	August 26	7.7	1526	..... Sept. 26	Oct. 9	7.6
1039	.... August 7	August 26	7.6	1536	..... Sept. 27	Oct. 9	7.6
1049	.... August 8	August 26	7.7	1546	..... Sept. 28	Oct. 9	7.7
1059	.... August 9	August 28	7.7	1556	..... Sept. 29	Oct. 9	7.6
1069	.... August 11	August 28	7.7	1566	..... Sept. 30	Oct. 9	7.6

The results for the season show that 96.79 percent of the determinations gave pH readings of 7.6 or above and 3.21 percent, of 7.5 or below, with 95.73 percent from 7.6 to 7.7. It is evident from these figures that the green barley was able to maintain a higher alkalinity over a longer period than was found in the check plots.



**Table 10.—Hydrogen-ion Concentration in Soil in Which Green Cane Was Plowed Under. All Dates Are 1925.**

Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH	Sam- ple No.	Date of Collec- tion	Date of Examina- tion	pH
650	June 25	July 13	7.6	1114	August 16	August 28	7.7
660	June 26	July 13	7.6	1125	August 17	August 28	7.7
670	June 27	July 13	7.6	1136	August 18	Sept. 2	7.7
680	June 28	July 13	7.6	1147	August 19	Sept. 2	7.7
690	June 29	July 14	7.6	1157	August 20	Sept. 2	7.8
700	June 30	July 14	7.6	1167	August 21	Sept. 2	7.6
710	July 2	July 14	7.6	1177	August 22	Sept. 2	7.7
720	July 3	July 17	7.6	1187	August 23	Sept. 2	7.7
730	July 5	July 17	7.6	1197	August 24	Sept. 2	7.7
740	July 6	July 17	7.6	1207	August 26	Sept. 2	7.6
750	July 7	July 17	7.6	1217	August 26	Sept. 2	7.7
760	July 8	July 24	7.7	1227	August 27	Sept. 15	7.7
770	July 9	July 24	7.6	1237	August 28	Sept. 16	7.7
780	July 10	July 26	7.7	1247	August 29	Sept. 16	7.7
790	July 11	July 26	7.7	1257	August 30	Sept. 17	7.7
800	July 12	July 24	7.6	1267	August 31	Sept. 16	7.7
810	July 13	July 24	7.6	1277	Sept. 1	Sept. 17	7.7
820	July 14	July 24	7.6	1287	Sept. 2	Sept. 18	7.6
830	July 15	July 24	7.6	1297	Sept. 3	Sept. 18	7.7
840	July 16	July 25	7.6	1307	Sept. 4	Oct. 5	7.7
850	July 17	August 24	7.7	1317	Sept. 5	Oct. 5	7.7
860	July 18	August 24	7.7	1327	Sept. 6	Oct. 2	7.7
870	July 20	August 24	7.7	1337	Sept. 7	Oct. 2	7.7
880	July 19	August 24	7.7	1347	Sept. 8	Oct. 2	7.7
890	July 21	August 24	7.6	1357	Sept. 9	Oct. 2	7.7
900	July 22	August 24	7.6	1367	Sept. 10	Oct. 2	7.7
910	July 23	August 24	7.7	1377	Sept. 11	Oct. 2	7.7
920	July 24	August 24	7.6	1387	Sept. 12	Oct. 2	7.7
930	July 25	August 24	7.6	1397	Sept. 13	Oct. 2	7.7
940	July 26	August 24	7.6	1407	Sept. 14	Oct. 2	7.7
950	July 27	August 24	7.6	1417	Sept. 15	Oct. 13	7.7
960	July 28	No Sample		1427	Sept. 16	Oct. 13	7.7
970	July 29	August 24	7.6	1437	Sept. 17	Oct. 13	7.7
980	August 1	August 26	7.6	1447	Sept. 18	Oct. 13	7.7
990	August 2	August 26	7.7	1457	Sept. 19	Oct. 9	7.7
1000	August 3	August 26	7.7	1467	Sept. 20	Oct. 9	7.7
1010	August 4	August 26	7.7	1477	Sept. 21	Oct. 9	7.7
1020	August 5	August 26	7.7	1487	Sept. 22	Oct. 9	7.7
1030	August 6	August 26	7.7	1497	Sept. 23	Oct. 9	7.7
1040	August 7	August 26	7.7	1507	Sept. 24	Oct. 9	7.7
1050	August 8	August 26	7.7	1517	Sept. 25	Oct. 9	7.7
1060	August 9	August 28	7.7	1527	Sept. 26	Oct. 9	7.7
1070	August 11	August 28	7.7	1537	Sept. 27	Oct. 9	7.7
1081	August 12	August 28	7.8	1547	Sept. 28	Oct. 9	7.6
1092	August 14	August 28	7.7	1557	Sept. 29	Oct. 9	7.7
1103	August 15	August 28	7.8	1567	Sept. 30	Oct. 9	7.7

The results for the season show that 100 percent of the determinations gave pH readings of 7.6 or above and none of 7.5 or below, with 96.69 percent from 7.6 to 7.7. As was the case with the green barley, here also the green cane imparted to the soil a higher alkalinity over a longer period than was present in the check plots.

**Table 11.—Summary, Showing Percentage Occurrence of pH Values With Different Treatments—Dry Soil.**

Treatment	No. of Determi- nations	Percentage Occurrence of pH Values							
		7.1	7.2	7.3	7.4	7.5	7.6	7.7	7.8
North Check .....	169	.59	0.00	3.55	1.77	15.38	42.60	34.91	1.18
South Check .....	169	0.00	0.00	1.18	0.00	11.24	24.85	59.76	2.95
Blood Meal .....	168	1.19	2.38	4.76	6.54	21.42	53.57	9.52	.59
Alfalfa Meal .....	169	1.18	.59	4.73	3.55	18.34	52.07	18.93	.59
Barley Straw .....	169	.58	0.00	2.36	4.73	10.65	48.52	33.13	0.00
Corn Fodder .....	169	0.00	0.00	1.18	2.95	11.24	43.19	39.64	1.77
Phosphate .....	168	0.00	0.00	1.19	1.78	8.92	36.30	51.78	0.00
Sulphur .....	169	0.00	.59	1.18	2.95	16.56	53.84	24.85	0.00
Green Barley .....	94	0.00	1.06	0.00	0.00	2.12	57.44	38.29	1.06
Green Cane .....	91	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.86	64.83	3.29

It is clear from the results tabulated above, that not only did we fail to secure an appreciable increase in the hydrogen-ion concentration by the different treatments, but also that there was an actual increase in the alkalinity of the soil where the green manures were used. This should not be construed, however, as meaning that no acid was formed during the fermentation of the barley and cane, but rather that it may have reacted with other soil compounds in such a way as to have produced an increase in the alkalinity.

If we may be permitted to speculate on this point, we would suggest something like this: The organic acids formed, altho possessing low ionization constants, reacted with calcium carbonate to form unstable salts of calcium and the respective acid, setting free carbon dioxide and water. Due to their instability as compared with calcium carbonate, they have dissociated easily, setting free calcium ions which in turn have been hydrolyzed to form calcium hydroxide to which the increased alkalinity may be attributed.

Having anticipated at the beginning of the experiment that we should be able to demonstrate, locally at least, an appreciable increase in hydrogen-ion concentration from the fermentation of these organic materials, we were rather disappointed with the outcome of the investigation. It occurred to us that possibly during the interval of drying the samples, certain chemical changes had taken place, and that the reaction of the original moist field samples might have been different from that of the air-dried ones. Again the results might have been different even with dried samples, had our soil not contained such a large excess of calcium carbonate. The thought occurred to us, that, possibly, under the conditions of our experiment, bacterial action and the accompanying acid production may have ceased with drying, while the chemical action between the acid and the calcium carbonate continued.

## MOIST SAMPLES

In order to ascertain whether any appreciable increase in hydrogen-ion concentration could be detected in this soil when the pH readings were made upon moist samples, a further investigation was carried on under laboratory conditions.

Three thousand grams of the same soil were used as the basis of each test. This was placed in a 10-inch crystallizing dish, and the different organic materials and fertilizers were added and thoroly mixed at the same rate as in the preceding field study. The moisture content was maintained at 18 percent with distilled water. Daily hydrogen-ion determinations were made over a period of 60 days, using 15 grams of the moist soil for each test.

The results are summarized in Table 12.

**Table 12.—Summary, Showing Percentage Occurrence of pH Values With Different Treatments—Moist Soil.**

Treatment	No. of Determinations	Percentage Occurrence of pH Values								
		7.1	7.2	7.3	7.4	7.5	7.6	7.7	7.8	
Green Barley .....	60	0.00	0.00	3.30	26.00	56.00	11.60	11.60	0.00	
Alfalfa Meal .....	60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.60	98.00	
Barley Straw .....	60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	
Corn Fodder .....	60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	
Acid Phosphate ..	60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	
Sulphur .....	60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	

Under the conditions of this experiment, the soil which received the green barley gave a pH reading of 7.4 in 26 percent of the determinations and 7.5 in 56 percent whereas in the preceding series only 1.7 percent gave 7.4 and 15.38 percent 7.5. While the change in hydrogen-ion concentration was only slight and may have been due wholly or in part to the changed conditions of the experiment, the evidence, nevertheless, points to an increase in the hydrogen-ion concentration during the fermentation of the green manure. From this it would appear that it is possible to detect a slight increase in hydrogen-ion concentration even in the presence of excessive calcium carbonate if soil in a moist condition is examined.

The soils to which the other materials were added gave no further evidence of acid production than with the dried samples; in fact, the soil treated with the alfalfa meal showed an increased alkalinity which may be explained as suggested for the green manures in the preceding experiment. It is possible, of course, that this may have resulted from ammonification, pure and simple, but if this was the case, we are at a loss to explain the failure of the other residues to react likewise.

## SUMMARY

Daily determinations of the hydrogen-ion concentration in a silt loam, containing 3.184 percent of calcium carbonate, were made from April 1 to October 1 to ascertain the effect of certain crop residues, green manures and commercial fertilizers upon the soil reaction.

The crop residues consisted of alfalfa meal, barley straw and corn fodder; the green manures were green barley and green cane; the commercial fertilizers were acid phosphate, dried blood and sulphur.

The determinations were made upon soil which was in a moist condition and upon that which had been air dried.

The readings were made by the colorimetric method and were checked electrometrically.

## CONCLUSION

Altho it has been possible to increase the hydrogen-ion concentration of this soil slightly by means of the green barley, the reaction remained at all times easily within the optimum range for both the fixation of nitrogen and the growth of *Azotobacter*.

In the light of our results, we must conclude that the green manures, crop residues and commercial fertilizers used in this experiment have no value as a source of acid for increasing the hydrogen-ion concentration of a soil rich in calcium carbonate where it is necessary to increase that concentration from pH 7.7 to 6.0 in order to limit the growth of *Azotobacter*, and consequently are of no benefit in the control of nitrogen fixation by *Azotobacter*.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. Fred, E. B. and Davenport, Audrey.  
1918, Reaction of Nitrogen Assimilating Bacteria.  
Jour. Agr. Research. Vol. 14, No. 8, p 317, 1918.
2. Gainey, P. L.  
1918, Soil Reaction and the Growth of Azotobacter (Preliminary Paper).  
Jour. Agr. Research. Vol. 14, p 265-271.
3. -----  
1923, A Study of the Effect of Changing the Absolute Reaction of Soils upon their Azotobacter Content.  
Jour. Agr. Research, Vol. 24, p 289-296.
4. ----- and Bachelor, H. W.  
1923, Influence of the Hydrogen-ion Concentration on the Growth and Fixation of Nitrogen by Cultures of Azotobacter.  
Jour. Agr. Research. Vol. 24, p 759-767.
5. -----  
1923, Influence of the Absolute Reaction of a Soil upon its Azotobacter Flora and Nitrogen Fixing Ability.  
Jour. Agr. Research. Vol. 24, p 907-938.
6. Headden, William P.  
1910, Fixation of Nitrogen in Some Colorado Soils. Bulletin 155, Colorado Experiment Station, 1910.
7. -----  
1911, A Further Study of the Fixation of Nitrogen in Some Colorado Soils.  
Bulletin 178, Colorado Experiment Station, 1911.
8. -----  
1913, The Distribution of the Nitrates and their Relation to the Alkalis.  
Bulletin 180, Colorado Experiment Station, 1913.
9. -----  
1918, Alkalis in Colorado.  
Bulletin 239, Colorado Experiment Station, 1918.
10. -----  
1921, Fixation of Nitrogen in Colorado Soils.  
Bulletin 258, Colorado Experiment Station, 1921.
11. -----  
1923, A Peculiar Soil Condition in the San Luis Valley.  
Bulletin 286, Colorado Experiment Station, 1923.
12. -----  
1915, The Nitrate Question in Colorado.  
Bulletin 299, Colorado Experiment Station, 1925.

13. Johnson, Harlan W. and Lipman, Charles B.  
1922, The Effect of Reaction on the Fixation of Nitrogen by Azotobacter.  
University of California Publications in Agricultural Sciences. Vol. 4, No. 12, p 397, 1922.
14. Medalia, Leon S.  
1920, "Color Standards" for the Colorimetric Measurement of Hydrogen-ion Concentration pH 1.2 to pH 9.8.  
Jour. Bacteriology, Vol. 5, p 441.
15. Sackett, Walter G.  
1911, Bacteriological Studies of the Fixation of Nitrogen in Certain Colorado Soils.  
Bulletin 179, Colorado Experiment Station, June 1911.
16. -----  
1912, The Ammonifying Efficiency of Certain Colorado Soils.  
Bulletin 184, Colorado Experiment Station, June, 1912.
17. -----  
1914, The Nitrifying Efficiency of Certain Colorado Soils.  
Bulletin 193, Colorado Experiment Station, January, 1914.
18. -----  
1914, Some Soil Changes Produced by Microorganisms.  
Bulletin 196, Colorado Experiment Station, June, 1914.