DEPARTMENTOFROAD BRIDGE
Ken Haynes - Road Superintendent

## 11-30-2010

Febr \& Peers Transportation Consultants
Attn: Emily Gloeckner, P.E
621 17 Street, Ste. 2301
Denver, CO 80293

## Dear Ms. Gloeckner

Please find enclosed three (3) applications for CDOT Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Requests
Grand County Road $\&$ Bridge has taken a close look at all the local intersections that connect to the State highways in our County. We have highlighted three (3) intersections (applications attached to this letter), that pose significant safety concerns to the traveling public. The intersections are prioritized by need as follows:

1. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 5
2. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 54
3. Colorado HWY 9 and Grand County Road

After careful analysis of all data available, the above listed intersections have the greatest safety concern. We have determined that these intersections impact the traveling public the most. Grand County's leading responsibility is to provide the public with the safety roadways possible. Grand County Road \& Bridge responsibily isfort to improve any hazard or unsafe condition along or adjacent to our roads and repairing makes every effort to improve any haze to improving safety for all roadway users.

Grand County Road \& Bridge appreciates your time and consideration for these applications. Should you need any further information, please feel free to contact Alan Green.

Sincerely,

## Ken Haynes <br> Road $\&$ Bridge Superintendent

DEPARTWENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Traficic a Saiety Section
Reqion 3 Hmersection Analysis and Prionitization
Intersection Application
Reawesting Asency

| Agency Name | Grand County |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact Person | Ken Haynes |
| Title | Road \& Bridge Superintendent |
| Email | khaynes@co.grand.co.us |
| Phone Number | 467 E. Topaz Ave <br> POBox. <br> Granby, Co 80446 |
| Mailing Address |  |

## Intersection Location

| Highway (example, US 50) | Colorado HWY 9 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highway Milepost | MMI37 |  |  |
| Local Cross Street name | County Road 1 (AKA Trough Road) |  |  |
| Is the Cross Street (check one) | Public ROW <br> XXX | Private Drive |  | Other 

## Intersection Information

| Type of Intersection (check one) | Signal | Minor St Stop | All Way Stop | Other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearby Driveways | Yes: <br> Distance between intersections: |  |  | No |
| Traffic Mix (check all that apply) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trucks } \\ & \text { XXXX } \end{aligned}$ | Pedestrians | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bicycles } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | Other: |
| Intersection Issues | Please describe the types of safety or operational issues at the intersection. |  |  |  |
| Safety Issues: | When exiting from CR1 to HWY 9, there is a limited site distance to the North and South. Highway speed at this intersection is 55MPH. Logging trucks,rafting and oversized Recreational vehicle traffic are common at this intersection. These types of slow acceleration vehicles pose a safety threat to the oncoming HWY 9 traffic due to the limited site distance. These vehicle types cannot accelerate to highway speeds safely with out the risk of impeding the HWY 9 through traffic. Site distance is |  |  |  |
|  | impaired South of CRI because of a rise and site distance is impaired North of CRI because of a cut bank and curve. |  |  |  |

## Intersection Deficiencies

Please provide a brief description of the existing intersection deficiencies and associated safety concerns, including time of the concerns (day of the week/hour(s)/seasons/time/weekday/weekend/holiday/etc):

The issues with the intersection of Grand County Road I (CR1 / Trough Road) and HWY 9 traffic are many, including but not limited to the points listed below:

1) Traffic entering North or Southbound traffic flow on HWY 9 from CRI is impaired due to the lack of site distance caused by topography. Southbound traffic on HWY 9 is on top of you before you know it.
2) During adverse weather conditions which are common to our County, acceleration onto HWY 9 can be slow due to the adverse road condition
3) Highway speeds on HWY 9 are 55 mph at this intersection and with the limited site distance, a safety hazard is present
4) No acceleration or de-acceleration lanes are present on HWY 9 at this intersection.
5) Traffic Count data collected by Grand County on CRI at this intersection in July of 2010 was 755ADT. Data collected on CR1 at this intersection in June of 2010 was 329. As indicated by these raffic counts, during the summer months traffic increases due to the recreational opportunities located on CRI.
6) CR1 is used by traffic as a bypass route when Interstate 70 is closed due to adverse weather conditions. Also, it is used as a cut across route for traffic traveling to Glenwood Springs by reducing traveling time by 30 minutes.
7) CR1 is used by recreationalist, logging trucks and the general public on a daily basis.

DEPARTMENT OFTRANSPORTATION
Trafiic \& Safety Section

## Mitigation

Please provide a brief description of possible mitigations, improvements, and/or projects to mitigate the
safety concerns at the intersection
The best mitigation practice for this intersection would be to widen HWY 9 at this intersection and lower the road alignment to allow for an acceleration lane and a de-acceleration lane and better line of sight.

This can be achieved by removing dirt from the east side of HWY9 at the intersection of CRI and widening the highway to accommodate for the accel and decel lanes. Also, by lowering the current elevation of HWY 9 would allow for a better line of sight for southbound traffic on HWY 9.

Our records indicate that CDOT possesses an adequate amount of ROW is present to accomplish this.

Grand County is aware of the BLM historical site located on the west side of HWY 9 at CRI and feel the only option for CDOT would be to expand the roadway to the east.

Please see Google Earth Street View for a more detailed view of the intersection.

Are there any existing plans for improvements for this intersection? Yes/No. If yes, please explain Unknown

Are any additional funding sources available for this project: Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

Does this intersection have impacts to adjacent intersections, roadways, etc? If yes, please explain:
No

To assist in analyzing the intersection please attach the following information if available/applicable

- Accident data, including police reports if available

Traffic Volumes, such as AADT/ADT, peak hour volumes, peak hour turning movement counts

- Traffic Studies
- Pedestrian Counts
- Existing signal timing or Synchro files
- Existing construction plans
- Survey data

Aerial photos

- Photographs of the intersection
- Right of Way maps
- Any other data/documentation to assist in analyzing the intersection

Future Tratic Volumes for Hehway 0090
From Reflpoine 120 To Refpoine 240

| Route | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Refi } \\ & \text { Point } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { Reî } \\ & \text { Point } \end{aligned}$ | Start Poimt Description | AADT | AADTVR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AADT } \\ & \text { Single } \\ & \text { Trucks } \end{aligned}$ | AADI Comb. Trucks | $\left[\begin{array}{l} \text { Design } \\ \text { Hour } \\ \text { Houre } \\ \text { (\%of } \\ \text { ARDI) } \end{array}\right]$ | $\\|_{2 A D T 1}$ | AADT <br> Single Truck 2011 | AADT Comb. Trucks 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 009 D | 126.042 | 136.608 | ONSH9 SIO CR 1 | 2,800 | 2009 | 120 | 230 | 14 | 2,971 | 127 | 244 |
| 009 D | 136.608 | 138.237 | ON SH 9 N/OCR 1 | 3,500 | 2009 | 160 | 220 | 12 | 3,714 | 170 | 233 |
| 009D | 138.237 | 138633 | ON SH 9, 6TH ST E/O 13TH ST, CR 396, KREMMMLING | 3,500 | 2009 | 130 | 220 | 12 | 3,672 | 136 | 231 |
| 009D | 138.633 | 138.920 | ON SH 9, 6TH ST S/O SH 40, PARK AVE, KREMMLIING | 3,900 | 2009 | 170 | 200 | 12 | 4,083 | 178 | 20 |

If you notice an error, bug or have any questions, Please E-mail us.



## Hour

Date Day 000102030405060708091011121314151617181920212223 Total 10/04/05 Tue 5014409422419777819185959078911351211023935271081348


## Secondary Direction (South)

## Hour

Date Day 0001020304050607080910111213141415



## Composite Direction (North and South)

## Hour

 (12 516227611618617017020218118720118120225621617480523616112774 10/05/05 Wed 7912132861110162163159142199159202173196226222188121706134182735

## Traffic Count

Date
7/28/2010
C.R. 1

Traffic counter located at: CR1\& Hwy 9

| DATE | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/28/2010 | 9:30a | Set Up | 0 |
| 7/29/2010 | 7:00a | 651 | 651 |
| 7/30/2010 |  |  | 831 |
| 7/31/2010 |  |  | 831 |
| 8/1/2010 |  |  | 831 |
| 8/2/2010 | 7:00a | 3976 | 832 |
| 8/3/2010 | 7:00a | 4674 | 698 |
| 8/4/2010 | 7:00a | 5317 | 643 |
| 8/5/2010 |  |  | 891 |
| 8/6/2010 |  |  | 891 |
| 8/7/2010 |  |  | 892 |
| 8/8/2010 |  |  | 892 |
| 8/9/2010 | 8:45a | 9775 | 892 |
| 8/10/2010 | 8:00a | 10579 | 804 |
| 8/11/2010 | 8:00a | 11339 | 760 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TOTAL | 11339 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily | rage car count | 755.9333333 |

## Traffic Count

## Date 6/3/2010

C.R. 1

Traffic counter located at: At the intersection of CR1\& Hwy 9

| DATE | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6 / 3 / 2010$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{p}$ |  | 0 |
| $6 / 4 / 2010$ |  |  | 126 |
| $6 / 5 / 2010$ |  |  | 126 |
| $6 / 6 / 2010$ |  |  | 126 |
| $6 / 7 / 2010$ |  | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 504 |
| $6 / 8 / 2010$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{p}$ | 1107 | 126 |
| $6 / 9 / 2010$ | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 1523 | 416 |
| $6 / 10 / 2010$ | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 1967 | 444 |
| $6 / 11 / 2010$ |  |  | 394 |
| $6 / 12 / 2010$ |  |  | 395 |
| $6 / 13 / 2010$ |  |  | 394 |
| $6 / 14 / 2010$ | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 3545 | 395 |
| $6 / 15 / 2010$ | $7: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 3894 | 349 |
| $6 / 16 / 2010$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{p}$ | 4597 | 703 |
| $6 / 17 / 2010$ | $8: 30 \mathrm{a}$ | 4942 | 345 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Traffic Count

C. R. 1

Trafic counter located at CR1 @ Blue River Bridge

| DATE | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/13/2009 | 8:00a | 297 | 297 |
| 2/14/2009 | 7:00a | 628 | 331 |
| 2/15/2009 | 8:00a | 959 | 331 |
| 2/16/2009 |  |  | 298 |
| 2/17/2009 | 8:00a | 1555 | 298 |
| 2/18/2009 | 7:30a | 2734 | 1179 |
| 2/19/2009 | 8:20a | 3018 | 284 |
| 2/20/2009 | 7:00a | 3262 | 244 |
| 2/21/2009 |  |  | 300 |
| 2/22/2009 |  |  | 301 |
| 2/23/2009 | 7:30a | 4164 | 301 |
| 2/24/2009 | 8:00a | 4435 | 271 |
| 2/25/2009 | 7:30a | 4664 | 229 |
| 2/26/2009 | 8:30a | 5019 | 355 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total 5019 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Daily aver | count |  | 358.5 |

## Hehicle ceneral liow report - crand Totals



| Total Cars : | 9011( | 429 ADT) | Average Length : 16.0 ft | Average Headway: 152.0 sec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trucks : | 1867 ( | 88 ADT) | Average Axles : 5.0 | Average Gap : 7005 sec |
| Total Volume : | 10878 ( | 518 ADT) |  |  |

$$
\sqrt{1}
$$






DEPARTMENT OFROAD BRDGE Ken Haynes - Road Superintendent

Central Maintenance Facilitity 467 E. Topaz • P.O. Box $9 \cdot$ Granby, Colorado 80446 Phone: 970-887-2123 Fax: 970-887-3168

## 11-30-2010

Fehr \& Peers Transportation Consultant
Attn: Emily Gloeckner, P.E
$62117^{\text {th }}$ Street, Ste. 230
Denver, CO 80293

Dear Ms. Gloeckner
Please find enclosed three (3) applications for CDOT Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Requests.

Grand County Road \& Bridge has taken a close look at all the local intersections that connect to the State highways in our County. We have highlighted three (3) intersections (applications attached to this letter), that pose significant safety concerns to the traveling public. The intersections are prioritized by need as follows:

1. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 5
2. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 54
3. Colorado HWY 9 and Grand County Road 1

After careful analysis of all data available, the above listed intersections have the greatest safety concern. We have determined that these intersections impact the traveling public the most. Grand County's leadin responsibility is to provide the public with the safety roadways possible. Grand County Road \& Bridge makes every effort to improve any hazard or unsafe condition along or adjacent to our roads and repairing these intersections would go a long way to improving safety for all roadway users

Grand County Road \& Bridge appreciates your time and consideration for these applications. Should you need any further information, please feel free to contact Alan Green.

Sincerely,

## Ken Haynes

Road $\&$ Bridge Superintendent

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Trafific \& Saiety Section
Region 3 Tmersection Analysis and Priontization
Intersection Application

## Reawesting Agency

| Agency Name | Grand County |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person | Ken Haynes |
| Title | Road \& Bridge Superintendent |
| Email | khaynes@co.grand.co.us |
| Phone Number | 970-887-2123 |
| Mailing Address | 467 E. Topaz Ave P O Box 9 <br> Granby, CO 80446 |

## Intersection Location

| Highway (example, US 50) | US HWY 40 and County Road 5 East Entrance. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Milepost | MM226 |  |  |
| Local Cross Street name | Grand County Road 5 |  |  |
| Is the Cross Street (check one) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Public ROW } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | Private Drive XXX | Other |

Intersection Information

| Type of Intersection (check one) | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minor St Stop } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | All Way Stop | Other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearby Driveways | Yes: Directly across from CR. 5 <br> Distance between intersections: <br> Intersection of CR8 is 1363 ft east of CR5 on HWY 40 . |  |  | No |
| Traffic Mix (check all that apply) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trucks } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | Pedestrians XXX | Bicycles XXX | Other: Railroad |
| Intersection Issues | Please describe the types of safety or operational issues at the intersection |  |  |  |
| Safety Issues: | During daytime hours, high volumes of traffic affect the ability for vehicles exiting CR5 onto westbound HWY 40. When large vehicles enter westbound HWY 40 from CR5 they can and have caused the westbound HWY40 traffic to slow, impeding the flow of traffic. |  |  |  |
| Operational Issues: | None |  |  |  |

## Intersection Deficiencies

Please provide a brief description of the existing intersection deficiencies and associated safety concerns, including time of the concerns (day of the week/hour(s)/seasons/time/weekday/weekend/holiday/etc):

## Issues with the intersection of County Road 5 (CR5) and westbound HWY

 40 are many, including but not limited to the points listed below:1) Holiday weekend and summertime Friday traffic, can especially exasperate wait times at this intersection. Wait times can last several minutes before any opportunity is available for a large vehicle to enter westbound HWY 40. There are two (2) gravel pits located within two (2) miles of this intersection. All pit traffic use this
intersection which averages, 60 heavy trucks per day. As stated, delays lasting several minutes are not uncommon. Add to this mix the Union Pacific Railroad crossing approximately 115 ft from the Highway entrance with an average of 28 to 30 trains daily causing traffic to back up significantly
2) The Fraser ball fields are located approximately 880 ft west of the intersection of CR5 and HWY 40. These ball fields are hosts to many events from hot air balloon rides, kids soccer games, softball games, war memorial ceremonies, holiday celebrations, and a new ice skating rink. With these types of activities near this intersection, an overload in traffic can occur at any time.
3) The intersection of CR50 is approximately 1090 ft west of of HWY 40 and CR5 and is a primary access point for the Young Life Christian youth camp with an average of 1700 children per week which get transported mainly by bus through this intersection.
4) Traffic count data taken on 5-31-2005 at the intersection of CR5 and HWY 40 show an average daily traffic count of 1145 vehicles per 24 hr period which is only likely to increase.

Please provide a brief description of possible mitigations, improvements, and/or projects to mitigate the safety concerns at the intersection:

The traffic lane markings shown on the aerial map and photos (attached) indicate that the intersection of CR5 and HWY 40 is capable of meeting the demands of westbound traffic entering HWY 40 if the lane markings are simply re-aligned. No additional asphalt work would be required. Re-adjusting the lane markings allowing for an acceleration lane would decrease the current delays at this intersection and decrease the impact on westbound traffic by allowing westbound traffic to merge safely into 55 mph traveling traffic.

By simply re-striping the current lane markings and placement of new signage, a minimum cost for a significant improvement to traffic flow and safety, Grand County feels this intersection can be safer than it currently is.

Please see Google Earth Street View for a more detailed view of the intersection

Are there any existing plans for improvements for this intersection? Yes/No. If yes, please explain. Unknown

## Are any additional funding sources available for this project: Yes/No. If yes, please explain:

Unknown

Does this intersection have impacts to adjacent intersections, roadways, etc? If yes, please explain: Impact due to traffic delays can affect the events held at the Fraser ball fields at CR522, and events held at the Young Life Christian youth camp off CR50

To assist in analyzing the intersection please attach the following information if available/applicable:

- Accident data, including police reports if available
- Traffic Volumes, such as AADT/ADT, peak hour volumes, peak hour turning movement counts
- Traffic Studies
- Pedestrian Counts
- Bicycle Counts

Existing signal timing or Synchro files
Existing construction plans

- Survey data

Aerial photos
Photographs of the intersection

- Photographs of the in
- Any other data/documentation to assist in analyzing the intersection



## Traffic Count

C. R. 5 (east)

Traffic counter located 40 ft west of CR50

| DATE |  | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 / 31 / 2005$ |  | $2: 00 \mathrm{p}$ | 812 | 812 |
| $6 / 1 / 2005$ |  | $3: 30 \mathrm{p}$ | 1713 | 901 |
| $6 / 2 / 2005$ |  | $3: 50 \mathrm{p}$ | 2462 | 749 |
| $6 / 3 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 675 |
| $6 / 4 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 675 |
| $6 / 5 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 676 |
| $6 / 6 / 2005$ |  | $8: 10 \mathrm{a}$ | 5164 | 676 |
| $6 / 7 / 2005$ |  | $8: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 6235 | 1071 |
| $6 / 8 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 9 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 10 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 1 / 205$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 2 / 2005$ |  | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 12225 | 1000 |
| $6 / 13 / 2005$ |  | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 15374 | 3149 |
| $6 / 14 / 2005$ |  | $10: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 18328 | 2954 |
| $6 / 15 / 2005$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Total 18328
Daily average car count
1145.5

$$
\text { for Jume, } 2008
$$

Primary Direction (East)
 06/16/08 Mon 18810511802323123664144304594744064345215434683321661659881276060

## Secondary Direction (West)

Hour
 06/16/08 Mon 196211481193055015545624824714884434434254753582941731456124136422

## Composite Direction (East and West)

## Hour

 06/16/08 Mon 371412165919953781392097691293096284987794610188266263393101591054012482

DTD DataAccess - Statistics, Maps and Data - Traffic Data

| Route | Ref Point | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { Ref } \\ & \text { Point } \end{aligned}$ | Stare Point Description | AADT | AADTYR | AADT Single Trucks | AADT Comb. Trucks | Design Hour Volume (\% of ARDT) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AADT } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { AADT } \\ \text { Single } \\ \text { Trucks } \\ 2011 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AADT } \\ \text { Comb. } \\ \text { Trucks } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 040A | 223.030 | 224.000 | ON SH 40 E/O CR 822 \& CR 526. TABERNASH | 8,100 | 2009 | 250 | 240 | 12 | 8,489 | 262 | 252 |
| 040A | 224.000 | 226.188 | ON SH 40, ZEREX ST N/O CR 8, FRASER | 8,700 | 2009 | 230 | 260 | 12 | 9,109 | 241 | 272 |
| 040A | 226.188 | 226.835 | ON SH 40, ZEREX ST S/O CR 8, FRASER | 9,600 | 2009 | 250 | 290 | 12 | 10,032 | 261 | 303 |

If you notice an error, bug or have any questions, Please E-mail us.




$$
1
$$



## DEPARTMENT OF ROAD B BRIDGE

Ken Haynes - Road Superntendeme

Central haintenance Facility<br>467 E. Topaz • P. O. Box $9 \cdot$ Granby, Co 970-887-212<br>Fax: 970-887-3168

## 11-30-2010

Fehr \& Peers Transportation Consultants
Attn: Emily Gloeckner, P.E
$62117^{\text {du }}$ Street, Ste, 230
Denver, CO 80293

Dear Ms. Gloeckner
Please find enclosed three (3) applications for CDOT Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Requests
Grand County Road \& Bridge has taken a close look at all the local intersections that connect to the State highways in our County. We have highlighted three (3) intersections (applications attached to this letter), that pose significant safety concerns to the traveling public. The intersections are prioritized by need as follows:

1. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 5
2. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 54
3. Colorado HWY 9 and Grand County Road 1

After careful analysis of all data available, the above listed intersections have the greatest safety concern We have determined that these intersections impact the traveling public the most. Grand County's leading responsibility is to provide the public with the safety roadways possible. Grand County Road $\&$ Bridge makes every effort to improve any hazard or unsafe condition along or adjacent to our roads and repairing these intersections would go a long way to improving safety for all roadway users

Grand County Road \& Bridge appreciates your time and consideration for these applications. Should you need any further information, please feel free to contact Alan Green.

Sincerely,

[^0]DEPARTMENT OFTRANSPORTATION
Traficic \& Safety Secion
Region 3 Imersection Analysis and Priontization
Intersection Application
Requesting Agency

| Agency Name | Grand County |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact Person | Ken Haynes |
| Title | Road \& Bridge Superintendent |
| Email | khaynes@co.grand.co.us |
| Phone Number | 467 E. Topaz Ave <br> MO Box 9 <br> Granby, Co 80446 |
| Mailing Address |  |

## Intersection Location

| Highway (example, US 50) | US HWY40 and County Road 54 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highway Milepost | MM218 |  |  |
| Local Cross Street name |  |  |  |
| Grand County Road 54 |  |  |  |
| Is the Cross Street (check one) | Public ROW <br> XXX | Private Drive | Other |

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Trafic \& Saiety Sectio
Imersection Hiformation

| Type of Intersection (check one) | Signal | $\underset{\mathrm{XXX}}{\mathrm{Minor}} \mathrm{St}$ Stop | All Way Stop | Other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearby Driveways | Yes: No <br> Distance between intersections:  <br> 1038ft east of CR54 (north side)  <br> $555 f t$ east of CR54 (south side)  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Mix (check all that apply) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Trucks } \\ \text { XXX } \end{array}$ | Pedestrians | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bicycles } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | Other: |
| Intersection Issues | Please describe the types of safety or operational issues at the intersection |  |  |  |
| Safety Issues: | The HWY 40 westbound traffic must stop in the traveled lane while waiting for eastbound traffic to open in order to turn left onto CR54. This is a single lane, downhill left hand turn on very dangerous road. Travel speeds along this stretch of HWY 40 is 65 mph . Left hand turning vehicles force following vehicles to stop as well. In many cases, these vehicles attempting to stop lose control due to adverse road conditions leading to the increase of traffic accidents. |  |  |  |
| Operational Issues: |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Definciencies

Please provide a brief description of the existing intersection deficiencies and associated safety concerns, including time of the concerns (day of the week/hour(s)/seasons/time/weekday/weekend/holiday/etc):

The issues with the intersection of Grand County Road 54 (CR54) and westbound HWY 40 are many, including but not limited to the points listed below:

1) Westbound traffic is forced to stop in the travel lane to wait for any eastbound traffic before turning. This condition forces any other westbound vehicles to slow down or stop for the turning vehicle. This action is very dangerous as the westbound traffic is traveling downill at 65 mph and into a curve. The weather at this intersection for approximately six (6) months out of the year is hazardous due to being located on the north side of a mountain, and typical weather conditions commonly found in high mountain communities.
2) The Homestead Hills Subdivision's only access is through this intersection. In July of 2005, the average daily traffic (ADT) count was 117 vehicles. Due to the development in this area, these counts have easily increased in the last five (5) years
3) The Snow Mountain Ranch/YMCA of the Rockies is located one (1) mile east of this intersection and has a steady traffic flow from that location to the town of Granby
4) The Winter Park Highlands Subdivision is located 1.5 miles east of this intersection and has a steady traffic flow from that location to the town of Granby.
5) Numerous accidents occur on the HWY in this area because of the grade and curves in the road. Guardrail is located on the south side of the HWY

## Mitigation

Please provide a brief description of possible mitigations, improvements, and/or projects to mitigate the safety concerns at the intersection:

The best mitigation practice for this area could include but is not limited to those listed below. Grand County's preference of which mitigation we would like to see to resolve this dangerous intersection is as follows:

1) Widening of the road in this area to accommodate for a full turn lane.
2) Re-adjustment of lane markings to allow a turn lane for the westbound traffic
3) Signage indicating a turn lane, lane shift etc...

Please see Google Earth Street View for a more detailed view of the intersection.

Are there any existing plans for improvements for this intersection? Yes/No. If yes, please explain. Unknown

Are any additional funding sources available for this project: Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

## Does this intersection have impacts to adjacent intersections, roadways, etc? If yes, please explain:

No

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPOFTATION
Trafic \& Saiety Sectio

## Additional Information

To assist in analyzing the intersection please attach the following information if available/applicable:

- Accident data, including police reports if available

Traffic Volumes, such as AADT/ADT, peak hour volumes, peak hour turning movement counts

- Traffic Studies
- Pedestrian Counts
- Existing signal timing or Synchro files
- Existing construction plans
- Survey data

Aerial photo

- Photographs of the intersection
- Right of Way maps
- Any other data/documentation to assist in analyzing the intersection

Futue Trafic Volumes for Highway 040 From Reffoime 222 To RefPome 223

| Route | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ \text { Point } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { End } \\ & \text { Refi } \\ & \text { Point } \end{aligned}$ | Start Point Description | AADT | AADTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARDT } \\ & \text { Single } \end{aligned}$ Trucks | AADT Trucks | Design Hour Houme (\% of ARDT) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} A, A D T \\ 2011 \end{gathered}\right.$ | AADT Single Trucks 2011 | AADT comb. Trucks 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 040A | . 030 | 224000 | ON SH 40 E/O CR 822 \& CR 526, TABERNASH | 8,100 | 2009 | 250 | 240 | 12 | 8,489 | 262 | 252 |

If you notice an error, bug or have any questions, Please E-mail us.

## Primary Direction (East)

Date Day 0001020304050607080910
 07/09/09 Thu 23 10 9 3 312278320724726432437086

## Secondary Direction (West)

Hour
Date Day $0001020304050607 \quad 08 \quad 09$ 07/08/09 Wed 14733717371422753893323283393653513723723903852862201488357294948 07/09/09 Thu $9 \quad 5 \quad 4 \quad 9 \quad 114013429535933632135261 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$

## Composite Direction (East and West)

Hour
Date Day 0001020304050607080910 $07 / 08 / 09$ Wed 43201092374220469661647655713724711737742814820584389340211139589813 07/09/09 Thu 3215131223672175026066006457221471

## Traffic Count

C. R. $\quad 54$

Traffic counter located at 100 feet from Hwy 40

| DATE | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 / 14 / 2005$ |  | placed counter |  |  |
| $7 / 15 / 2005$ | $8: 40 \mathrm{a}$ | 104 | 104 |  |
| $7 / 16 / 2005$ |  |  | 159 |  |
| $7 / 17 / 2005$ |  |  | 159 |  |
| $7 / 18 / 2005$ | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 582 | 160 |  |
| $7 / 19 / 2005$ | $10: 20 \mathrm{a}$ | 640 | 58 |  |
| $7 / 20 / 2005$ | 1140 a | 806 | 166 |  |
| $7 / 21 / 2005$ | $9: 40 \mathrm{a}$ | 911 | 105 |  |
| $7 / 22 / 2005$ |  |  | 106 |  |
| $7 / 23 / 2005$ |  |  | 106 |  |
| $7 / 24 / 2005$ |  |  | 106 |  |
| $7 / 25 / 2005$ |  | $8: 20 \mathrm{a}$ | 1336 | 107 |
| $7 / 26 / 2005$ |  | $9: 10 \mathrm{a}$ | 1412 | 76 |
| $7 / 27 / 2005$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 1581 | 169 |  |
| $7 / 28 / 2005$ |  | $9: 20 \mathrm{a}$ | 1643 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
CR54. at the intersection of HWY 40 facing east









[^0]:    Ken Haynes
    Road $\&$ Bridge Superintendent

[^1]:    Daily average car count
    117.35

