
greg.schroeder@eaglecounty.us

Date: $\quad$ October 12, 2010
To: City/County Transportation Officials
From: Alisa Babler
Permit Unit Engineer
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Subject: } & \text { CDOT Region } 3 \text { Intersection Analysis and Prioritization } \\ & \text { Request for Applications }\end{array}$
CDOT Region 3 Traffic and Safety (CDOT) has commissioned Fehr and Peers to complete the Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Study. The intent of this study is to update the study done in 2007, develop a methodology, and prioritize intersection improvements for the use of the TPR and CDOT in a multi-year funding program. Up to three intersections per county will be analyzed in-depth and ranked, to assist in developing priorities for CDOT and the TPR. The study will analyze the intersections, identifying long and short term improvements to address deficiencies, and recommend prioritization for future funding.

At this time we are requesting intersection applications for the study. Intersections for consideration should have safety or operational issues and be located on the state highway system. We are requesting that counties submit up to three intersections for inclusion in the study. Additionally, please provide the application packet to cities within your respective county for additional submittals by the city if desired. All intersections submitted will be compiled and an initial evaluation done to establish the top three intersections in the county for an in-depth analysis and inclusion in the study. Intersections not included in the in-depth analysis will be provided as a list in the appendix for future reference.

Any supporting data and documentation available, as it relates to the intersection, will be useful in determining applicable improvements and the final priority of the intersection. The application should include as many specifics as possible regarding deficiencies of the intersection, time of day, impacts of weather, geometric constraints, right of way constraints, crash history, and any other site specific information available.

Please provide your applications no later than December 1, 2010. Completed applications should be sent to:

Emily Gloeckner, P.E.
Fehr \& Peers Transportation Consultants
621 17th Street, Ste. 2301
Denver, CO 80293
E.Gloeckner@fehrandpeers.com

Phone: 303-296-4300
Fax: 303-296-4302
Thank you for assisting us in the development of this program. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact the CDOT project manager, Alisa Babler at $970-683-6271$ or the Fehr \& Peers project manager, Emily Gloeckner, at 303-296-4300.

## Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Intersection Application

## Requesting Agency

| Agency Name | Eagle County |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person | Greg Schroeder |
| Title | Senior Project Engineer |
| Email | , greg.schroeder@eaglecounty.us |
| Phone Number | 970.328.3567 |
| Mailing Address | ```PO Box }85 Eagle, CO 81631 500 Broadway (for Fedex/UPS) Eagle, CO 81631``` |

## Intersection Location

| Highway (example, US 50) | SH82 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highway Milepost | 16.02 (5180' west of MP17, from access permit) |  |  |
| Local Cross Street name | JW Drive (on N. side), Valley Road (on S. Side) |  |  |
| Is the Cross Street (check one) | Public ROW <br> $\mathbf{x}$ | Private Drive | Other |

## Intersection Information

| Type of Intersection (check one) | Signal | $\underset{\mathrm{x}}{\text { Minor St Stop }}$ | All Way Stop | Other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearby Driveways | Yes: <br> Distance between intersections: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Traffic Mix (check all that apply) | Trucks | Pedestrians | Bicycles | Other: |
| Intersection Issues | Please describe the types of safety or operational issues at the intersection. |  |  |  |
| Safety Issues: | 1. Intersection sees heavy traffic from residential areas on the north side (El Jebel Road) and commercial area. 2. Turn lanes on the N. side into Favre Lane (private road) do not allow full lane capacity for SB lanes onto SH82 <br> 3. On south side (Valley Road) has a poor alignment at where Valley Road west connects. This makes it difficult for pedestrians due to the geometry. <br> *** See attached maps for more information *** |  |  |  |
| Operational Issues: | 1. Lane lengths are not long enough for the peak queues. 2. There is inadequate length for adequate queueing lanes and as a result, traffic often backs up into adjacent intersections. This occurs on both north and south sides of the intersection. <br> 3. Close proximity of sidestreets (Valley Road on S., Farve Ln. \& Driveways on the N.) cause blockages during peak times. <br> *** See attached maps for more information *** |  |  |  |

## Intersection Deficiencies

Please provide a brief description of the existing intersection deficiencies and associated safety concerns, including time of the concerns (day of the week/hour(s)/seasons/time/weekday/weekend/holiday/etc):

```
The SH82/El Jebel Road intersection has numerous issues with operational concerns:
1. To the north side, El Jebel Road has short lane lengths that interfere with Farve Lane and
the commercial turnout on the east side. There is also a RFTA bus dropoff location to the
north that causes difficulty.
2. During the peak morning, substantial traffic comes from the Blue Lake Subdivision (via JW
Drive to the north and west) and traffic from Upper El Jebel Road that comes from Missouri
Heights (accessed to the north from El Jebel Road). At times the SB queues can be nearing
the Gillespie intersection.
3. Throughout the day, the commercial uses, primarily the Wendy's and the gas station
(located on the NW corner of the intersection) see substantial traffic.
*** See attached maps for more information ***
```


## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> Traffic \& Safety Section

## Mitigation

Please provide a brief description of possible mitigations, improvements, and/or projects to mitigate the safety concerns at the intersection:

```
1. On the north side, creation of a one way loop for Farve Lane/Gillespie (ie, removing the LT
in lane onto Farve Lane) would give more room for the intersection and allow the SB lanes more
space.
2. Changing the location of the RFTA bus stop dropoff on the north side. (Key note #12 on the
attached map)
3. On the south side, realignment of the frontages, especially since there is a proposed rec.
center (#14) and a proposed park & ride with RFTA's BRT system (#13) underway. These proposed
uses will have a substantial effect on the traffic volumes, safety, and operations.
4. The addition of a SB right turn only lane onto upvalley SH82 would alleviate some of the
morning congestion, as presently it is a straight through/RT combined lane.
*** See attached maps for more information ***
```

Are there any existing plans for improvements for this intersection? Yes/No. If yes, please explain:
There are currently no existing plans for improvements at this intersection.

Are any additional funding sources available for this project: Yes/No. If yes, please explain:
There are no identified funding sources at this time.

Does this intersection have impacts to adjacent intersections, roadways, etc? If yes, please explain: This intersection has substantial traffic ( $9000+$ ADT on $N$. side, $\sim 1400$ ADT on S. side), and with the close road/driveway spacing, there are impacts.

## Additional Information

To assist in analyzing the intersection please attach the following information if available/applicable:

- Accident data, including police reports if available
- Traffic Volumes, such as AADT/ADT, peak hour volumes, peak hour turning movement counts
- Traffic Studies
- Pedestrian Counts
- Bicycle Counts
- Existing signal timing or Synchro files
- Existing construction plans
- Survey data
- Aerial photos
- Photographs of the intersection
- Right of Way maps
- Any other data/documentation to assist in analyzing the intersection

```
Detailed Aerial photos are available upon request. Traffic volumes are included from
Eagle County's summer traffic counting.
```

| COIDRADO DEPARTMENT OFTRANSPORT V STATE HIGHWAY ACCEOs PERMIT |  |  | CDOT Permit No. 300100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | State Highway No/Mp/Side $082 \mathrm{~A} / 019.100 / \mathrm{R}$ |
| Permiltree 0.00 | Date of transmittal $05 / 19 / 2000$ |  | Region/Section/Patrol $03 / 2 / 16$ | Local Jurisaliction Eagle County |

The Permittee(s);
Eagle County Government
PO Box 850
Eagle, CO 81631
970-328-8760

Applicant;
George Roussos
Eagle Co: Tree Farm E. Valley Rd.
PO Box 850
Eagle, CO 81631
970-328-8760
is hereby granted permission to have an access to the state highway at the location noted below. The access shall be constructed, malntalned and used in accordance with this permit, including the State Highway Access Code and any attachments, terms, conditions and exhibits. This permit may be revoked by the issulng authority if at any tme the permitted access and its use viofate any parts of this permit. The issuing authority, the Department and their duly appointed agents and employees shall be held harmiess against any action for personal Injury or property damage sustained by reason of the exerclse of the permit.
Location:
Access to SH 82 Frontage Road at ROW boundary on East Valley Road, a distance of 150 east of El Jebel Road spur. Access to SH 82 Frontage only.

Access to Provide Service to:
County Road 6,300 ADT
100.00 \%

Other terms and conditions:
*See Attached Pages 2 and 3 and Other Enclosures for Additional Terms and Conditions.

## MUNICIPALITY OR COUNTY APPROVAL

Required only when the appropriate local authority retains issuing authority.

| By <br> $(x)$ | Date | Title |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Upon the signing of this permit the permittee agrees to the ferms and conditions and referenced attachments contained herein. All construction shall be completed in an expeditious and safe manner and shall be finished withln 45 days from Initiation. The permitted access shall be completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the permit prior to being used.
The permittee shall notify MIke Moore with the Colorado Department of Transportation in Basalt/El Jebel, CO at 970-927-3137 at least 48 hours prior to commencing construction within the State Highway right-of-way.
The person signing as the permitter must be the owner or legal representative of the property served by the permitted access and have full authority to


This permit is not valid until slgned by a duly authorized representative of the Department.
COLORADOPEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION


Copy Distribution:

Requiled:
1.Region
2.Applicant
3.Steff Access Section

Make coples as necassary for:
Local Authority inspector
MTCE Patrol Traffic Engineer

# COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE HIGHWAY ACCESS PERMIT 

|  | $82 / 20.0 / L$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Local Jurisdiction: | Eagle County |
| Dist/Secton/Patrol: | 3216 |
| DOT Permit No.: | 395068 |
| Permit Fee: | Exempt |
| Date of Transmittal: | $4-19-95$ |

PERMITTER;

Eagle County<br>P. O. Box 179<br>Eagle, CO 81631

Is hereby granted permission to construct and use an access to the state highway at the location noted below. The access shall be constructed, maintained and used in accordance with the terms and conditions of this permit, including the State Highway, Access Code and listed attachments. This permit may be revoked by the issuing authority if at any time the permitted access and its use violate any of the terms and conditions of this permit. The use of advance warning and construction signs, flashers, barricades and flaggers are required. at all times during access construction within State right-of-way in conformance with the MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES, Part VI. The Issuing authority, the Department and their duly appointed agents and employees shall be held harmless against any action for personal Injury or property damage sustained by reason of the exercise of the permit.

## LOCATION:

On the north side of State Highway 82, a distance of 5260 feet east
from Mile Post 19; El Jebel Road intersection.

## ACCESS TO PROVIDE SERVICE TO:

Ypres Lube ( 2016 sf ), Texaco Station ( 300 sf), and Wendy's Restaurant
(3,000 sf).

## OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

1. Access shall be constructed per the High Country Engineering. Inc. plans dated 2/17/95.

## MUNICIPALITY OR COUNTY APPROVAL

Required only when the appropriate local authority retains issuing authority.
By (X)
 Date 4-89-75 Title Sewer Eng. Ieflnician-
 herein. All construction shall be completed in an expeditious and safe manner and shall be finished within 45 days from initiation. The permitted access shall be completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the permit prior to being used. The permitter shall notify Nick Lopez with the Colorado Department of Transportation in $\qquad$ at $\qquad$ at least 48 hours prior to commencing construction within the state. Highway right-ot-way. The person signing as the permitee must be the owner or legal representative of the property served by the permitted




Date $.4-2895$ Permitee



[^0]Date: $\quad$ October 12, 2010
To: City/County Transportation Officials
From: Alisa Babler
Permit Unit Engineer
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Subject: } & \text { CDOT Region } 3 \text { Intersection Analysis and Prioritization } \\ & \text { Request for Applications }\end{array}$
CDOT Region 3 Traffic and Safety (CDOT) has commissioned Fehr and Peers to complete the Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Study. The intent of this study is to update the study done in 2007, develop a methodology, and prioritize intersection improvements for the use of the TPR and CDOT in a multi-year funding program. Up to three intersections per county will be analyzed in-depth and ranked, to assist in developing priorities for CDOT and the TPR. The study will analyze the intersections, identifying long and short term improvements to address deficiencies, and recommend prioritization for future funding.

At this time we are requesting intersection applications for the study. Intersections for consideration should have safety or operational issues and be located on the state highway system. We are requesting that counties submit up to three intersections for inclusion in the study. Additionally, please provide the application packet to cities within your respective county for additional submittals by the city if desired. All intersections submitted will be compiled and an initial evaluation done to establish the top three intersections in the county for an in-depth analysis and inclusion in the study. Intersections not included in the in-depth analysis will be provided as a list in the appendix for future reference.

Any supporting data and documentation available, as it relates to the intersection, will be useful in determining applicable improvements and the final priority of the intersection. The application should include as many specifics as possible regarding deficiencies of the intersection, time of day, impacts of weather, geometric constraints, right of way constraints, crash history, and any other site specific information available.

Please provide your applications no later than December 1, 2010. Completed applications should be sent to:

Emily Gloeckner, P.E.
Fehr \& Peers Transportation Consultants
621 17th Street, Ste. 2301
Denver, CO 80293
E.Gloeckner@fehrandpeers.com

Phone: 303-296-4300
Fax: 303-296-4302
Thank you for assisting us in the development of this program. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact the CDOT project manager, Alisa Babler at $970-683-6271$ or the Fehr \& Peers project manager, Emily Gloeckner, at 303-296-4300.

## Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Intersection Application

## Requesting Agency

| Agency Name | Eagle County |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person | Greg Schroeder |
| Title | Senior Project Engineer |
| Email | greg.schroeder@eaglecounty.us |
| Phone Number | 970.328.3567 |
| Mailing Address | ```PO Box }85 Eagle, CO 81631 500 Broadway (for Fedex/UPS) Eagle, CO 81631``` |

## Intersection Location

| Highway (example, US 50) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highway Milepost | $164.000 / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
| Local Cross Street name | Hillcrest Drive |  |  |
| Is the Cross Street (check one) | Public ROW <br> x | Private Drive | Other |

## Intersection Information

| Type of Intersection (check one) | Signal | $\underset{\mathrm{x}}{\text { Minor St Stop }}$ | All Way Stop | Other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearby Driveways | Yes: <br> Distance between intersections: |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Traffic Mix (check all that apply) | Trucks | Pedestrians | Bicycles | Other: |
| Intersection Issues | Please describe the types of safety or operational issues at the intersection. |  |  |  |
| Safety Issues: | 1. Intersection is only public access point for Lake Creek Village Subdivision, ERW\&SD's WWTF, and several larger rural lots. (There is a private access point through another subdivision.) <br> 2. The intersection is not perpendicular to US6. <br> 3. The high traffic numbers with the stop sign can cause queueing issues over the bridge and back to Lake Creek Village Road <br> 4. There is no LT acceleration lane onto US6. <br> *** See attached maps for more information *** |  |  |  |
| Operational Issues: | 1. The stop sign does not allow the queue to flush and with one SB lane, right turn motions are delayed substantially. <br> 2. Much of the SB traffic are making left turns to the Edwards area, and this motion is dangerous onto US6 <br> 3. The traffic volumes are probably sufficient to warrant a stoplight. CDOT performed a preliminary warrant analysis and the intersection met the peak hour warrant. (see attached) <br> *** See attached maps for more information *** |  |  |  |

## Intersection Deficiencies

Please provide a brief description of the existing intersection deficiencies and associated safety concerns, including time of the concerns (day of the week/hour(s)/seasons/time/weekday/weekend/holiday/etc):

```
1. The predominant access for Hillcrest is for residential, and therefore the peaks are
substantial during the morning and evening hours. The SB LT is dangerous in merging into US6
without an acceleration lane.
2. Item #1 is complicated with the offset intersection and not having adequate sight distance
with making the left turn onto EB US6.
```

*** See attached maps for more information ***

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> Traffic \& Safety Section

## Mitigation

Please provide a brief description of possible mitigations, improvements, and/or projects to mitigate the safety concerns at the intersection:

```
1. Installation of a traffic signal
2. Construction of a LT acceleration lane on US6
*** See attached maps for more information ***
```

Are there any existing plans for improvements for this intersection? Yes/No. If yes, please explain: There are currently no existing plans for improvements at this intersection.

Are any additional funding sources available for this project: Yes/No. If yes, please explain:
There are no identified funding sources at this time.

Does this intersection have impacts to adjacent intersections, roadways, etc? If yes, please explain: This intersection has substantial traffic ( $\sim 9000+$ ADT on $N$. side, $\sim 1400$ ADT on $S$. side), and with the close road/driveway spacing, there are impacts.

## Additional Information

To assist in analyzing the intersection please attach the following information if available/applicable:

- Accident data, including police reports if available
- Traffic Volumes, such as AADT/ADT, peak hour volumes, peak hour turning movement counts
- Traffic Studies
- Pedestrian Counts
- Bicycle Counts
- Existing signal timing or Synchro files
- Existing construction plans
- Survey data
- Aerial photos
- Photographs of the intersection
- Right of Way maps
- Any other data/documentation to assist in analyzing the intersection

```
Detailed Aerial photos are available upon request. Traffic volumes are included from
Eagle County's summer traffic counting.
```

| Date of transmiltal |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.00 | $09 / 11 / 2001$ |



The Permittee(s);
Eagle County
P.O. Box 850

Eagle, CO 81631
$970-328-8760$ 3560

Is hereby granted permission to have an access to the state higtway at the location andted beiow. The access shall be constructed, maintained and used in accordance with this permit, including the State Highway Access S\&'fé end gnqubtachiments, terms, conditions and exhibits. This permit may be revoked by the issuing authority if at any time the permitted access and its use violate-any parts of thils permit. The issuing authority, the Department and their duly appolnted agents and employees shall be held harmless agalnst any action for personal injury or property damage sustained by reason of the exercise of the permit.
Location:
On the left (north) side of US 6E, a distance of 20 feet east from MP 164. This is at the intersection of US 6 and Hillcrest Drive.

Access to Provide Service to:
County Road. $\qquad$ 75 DHV $100.00 \%$

Other terms and conditions:

* See Attached Pages 2 and 3 and Other Enclosures for Additional Terms and Conditions.


## MUNICIPALITY OR COUNTY APPROVAL

Required only when the appropriate local authority retains issuing authority.

| By   <br> $(x)$ $N / A$  | Date | Title |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Upon the signing of this permit the permittee agrees to the terms and conditlons and referenced attachments contained herein. All construction shall be completed in an expeditious and safe manner and shall be finished within 45 days from Initiation. The permitted access shall be completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the permit prior to being used.


The person signing as the permittee must be the owner or legai representative of the property served by the permitted access and have full authority to accept the permit and its terms and conditions.



2010 TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY
Eagle County Colorado


## Greg Schroeder

| From: | Ben Gerdes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, December 01, 2010 1:24 PM |
| To: | Greg Schroeder |
| Subject: | FW: Hillcrest Drive Signal Warrant |
| Attachments: | PC-Warrants for Windows Report.pdf |

From: Znamenacek, Zane [mailto:Zane.Znamenacek@dot.state.co.us]
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2010 8:19 AM
To: Ben Gerdes
Subject: RE: Hillcrest Drive Signal Warrant
Ben,
From using the numbers I found in the Eagle River Meadows TIA report, it appears as though the Hillcrest Dr intersection does meet the peak hour warrant for signalization. See attached. Obviously we would need to look at this location closer before permitting a signal, but it does look like the potential is there.
-Zane

From: Ben Gerdes [mailto:Ben.Gerdes@eaglecounty.us]
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2010 4:28 PM
To: Znamenacek, Zane
Subject: Hillcrest Drive Signal Warrant
Zane,
Has there been a signal warrant done for US 6 and Hillcrest Drive in Edwards? If not, could we request that this intersection be looked at?

Thanks, Ben

[^1]Heading Second Line<br>Heading Third Line

Signal Warrants - SummaryStudy Date : 10/12/10Page No. : 1

## Major Street Approaches

## Northbound:

Number of Lanes: 1
Approach Speed: 41
Total Approach Volume: 1,170
Southbound:
Number of Lanes: 1
Approach Speed: 45
Total Approach Volume: 1,070

## Minor Street Approaches

## Eastbound:

Number of Lanes: 1

Total Approach Volume: 0
Westbound:
Number of Lanes: 1

Total Approach Volume: 565

## Warrant Summary (Rural values apply.)

Warrant 1 - Minimum Vehicular Volume Not Satisfied
Required volumes reached for 2 hours, 8 are needed
Warrant 2 - Interruption of Continuous Traffic Not Satisfied
Required volumes reached for 2 hours, 8 are needed
Warrant 3 - Minimum Pedestrian Volume ..... Not Satisfied Required 4 Hr pedestrian volume reached for 0 hour(s) and the single hour volume for 0 hour(s)
Warrant 4 - School Crossing Not Satisfied Number of gaps $>.0$ seconds $(0)$ exceeds the number of minutes in the crossing period ( 0 ).
Warrant 5 - Progressive Movement ..... Not Satisfied
No adjacent coordinated signals are present
Warrant 6 - Accident Experience Not Satisfied Number of accidents ( -1 ) is less than minimum (5). Volume minimums are not met.
Warrant 7 - Systems Warrant Not Satisfied Major Route conditions not met. One or more volume requirement met.
Warrant 8 - Combination of Warrants ..... Not Satisfied
Required volumes reached for 2 hours, 8 are needed
Warrant 9 - Four Hour Volumes ..... Not Satisfied
Number of hours (2) volumes exceed minimum < minimum required (4).
Warrant 10 - Peak Hour Delay ..... Not Satisfied
Total approach volumes and delays on minor street do not exceed minimums for any hour.
Warrant 11 - Peak Hour Volume ..... Satisfied
Volumes exceed minimums for at least one hour.Study Name : HillcrestDr

# Heading Second Line <br> Heading Third Line 

Study Name : HillcrestDr Study Date : 10/12/10
Page No. : 2
Signal Warrants - Summary


Analysis of 8-Hour Volume Warrants (Maj Crit = Major Criteria, Min Crit = Minor Criteria):

| Hour Begin | Major <br> Total | Higher Minor |  | Warrant 1 |  |  | Warrant 2 |  |  | Warrant 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Vol | Dir | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? | Major Crit | Minor Crit | Meets? |
| 00:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 01:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 02:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 03:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 04:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | -- | 420-No | 84-No | -- |
| 05:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | -- |
| 06:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 08:00 | 1,155 | 360 | WB | 350-Yes | 105-Yes | Both | 525-Yes | 52-Yes | Both | 420-Yes | 84-Yes | Both |
| 09:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 12:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 13:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | -- | 525-No | 52-No | -- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 14:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 15:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 17:00 | 1,085 | 205 | WB | 350-Yes | 105-Yes | Both | 525-Yes | 52-Yes | Both | 420-Yes | 84-Yes | Both |
| 18:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 19:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 20:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 21:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 22:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | EB | 350-No | 105-No | --- | 525-No | 52-No | --- | 420-No | 84-No | --- |


[^0]:    Notes:
    El Jebel Road - S. of Favre Lane
    El Jebel Road [1] - S. of JW Drive, N. of Gillespie
    El Jebel Road [2] - N. of JW Drive

[^1]:    Benjamin Gerdes, P.E.
    Senior Project Engineer
    Eagle County Government
    970.328.3560
    ben.gerdes@eaglecounty.us
    www.eaglecounty.us

