



# **Colorado Department of Public Safety Colorado Bureau of Investigation**

Pursuant to §C.R.S. 24-33.5-113

An Act

Concerning Evidence Collected in Connection  
With a Sexual Assault, and, in Connection  
Therewith, Making an Appropriation

Presents a:

**Plan on Analyzing Forensic Medical Evidence**

## **Executive Summary:**

During Colorado's 69<sup>th</sup> General Assembly in 2013, legislation was proposed and identified as HB13-1020. This bill called *An Act Concerning Evidence Collected in Connection with a Sexual Assault, and, in Connection Therewith, Making an Appropriation*, became law on June 5, 2013 and is stipulated in Colorado Revised Statutes § 24-33.5-113.

The Colorado Department of Safety is required to implement three facets of this law:

- 1) Promulgate rules to clarify the requirements for law enforcement to submit all forensic medical evidence to either a the Colorado Bureau of Investigation (CBI) Forensic Services laboratory or another accredited laboratory for analysis within 21 days of receipt from medical personnel.

Promulgation of rules was completed on October 2, 2013. (See attachment 1)

- 2) Request all law enforcement agencies to submit an inventory to the CBI of all forensic medical evidence in their possession previously not submitted.

Inventory was completed with an 86% return rate. (See attachment 2)

- 3) Require the CBI to present a plan to analyze:
  - a. The backlog of forensic medical evidence previously not submitted;
  - b. All future submissions of forensic medical evidence mandated by this law.

## **Response to the 3<sup>rd</sup> facet of the law:**

### **3a. Plan for analyzing the backlog of forensic medical evidence previously not submitted**

Per the new statute: §24-33.5-113, 4 (a) "within 90 days of effective date of this section, each law enforcement agency shall submit to the CBI an inventory...."

The CBI created and electronically distributed an excel spreadsheet to all local, county and state law enforcement agencies with a request to inventory the forensic medical evidence in their respective vaults previously not submitted. These agencies were to complete a spreadsheet with all of the pertinent information. This spreadsheet was distributed to 255 agencies; responses were received from 220 agencies, an 86.27% return rate.

In compiling the submitted data, the CBI determined there are 5,889 kits (forensic medical evidence) in total with 4,741 potentially meeting the criteria for outsourcing. Kits may not be suitable for outsourcing for a variety of reasons including expiration of the statute of limitations or they have been adjudicated (See Table 1).

Accredited outsourcing DNA laboratories have been contacted and specifications are being created for posting per the State of Colorado’s procurement policy and procedures. Once the bid is awarded and the mandatory site visits to these outsourcing laboratories are conducted per FBI requirements, the project is expected to commence by January 1, 2014.

With the current appropriation of \$6,351,002 and based upon estimates of the cost for shipping evidence to the outsourcing lab(s), analysis of evidence, testifying costs and shipment of evidence back to the CBI, it is estimated that approximately 2,750 to 3,000 cases can be analyzed. This projection includes cases from 2009 through 2013. However, until the contracts are awarded and analysis begins, a final number of cases cannot be stated with certainty.

**Table 1 Eligible Sexual Assault Kits for Outsourcing by Year**

<b>YEAR OF OFFENSE</b>	<b>TOTAL UNSUBMITTED SA KITS</b>	<b>POTENTIAL # TO BE OUTSOURCED</b>
<b>*2013</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>178</b>
<b>2013</b>	<b>523</b>	<b>437</b>
<b>2012</b>	<b>848</b>	<b>681</b>
<b>2011</b>	<b>796</b>	<b>635</b>
<b>2010</b>	<b>675</b>	<b>552</b>
<b>2009</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>385</b>
<b>2008</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>320</b>
<b>2007</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>322</b>
<b>2006</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>315</b>
<b>2005</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>306</b>
<b>2004</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>239</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,968</b>	<b>4,370</b>
<b>Prior to 2004</b>	<b>921</b>	<b>371</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,889</b>	<b>4,741</b>

\*CBI backlogged cases submitted by various agencies.

### **3b. Plan for analyzing future submissions**

In order to accurately and judiciously plan for the resources that will be necessary to analyze all future submissions of forensic medical evidence, it was imperative to collect reliable data in support of projections related to the increase in volume of kits submitted for testing. Unfortunately, conclusive data is not available either through law enforcement or our hospitals, even those with Sexual Assault Nurse Examination Programs (SANE). There has been no requirement to maintain clear and concise records regarding the number of sexual assaults reported, how many of those were investigated or the number involving the collection of evidence. In fact, there are some hospitals that maintain no records regarding sexual assaults. Furthermore, because HB13-1020 did not define the requirements for a sexual assault case to be considered active, the inventory submitted by our law enforcement partners produced data that at best was incomplete and at worst, inadequate for the purpose of determining the number of cases the CBI will analyze going forward.

Considering the above, the CBI had to utilize the best data available to estimate future submissions. Our strategy included the following:

- Collecting data regarding alleged sexual assaults between 2004 and 2013 from the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS). This information produced no pattern or average of percent change from one year to the next. (See Table 2)
- Analyzing statistics from the Sexual Assault Response Project—a study conducted by Division of Criminal Justice of seven rural sites and the 17<sup>th</sup> Judicial District in 2011 and 2012. This study determined that, on average, 65% of all law enforcement reports alleging sexual assault resulted in an exam. (See Table 3)
- Using the 65% as a benchmark and applying this percent to the data collected from NIBRS of annual sexual assaults for 2012, predicting an annual number of sexual assault cases that will require examination. (See Table 4)

### **Request for Resources**

In order to better understand our request for resources, it is necessary to identify the resources needed to conduct DNA analysis of forensic medical evidence. The following information describes the resources needed for what we will refer to as one “setup”. Current technology for the analysis of DNA utilizes robotics to maximize efficiencies in the process. The maximum capacity for one Hamilton Robot is as follows:

- One forensic scientist utilizes the robot to process 20 cases per month;
- The robot runs four weeks per month;
- A maximum of four forensic scientists can operate one robot each month;
- A total of 80 cases can be analyzed each month per robot;

- Considering all leave time (training, testifying in court), the robots are utilized 10 months per year per staff member.
- One robot being used by four forensic scientists can analyze a maximum of 800 cases per year.

Each “setup” will require the appropriate allotment of the following:

- One Hamilton Robot
- Four FTE DNA scientists
- Equipment for DNA analysis (Automates, Quantitative Analysis, Amplification, Genetic Analyzer)
- DNA analysis software (Genemapper)
- Other lab equipment and general operating expenses
- DNA analysis commodities (reagents and chemicals)
- Equipment maintenance
- 2,536 square feet of laboratory space

Using the data collected from NIBRS regarding the number of sexual assault offenses for 2012, and assuming the 65% ratio of actual kits taken plus subtracting out the 400 cases annually that CBI currently processes, we begin with an incremental number of 3252 cases in the first year (See Table 4). The following details the number of setups required in order to process 3252 additional cases. With the assumption that the cases submitted will remain stagnant or increase slightly, this number provides a satisfactory indicator to determine the equipment and personnel necessary going forward.

# Annual Cases	Divided by 800 = # of Setups Necessary to Process
3252	4.07

Assuming four additional setups, or 16 DNA Forensic Scientists, this will require an additional manager, evidence technician and administrative assistant.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, the CBI believes that the 65% indicated in Table 4 represents a plausible factor to determine the approximate number of cases to be analyzed by the agency going forward.

Projecting future submissions is challenging; the impact the passage of HB13-1020 will have is difficult to measure, especially relative to how public awareness may persuade a victim to report and consent to an exam.

**Table 2 Alleged Sexual Assaults  
Offenses by Victim  
2004 -- 2013**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Total # of Offenses</b>	<b>% Change one year to the next</b>
<b>2004</b>	<b>2926</b>	<b>-----</b>
<b>2005</b>	<b>4429</b>	<b>51.4%</b>
<b>2006</b>	<b>4569</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
<b>2007</b>	<b>4381</b>	<b>-4.1%</b>
<b>2008</b>	<b>4793</b>	<b>9.4%</b>
<b>2009</b>	<b>4903</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
<b>2010</b>	<b>4996</b>	<b>1.9%</b>
<b>2011</b>	<b>5022</b>	<b>0.5%</b>
<b>2012</b>	<b>5618</b>	<b>11.9%</b>
<b>2013</b>	<b>incomplete</b>	<b>-----</b>

**Data collected from NIBRS (National Incident-Based Reporting System)**

**Table 3 Sexual Assault Response Project  
Conducted by the Colorado Division of Criminal Justice**

Site	Exams	Sex Assault Reports to Law Enforcement	% of exams relative to LE reports
2011 (excl 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter)			
Rural sites (7 sites)	63	99	63.64%
17th JD	117	183	63.93%
<i>Annual Total</i>	<i>180</i>	<i>282</i>	<i>63.83%</i>
2012			
Rural sites (7 sites)	98	189	51.85%
17th JD	127	148	85.81%
<i>Annual Total</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>337</i>	<i>66.77%</i>
Two Year Total	405	619	65.43%

Data collected by DCJ

**Table 4 Projection of Sexual Assault Cases Requiring Examination**

<b>2012 # of SA reported By NIBRS</b>	<b>At 65% of Reported SA With exams</b>	<b>Less 400 cases Tested annually by the CBI</b>
<b>5618</b>	<b>3652</b>	<b>3252</b>




**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
COLORADO BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

**RULES AND REGULATIONS  
CONCERNING  
EVIDENCE COLLECTION IN CONNECTION  
WITH SEXUAL ASSAULTS**

**STATEMENT OF BASIS, STATUTORY AUTHORITY, AND PURPOSE**

Pursuant to Section § 24-33.5-113 C.R.S., the Executive Director of the Colorado Department of Public Safety shall promulgate rules and regulations concerning evidence collection in connection with sexual assaults.

It was declared by the General Assembly that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety of Colorado citizens. The absence of implementing rules to carry out the purpose of the statute would be contrary to this declaration. For these reasons, it is imperatively necessary that the proposed rules be adopted.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James H. Davis, Executive Director  
Colorado Department of Public Safety

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Adoption



**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
COLORADO BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

**RULES AND REGULATIONS  
CONCERNING FORENSIC MEDICAL  
EVIDENCE COLLECTION IN CONNECTION  
WITH SEXUAL ASSAULTS  
IN THE STATE OF COLORADO**

**AUTHORITY**

The Colorado Department of Public Safety Executive Director is mandated to promulgate rules and regulations concerning forensic medical evidence collection in connection with sexual assaults pursuant to CRS § 24-33.5-113.

**DEFINITIONS**

The following definitions apply to these rules and regulations:

**“Accredited Crime Laboratory”** means a law enforcement crime laboratory which has received forensic accreditation through ASCLD/LAB (American Society of Crime Laboratory/Laboratory Accreditation Board) Legacy or ISO/IEC (International Organization of Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission) 17025:2005 by a recognized accrediting body.

**“Backlog”** as referenced in C.R.S § 24-33.5-113 means all unanalyzed collected forensic medical evidence stored in any law enforcement facility in the State of Colorado. All forensic medical evidence received by law enforcement entities as part of an active investigation shall be considered “backlog” until the date these rules become effective.

**“CODIS”** (COmbined DNA Index System) means a database system controlled by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) authorizing individuals within an accredited crime laboratory to utilize the system upon successful completion of a FBI QAS (Quality Assurance Standards) audit.

**“Forensic Evidence”** as referenced in section 113 (b) (I) of CRS § 24-33.5-113 means forensic medical evidence.

**“Forensic Medical Evidence”** means evidence collected by medical or law enforcement personnel using a sexual assault evidence collection kit (or components thereof) consistent with state/national collection standards. This excludes any toxicological evidence.

**“Forensic evidence analysis/release of results”** for the purposes of this law means that any results from the forensic analysis conducted will be released to the submitting agency.

## **APPLICABILITY**

These rules and regulations apply to all personnel who participate in any or all parts of the collection, transportation, storage, forensic analysis, investigation, and the judicial process of forensic medical evidence in connection to alleged sexual assaults occurring in the State of Colorado. These rules must be complied with by March 1, 2014.

## **CONSENT**

Forensic medical evidence must be collected if a victim of an alleged sexual assault requests the collection. Law enforcement and medical personnel shall not, for any reason, discourage a victim of an alleged sexual assault from receiving a forensic medical examination.

Any person who receives forensic medical evidence or the results of those tests conducted on the forensic medical evidence shall not disclose that information except for the authorized purpose for which that forensic medical evidence was obtained.

The **COLORADO SEXUAL ASSAULT CONSENT AND INFORMATION FORM** must be utilized to obtain consent from and provide information to sexual assault victims regarding:

- 1) Evidence collection through a medical forensic exam;
- 2) Forensic evidence analysis/release of results;
- 3) Reporting options; and
- 4) Victims’ ability to withdraw consent.

This form must be used beginning March 1, 2014. This form should be utilized prior to the collection of forensic medical evidence whether collected by medical or law enforcement personnel.

Consent or non-consent must be confirmed through the victim’s initials and signature on the form.

Sexual assault victims may withdraw their consent for evidence collection and forensic evidence analysis/release of results. However, consent for analysis/release of results cannot be withdrawn once forensic analysis has been initiated by a qualified employee of an accredited crime laboratory.

Withdrawal of consent becomes effective when the investigating law enforcement agency verifies that the person seeking to withdraw consent is the victim who is acting of her/his own free will. If possible, law enforcement should obtain written confirmation of the withdrawal from the victim.

If the evidence collection kit is in the custody of the accredited crime lab when the withdrawal of consent becomes effective, law enforcement must notify the accredited crime lab about the withdrawal as soon as possible, but no later than the second business day after consent has been withdrawn and victim identification has been verified.

Law enforcement must make a reasonable attempt to verify the identity of the person seeking to withdraw consent. If law enforcement cannot verify the identity of the person seeking to withdraw consent, or does not believe the victim is acting of her/his own free will, consent cannot be withdrawn.

## **FORENSIC ANALYSIS**

Beginning on March 1, 2014, all forensic medical evidence received by a law enforcement entity must be submitted to the Colorado Bureau of Investigation or an accredited crime laboratory for analysis within 21 days of receipt of such evidence except under the following circumstances:

- 1) The victim has not consented or has withdrawn consent to have the forensic analysis conducted;
- 2) A law enforcement investigation has corroborating evidence that the alleged sexual assault never occurred; or
- 3) The law enforcement entity is not the investigating agency and must forward the forensic medical evidence to the appropriate agency of jurisdiction for submission as soon as possible.

Upon submission to an accredited crime laboratory, that laboratory must strive to analyze and, when appropriate, upload the information into CODIS within six (6) months of receipt of the forensic medical evidence being submitted, assuming the laboratory has sufficient resources.

The appropriate accredited crime laboratory must report the results of the forensic analysis upon completion of the analysis to the submitting agency.

## **LAW ENFORCEMENT**

Law enforcement agencies must submit their backlog of untested forensic medical evidence for analysis to the Colorado Bureau of Investigation or another accredited crime laboratory no later than March 1, 2014.

These rules apply whether the forensic medical evidence is submitted to the Colorado Bureau of Investigation or to another accredited crime laboratory.

Summary--Sexual Assault Cases by Year: Total and Available for Outsourcing																								
PD Name	County	2013		2012		2011		2010		2009		2008		2007		2006		2005		2004		prior to 2004		Grand
		Total	Outsc	Total	Outsc	Total	Outsc	Total	Outsc	Total	Outsc	Total	Outsc	Total	Outsc	Total	Outsc	Total	Outsc	Total	Outsc	Total	Outsc	Total
Alamosa PD	Alamosa	2	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Alma PD	Park	agency reported no backlog																						
Antonito PD		no inventory received																						
Arvada PD	Jefferson	9	8	6	6	6	6	2	2	7	7	7	4	4	4	4	4	1	0	5	5	14	6	65
Aspen PD	Pitkin	1	1	2	2	3	3	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Ault PD	Weld	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Aurora PD	Arapahoe/Adams	32	32	35	35	54	54	41	41	28	28	26	26	33	33	17	17	20	20	22	22	108	12	416
Avon PD	Eagle	1	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Basalt PD	Eagle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Berthoud PD	Larimer	agency reported no backlog																						
Black Hawk PD	Gilpin	agency reported no backlog																						
Boulder PD	Boulder	21	19	24	22	21	21	13	13	21	18	22	21	15	15	6	6	6	6	3	3	23	11	175
Breckenridge PD	Summit	1	1	3	3	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	12
Brighton PD	Adams	3	2	4	4	4	4	3	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	19
Broomfield PD	Broomfield	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	4	2	4	4	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	21
Brush PD	Morgan	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Buena Vista PD	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5
Burlington PD	Kit Carson	agency reported no backlog																						
Calhan PD		agency reported no backlog																						
Campo PD		no inventory received																						
Canon City PD	Fremont	6	6	9	7	3	3	5	4	0	0	2	2	0	0	3	1	7	7	1	1	6	3	42
Carbondale PD	Garfield	agency reported no backlog																						
Castle Rock PD	Douglas	agency reported no backlog																						
Cedaredge PD	Delta	agency reported no backlog																						
Center PD	Saguache	no inventory received																						
Central City PD	Gilpin	no inventory received																						
Cherry Hills Village PD	Arapahoe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CO Springs PD	El Paso	inventory available, but pending																						
Columbine Valley PD		agency reported no backlog																						
Commerce City PD	Adams	7	5	8	6	5	3	7	6	8	5	7	6	9	7	8	5	3	2	4	3	38	11	104
Cortez PD	Montezuma	1	0	6	5	5	4	5	4	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Craig PD	Moffat	agency reported no backlog																						
Cripple Creek PD		0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Dacono PD	Weld	agency reported no backlog																						
Del Norte PD	Rio Grande	agency reported no backlog																						
Delta PD	Delta	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5

Denver PD	Denver	24	24	63	63	82	82	71	71	68	68	69	69	108	108	161	161	155	155	100	100	97	97	998
Dillon PD		1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Durango PD	La Plata	0	0	4	4	4	3	3	3	5	4	4	4	3	3	6	5	2	1	3	3	24	4	58
Eagle PD	Eagle	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Eaton PD	Weld	agency reported no backlog																						
Edgewater PD	Jefferson	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	7
Elizabeth PD	Douglas	agency reported no backlog																						
Empire PD		agency reported no backlog																						
Englewood PD	Arapahoe	4	4	2	2	7	7	4	4	7	5	4	4	2	2	2	2	5	5	1	1	27	8	65
Erie PD	Boulder,Weld	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Estes Park PD	Larimer	no inventory received																						
Evans PD	Weld	2	2	4	4	2	1	2	2	4	4	2	1	9	6	5	3	6	3	3	3	25	9	64
Fairplay PD	Park	no inventory received																						
Federal Hts PD	Adams	0	0	3	3	4	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	15
Firestone PD	Weld	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Florence PD	Fremont	agency reported no backlog																						
Fort Collins PD	Larimer	6	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Fort Lupton PD	Weld	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	6	3	10
Fort Morgan PD	Morgan	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	12	5	21
Fountain PD	El Paso	7	7	14	13	12	6	12	8	11	6	11	7	9	5	14	8	6	3	4	2	17	8	117
Fowler PD	Otero	no inventory received																						
Fraser/WinterPk PD	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Frederick PD	Weld	no inventory received																						
Frisco PD	Summit	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	2	5
Fruita PD	Mesa	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Georgetown PD		agency reported no backlog																						
Gilcrest PD	Weld	agency reported no backlog																						
Glendale PD	Arapahoe	1	1	3	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	15
Glenwood Spgs PD	Garfield	1	1	3	2	1	1	5	3	3	3	5	1	2	0	5	4	4	4	0	0	11	3	40
Golden PD	Jefferson	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	2	17
Granada PD	Prowers	agency reported no backlog																						
Granby PD		agency reported no backlog																						
Grand Junction PD	Mesa	14	14	17	16	21	20	11	9	13	11	10	7	8	7	8	8	1	1	2	2	2	1	107
Greeley PD	Weld	18	16	29	25	19	19	18	16	38	31	10	9	11	11	11	8	17	17	16	11	179	75	366
Green Mtn Falls PD	El Paso,Teller	agency reported no backlog																						
Grwood Village PD	Arapahoe	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	8
Gunnison PD	Gunnison	0	0	4	2	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	2	1	1	1	13
Haxtun PD	Phillips	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Hayden PD	Routt	agency reported no backlog																						
Holyoke PD	Phillips	agency reported no backlog																						
Idaho Springs PD	Clear Creek	agency reported no backlog																						
Ignacio PD		agency reported no backlog																						
Johnstown PD	Weld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Kersey PD	Weld	agency reported no backlog																								
Kiowa PD		agency reported no backlog																								
Kremmling PD	Grand	agency reported no backlog																								
La Jara PD		agency reported no backlog																								
La Junta PD	Otero	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	11	
Lafayette PD	Boulder	0	0	2	2	1	0	4	2	2	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	2	3	0	20		
Lakeside PD	Jefferson	agency reported no backlog																								
Lakewood PD	Jefferson	24	22	33	28	13	12	25	21	21	19	28	23	30	26	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	182		
Lamar PD	Prowers	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	5	4	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	7	0	19		
LaSalle PD	Weld	agency reported no backlog																								
Leadville PD	Lake	no inventory received																								
Limon PD	Lincoln	agency reported no backlog																								
Littleton PD	Arapahoe,Jeff	4	4	6	6	4	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	2	2	2	1	4	3	4	4	16	6	53		
Lochbuie PD	Weld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Lone Tree PD	Douglas	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6		
Longmont PD	Boulder	no inventory received																								
Louisville PD	Boulder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Loveland PD	Larimer	13	9	13	11	7	3	4	3	9	8	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49		
Manitou Springs PD	El Paso	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Manzanola PD		agency reported no backlog																								
Meeker PD	Rio Grande	agency reported no backlog																								
Milliken PD	Weld	agency reported no backlog																								
Minturn PD	Eagle	agency reported no backlog																								
Monte Vista PD	Rio Grande	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Montrose PD	Montrose	1	1	3	3	4	2	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	18		
Monument PD	El Paso	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	6		
Morrison PD	Jefferson	agency reported no backlog																								
Mountain View PD		0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Mt. Crested Butte PD		no inventory received																								
Mtn Village PD	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2		
Nederland PD	Boulder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1		
New Castle PD	Garfield	agency reported no backlog																								
Northglenn PD	Adams	agency reported no backlog																								
Nunn PD	Weld	no inventory received																								
Oak Creek PD	Routt	agency reported no backlog																								
Olathe PD	Montrose	agency reported no backlog																								
Ouray PD	Ouray	agency reported no backlog																								
Pagosa Springs PD	Archuleta	no inventory received																								
Palisade PD	Mesa	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3		
Palmer Lake PD		no inventory received																								
Paonia PD	Delta	agency reported no backlog																								
Parachute PD	Garfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3	0	6		
Parker PD		2	1	3	2	2	1	3	2	5	3	11	5	3	3	7	4	5	2	5	4	17	8	63		

Platteville PD	Weld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2
Pueblo PD	Pueblo	46	46	48	48	52	52	48	48	30	30	1	1	8	8	4	4	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	239	
Rangely PD	Rio Blanco	agency reported no backlog																								
Rifle PD	Garfield	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Rocky Ford PD	Otero	agency reported no backlog																								
Salida PD	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Sanford PD	Conejos	no inventory received																								
Sheridan PD	Arapahoe	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	8	17
Silt PD	Garfield	2	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	3	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	13	
Silverthorne PD	Summit	agency reported no backlog																								
Simla PD	Elbert	no inventory received																								
Snowmass Village PD		0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	
South Fork PD	Rio Grande	agency reported no backlog																								
Southern Ute Tribal PD		no inventory received																								
Springfield PD	Baca	no inventory received																								
Steamboat Spgs PD	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	3	
Sterling PD	Logan	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	4	4	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	2	16	3	31		
Stratton PD	Kit Carson	agency reported no backlog																								
Thornton PD	Adams	0	0	0	0	9	9	13	11	4	4	3	2	2	2	1	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	35		
Timnath PD		agency reported no backlog																								
Trinidad PD	Las Animas	0	0	4	4	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	12		
Vail PD	Eagle	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	8		
Victor PD	Teller	no inventory received																								
Walden PD	Jackson	no inventory received																								
Walsenburg PD	Huerfano	no inventory received																								
Walsh PD		agency reported no backlog																								
Westminster PD	Adams, Jeff	4	4	17	13	11	11	15	13	13	12	15	13	9	7	10	6	2	2	17	13	10	3	123		
Wheat Ridge PD	Jefferson	3	3	4	4	6	5	6	6	2	1	4	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27		
Wiggins PD		no inventory received																								
Windsor PD	Weld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	
Woodland Park PD	Teller	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	7		
Wray PD	Yuma	agency reported no backlog																								
Yuma PD	Yuma	agency reported no backlog																								
		279	260	401	367	392	361	352	322	333	295	287	243	292	271	302	272	275	256	209	194	717	300	3839		
		2013		2012		2011		2010		2009		2008		2007		2006		2005		2004		prior to 2004		Grand		
<b>SO Name</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>		
Adams Co SO	Adams	0	0	13	13	8	8	11	11	12	12	8	8	1	1	3	3	6	6	7	7	0	0	69		
Alamosa Co SO	Alamosa	agency reported no backlog																								
Arapahoe Co SO	Arapahoe	0	0	7	7	8	8	4	4	5	5	2	2	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30		
Archuleta Co SO	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	3	4	3	4	2	1	1	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	19		
Baca Co SO	Baca	agency reported no backlog																								

Bent Co SO	Bent	agency reported no backlog																							
Boulder Co SO	Boulder	agency reported no backlog																							
Chaffee Co SO	Chaffee	agency reported no backlog																							
Cheyenne Co SO	Cheyenne	agency reported no backlog																							
Clear Creek Co SO	Clear Creek	agency reported no backlog																							
Conejos Co SO	Conejos	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Costilla Co SO	Costilla	no inventory received																							
Crowley Co SO	Crowley	no inventory received																							
Custer Co SO	Custer	no inventory received																							
Delta Co SO	Delta	2	2	4	3	3	2	4	2	4	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	21	
Denver Co SO	Denver	agency reported no backlog																							
Dolores Co SO	Dolores	agency reported no backlog																							
Douglas Co SO	Douglas	0	0	4	4	9	6	10	10	11	8	13	10	6	4	11	5	9	8	11	10	9	3	93	
Eagle Co SO	Eagle	0	0	5	4	6	5	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	
El Paso Co SO	El Paso	30	30	42	41	28	25	38	29	24	21	26	23	26	19	19	15	16	11	13	11	89	28	351	
Elbert Co SO	Elbert	agency reported no backlog																							
Fremont Co SO	Fremont	3	3	5	5	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	1	0	0	2	2	7	3	24	
Garfield Co SO	Garfield	3	3	7	7	4	4	8	8	7	6	5	5	5	5	1	1	4	4	0	0	8	1	52	
Gilpin Co SO	Gilpin	agency reported no backlog																							
Grand Co SO	Grand	agency reported no backlog																							
Gunnison Co SO	Gunnison	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Hinsdale Co SO	Hinsdale	agency reported no backlog																							
Huerfano Co SO	Huerfano	no inventory received																							
Jackson Co SO	Jackson	agency reported no backlog																							
Jefferson Co SO	Jefferson	5	5	12	10	14	13	11	10	5	4	5	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	58	
Kiowa Co SO	Kiowa	agency reported no backlog																							
Kit Carson Co SO	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
La Plata Co SO	La Plata	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	0	0	1	1	5	5	3	2	6	0	23	
Lake County SO	Lake	agency reported no backlog																							
Larimer Co SO	Larimer	4	4	8	8	8	7	7	7	2	2	4	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	35	
Las Animas Co SO	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	
Lincoln Co SO	Lincoln	agency reported no backlog																							
Logan Co SO	Logan	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	8	
Mesa Co SO	Mesa	3	3	10	10	6	6	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	
Mineral Co SO	Mineral	agency reported no backlog																							
Moffat Co SO	Moffat	agency reported no backlog																							
Montezuma Co SO	Montezuma	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
Montrose Co SO	Montrose	1	1	3	3	4	2	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	18	
Morgan Co SO	Morgan	agency reported no backlog																							
Otero Co SO	Otero	agency reported no backlog																							
Ouray Co SO	Ouray	agency reported no backlog																							
Park Co SO	Park	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	8	
Phillips Co SO	Phillips	agency reported no backlog																							



Pitkin Co SO	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Prowers Co SO	Prowers	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	2	11
Pueblo Co SO	Pueblo	16	16	16	15	9	9	5	5	7	7	3	2	2	2	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	1	62
Rio Blanco Co SO	Rio Blanco	agency reported no backlog																						
Rio Grande Co SO	Rio Grande	no inventory received																						
Routt Co SO	Routt	no inventory received																						
Saguache Co SO	Saguache	agency reported no backlog																						
San Juan Co SO	San Juan	agency reported no backlog																						
San Miguel Co SO	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Sedgwick Co SO	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Summit Co SO	Summit	1	1	4	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	2	2	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	3	2	0	26
Teller Co SO	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Washington Co SO	Washington	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
Weld Co SO	Weld	4	4	8	7	8	7	4	4	7	6	3	2	4	3	3	3	4	1	6	4	52	26	103
Yuma Co SO	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	
		77	77	153	145	130	117	126	111	98	85	81	69	59	47	53	38	54	45	54	44	186	68	1071
		<b>2013</b>		<b>2012</b>		<b>2011</b>		<b>2010</b>		<b>2009</b>		<b>2008</b>		<b>2007</b>		<b>2006</b>		<b>2005</b>		<b>2004</b>		<b>prior to 2004</b>		<b>Grand</b>
<b>Marshals Name</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Outsc</b>	<b>Total</b>
Bayfield MO	La Plata	agency reported no backlog																						
Blanca MO		agency reported no backlog																						
Collbran MO		agency reported no backlog																						
Crested Butte MO	Gunnison	agency reported no backlog																						
DeBeque MO		agency reported no backlog																						
Dinosaur MO	Moffat	no inventory received																						
Flagler MO	Flager	no inventory received																						
Hotchkiss MO		0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Hugo MO	Lincoln	agency reported no backlog																						
La Veta MO		agency reported no backlog																						
Lakewood MO	Jefferson	agency reported no backlog																						
Mancos MO	Montezuma	agency reported no backlog																						
Naturita MO		agency reported no backlog																						
Norwood MO		agency reported no backlog																						
Ridgway MO	Ouray	agency reported no backlog																						
South Fork MO	Rio Grande	no inventory received																						
Ward MO		agency reported no backlog																						
Telluride MO	Montrose	agency reported no backlog																						
		0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>College/University</b>	<b>County</b>																							
Adams St C PSD	Adams	no inventory received																						
Arapahoe CC PSD	Arapahoe	agency reported no backlog																						
Auraria Campus PD	Denver	agency reported no backlog																						

CO School of Mines	Jefferson	agency reported no backlog																								
CO St Univ PD	Larimer	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	3	3	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	14		
Fort Lewis C PD	La Plata	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	8		
Lowry Campus PD		agency reported no backlog																								
Pikes Peak CC PD	El Paso	no inventory received																								
Red Rocks CC PD	Jefferson	agency reported no backlog																								
Univ of CO Anschutz MC PD		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1		
Univ of CO Denver PD	Denver	agency reported no backlog																								
Univ of CO- Boulder PD	Boulder	0	0	2	2	5	4	2	2	2	2	7	7	1	1	3	3	4	4	0	0	6	0	32		
Univ of CO at CO Spgs DP	El Paso	no inventory received																								
Univ of No CO PD	Weld	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	3	0	7		
		1	1	3	3	6	5	5	4	5	5	8	8	5	4	5	5	5	5	1	1	18	3	62		
<b>Other</b>	<b>County</b>																									
Castle Pines Dept of Emer Serv		agency reported no backlog																								
Colorado Bureau of Investigation		agency reported no backlog																								
Colorado State Patrol		agency reported no backlog																								
RTD Transit Police	Denver	agency reported no backlog																								
USAFA	El Paso	no inventory received																								
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>Grand Totals</b>		<b>357</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>921</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>4973</b>		

<b>Totals</b>
<b>Outsc</b>
5
52
11
1
320
3
0
155
11
16
18
2
5
34
1
59
14
3
5

998
1
34
0
3
44
2
38
9
2
7
7
13
73
1
4
6
9
22
4
96
238
5
10
1
1

6
12
159
9
39
0
6
0
36
1
1
15
3
1
0
1
3
3
35

1
239
1
3
13
9
5
2
18
31
11
7
97
23
1
7
3141
<b>Totals</b>
<b>Outsc</b>
69
30
11

1
16
68
11
253
19
44
1
47
1
15
32
2
5
23
3
15
8

4
11
60
2
1
21
1
3
67
2
846
<b>Totals</b>
<b>Outsc</b>
1
1



10
4
1
25
4
44
0
<b>4032</b>