1) Colorado

April, 1922

The Agricultural Experiment Station

Colorado Agricultural College .

ORCHARD SURVEY OF THE ARKANSAS VALLEY DISTRICT

By E. P. SANDSTEN and C. M. TOMPKINS



PUBLISHED BY THE EXPERIMENT STATION FORT COLLINS, COLORADO 1922

The Colorado Agricultural College

FORT COLLINS, COLORADO
THE STATE BOARD OF AGRICULTURE
HON. H. D. PARKER Greeley, 1923 MRS. AGNES L. RIDDLE Denver, 1923 HON. J. C. BELL Montrose, 1925 HON. E. M. AMMONS Denver, 1925 HON. W. I. GIFFORD Durango, 1927 HON. J. B. RYAN Rocky Ford, 1927 HON. A. A. EDWARDS, President of the Board Fort Collins, 1929 HON. J. S. CALKINS Westminster, 1929 GOVERNOR OLIVER H. SHOUR The service of the service o
GOVERNOR OLIVER H. SHOUP PRESIDENT CHAS. A. LORY Ex-Officio
L. M. TAYLOR, Secretary G. A. WEBB, Treasurer
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
E. M. AMMONS A. A. EDWARDS, Chairman H. D. PARKER
OFFICERS OF THE EXPERIMENT STATION
CHAS. A. LORY, M.S. L.L.D., D.Sc. President C. P. GILLETTE, M.S., D.Sc. Director L D CRAIN, B.M.E., M.M.E. Vice-Director L. M. TAYLOR. Secretary ANNA T. BAKER Executive Clerk
STATION STAFF
Agricultural Division
C. P. GILLETTE, M.S., D.Sc., Director
Engineering Division

ORCHARD SURVEY OF THE ARKANSAS VALLEY DISTRICT

By E. P. SANDSTEN AND C. M. TOMPKINS

This district extends from the mouth of the Grand Canon of the Arkansas River at Canon City in an easterly direction to La Junta, a distance of about 120 miles, and includes the following counties: Fremont, Pueblo, Crowley and Otero.

The area adapted to tree fruits is confined to two rather narrow and broken strips of lands on both sides of the Arkansas River. The better orchard land is mostly confined to the second river level. The upper portion of the valley from Canon City to south of Florence is quite extensive, and consists of series of terraces and slopes which provide excellent soil and air drainage. The bottom lands are quite level with a gradual slope toward the river and in the direction of the flow of the river. The river-bottom land is in most cases unsuited for fruit trees, being subject to late spring frosts, and it also lacks both soil and air drainage. In the vicinity of Pueblo the best fruit-lands are located on the bench some distance from the river. The valley at this point is broad and the bench land merges into the plains. Available water for irrigation is limited and fruit growing is necessarily confined to the irrigated section. In the lower portion of the district, in Otero and Crowley counties, the fruit lands are confined to the second river-level or on what may be termed the transition zone between the river bottom and the open plains. The soil in this portion of the district is sandy loam and well adapted to fruit trees. Due to the general level of the land, the air drainage is not as good as one would wish and consequently destructive spring frosts often occur. While this is true of the section as a whole, there are a number of fine orchards and orchard sites, especially in places where the land is broken up into ridges and slopes which provide the necessary air and soil drainage.

Taking the district as a whole, the orchard industry has probably reached its maximum development. This is especially true of the apples. In fact apple production is not as high there today as it was ten years ago. The cherry industry, on the other hand, shows a considerable expansion, especially in the Penrose or Beaver Creek section.

The decline in the apple industry is due to a number of causes, chiefly to the frequent occurrence of late spring frosts, and to the general neglect of the orchards. This is particularly true in the eastern part of the district. Suitable orchard sites are relatively scarce, and many of the earlier orchards were planted on poor sites. It is doubtful if commercial apple-growing in the district

will ever become profitable outside of a few favorable localities, especially in competition with more favorable sections. The sour cherry industry, however, is capable of considerable expansion.

CONDITION OF THE ORCHARDS

The majority of the orchards are in sod, or planted with small fruits or vegetables between the rows. Generally speaking, there is no well-defined system of cultivation, pruning, and spraying, and as a result the fruit is of lower quality than would be obtained under better cultural methods. This is natural, since most of the owners are not dependent entirely upon their returns from the fruit. The orchard is, in most cases, a side issue to other lines Most of the trees are at an age when the fruit of agriculture becomes smaller, less colored, and hence of lower value than is obtained from younger bearing trees. For this reason there is need for considerable improvement along the lines of pruning, cultivation and fertilization. The object of cultivation and fertilization is to stimulate the tree in making new wood. New wood is necessary to enable the trees to assimilate food for the production of fruit. The present condition of many orchards is such that even during a good fruit year, the trees can only produce small and inferior fruit.

Another decided drawback to fruit growing in the district is the large number of varieties planted. Not less than eighty-four varieties are listed, while ten to fifteen constitute practically all the standard commercial varieties that can be grown successfully in the district. Top-working these non-commercial varieties would materially increase the value of the orchards and facilitate the work of caring for the trees.

Many of the orchards are in alfalfa or some permanent hay crop. Only a few growers practice clean cultivation and fewer still practice a definite system of alternating cover crops with clean cultivation. Many orchards are used for the growing of hay and not a few growers use the orchards as a pasture for livestock. Neglect of the orchards is apparent, and if fruit growing is to be profitable in the future, the orchards must first be put in condition to support a normal crop.

SUGGESTIONS

Neglected and run-down orchards can be restored, in part at least, to normal productiveness. The method of restoration will necessarily vary with the condition of the trees and soil. As a rule both the trees and the soil need attention.

Where pruning has been neglected the trees are usually full of wood which must be thinned out. This will act as a stimulant to more vigorous wood growth and the storing up of reserve food for fruit bud formation. The pruning should be done from February to April, and should not be too severe. If a large number of branches have to be removed it is preferable to complete the pruning the second year. Make the cut close to the main branches and make clean and smooth cuts. Dressing the wounds is not necessary.

The land should be plowed as early as possible in the spring, and before plowing, a heavy dressing of well-rotted stable manure should be applied. Where the orchard has been in sod for several years the plowing should be shallow so as not to tear up the small feeding-roots which always come to the surface in sod orchards. A couple years of clean cultivation will send the roots downward and there will be no danger of disturbing them. An orchard that has been in sod for a number of years should be kept in clean cultivation for at least three years. Afterward the orchard should be kept alternately in some kind of cover crop and in clean cultivation.

A neglected orchard is never a paying proposition. Even during a good fruit year the trees are in no condition to produce a profitable crop. An orchard must be given yearly and seasonal attention if it is to do its best. If the orchard is to be neglected it is better to remove the trees and use the land for other crops.

Spraying is another necessity that is too often neglected. No profitable crop of fruit can be produced without thorough spraying.

MARKET FACILITIES

The Arkansas Valley district is ideally situated, both as to market and transportation. Its close proximity to Colorado Springs, Denver and other towns, makes the disposal of the orchard products simple and profitable. The local market in Pueblo is always excellent and practically all kinds of fruit are disposed of locally. In respect to prices obtained the district is better situated than any fruit district in the State. For distant market, the growers have a much lower freight rate than western Colorado, and on this account the growers realize a relatively higher profit.

CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA

The record of the U. S. Weather Bureau Station at Pueblo, shows the following data which is fairly representative of the whole Arkansas Valley fruit district. This is especially true of that portion of the valley east of Pueblo. The climate at Canon City, Fremont County, has a higher winter temperature and a greater winter precipitation, and consequently the more tender and long-season varieties can be grown successfully. Pears and grapes can be grown quite successfully in the Canon City section, but not east of Pueblo 'The climate is the limiting factor in fruit production in this district.

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

I. Precipitation hundredths).	n in t	he regi	on drain	ned by t	he Arka	ınsas R	tiver: M	onthly, a	ınnual, s	ınd avei	rage am	ounts (in	on in the region drained by the Arkansas River: Monthly, annual, and average amounts (in inches and
Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May Pueblo0.34 0.58 0.67 1.84 1.63 Pueblo, Pueblo County, Colorado—Elevation I. A. Average Monthly and Annual Snowfall	Feb. 0.58 lo Count Monthly	Mar. 0.67 :y, Color and An	Apr. 1.84 rado—E	Feb. Mar. Apr. May 0.58 0.67 1.84 1.63 o County, Colorado—Elevation Monthly and Annual Snowfall.	June J 1.31 1 4,734 feet.	July 1.98 set.	Aug. 1.70	Sept. 0.85	Oct. 0.62	Nov. 0.51	Dec.	Annual 12.52	Average 1869-1916
Pueblo 27 3.6 6.1	Jan. 3.6 e Numt		Mar. Apr. 4.1 2.3 Days with 0.01	Apr. 2.3 th 0.01 I	May 0.2 Inch or	June 0 More P	June July Aug 0 0 0 More Precipitation.	Aug 0 tíon.	Sept. T.	0ct.	Nov.	Dec. 5.8	Annual 25.5
Length of Record (Years) Jan. Fe Pueblo 27 4 5 1V Mean Temperatures.	rd Jan. 4 mperatu	Feb. 5 res.	Mar. 6	Apr. 6	May 7	June 7	July 9	Aug. 8	Sept.	Oct.	Nov. 3	Dec. 4	Annual 67
Pueblo 28 3 V. Mean Minim	. Jan. 31.2 imum T	Jan. Feb. Mar. 31.2 31.8 41.3	Mar. 41.3 tures.	Apr. 50.7	May 59.0	June 68.8	July 73.8	Aug. 72.7	Sept. 64.6	Oct.	Nov. 40.5	Dec. 31.5	Annual 51.5
Length of Record (Years) Jan. Pueblo 28 17.0 VI. Mean Maximum	Jan. 17.0 Ximum	Feb. Mar. 17.5 27.1 Texperatures	Mar. 27.1 aturcs.	Apr. 36.1	May 45.3	June 54.2	July 59.6	Aug. 58.4	Sept. 49.3	Oct. 36.9	Nov. 25.3	Dec. 17.1	Annual 37.0
μ ,	fa Jan. 45.2 Temper	d Jan. Feb. 45.2 46.0 Temperatures.	Mar. 55.5	Apr. 64.5	May 72.7	June 83.5	July 88.0	Aug. 87.1	Sept. 80.0	Oct. 67.4	Nov. 55.7	Dec. 45.9	Annual 66.0
Length of Record (Years) Js Pueblo 28 76 VIII. Lowest T	d Jan. 70 Temper	d Jan. Feb. 70 74 Temperatures.	Mar. 85	Apr. 88	May 95	June 103	July 103	Aug. 104	Sept. 98	Oct. 88	Nov. 81	Dec. 74	Annual 104
(Years) Ja (Years) Ja Pueblo 28 -25 IX. Frost Data.	Jan. -25 tta.	Feb.	Mar. -9	Apr. 12	May 23	June 34	July 41	Aug. 39	Sept 28	Oct. 16	Nov. -17	Dec. -18	Annual -27
		Length of Record (Years)	of d	Average last frost in	Average date of last killing frost in spring.	·	Average date of first killing frost in autumm.	date of illing autunin.	Lete kil	Latest date of killing frost in spring.	e of ist	Earli kill in	Earliest date of killing frost in autumn.

The city smoke hinders the formation of frost, while the topographic surroundings probably slightly favor frost forma-

tion, being lower than the ground to the east, north, and northwest.

ORCHARD SURVEY OF ARKANSAS VALLEY

	`	J. (C. 111.11.2	DONIZI	01 111			
Average 1888-1916	Annual 17.9	Annual 35	Annual 51.6	Annual 35.4	Annual 68.5	Annual 105 Annual -32	Earliest date of killing frost in autumn Sept. 17
Annual 12.67	Dec. 5.4	Dec.	Dec. 30.0	Dec. 13.6	Dec.	Dec. 75 Dec. -23	Earld Kill In
Dec. 0.48	Nov.	Nov.	Nov.	Nov. 22.9	Nov.	Nov. 84 Nov.	st ng.
Nov. 9.42	Oct. 1.6	Oct.	Oct.	Oct.	Oct. 70.9	Oct. 92 0ct. 13	Latest date o killing frost frost in spring
Oct. 0.84	Sept. T.	Sept.	Sept. 65.2	Sept. 48.0	Sept. 82.4	Sept. 100 Sept. 27	Latest killing frost in Max
Sept. 0.77	Aug. 0	lon. Aug. 4	Aug. 73.6	Aug. 57.3	Aug.	Ang. 104 Aug. 43	ate of Illing utumin.
Aug. 1.47	July 0	more Precipitation. June July A 3 5	July 74.5	July 58.8	July 90.0	July 10: July 41	Average date of first killing frost in autumin. Oct. 7
July 2.57	June 0	more Py June 3	June 70.0	June 53.9	June 86.2	June 105 June 36	A 7 3
June 1.37	May 0.3	nch or May 5	May 60.4	May 44.9	May 76.6	May 96 May 18	Average date of last killing frost in spring. Apr. 27
May 1.87	Colorado—Elevari Annual Snowfall Mar. Apr. 1.7 0.9	h 0.01 i Apr. 4	Apr. 51.8	Apr. 35.4	Apr. 68.3	Apr. 91 Apr. 15	Average last frost in
Apr. 1.70	Annual S Mar.	eys wit Mar. 2	Mar. 41.3 tures.	Feb. Mar, 15.4 25.1 Temperatures.	Mar. 59.0	Mar. 92 5. Mar. —-8	of d
Mar. 0.57	y and r Feb.	er of L Feb. 2 ures.	Feb. 31.8 Tempera	Feb. 15.4 . Tempe	Feb. 48.3 eratures.	Jan. Feb. 11 81 Temporatures. 1 Jan. Feb. -22 -32	Length of Record (Years)
Feb. 0.36	Otero Comonthly ord Jan.	e Numbord Jan. 1	ord Jan. 29.6 nimum?	Jan. 14.7 faximum ord	Jan. 46.8 t Tempe ord	Jan. st Temp ord Jan22	bata.
Holdredins). Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June Jul. RockyFord0.35 0.36 0.57 1.70 1.87 1.37 2.57 Dealtr France October Connection A 177 feet	Kocky Ford, Otero County, Colorado—Erevation 4,10. Li Average Monthly and Annual Snowfall. Length of Recent Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May. Rocky Ford 23 2.2 4.1 1.7 0.9 0.3	III. Average Number o Length of Record (Years) Jan. Fe Rocky Ford 24 1 2 IV. Mean Temperatures.	Length of Record (Years) Jan. Feb. Mar. Rocky Ford 28 29.6 31.8 41 V. Mean Minimum Temperatures. Length of Record	(Years) Jan. Rocky Ford 23 14.7 VI. Mean Maximum J Length of Record	(Years) Jan. Feb. Rocky Ford 22 46.8 48.3 VII. Highest Temperatures. Length of Record	(Years) J Rocky Ford 23 VIII. Lowest ' Length of Record (Years) J Rocky Ford 23	IX. Frost Data. Length of Average date of Latest date of Earliest date of Ratliest date of Religing frost Rilling frost Rilling Frost Rilling Frost in spring. Rept. 17 Rocky Ford

The survey shows that the district	Pueblo County 2 105 trans
has 793 commercial orchards distrib-	Pueblo County 3,195 trees
uted as follows.	Crowley County 21,277 trees
	Otero County 29,699 trees
Fremont County687 orchards	
Pueblo County 28 orchards	Total121,723 trees
Crowley County 18 orchards	The total number of peach trees
Otero County 60 orchards	in the district was found to be 442,
	distributed as follows:
Total	Fremont County337 trees
The total number of apple trees	Pueblo County trees
in the district is 227,716, distributed	Crowley County trees
as follows:	
	Otero County105 trees
Fremont County 165,902 trees	
Pueblo County 21,032 trees	Total442 trees
Crowley County 16,432 trees	The summary shows the total
Otero County 24,350 trees	number of plum trees in the district
	to be 2,784, distributed as follows:
Total227,716 apple trees	Fremont County2,674 trees
The total number of sour cherry	
The state of the s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
trees in the district was found to be	Crowley County 80 trees
121,723 distributed by counties as	Otero County 30 trees
follows:	
Fremont County 67,552 trees	Total

FREMONT COUNTY

This county is the most highly and extensively developed fruit section of the district. It is a small section so far as area is concerned, being limited to the narrow valley and the narrow, adjacent, terrace land. Practically all available land is in orchards. The proximity to the high bluff on the west and northwest afford protection against the cold winds, making the average winter temperature considerably higher than any other district in eastern Colorado. The Beaver Creek or Penrose section, situated on a mesa about ten miles north of Florence, is a relatively new fruit district. The soil is of sandy loam texture and in many places too shallow for tree fruits, especially the apples. It is very doubtful if apple growing will ever be a success except in a few isolated areas. On the other hand, sour cherries and small fruits can be successfully grown. The small-fruit industry is capable of considerable expansion in Fremont County and more efforts should be devoted to the development of these crops Transportation facilities are excellent and there is a ready market for the products.

GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE ORCHARDS

As in most fruit sections, one finds all kinds of orchards in Fremont County, from the best to the worst. Failure of a crop or crops causes the owner to neglect the trees and when there is a prospect of a good crop the trees are not in condition to produce a profitable crop and the owner is again disappointed. A high percentage of the orchards is neglected and unprofitable. Pruning,

spraying and fertilization are also neglected. Not a few orchards are cared for by tenants and in most instances tenant fruit-grow-

ing or fruit growing by proxy are unprofitable.

On the other hand there are a number of first-class, commercial orchards favorably located and cared for by first-class fruit growers. These orchards have been profitable and will continue to be so, so long as the orchards receive the proper attention.

A study of the table on varieties of fruit grown in the county shows that some fifty varieties are grown. To the non fruit grower this large list looks impressive and would indicate a highly developed industry. The contrary is true. For while there are several thousand varieties all told, only a dozen are, strictly speaking, commercial. Fremont County fruit growers were the pioneers in this industry and one would naturally expect the early orchards to contain a large number of varieties since these pioneers had no information about suitable varieties to guide them.

The newer plantings as a rule contain only commercial varie-

ties adapted to the district.

The individual orchards are small and in many cases the owners do not depend entirely upon the orchards for a living.

For tables and fuller description see Bulletin No. 254.

PUEBLO COUNTY

Fruit growing in Pueblo county is confined to bench lands south of the Arkansas River. The land is more or, less broken up into ridges and terraces and affords excellent soil and air drainage. These terraces and broken lands merge into a level plain, the latter being unsuited for tree fruits. The district adapted to fruits is quite limited and practically all planted to trees. Most of the standard varieties can be grown successfully.

The fruit industry as a whole is prosperous. It is close to the city of Pueblo with its large consuming population, which affords an excellent market for all products.

Failures of crops do occur but no oftener than in other districts. The orchards are, in general, well cared for and indicate that fruit growing is and has been profitable. Small fruits and vegetables are also grown extensively enough to supply the large local demand.

Considerable interest in the fruit industry is taken by the county commissioners and a county horticulturist is employed to aid the growers in their problems. As a result the orchards in Pueblo County are in better condition than in any other section of the district. There is very limited room for the expansion of the fruit industry, as most of the available land suited to fruits is already in orchards.

The most important problem for the grower is soil condition. Clean culture and sod should be substituted for alternate growing of cover crops, and clean culture, the cover crops to supply vegetable matter in which the soil is deficient.

The soil and temperature conditions are, on the whole, favorable for tree fruits. The season is long and most commercial varieties can be grown.

The survey records only the commercial plantings and on this account the number of trees is considerably below the true figure. Most farmers have a family orchard, though these orchards are poorly cared for and the fruit is of low quality. The survey also shows that there has been practically no new planting for the past ten years, and that there are fewer apple trees now than ten years ago.

Fruit growing is carried on more or less in connection with general farming, and, as is usually the case where this is practiced, the orchards are often neglected for the more urgent, or seemingly urgent, work of caring for the farm crops. Fruit growing is the work and business of a specialist. It requires considerable study and attention to details to make it profitable. The necessary operations in connection with the orchard cannot be postponed or delayed until a convenient time. They must be done at the right time and in the right way.

As a general rule the commercial orchards in Pueblo County are well cared for, but there is a lack of system in pruning and cultivation. The work is seemingly done whenever there is time that can be taken from the performance of other duties. In cases of total neglect, it is more profitable for the grower to remove the orchard and utilize the land for farm crops, leaving enough, however, for family use. An unproductive orchard is the poorest paying land on the farm, and the sooner it is removed, the better it is for the owner.

While the general farm orchards in the county are in a poor condition, it does not, as a rule, apply to the commercial orchards. There are few growers who take excellent care of their orchards, and who are making a success of fruit growing, and will continue to make a success regardless of the experience of their neighbors. Many of the neglected or seemingly neglected orchards can be restored to profitable production by proper pruning, cultivation and irrigation. It would pay the grower to have a clean up and to put the orchard in first-class condition.

As in many of our fruit-growing sections, there are too many varieties planted in the orchards. The survey records twenty-two named varieties and some unknown varieties. Many of these are not commercial and practically worthless so far as production is concerned. These poor or worthless varieties should be top

grafted to the profitable ones. While winter apples of the commercial kind can be grown, we believe that the summer and fall varieties would, on the whole, be more profitable. There is always a good market for early varieties, and these would not come in serious competition with sections that produce the winter fruits.

The apple constitutes the larger portion of the fruit trees grown in the county, followed by the sour cherries. The sour cherry industry gives greater promise in success in most instances than the apples. While the trees are short lived, yet they are capable of bearing annual crops and when properly cared for, will yield a greater return per acre than the apples.

Number of Cherry Trees in Each

Orchard District

Number of Orchards in Pueblo

County

Avondalo	3	Avo	ndale			2550
Pueblo						
Total	28		Total.	Summe		3195
Number of Apple Trees in Ea Orchard District	ach			ple trees	in Puebl	
Avondale).527			erry trees		
Pueblo	,505					
Total21	1,032	(Grand	Total		24,227
CROPS GROWN IN TH	E ORCH	ARDS	s of	PUEBLO (COUNTY	~ .
District	Alfalfa	Ber	ries	Clean	Corn	Sweet Clover
Avondale	-			1		
Pueblo	18		1	4	1	1
Totals	20		1	5	1	1
GRAND TO	TALS—P	UEBI	Lo Co	UNTY		
Number of acres in fruit trees	245	Dist	ributi	on of Tree	s by Ag	e Class
Number of fruit trees of all				on of Tree		
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227	Age Age	1-8 x 8-12 x	rears years		270
Number of fruit trees of all		Age Age Age	1-8 g 8-12 g 12-40	rears years years	,	270 23957
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227	Age Age Age Co	1-8 3 8-12 3 12-40 anditio	rears years years on of Orch	,	270 23957
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28	Age Age Age Co Fair	1-8 2 8-12 : 12-40 endition	rears years years n of Orch Poor, 9.	ards: G	270 23957 ood, 0;
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28	Age Age Age Co Fair	1-8 2 8-12 : 12-40 endition	rears years years n of Orch Poor, 9.	ards: G	270 23957 ood, 0;
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28	Age Age Age Co Fair	1-8 5 8-12 3 12-40 endition	rears years years n of Orch Poor, 9.	LO COU	270 23957 ood, 0;
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28 OF APP 13 25	Age Age Age Co Fair	1-8 x 8-12 x 12-40 endition r, 19: 1 PREES Ralls	years years years years n of Orch Poor, 9. IN PUEB	ards: G	270 23957 23957
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28 OF APP 13 25 6650	Age Age Co Fain LE T 13. 14.	1-8 x 8-12 x 12-40 condition r, 19; 1 REES Ralls Ramb Red J	years years years of Orch Poor, 9. IN PUEB o	ards: G	270 23957 ood, 0; 210 10 80
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28 OF APP 13 25 6650 3010	Age Age Age Fair LE T 13. 14. 15.	1-8 2 8-12 2 12-40 ondition r, 19: 1 PREES Ralls Ramb Red J Roma	years years years years on of Orch Poor, 9.	ards: G	270 23957 cood, 0; 210 10 80 50
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28 OF APP: 13 25 6650 3010 3408	Age Age Age Fair 13. 14. 15. 16.	1-8 1 8-12 : 12-40 condition r, 19 : I REES Ralls Ramb Red J Roma Rome	years years years years no of Orch Poor, 9. IN PUEB oo une	ards: G	270 23957 ood, 0; NTY 210 10 80 50
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28 OF APP: 13 25 6650 3010 3408 20	Age Age Age Fair 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	1-8 S 8-12 : 12-40 ondition r, 19: 1 REES Ralls Ramb Red J Roma Rome Walbi	years years years years on of Orch Poor, 9. IN PUEB on une nite	ards: G	270 23957 ood, 0; NTY 210 10 80 50 30
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28 OF APP 13 25 6650 3010 3408 20 3885	Age Age Age Co Fain 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	1-8 S 8-12 : 12-40 ondition r, 19; 1 REES Ralls Ramb Red J Roma Rome Walbi Wealt	years years years years on of Orch Poor, 9. IN PUEB on on une onite	LO COU	270 23957 ood, 0; NTY 210 10 80 50 30 120
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28 OF APP: 13 25 6660 3010 3408 20 3885 5	Age Age Age Co Fain 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	1-8 S 8-12 : 12-40 ondition r, 19: 1 REES Ralls Ramb Red J Roma Rome Walbr Wealt White	years	LO COUI	270 23957 ood, 0; NTY 210 10 80 30 30 120 820 35
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28 OF APP: 13 25 6650 3010 3408 20 3885 5	Age Age Co Fain 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	1-8 S 8-12 S 12-40 ondition, 19: 1 REES Ralls Ramb Red J Roma Rome Walbr Wealt Whitt	years	LO COU	270 23957 ood, 0; NTY 210 10 80 30 30 120 820 35 1040
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28 OF APP: 13 25 6650 3010 3408 20 3885 5 1382 30	Age Age Age Co Fain 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	1-8 S 8-12 S 12-40 ondition, 19: 1 REES Ralls Ramb Red J Roma Rome Walbr Wealt Whitt	years	LO COU	270 23957 ood, 0; NTY 210 10 80 30 30 120 820 35 1040
Number of fruit trees of all kinds	4227 28 OF APP: 13 25 6650 3010 3408 20 3885 5	Age Age Control 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	1-8 2 8-12; 12-40 ondition r, 19: 1 REES Ralls Ramb Red J Roma Rome Walbi Wealt White Vines	years	LO COUI	270 23957 ood, 0; NTY 210 10 80 50 30 120 820 35 1040 22

NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF APPLE TREES GROWN IN PUEBLO COUNTY AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION

Var	riety	Avondale	Pueblo	Totals
1.	Arkansas Black	. 13		13
·2.	Baldwin		25	25
3.	Ben Davis	. 1,000	5,650	6,650
4.	Delicious	. 3,010		3,010
5.	Gano	. 3,070	338	3,408
6.	Iowa Blush		20	20
7.	Jonathan	. 3,200	685	3,885
8.	Maiden Blush		5	5
9.	Missouri	. 32	1,350	1,382
10.	Northwestern Greening		30	30
11.	Oldenburg	. 37	130	167
12.	Paragon		20	20
13.	Ralls	. 40	170	210
14.	Rambo		10	19
15.	Red June	. 5	75	80
16.	Romanite		50	50
17.	Rome		30	30
18.	Walbridge		120	120
19.	Wealthy	. 25	795	820
20.	Whitney (crab)	. 10	25	35
21.	Winesap	. 65	975	1,040
22.	Yellow Transparent	. 20	2	22
	Totals	. 10,527	10,505	21,032

DISTRIBUTION, ACREAGE, TREES, AGE, AND CONDITION

	Avondale	Pueblo	Summary
No. Acres	105½	113	218 1/2
No. Trees	10,527	10,505	21,032
Age 1-8 years			
Age 8-12 years			
Age 12-40 years	10,527	10,505	21,032
Fair Condition,	. 1	17	
Good Condition			
Poor Condition	. 2	6	
2011D-FMT011-012-012-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1	~	_	

CONDITION OF ORCHARDS: Fair 18; Good 0; Poor 8.

NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF CHERRY TREES IN PUEBLO COUNTY

3.	Early Richmond	345
2.	English Morello	900
3.	Montmorency	1,000
4.	Wragg	950
	-	
	era i i	

NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF CHERRY TREES GROWN IN PUEBLO COUNTY AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION

Vε	riety	Avondale	Pueblo	Totals
1.	Early Richmond	50	295	345
2.	English Morello	900		900
:3.	Montmorency	800	200	1,000
4.	Wragg	800	150	950
	Totals	2,550	645	3,195

DISTRIBUTION, ACREAGE, TREES,	AGE, AND	CONDITI	(ON
,	Avondale	Pueblo	Summary
No. Acres	$20\frac{1}{2}$	6	26 15
No. Trees	2,550	645	3,195
Age 1-8 years			
Age 8-12 years		270	270
Age 12-40 years	2,550	375	2,925
Fair Condition	2	1	
Good Condition			
Poor Condition		3	
(Ool Condition			
TABLE I-NUMBER OF FRUIT TRE	CES IN EAC	H DISTR	CT
District	$_{ m Apples}$	Cherries	Dist. Tot.
Avondale	10,527	2,550	13,077
Pueblo	10,505	645	11,150
Entire County	21,032	3,195	24,227
TABLE IINUMBER OF ACRES OF EACH	L PRITT PO	R EACH	DISTRICT
IN ENTIRE COU			
	Avondale	Pueblo	Entire Co.
Apples	105.5	113	218.5
Cherries	20.5	6	26.5
Totals, all fruits	126.0	119	245 9
TABLE II-a—NUMBER OF ACRES OF EACH DIST			ING AGE
	Avondale	Pueblo.	Entire Co.
Apples :	Avondale 105.5	113.0	218.5
	Avondale 105.5		
Apples :	Avondale 105.5 20.5	113.0	$218.5 \\ 24.0$
Apples	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0	113.0 3.5 116.5	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT-
Apples	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 F APPLES S:	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT-
Apples	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 F APPLES S AND IN ENT Avondale	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING Pueblo	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co.
Apples	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 F APPLES S. AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING PIRE COUT	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co. 31.4
Apples	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 F APPLES S: AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5 30.4	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING Puebto 53.3 5.7	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co. 31.4 18.1
Apples	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 F APPLES S. AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5 30.4 28.5	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING Pueblo 53.3 5.7 2.8	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co. 31.4 18.1 16.2
Apples Cherries Totals, all fruits TABLE III—SIX PRINCIPAL VARIETIES OF AGES GROWN IN EACH DISTRICT FOR THE SET OF THE	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 126.0 AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5 30.4 28.5 28.4	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING Pueblo 53.3 5.7 2.8	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co. 31.4 18.1 16.2 14.3
Apples Cherries	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 F APPLES S AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5 30.4 28.5 28.4 0.3	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING PURE COU Pueblo 53.3 5.7 2.8 	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co. 31.4 18.1 16.2 14.3 6.2
Apples Cherries . Totals, all fruits TABLE IH—SIX PRINCIPAL VARIETIES OF AGES GROWN IN EACH DISTRICT OF AGES GROWN IN EACH	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 126.0 F APPLES S. AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5 30.4 28.5 28.4 0.3 0.5	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING Pueblo 53.3 5.7 2.8 12.3 8.5	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co. 31.4 18.1 16.2 14.3 6.2
Apples Cherries	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 126.0 F APPLES S. AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5 30.4 28.5 28.4 0.3 0.5 2.4	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING Pueblo 53.3 5.7 2.8 12.3 8.5 17.4	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co. 31.4 18.1 16.2 14.3 6.2 4.7
Apples Cherries Cherr	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 F APPLES S: AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5 30.4 28.5 28.4 0.3 0.5 2.4	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING Pueblo 53.3 5.7 2.8 12.3 8.5	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co 31.4 16.5 14.5 6.5 4.7 9.5
Apples Cherries Cherr	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 F APPLES S. AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5 30.4 28.5 28.4 0.3 0.5 2.4 100.0 F EACH DIS GE CLASS Avondale	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING PUEBLO 53.3 5.7 2.8 12.3 8.5 17.4	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co. 31.4 18.1 16.2 14.3 6.2 4.7 9.1
Apples Cherries Cherr	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 F APPLES S: AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5 30.4 28.5 0.3 0.5 24 100.0 F EACH DIS GE CLASS Avondale	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING PUEBLO 53.3 5.7 2.8 12.3 8.5 17.4 100.0	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co. 31.4 18.1 16.2 4.7 9.1 100.0 ND OF EN-
Apples Cherries Totals, all fruits TABLE III—SIX PRINCIPAL VARIETIES OF AGES GROWN IN EACH DISTRICT FOR THE SET OF AGES GROWN IN EACH DISTRICT FOR THE SET OF AGE OF THE COUNTY BY A AGE Class 1-8 years Totals.	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 F APPLES S: AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5 30.4 28.5 0.3 0.5 24 100.0 F EACH DIS GE CLASS Avondale	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING Pueblo 53.3 5.7 2.8 12.3 8.5 17.4 100.0	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co. 31.4 18.1 16.2 14.3 6.2 4.7 9.1 100.0 ND OF EN-
Apples Cherries Totals, all fruits TABLE III—SIX PRINCIPAL VARIETIES OF AGES GROWN IN EACH DISTRICT Variety 1. Ben Davis 2. Jonathen 3. Gano 4. Delicious 5. Missouri 6. Winesap All others Totals. TABLE IV—NUMBER OF APPLE TREES OF THRE COUNTY BY A Age Class 1-8 years	Avondale 105.5 20.5 126.0 F APPLES S: AND IN ENT Avondale 9.5 30.4 28.5 28.4 0.3 0.5 2.4 100.0 F EACH DIS GE CLASS Avondale	113.0 3.5 116.5 HOWING Pueblo 53.3 5.7 2.8 12.3 8.5 17.4 100.0 STRICT A	218.5 24.0 242.5 PERCENT- NTY Entire Co. 31.4 18.1 16.2 14.3 6.2 4.7 9.1 100.9

CROWLEY COUNTY

Crowley County is situated on the north side of the Arkansas River, east of Pueblo. The fruit industry of this county is confined to a number of commercial orchards, principally around Olney Springs, and eastward. Outside of this small section there has been practically no planting and so far as the apple industry is concerned, the eastern half or the county is not adapted to this crop. The section around Olney Springs is more or less protected; the soil and climatic conditions are favorable.

The varieties grown are relatively few and are in most cases of the standard commercial varieties. Some weeding out or topworking would be beneficial, but on the whole the varieties are good.

Cultural methods are good. Up-to-date methods of cultivation and spraying are used, and the orchards do not show the neglect that we find in many other of our fruit districts. While there has been little planting during the last ten years, there are many localities where the planting should be extended with the prospect of success. The orchards vary in age from twelve to forty years. They are in relatively large acreage, and are in the hands of fruit growers who make it a business to grow fruit; consequently, the orchards are in good condition, and the profits derived from the orchards are also satisfactory.

The sour cherry industry has become important, especially around the town of Crowley, where there are several large cherry orchards. The soil around Crowley is a sandy loam and well suited for this fruit. The sour cherries seem to suffer less from late spring frost and for this reason there are few failures.

The future outlook for fruit growing is good, especially for sour cherries. Other tree fruits outside of the few favorable localities should not be planted for commercial purposes.

CROPS GROWN IN THE ORCHARDS-- CROWLEY COUNTY

OROLD GROWN IN IN I	
Clean	Crowley 800
Alfalfa, Cultivation, Rye.	Olney Springs
Crowley 2	
Olney Springs 9 6 .	Total
· · ·	Number of Cherry Trees in each
Totals 9 8 1	Orchard District:
	Crowley14,706
Number of Commercial Orchards	Olney Springs 6,571
in Crowley County:	Total21,277
Crowley	
Olney Springs	Number of Plum Trees in each
<u> </u>	Orchard District:
Total18	Crowley
	Olney Springs80
Number of Apple Trees in each	-
Orchard District:	Total80,

SUMMARY

No.	of Apples Trees in Crowley of Cherry Trees in Crowley of Plum Trees in Crowley	y Cou	nty		6,432 1,277 80
	Total Trees			3	7,789
N	UMBER AND VARIETIES	OF A	PPLE TE	REES IN CROWLEY COUNT	ΓY
1,	Ben Davis	8705	10.	Sheepnose	20
2.	Gano	1192	11.	Siberian Crab	25
3.	Grindstone	40	12.	Stayman Winesap	200
4.	Huntsman	25	13.	Wealthy	200
5.	Jonathan	1910	14.	Whitney (crab)	45
6.	Missouri	1795	15.	Winesap	1440
7.	Oldenburg	25	16.	Wolf River	10
8.	Paragon	520	17.	Yellow Transparent	180
9.	Red Astrachan	100			

NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF APPLE TREES GROWN IN CROWLEY COUNTY AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION

		Olney	
Variety	Crowley	Springs	Totals
1. Ben Davis	500	8,205	8,705
2. Gano		1,192	1,192
3. Grindstone		40	40
4. Huntsman		25	25
5. Jonathan		1,910	1,910
6. Missouri	90	1,705	1,795
7. Oldenburg		25	25
8. Paragon		520	520
9. Red Astrachan		100	100
10. Sheepnose		20	20
11. Siberian Crab		25	25
12. Stayman Winesap	200		200
13. Wealthy		200	200
14. Whitney (crab)		45	45
15. Winesap		1,440	1,440
16. Wolf River	10		10
17. Yellow Transparent		180	180
Totals	800	15,632	16,432

DISTRIBUTION, ACREAGE, TREES, AGE, AND CONDITION

	Olney		
	Crowley	Springs	Summary
No. Acres	15	282.5	297.5
No. Trees	800	15,632	16,432
Age 1-8 years		75	75
Age 8-12 years			
Age 12-40 years	800	15,557	.,
Fair Condition	1	8	•
Good Condition		5	
Poor Condition			

CONDITION OF ORCHARDS: Good Condition 5; Fair Condition 9; Poor Condition 0.

NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF CHERRY TRE. 1. Early Richmond			745
2. English Morello			
4. Wragg			
Total			
			21,277
NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF CHERRY TRE COUNTY AND THEIR DISTR			OWLEY
		Olney	
	rowley	Springs	Totals
1. Early Richmond		748	748
2. English Morello		15	15
3. Montmorency		2,533	3,733
4. Wragg	3,506	3,275	16,781
Total1	4,706	6,571	21,277
DISTRIBUTION, ACREAGE, TREES, AG	E. AND	CONDITIO	N
		Olney	
	rowley	Springs	Summary
No. Acres	83	5.5	138
No. Trees		6,571	21,277
Age 1-8 years		5,045	18,671
Age 8-12 years		10	1,090
Age 12-40 years		1,516	1,516
Fair Condition	2	4	
Good Condition ,	1	4	
Poor Condition	n 8; Good	d Conditio	n 5; Poor
NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF PLUM TREES	S IN CRO	WLEY CO	DUNTY
1. Damson			
Total			80
NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF PLUM TREE	s grow:	N IN CRO	WLEY
COUNTY AND THEIR DISTR	IPUTION		
		Olney	•
Variety	Frowley	Springs	Totals
		40	40
T. Wild Goose	· · · · ·	40	40
Totals		80	80
DISTRIBUTION, ACREAGE, TREES, AG	E, AND	C ONDITIO Olney	N
Crox	wley	Springs	Summary
No. Acres		1.5	•
No. Trees		1.5 80	1.5 80
Age 1-8 years			
Age 12-40 years			
		80	80
Fair Condition		80 1	80
			80
Good Condition	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	80

TABLE I-NUMBER OF FRUIT TREES IN EACH DISTRICT

District	Apples	Cherries	Plums	Dist. Totals
Crowley ,	800	14,706		15,506
Olney Springs	15,632	6,571	80	22,283
-				
Entire County	16,432	21,277	80	37,789

TABLE 1-a—DISTRIBUTION (IN PERCENTAGES) OF TOTAL NUMBER OF TREES OF EACH FRUIT IN ENTIRE COUNTY BY DISTRICTS

District	Apples	Cherries	Plums	Entire Co.
Crowley	4.9	69.3		41.1
Olney Springs	95.1	30.7	100.0	58.9
_				
Entire County	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0

TABLE I-b—SHOWING RATIO (IN PERCENTAGES) EACH FRUIT BEARS TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL FRUIT TREES FOR EACH DISTRICT

District	Apples	Cherries	Plums	Entire Co.
Crowley	5.1	94.9		100.9
Olney Springs	70.3	29.3	0.4	100.0
Entire County	43.5	56.2	0.3	100.0

TABLE II—NUMBER OF ACRES OF EACH FRUIT FOR EACH DISTRICT IN ENTIRE COUNTY

		Olney		
	Crowley	Springs	Entire Co.	
Apples	. 15.0	282.5	297.5	
Cherries	. \$3.0	55.0	138.0	
Plums		1.5	1.5	
Totals, all fruits	. 98.0	339.0	437.0	

TABLE II-a—NUMBER OF ACRES OF EACH FRUIT OF BEARING AGE FOR EACH DISTRICT

	Olney		
	Crowley	Springs	Entire Co.
Apples	. 15.0	281.1	296.1
Cherries		23.1	29.5
Plums	,.	1.5	1.5
Totals, all fruits	. 21.4	305.7	327.1

TABLE III—SIX PRINCIPAL VARIETIES OF APPLES, SHOWING PER-CENTAGES GROWN IN EACH DISTRICT AND IN ENTIRE COUNTY

Variety		Olney	
	, now lev	Springs	Entire Co.
Ben Davis	62.5	52.5	53.0
Jonathan		12.2	11.6
Missouri	11.2	10.9	10.4
Winesap		8.9	8.5
Gano		7.0	6.7
Paragon		3.2	3.0
All Others (11 varieties)	26.3	5.3	6.8
Totals			
100015	100.0	100.0	1000

GRAND TOTALS

Crowley County

Clowley County	
Number of acres in fruit trees	437
Number of fruit trees of all kinds 3	7,789
Number of orchards	18
DISTRIBUTION OF TREES BY AGE CLASS	
Age 1-8 years	8,740
Age 8-12 years	1,090
Age 12-40 years	7.953

OTERO COUNTY

Otero County is one of the important fruit-growing sections on the eastern slope of Colorado. Its importance is due to the foresight of men like Senator Crowley, who has done more for the fruit industry of this county than any other man. As in the case of Pueblo and Crowley Counties, the fruit-growing area is confined to the irrigated land adjacent to the Arkansas River. Wherever the land is rolling so as to produce good soil and air drainage, fruit trees, such as apples and sour cherries, can be grown successfully. The soil and climatic conditions are favorable for these fruits, which is attested by the luxuriant growth that these trees make.

The apple industry was naturally the first industry to attain any importance in this county, especially around Rocky Ford and Manzanola. Of later years the sour cherry industry has grown in importance, and is today more extensive and profitable, while apple orchards have been somewhat neglected.

Like the rest of the counties along the Arkansas River, Otero is subject to occasional spring frosts, which kill the blossoms. Yet the occurrence of these frosts is by no means serious, and crops are obtained as regularly as in most fruit-growing sections of the state. During the last few years, there has been a decided slump in the apple-growing industry, because of several failures of crops and the consequent neglect that usually follows such failures. This is especially true where growing is more or less closely associated with farming. There are few growers whose sole · business is to grow fruit. Most of them do not rely upon the fruit crop for a livelihood. The development of other industries in the valley has also caused neglect of the orchards. Annual crops like melons and beets have been profitable and the farmers have paid more attention to these crops than to the orchards. This has resulted not only in a decided decline in the number of apple trees, but also in a general neglect of the orchards, and many of them are today not in condition to produce a profitable crop.

There is great need for missionary work in the county along fruit lines, such as pruning, spraying and cultural methods. Un-

less there is a revival, many of the present orchards will soon become useless.

The sour-cherry industry is perhaps more important and also more profitable than the apple. New orchards are being set out and the acreage in sour cherries shows a substantial increase. Many of these orchards are small and indicate a wide-spread interest in the fruit. On the whole, cherry orchards are better cared for than the apple orchards. The cherry trees have suffered greatly during the last few years from poor soil conditions, due to the presence of excessive nitre in the soil. Clean culture has been practiced universally and this has resulted in an accumulation of nitre. As a result of this accumulation a large number of trees have died, and a large number are dying. This condition can be prevented by the steady use of cover crops, which should be grown between the trees and plowed under. The plowing under of the green crops will check the nitrification process in the soil, and thus make the land again suitable for the cherry trees.

A survey of the cherry orchards makes it apparent that unless the growers practice the sowing and plowing under of green crops in the cherry orchards, this industry will not flourish, and may be entirely wiped out.

	Total Trees
Orchard District:	Rocky Ford
Number of Cherry Trees in Each	Manzanola 306
Total Trees24,350	Orchard District:
	Number of Plum Trees in Each
Rocky Ford 2,275	Total Trees 105
Manzanola	
Orchard District:	Rocky Ford
Number of Apple Trees in Each	Manzanola 105
Total60	Orchard District:
	Number of Peach Trees in Each
Rocky Ford 9	Total Trees
Manzanola51	
in Otero County:	Rocky Ford 5,990
Number of Commercial Orchards	Manzanola

CROPS GROWN IN THE ORCHARDS Otero County

	Clean			(Orchard
	Cultivation	Alfalfa	Truck	Oats	Grass
Manzanola	. 16	23	11	1	
Rocky Ford	. 2	3	2		2
				_	
Totals	. 18	26	13	1	2
	SUMMAR				_
No. of Apples Trees in Otero (County				. 24,350
No. of Cherry Trees in Otero (County				20 600
No. of Peach Trees in Otero Co	ounty				105
No. of Plum Trees in Otero Co-	unty				. 306

Grand Total.....

	NUMBER AND VARIETIE	s of	APPLE 7	TREES IN	OTERO COUNT	ŗΥ
1.	Arkansas Black	300	19.	Paragon		870
2.	Banana	4	20,	Ralls		791
3.	Ben Davis	9623	21.	Rambo .		15
4.	Black Twig	252	22,	Red Astr	achan	60
5.	Chenango	6	23.	Red June	·	90
6.	Delicious	56	24.	Romanite	·	12
Ŧ,	Early Harvest	190	25.	Rome		15
8.	Fameuse	26	26.	Stayman	Winesap	25
9.	Gano	1523	27.	Walbridg	e	18
10.	Grimes	10	28.	Wealthy		250
ξ1.	Huntsman	6	29.		earmain	
12.	Jonathan	5475	30.	Winesap		2590
13.	King David	10	31,		/er	
14.	Maiden Blush	5	32.	Yellow H	Bellflower	10
15.	McIntosh	20	33.	Yellow T	ransparent	. 55
16.	Missouri	1751	34.		perial	
17.	Northwestern Greening	65				
18.	Oldenburg	20	3	Cotal	. 	24,350
NIT	MBER AND VARIETIES OF	E APP				
200			DISTRI		A III OIIMO OO	· CH X ·
Vai	riety			(anzanola	Rocky Ford	Totals
1.	Arkansas Black					300
2.	Banana					4
8.	Ben Davis				1,380	9,623
4.	Black Twig					252
5.	Chenango				6	6
6.	Delicious				10	56
7.	Early Harvest					190
8.	Fameuse					26
9.	Gano					1.523
10.	Grimes					10
11.	Huntsman . ,					6
12.	Jonathan				309	5,475
13.	King David					10
14.	Maiden Blush					5
1 á.	McIntosh					20
16.	Missouri				15	1,751
17.	Northwestern Greening .					65
18.	Oldenburg					20
19.	Paragon					870
20.	Ralls				12	791
21.	Rambo					15
22.	Red Astrachan				25	60
23.	Red June					90
24.	Romanite					12
25.	Rome				*****	15
±6.	Stayman Winesap					25
27.	Walbridge				18	18
28.	Wealthy				130	250
29.	White Pearmain					15
30.	Winesap				553	2,590
31.	Wolf River				17	2,000
32.	Yellow Bellflower				***	10
33.	Yellow Transparent					55
34.	York Imperial					170
o4.	ioik imperiar ,			110		210
	Totals				2,275	24,350
	1 Utais			22,	2,217	- 1,000

DISTRIBUTION,	ACREAGE,	TREES,	AGE	AND	CONDITIO	N
		м	anzar	iola. R	locky Ford.	Summary.

<i>7</i> 131.201.01, 220	Manzanola.	Rocky Ford.	Summary.
No. Acres	. 329.75	31	360.75
No. Trees		2,275	24,350
Age 1-8 years	. 1,600		1,600
Age 8-12 years			140
Age 12-40 years		2,275	22,610
Fair Condition		4	
Good Condition			
Poor Condition			
CONDITION OF ORCHARDS: Fair Cond		od Condition	12; Poor
Condition 6,			
NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF CHERRY	TREES E	N OTERO C	OUNTY
1. Dyehouse			
2. Early Richmond			
3. English Morello			915
4. Montmorency			
5. Riga No. 108			
6. Sixteen-to-One			
7. Wragg			
Total Trees		 . <i></i>	29,699
NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF CHERR			
COUNTY AND THEIR D			
Variety	Manzanola.		d. Totals.
J. Dyehouse			15
2. Early Richmond		856	5,503
3. English Morello		300	915
4. Montmorency		1.750	6.895
5. Riga No. 108		300	300
6. Sixteen-to-One			5
7. Wragg		2,784	16,066
Totals	23,709	5,990	29.699
DISTRIBUTION, ACREAGE, TREES	, AGE AND	CONDITION	N .
	Manzanola. I	Rocky Ford. :	Summary.
No. Acres	176	36.5	212.5
No. Trees	23,709	5,990	29,699
Age 1-8 years		3,740	22,010
Age 8-12 years		2,250	6,764
Age 12-40 years			925
Fair Condition		7	
Good Condition			
Poor Condition			
CONDITION OF ORCHARDS: Fair Cond		od Condition	13; Poor
Condition 6.			
NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF PEACH	mpone is	00000	r Tatem 3.7 de
1. Champion			
2. Early Crawford			
3. Elberta			
4. Fitzgerald			
5. Indian			
6. Japan Dwarf			
Unknown		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	50
mate.			
Total * All of the above varieties found in th			105
An or the above varieties found in th	e manzanola	section.	

DISTRIBUTION, ACREAGE, TREES, AGE AND CONDITION

	Manzanola.	Rocky Ford.	Summary.
No. Acres	0.75		0.75
No. Trees	105		105
Age 1-8 years	105		105
Age 8-12 years			
Age 12-40 years			
Fair Condition	1		
Good Condition	2		
Poor Condition			
CONDITION OF ORCHARDS: Fair Co	ndiiton 1; G	lood Conditio	n 2; Poor

Condition 0.

NUMBER AND VARIETIES OF PLUM TREES IN OTERO COUNTY*

Damson	73
Italian Prune , ,	60
Lombard	
Reine Claude	60
Wild Goose	108
_	

DISTRIBUTION, ACREAGE, TREES, AGE AND CONDITION

* All of the above varieties found in the Manzanola section.

,	Manzanola.	Rocky Ford, St	ummary.
No. Acres	2.5		$^{2.5}$
No. Trees	306		306
Age 1-8 years	175		175
Age 8-12 years	31		31
Age 12-40 years	100		100
Fair Condition	3		
Good Condition	3		
Poor Condition	1		

CONDITION OF ORCHARDS: Fair Condition 3; Good Condition 3; Poor Condition 1.

TABLE I-NUMBER OF FRUIT TREES IN EACH DISTRICT

District Apples Manzanola	Cherries 23,709 5,990	Peaches 105	Plums 306	Dist. Totals 46,195 8,265
Entire County 24,350	29,699	105	306	54,460

TABLE In-DISTRIBUTION (IN PERCENTAGES) OF TOTAL NUMBER OF TREES OF EACH FRUIT IN ENTIRE COUNTY BY DISTRICTS

District Manzanola	Apples 91.7 8.3	Cherries 82.8 17.2	Peaches 100.0	Plums 100.0	Entire Co. 85.2 14.8
Entire County ,	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE I-b-SHOWING RATIO (IN PERCENTAGES) EACH FRUIT BEARS TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL FRUIT TREES FOR EACH DISTRICT

IV THE LOTTE NO.	*********	ALLES STATE	X = 123 = 0 = 0		
District	Apples	Cherries	Peaches	Plums	Entire Co.
Manzanola	47.7	51.4	0.2	0.7	100.0
Rocky Ford	26.8	73.2			100.0
Entire County	44.6	54.4	0.2	0.8	100.0

TABLE II—NUMBER OF ACRES OF EACH FRUIT FOR EACH DISTRICT IN ENTIRE COUNTY

	Manzanola.	Rocky Ford.	Entire Co.
Apples ,	. 329.75	31.0	360.75
Cherries	. 176.0	36.5	212.5
Peaches	. 0.75		0.75
Plums	. 2.5		2.5
Totals, all Fruits	509.00	67.5	576.50

TABLE II-a-NUMBER OF ACRES OF EACH FRUIT OF BEARING AGE FOR EACH DISTRICT

	Manzanola.	Rocky Ford. 1	Entire Co.
Apples	305.75	31.0	336.75
Cherries	141.0	24.5	165.5
Peaches	0.5		0.5
Plums	1.0		1.0
•			
Totals, all Fruits	448.25	55.5	503.75

TABLE III—SIX PRINCIPAL VARIETIES OF APPLES, SHOWING PERCENT-AGES GROWN IN EACH DISTRICT AND IN ENTIRE COUNTY

	ARCE COCKE	
nzanola. F	tocky Ford. E	ntire Co.
37.3	59.1	39.5
23.2	13.7	22.2
10.5	16.1	10.0
7.7	2.0	6.9
6,8		6.2
3.6		3.3
10.9	9.1	11.9
1000	100.0	100.0
	nzanola. F 37.3 23.2 10.5 7.7 6.8 3.6 10.9	23.2 13.7 10.5 16.1 7.7 2.0 6.8

TABLE IV—THREE PRINCIPAL VARIETIES OF CHERRIES, SHOWING PERCENTAGES GROWN IN EACH DISTRICT AND IN ENTIRE COUNTY

Variety	Manzanola. Rocky Ford. Entire Co.		
Wragg	55.7	45.7	55.2
Montmorency	21.5	28.8	10.7
Early Richmond	19.4	13.5	17.3
All others	3.4	12.0	16.8
			
Totals	100,0	100.0	100.0

TABLE V-NUMBER OF APPLE TREES OF EACH DISTRICT AND OF EN-TIRE COUNTY BY AGE CLASS

Age Class	Manzanola.	Rocky Ford.	Entire Co.
1-8 years	1,600		1,600
S-12 years			140
12-40 years	20,335	2,275	22,610
Totals	22.075	2.275	24 350

TABLE V-a-PERCENTAGE OF APPLE TREES OF EACH AGE CLASS PLANTED IN EACH DISTRICT

		Rocky Ford. E	Intire Co.
1-8 years			100.0
8-12 years			100.0
12-40 years	98.5	1.5	100.0

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

TABLE V-b-PERCENTAGE OF APPLE TREE	S OF EA	CH DISTRIC	T WITH
RESPECT TO AG	E		
Age Class Ma	nzanola. F	Rocky Ford. E	intire Co.
1-8 years	7.3		6.6
8-12 years	0.5		0.4
12-40 years	92.2	100.0	93.0
Totals	100.0	100.0	100.0
TABLE VI-PERCENTAGE OF CHERRY TREE		CH DISTRIC	T WITH
RESPECT TO AG			
	-	Rocky Ford. E	
1-8 years	78.3	62.7	75.8
8-12 years	17.4	37.3	20.7
12-40 years ,	4.3		3.5
Totals	100.0	100.0	100.0
GRAND TOTALS	;		
Otero County			
Number of Acres in fruit trees			
Number of fruit trees of all kinds			,
Number of orchards			. 60.
Distribution of Trees by Age Class-			
Age 1-8 years			23 890
Age 8-12 years			
Age 12-40 years			
rgo 12 10 feats			20,000
Condition of Orchards—			
Fair . , ,	. .		35
Good			17
Poor , ,			8
Total			60