

# DEPRRTMENTOFROAD BRIDGE <br> Ken Haynes - Road superintendene 

Central mantenance Fecility
467 E Topaz P P O Bor $9 \cdot$ Granby, Colorado 80446
Phone: 970-887-2123
Fax: 970-887-3168

11-30-2010
Fehr \& Peers Transportation Consultants
Attn: Emily Gloeckner, P.E.
$62117^{\text {th }}$ Street, Ste. 2301
Denver, CO 80293

Dear Ms. Gloeckner
Please find enclosed three (3) applications for CDOT Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Requests.

Grand County Road \& Bridge has taken a close look at all the local intersections that connect to the State highways in our County. We have highlighted three (3) intersections (applications attached to this letter), that pose significant safety concerns to the traveling public. The intersections are prioritized by need as follows:

1. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 5
2. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 54
3. Colorado HWY 9 and Grand County Road 1

After careful analysis of all data available, the above listed intersections have the greatest safety concern. We have determined that these intersections impact the traveling public the most. Grand County's leading responsibility is to provide the public with the safety roadways possible. Grand County Road \& Bridge makes every effort to improve any hazard or unsafe condition along or adjacent to our roads and repairing these intersections would go a long way to improving safety for all roadway users.

Grand County Road $\&$ Bridge appreciates your time and consideration for these applications. Should you need any further information, please feel free to contact Alan Green.

Sincerely,

Ken Haynes
Road $\&$ Bridge Superintendent

Region 3 Ineprection Amalysis and Priorituzetion

## Hmersection Apmincation

Requesting Agency

| Agency Name | Grand County |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person | Ken Haynes |
| Title | Road \& Bridge Superintendent |
| Email | khaynes@co.grand.co.us |
| Phone Number | 970-887-2123 |
| Mailing Address | 467 E. Topaz Ave P O Box 9 <br> Granby, CO 80446 |

## Imersection Location

| Highway (example, US 50) | Colorado HWY 9 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highway Milepost | MM137 |  |
| Local Cross Street name | County Road 1 | (AKA Trough Road) |
| Is the Cross Street (check one) | Public ROW <br> XXX | Private Drive | Other |  |
| :--- |

## Intersection Information

| Type of Intersection (check one) | Signal | $\mathrm{Minor}_{\mathrm{KMX}} \mathrm{St} \text { Stop }$ | All Way Stop | Other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearby Driveways | Yes: <br> Distance between intersections: |  |  | No |
| Traffic Mix (check all that apply) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trucks } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | Pedestrians | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bicycles } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | Other: |
| Intersection Issues | Please describe the types of safety or operational issues at the intersection. |  |  |  |
| Safety Issues: | When exiting from CR1 to HWY 9, there is a limited site distance to the North and South. Highway speed at this intersection is 55 MPH . Logging trucks,rafting and oversized Recreational vehicle traffic are common at this intersection. These types of slow acceleration vehicles pose a safety threat to the oncoming HWY 9 traffic due to the limited site distance. These vehicle types cannot accelerate to highway speeds safely with out the risk of impeding the HWY 9 through traffic. Site distance is |  |  |  |
| 2aparat | impaired South of CRI because of a rise an site distance is impaired North of CRI because of a cut bank and curve. |  |  |  |

## Hetersection Deficiencies

Please provide a brief description of the existing intersection deficiencies and associated safety concerns, including time of the concerns (day of the week/hour(s)/seasons/time/weekday/weekend/holiday/etc):

The issues with the intersection of Grand County Road I (CRI / Trough Road) and HWY 9 traffic are many, including but not limited to the points listed below:

1) Traffic entering North or Southbound traffic flow on HWY 9 from CRI is impaired due to the lack of site distance caused by topography. Southbound traffic on HWY 9 is on top of you before you know it.
2) During adverse weather conditions which are common to our County, acceleration onto HWY 9 can be slow due to the adverse road condition.
3) Highway speeds on HWY 9 are 55 mph at this intersection and with the limited site distance, a safety hazard is present.
4) No acceleration or de-acceleration lanes are present on HWY 9 at this intersection.
5) Traffic Count data collected by Grand County on CR1 at this intersection in July of 2010 was 755ADT. Data collected on CR1 at this intersection in June of 2010 was 329 . As indicated by these traffic counts, during the summer months traffic increases due to the recreational opportunities located on CRI.
6) CR1 is used by traffic as a bypass route when Interstate 70 is closed due to adverse weather conditions. Also, it is used as a cut across route for traffic traveling to Glenwood Springs by reducing traveling time by 30 minutes.
7) CR1 is used by recreationalist, logging trucks and the general public on a daily basis.

## 

Please provide a brief description of possible mitigations, improvements, and/or projects to mitigate the safety concerns at the intersection:

```
The best mitigation practice for this intersection would be to widen HWY 9 at this intersection and lower the road alignment to allow for an acceleration lane and a de-acceleration lane and better line of sight.
```

This can be achieved by removing dirt from the east side of HWY9 at the intersection of CRI and widening the highway to accommodate for the accel and decel lanes. Also, by lowering the current elevation of HWY 9 would allow for a better line of sight for southbound traffic on HWY 9.

Our records indicate that CDOT possesses an adequate amount of ROW is present to accomplish this.

Grand County is aware of the BLM historical site located on the west side of HWY 9 at CR1 and feel the only option for CDOT would be to expand the roadway to the east.

Please see Google Earth Street View for a more detailed view of the intersection.

Are there any existing plans for improvements for this intersection? Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

Are any additional funding sources available for this project: Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

Does this intersection have impacts to adjacent intersections, roadways, etc? If yes, please explain: No

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPOFTATION
Trafic \& Saiety Section

## Acicicionallnormation

To assist in analyzing the intersection please attach the following information if available/applicable:

- Accident data, including police reports if available
- Traffic Volumes, such as AADT/ADT, peak hour volumes, peak hour turning movement counts
- Traffic Sudies
- Pedestrian Counts
- Bicycle Counts
- Existing signal timing or Synchro filles
- Existing construction plans
- Survey data
- Aerial photos
- Photographs of the intersection
- Right of Way maps
- Any other data/documentation to assist in analyzing the intersection

Futrue Tratic Voluntes Rof righway mop
From reipoine 20 To Reffome ido

| Route | Ref Point | End Ref Point | Stat Point Description | $A A_{\text {a }} \mathrm{BT}$ | AADTYR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AADT } \\ & \text { Single } \\ & \text { Trucks } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AADT } \\ & \text { Comb. } \\ & \text { Trucks } \end{aligned}$ | Design Hour Volume (\% of AADT) | $\begin{gathered} A A D T \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | ARDT <br> Single <br> Trucks <br> 2011 | AADT Comb. Trucks 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 009 D | 126.042 | 136.608 | ON SH 9 SIO CR 1 | 2,800 | 2009 | 120 | 230 | 14 | 2,971 | 127 | 244 |
| 009 D | 136.608 | 138.237 | ON SH 9 N/O CR 1 | 3,500 | 2009 | 160 | 220 | 12 | 3,714 | 170 | 233 |
| 009 D | 138237 | 138633 | ON SH 9, 6TH ST E/O 13TH ST, CR 396, KREMMLING | 3,500 | 2009 | 130 | 220 | 12 | 3,672 | 136 | 231 |
| 009D | 138.633 | 138.920 | ON SH 9, 6TH ST SIO SH 40, PARK AVE, KREMMLING | 3,900 | 2009 | 170 | 200 | 12 | 4,083 | 178 | 209 |

If you notice an error, bug or have any questions, Please E-mail us.

To Temiming


$$
\text { County Road } 1 \text { \& Fiwy } 9
$$

$x-\frac{4}{3}=$


# Thentrecounte <br>  

Primary Direction (Worth)
Hour
Date Day $00010203040506070809101112131415 \quad 1617181920212223$ Total
 $10 / 05 / 05$ Wed $3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 8 \quad 1339816878638176109789711113010966374023131338$

## Secondary Direction (South)

Hour
Date Day $0001020304050607080910 \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & \text { Total }\end{array}$



## Composite Direction (North and South)

Hour


## Traffic Count

Date
7/28/2010
CR. 1

Traffic counter located at: CR1 \& Hwy 9

| DATE | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/28/2010 | 9:30a | Set Up | 0 |
| 7/29/2010 | 7:00a | 651 | 651 |
| 7/30/2010 |  |  | 831 |
| 7/31/2010 |  |  | 831 |
| 8/1/2010 |  |  | 831 |
| 8/2/2010 | 7:00a | 3976 | 832 |
| 8/3/2010 | 7:00a | 4674 | 698 |
| 8/4/2010 | 7:00a | 5317 | 643 |
| 8/5/2010 |  |  | 891 |
| 8/6/2010 |  |  | 891 |
| 8/7/2010 |  |  | 892 |
| 8/8/2010 |  |  | 892 |
| 8/9/2010 | 8:45a | 9775 | 892 |
| 8/10/2010 | 8:00a | 10579 | 804 |
| 8/11/2010 | 8:00a | 11339 | 760 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| TOTAL | 11339 |
| :---: | :---: |

## Trasitic Coum

Date
$6 / 3 / 2010$
C.R. 1

Traffic counter located at: At the intersection of CR.1. \& Hwy 9

| DATE | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/3/2010 | 12:30p |  | 0 |
| 6/4/2010 |  |  | 126 |
| 6/5/2010 |  |  | 126 |
| 6/6/2010 |  |  | 126 |
| 6/7/2010 | 9:00a | 504 | 126 |
| 6/8/2010 | 12:00p | 1107 | 603 |
| 6/9/2010 | 9:00a | 1523 | 416 |
| 6/10/2010 | 9:00a | 1967 | 444 |
| 6/11/2010 |  |  | 394 |
| 6/12/2010 |  |  | 395 |
| 6/13/2010 |  |  | 394 |
| 6/14/2010 | 9:00a | 3545 | 395 |
| 6/15/2010 | 7:00a | 3894 | 349 |
| 6/16/2010 | 12:30p | 4597 | 703 |
| 6/17/2010 | 8:30a | 4942 | 345 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4942 |

## Traific Coune

Date $2 / 12 / 2009$

## C. R. <br> 1

Traffic counter located at CR1 @ Blue River Bridge

| DATE |  | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 / 13 / 2009$ | $8: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 297 | 297 |  |
| $2 / 14 / 2009$ |  | $7: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 628 | 331 |
| $2 / 15 / 2009$ | $8: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 959 | 331 |  |
| $2 / 16 / 2009$ |  |  | 298 |  |
| $2 / 17 / 2009$ |  | $8: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 1555 | 298 |
| $2 / 18 / 2009$ |  | $7: 30 \mathrm{a}$ | 2734 | 1179 |
| $2 / 19 / 2009$ | $8: 20 \mathrm{a}$ | 3018 | 284 |  |
| $2 / 20 / 2009$ | $7: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 3262 | 244 |  |
| $2 / 21 / 2009$ |  |  | 300 |  |
| $2 / 22 / 2009$ |  |  |  | 301 |
| $2 / 23 / 2009$ | $7: 30 \mathrm{a}$ | 4164 | 301 |  |
| $2 / 24 / 2009$ |  | $8: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 4435 | 271 |
| $2 / 25 / 2009$ |  | $7: 30 \mathrm{a}$ | 4664 | 229 |
| $2 / 26 / 2009$ | $8: 30 \mathrm{a}$ | 5019 | 355 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Total 5019

## Wehiele Benctel

## Average Daily Traffic (ADT)

| Weekday |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Cars: | 455 | $(83 \%)$ |
| Truck : | 91 | $(17 \%)$ |
| Total : | 546 |  |


| Weekend |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cars: | 363 | $(81 \%)$ |
| Trucks: | 83 | $(19 \%)$ |
| Total : | 446 |  |

Totel ADT

| Cars : | 429 | $(82 \%)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trucks : | 88 | $(18 \%)$ |
| Total : | 518 |  |

## Speed Totals

| $50 \%: 41.9 \mathrm{mph}$ | Top Speed: 114.7 mph | Average Truck Speed: 42.6 mph |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $85 \%: 50.7 \mathrm{mph}$ | Low Speed: 27 mph | Average Car Speed: 423 mph |  |
| Avg: | 42.4 mph |  |  |

## Peak Hour Totals

| $\frac{\text { AM Peak Hour (Volume) }}{\text { Weekday : } 10: 00-11: 00 \text { (Avg 35) }}$ |
| :--- |
| Weekend : $10: 45-11: 45$ (Avg 35) |
| PM Peak Hour (Volume) |
| Weekday : $12: 15-13: 15$ (Avg 113) |
| Weekend : 14:30-15:30 (Avg 40) |


| AM Peak Hour (Speed) |
| :--- |
| $00: 30-01: 30(44.0 \mathrm{mph})$ |
| $01: 15-02: 15(55.5 \mathrm{mph})$ |
| PM Peak Hour (Speed) |
| $12: 30-13: 30(57.9 \mathrm{mph})$ |
| $15: 45-16: 45(43.0 \mathrm{mph})$ |

Grand Totals

| Total Cars: | $9011($ | $429 \mathrm{ADT})$ | Average Length: 16.0 ft |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Trucks: | $1867($ | $88 \mathrm{ADT})$ | Average Axles : 5.0 |



CRI at the intersection of HWY 9 facing east.
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Grand County Surveyor



## DEPARTMENTOFROAD BRRDE <br> Ken Haynes - Road Superintendent

Cemtral mantemance Facility
467 E. Topaz • P.O. Box $9 \cdot$ Granby, Colorado 80446
Phone: 970-887-2123
Fax: 970-887-3168

11-30-2010
Fehr $\&$ Peers Transportation Consultants
Attn: Emily Gloeckner, P.E.
$62117^{\text {th }}$ Street, Ste. 2301
Denver, CO 80293

## Dear Ms. Gloeckner

Please find enclosed three (3) applications for CDOT Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Requests.

Grand County Road \& Bridge has taken a close look at all the local intersections that connect to the State highways in our County. We have highlighted three (3) intersections (applications attached to this letter), that pose significant safety concerns to the traveling public. The intersections are prionitized by need as follows:

1. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 5
2. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 54
3. Colorado HWY 9 and Grand County Road 1

After careful analysis of all data available, the above listed intersections have the greatest safety concern. We have determined that these intersections impact the traveling public the most. Grand County's leading responsibility is to provide the public with the safety roadways possible. Grand County Road \& Bridge makes every effort to improve any hazard or unsafe condition along or adjacent to our roads and repairing these intersections would go a long way to improving safety for all roadway users.

Grand County Road \& Bridge appreciates your time and consideration for these applications. Should you need any further information, please feel free to contact Alan Green.

Sincerely,

## Region 3 Intersection Analvsis and Prionitzation Imersection Amplication

## Requesting Agency

| Agency Name | Grand County |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person |  |
|  | Ken Haynes |
| Title |  |
|  | Road \& Bridge Superintendent |
| Email |  |
|  | khaymes@co.grand.co.us |
| Phone Number |  |
|  | 970-887-2123 |
| Mailing Address | $\begin{aligned} & 467 \text { E. Topaz Ave } \\ & \text { P O Box } 9 \\ & \text { Granby, CO } 80446 \end{aligned}$ |

## Intersection Location

| Highway (example, US 50) | US HWY 40 and County Road 5 East Entrance. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highway Milepost | NIM226 |  |
| Local Cross Street name | Grand County |  |
| Is the Cross Street (check one) | Public ROW <br> XXX | Private Drive <br> XXX |

## Intersection Information

| Type of Intersection (check one) | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minor St Stop } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | All Way Stop | Other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearby Driveways | Yes: Directly across from CR5 <br> Distance between intersections: <br> Intersection of CR8 is 1363 ft east of CR5 on HWY 40 . |  |  | No |
| Traffic Mix (check all that apply) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trucks } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pedestrians } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | Bicycles <br> XXX | Other: Railroad |
| Intersection Issues | Please describe the types of safety or operational issues at the intersection. |  |  |  |
| Safety Issues: | During daytime hours, high volumes of traffic affect the ability for vehicles exiting CR5 onto westbound HWY 40 . When large vehicles enter westbound HWY 40 from CR5 they can and have caused the westbound HWY40 traffic to slow, impeding the flow of traffic. |  |  |  |
| Operational Issues: | INone |  |  |  |

## Intersection Demiciencies

Please provide a brief description of the existing intersection deficiencies and associated safety concerns, including time of the concerns (day of the week/hour(s)/seasons/time/weekday/weekend/holiday/etc):

Issues with the intersection of County Road 5 (CR5) and westbound HWY 40 are many, including but not limited to the points listed below:

1) Holiday weekend and summertime Friday traffic, can especially exasperate wait times at this intersection. Wait times can last several minutes before any opportunity is available for a large vehicle to enter westbound HWY 40. There are two (2)gravel pits located within two (2) miles of this intersection. All pit traffic use this intersection which averages, 60 heavy trucks per day. As stated, delays lasting several minutes are not uncommon. Add to this mix the Union Pacific Railroad crossing approximately l15ft from the Highway entrance with an average of 28 to 30 trains daily causing traffic to back up significantly.
2) The Fraser ball fields are located approximately 880 ft west of the intersection of CR5 and HWY 40. These ball fields are hosts to many events from hot air balloon rides, kids soccer games, softball games, war memorial ceremonies, holiday celebrations, and a new ice skating rink. With these types of activities near this intersection, an overload in traffic can occur at any time.
3) The intersection of CR50 is approximately 1090 ft west of of HWY 40 and CR5 and is a primary access point for the Young Life Christian youth camp with an average of 1700 children per week which get transported mainly by bus through this intersection.
4) Traffic count data taken on 5-31-2005 at the intersection of CR5 and HWY 40 show an average daily traffic count of 1145 vehicles per 24 hr period which is only likely to increase.

## Mitigation

Please provide a brief description of possible mitigations, improvements, and/or projects to mitigate the safety concerns at the intersection:

```
The traffic lane markings shown on the aerial map and photos(attached) indicate that the intersection of CR5 and HWY 40 is capable of meeting the demands of westbound traffic entering HWY 40 if the lane marlsings are simply re-aligned. No additional asphalt work would be required. Re-adjusting the lane markings allowing for an acceleration lane would decrease the current delays at this intersection and decrease the impact on westbound traffic by allowing westbound traffic to merge safely into 55 mph traveling traffic.
By simply re-striping the current lane markings and placement of new signage, a minimum cost for a significant improvement to traffic flow and safety, Grand County feels this intersection can be safer than it currently is.
```

Please see Google Earth Street View for a more detailed view of the intersection.

Are there any existing plans for improvements for this intersection? Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

Are any additional funding sources available for this project: Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

Does this intersection have impacts to adjacent intersections, roadways, etc? If yes, please explain: Impact due to traffic delays can affect the events held at the Fraser ball fields at CR522, and events held at the Young Life Christian youth camp off CR50.

## Additional fiformation

To assist in analyzing the intersection please attach the following information if available/applicable:

- Accident data, including police reports if available
- Traffic Volumes, such as AADT/ADT, peak hour volumes, peak hour turning movement counts
- Traffic Studies
- Pedestrian Counts
- Bicycle Counts
- Existing signal timing or Synchro files
- Existing construction plans
- Survey data
- Aerial photos
- Photographs of the intersection
- Right of Way maps
- Any other data/documentation to assist in analyzing the intersection



# Traffic Count 

Date $5 / 31 / 2005$

## C.R. 5 (east)

Trafic counter located 40ft west of CR50

| DATE |  | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 / 31 / 2005$ |  | $2: 00 \mathrm{p}$ | 812 | 812 |
| $6 / 1 / 2005$ |  | $3: 30 \mathrm{p}$ | 1713 | 901 |
| $6 / 2 / 2005$ |  | $3: 50 \mathrm{p}$ | 2462 | 749 |
| $6 / 3 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 675 |
| $6 / 4 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 675 |
| $6 / 5 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 676 |
| $6 / 6 / 2005$ |  | $8: 10 \mathrm{a}$ | 5164 | 676 |
| $6 / 7 / 2005$ |  | $8: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 6235 | 1071 |
| $6 / 8 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 9 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 10 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 11 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 12 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 13 / 2005$ |  | $9: 00 a$ | 12225 | 1000 |
| $6 / 14 / 2005$ |  | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 15374 | 3149 |
| $6 / 15 / 2005$ |  | $10: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 18328 | 2954 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Total 18328

Hour
Date Day $00 \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll} & 01 & 02 & 03 & 04 & 05 & 06 & 07 & 08 & 09 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 \\ 23 & \text { Total }\end{array}$ 06/16/08 Non 18 8 105111802323123664144304594744064345215434683321651659881276060

## Secondary Direction (West)

Hour
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Date Day } & 00 & 01 & 02 & 03 & 04 & 05 & 06 & 07 & 08 & 09 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & \text { Total }\end{array}$ $06 / 16 / 08$ Mon 1962111481193055015545624824714884434434254753582941731456124136422

## Composite Direction (East and West)

## Hour

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Date Day } 00 & 01 & 02 & 03 & 04 & 05 & 06 & 07 & 08 & 09 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & \text { Total }\end{array}$ $06 / 16 / 08$ Mon 371412165919953781392097691293096284987794610188266263393101591054012482

Future Tratic Volumes Tor Mghury 040

$$
\text { From Reppolte } 222 \text { To Refpoint } 228
$$

| Rocte | Ref Point | Ena Ref Point | StaréPoint Description | AADT | AADTYR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AADT } \\ & \text { Single } \\ & \text { Trucks } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AADT } \\ & \text { Comb. } \\ & \text { Trucks } \end{aligned}$ | Desigm Hour Volume (\% of AADT) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \operatorname{ARDT} \\ 2011 \end{gathered}\right.$ | AADT Single Trucks 2011 | AADT Comb. Trueks 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 040A | 223.030 | 224.000 | ON SH 40 EIO CR 822 \& CR 526, TABERNASH | 8,100 | 2009 | 250 | 240 | 12. | 8,489 | 262 | 252 |
| 040A | 224.000 | 226.188 | ON SH 40, ZEREX ST N/O CR 8, FRASER | 8,700 | 2009 | 230 | 260 | 12 | 9,109 | 241 | 272 |
| 040A | 226.188 | 226.835 | ON SH 40, ZEREX ST S/O CR 8, FRASER | 9,600 | 2009 | 250 | 290 | 12 | 10,032 | 261 | 303 |

If you notice an error, bug or have any questions, Please E-mail us.
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# DEPARTMENT OFROAD \& BRIDE Ken Haynes - Road Superintendent 

Central Mantornance Facillty
467 E. Topaz • P O. Box 9- Granby, Colorado 80446
Phone: 970-887-2123
Fax: 970-887-3168

11-30-2010
Febr \& Peers Transportation Consultants
Attn: Emily Gloeckner, P.E.
$62117^{\text {th }}$ Street, Ste. 2301
Denver, CO 80293

Dear Ms. Gloeckner
Please find enclosed three (3) applications for CDOT Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Requests.

Grand County Road \& Bridge has taken a close look at all the local intersections that connect to the State highways in our County. We have highlighted three (3) intersections (applications attached to this letter), that pose significant safety concerns to the traveling public. The intersections are prioritized by need as follows:

1. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 5
2. US HWY 40 and Grand County Road 54
3. Colorado HWY 9 and Grand County Road 1

After careful analysis of all data available, the above listed intersections have the greatest safety concern. We have determined that these intersections impact the traveling public the most. Grand County's leading responsibility is to provide the public with the safety roadways possible. Grand County Road \& Bridge makes every effort to improve any hazard or unsafe condition along or adjacent to our roads and repairing these intersections would go a long way to improving safety for all roadway users.

Grand County Road \& Bridge appreciates your time and consideration for these applications. Should you need any further information, please feel free to contact Alan Green.

Sincerely,

## Ken Haynes

Road \& Bridge Superintendent

## Reqion 3 Hntersection Analycis amd Proritization Intersection Application

## Reauesting Agency

| Agency Name | Grand County |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person | Ken Haynes |
| Title | Road \& Bridge Superintendent |
| Email | khaynes@co.grand.co.us |
| Phone Number | 970-887-2123 |
| Mailing Address | ```467 E. Topaz Ave P O Box 9 Granby, CO }8044``` |

## Intersection Location

| Highway (example, US 50) | US FWY40 and County Road 54 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highway Milepost | MM218 |  |  |
| Local Cross Street name |  |  |  |
| Grand County Road 54 |  |  |  |
| Is the Cross Street (check one) | Public ROW <br> XXX | Private Drive | Other |

## Intersection Information

| Type of Intersection (check one) | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minor St Stop } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | All Way Stop | Other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearby Driveways | Yes:Distance between intersections:1038 ft east of CR54 (north side)555 ft east of CR54 (south side) |  |  |  |
| Traffic Mix (check all that apply) | Trucks XXX | Pedestrians | Bicycles XXX | Other: |
| Intersection Issues | Please describe the types of safety or operational issues at the intersection. |  |  |  |
| Safety Issues: | The HWY 40 westbound traffic must stop in the traveled lane while waiting for eastbound traffic to open in order to turn left onto CR54. This is a single lane, downhill left hand turn on very dangerous road. Travel speeds along this stretch of HWY 40 is 65mph. Left hand turning vehicles force following vehicles to stop as well. In many cases, these vehicles attempting to stop lose control due to adverse road conditions leading to the increase of traffic accidents. |  |  |  |
| Operational Issues: |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Deficiencies

Please provide a brief description of the existing intersection deficiencies and associated safety concerns, including time of the concerns (day of the week/hour(s)/seasons/time/weekday/weekend/holiday/etc):

The issues with the intersection of Grand County Road 54 (CR54) and westbound HWY 40 are many, including but not limited to the points listed below:

1) Westbound traffic is forced to stop in the travel lane to wait for any eastbound traffic before turning. This condition forces any other westbound vehicles to slow down or stop for the turning vehicle. This action is very dangerous as the westbound traffic is traveling downhill at 65 mph and into a curve. The weather at this intersection for approximately six (6) months out of the year is hazardous due to being located on the north side of a mountain, and typical weather conditions commonly found in high mountain communities.
2) The Homestead Hills Subdivision's only access is through this intersection. In July of 2005, the average daily traffic ( $A D T$ ) count was 117 vehicles. Due to the development in this area, these counts have easily increased in the last five (5) years.
3) The Snow Mountain Ranch/YMCA of the Rockies is located one (1) mile east of this intersection and has a steady traffic flow from that location to the town of Granby.
4) The Winter Park Highlands Subdivision is located 1.5 miles east of this intersection and has a steady traffic flow from that location to the town of Granby.
5) Numerous accidents occur on the HWY in this area because of the grade and curves in the road. Guardrail is located on the south side of the HWY

## Mitigation

Please provide a brief description of possible mitigations, improvements, and/or projects to mitigate the safety concerns at the intersection:

The best mitigation practice for this area could include but is not limited to those listed below. Grand County's preference of which mitigation we would like to see to resolve this dangerous intersection is as follows:

1) Widening of the road in this area to accommodate for a full turn lane.
2) Re-adjustment of lane markings to allow a turn lane for the westbound traffic.
3) Signage indicating a turn lane, lane shift etc...

Please see Google Earth Street View for a more detailed view of the intersection.

Are there any existing plans for improvements for this intersection? Yes/No. If yes, please explain:

## Unknown

Are any additional funding sources available for this project: Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

Does this intersection have impacts to adjacent intersections, roadways, etc? If yes, please explain: No

## Adfitional information

To assist in analyzing the intersection please attach the following information if available/applicable:

- Accident data, including police reports if available
- Traffic Volumes, such as AADT/ADT, peak hour volumes, peak hour turning movement counts
- Traffic Studies
- Pedestrian Counts
- Bicycle Counts
- Existing signal timing or Synchro files
- Existing construction plans
- Survey data
- Aerial photos
- Photographs of the intersection
- Right of Way maps
- Any other data/documentation to assist in analyzing the intersection
 Prom Refpont 222 To RefPone 223

| Route | Ref Poiné | End Ref Point | Sten Point Description | A, ADT | AADTVR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AADT } \\ & \text { Single } \\ & \text { Trucks } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AADT } \\ & \text { Comb. } \\ & \text { Trucks } \end{aligned}$ | Design Hour Volume (\% of AADT) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { AADT } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}\right.$ | AADT Simgle Trucke 2011 | AADT Comb. Trucks 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 040A | 223.030 | 224000 | ON SH 40 E/OCR $8222^{\circ}$ CR 526, TABERNASH | 8,100 | 2009 | 250 | 240 | 12 | 8,489 | 262 | 252 |

If you notice an error, bug or have any questions, Please E-mail us.

# Trafic Gounte <br> Fighway Q40a, Refpont 223,030, stetion 0 beys for Tuly, 2009 

## Peimary Direction (Eask)

Hour
Date Day $00010203040506070809 \times 10 \quad 11$ $07 / 08 / 09$ Wed 291371263778194272315327374359360365370424435298169192128821294865 07/09/09 Thu 23109312278320724726432437086

## Secondary Direction (West)

Hour
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Date Day } 00 & 01 & 02 & 03 & 04 & 05 & 06 & 07 & 08 & 09 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & \text { Total }\end{array}$



## Composite Direction (East and West)

Hour
Date Day 00010203040506070809 $07 / 08 / 09$ Wed 43201092374220469661647655713724711737742814820584389340211139589813


## Trafic Count

Dete
$7 / 14 / 2005$
C. R.
54

Traffic counter located at 100 feet from Hwy 40

| DATE | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 / 14 / 2005$ |  | placed counter |  |  |
| $7 / 15 / 2005$ |  | $8: 40 \mathrm{a}$ | 104 | 104 |
| $7 / 16 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 159 |
| $7 / 17 / 2005$ |  |  | 159 |  |
| $7 / 18 / 2005$ |  | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 582 | 160 |
| $7 / 19 / 2005$ |  | $10: 20 \mathrm{a}$ | 640 | 58 |
| $7 / 20 / 2005$ |  | $11: 40 \mathrm{a}$ | 806 | 166 |
| $7 / 21 / 2005$ |  | $9: 40 \mathrm{a}$ | 911 | 105 |
| $7 / 22 / 2005$ |  |  | 106 |  |
| $7 / 23 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 106 |
| $7 / 24 / 2005$ |  |  | 106 |  |
| $7 / 25 / 2005$ |  | $8: 20 \mathrm{a}$ | 1336 | 107 |
| $7 / 26 / 2005$ |  | $9: 10 \mathrm{a}$ | 1412 | 76 |
| $7 / 27 / 2005$ |  | $10: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 1581 | 169 |
| $7 / 28 / 2005$ |  | $9: 20 \mathrm{a}$ | 1643 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Daily average car count
117.35






HWYY 40 and intersection of CR54 westbound (winter conditions)



## Grand County Road \& Bridge



County Road 5

Priority \#1

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Traffic \& Safety Section

## Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Intersection Application

## Requesting Agency

| Agency Name | Grand County |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact Person | Ken Haynes |
| Title | Road \& Bridge Superintendent |
| Email | khaynes@co.grand.co.us |
| Phone Number | 467 E. Topaz Ave <br> POBox 9 <br> Granby, CO 80446 |
| Mailing Address |  |

## Intersection Location

| Highway (example, US 50) | US HWY 40 and County Road 5 East Entrance. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highway Milepost | MM226 |  |
| Local Cross Street name | Grand County Road 5 |  |
| Is the Cross Street (check one) | Public ROW <br> XXX | Private Drive <br> XXX |

## Intersection Information

| Type of Intersection (check one) | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minor St Stop } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | All Way Stop | Other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearby Driveways | Yes: Directly across from CR5 <br> Distance between intersections: <br> Intersection of CR8 is 1363ft east of CR5 on HWY 40 . |  |  | No |
| Traffic Mix (check all that apply) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Trucks } \\ \text { XXX } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pedestrians } \\ & \text { Xxx } \end{aligned}$ | Bicycles XXX | Other: <br> Railroad |
| Intersection Issues | Please describe the types of safety or operational issues at the intersection. |  |  |  |
| Safety Issues: | During daytime hours, high volumes of traffic affect the ability for vehicles exiting CR5 onto westbound HWY 40 . When large vehicles enter westbound HWY 40 from CR5 they can and have caused the westbound HWY40 traffic to slow, impeding the flow of traffic. |  |  |  |
| Operational Issues: | None |  |  |  |

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Traffic \& Safety Section

## Intersection Deficiencies

Please provide a brief description of the existing intersection deficiencies and associated safety concerns, including time of the concerns (day of the week/hour(s)/seasons/time/weekday/weekend/holiday/etc):

Issues with the intersection of County Road 5 (CR5) and westbound HWY 40 are many, including but not limited to the points listed below:

1) Holiday weekend and summertime Friday traffic, can especially exasperate wait times at this intersection. Wait times can last several minutes before any opportunity is available for a large vehicle to enter westbound HWY 40. There are two (2) gravel pits located within two (2) miles of this intersection. All pit traffic use this intersection which averages, 60 heavy trucks per day. As stated, delays lasting several minutes are not uncommon. Add to this mix the Union Pacific Railroad crossing approximately llfft from the Highway entrance with an average of 28 to 30 trains daily causing traffic to back up significantly.
2) The Fraser ball fields are located approximately $880 f t$ west of the intersection of CR5 and HWY 40. These ball fields are hosts to many events from hot air balloon rides, kids soccer games, softball games, war memorial ceremonies, holiday celebrations, and a new ice skating rink. With these types of activities near this intersection, an overload in traffic can occur at any time.
3) The intersection of CR50 is approximately 1090 ft west of of HWY 40 and CR5 and is a primary access point for the Young Life Christian youth camp with an average of 1700 children per week which get transported mainly by bus through this intersection.
4) Traffic count data taken on 5-31-2005 at the intersection of CR5 and HWY 40 show an average daily traffic count of 1145 vehicles per 24 hr period which is only likely to increase.

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Traffic \& Safety Section

## Mitigation

Please provide a brief description of possible mitigations, improvements, and/or projects to mitigate the safety concerns at the intersection:

The traffic lane markings shown on the aerial map and photos(attached) indicate that the intersection of CR5 and HWY 40 is capable of meeting the demands of westbound traffic entering HWY 40 if the lane markings are simply re-aligned. No additional asphalt work would be required. Re-adjusting the lane markings allowing for an acceleration lane would decrease the current delays at this intersection and decrease the impact on westbound traffic by allowing westbound traffic to merge safely into 55 mph traveling traffic.

By simply re-striping the current lane markings and placement of new signage, a minimum cost for a significant improvement to traffic flow and safety, Grand County feels this intersection can be safer than it currently is.

Please see Google Earth Street View for a more detailed view of the intersection.

Are there any existing plans for improvements for this intersection? Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

Are any additional funding sources available for this project: Yes/No. If yes, please explain:
Unknown

Does this intersection have impacts to adjacent intersections, roadways, etc? If yes, please explain: Impact due to traffic delays can affect the events held at the Fraser ball fields at CR522, and events held at the Young Life Christian youth camp off CR50.

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Traffic \& Safety Section

## Additional Information

To assist in analyzing the intersection please attach the following information if available/applicable:

- Accident data, including police reports if available
- Traffic Volumes, such as AADT/ADT, peak hour volumes, peak hour turning movement counts
- Traffic Studies
- Pedestrian Counts
- Bicycle Counts
- Existing signal timing or Synchro files
- Existing construction plans
- Survey data
- Aerial photos
- Photographs of the intersection
- Right of Way maps
- Any other data/documentation to assist in analyzing the intersection



## Traffic Count

Date 5/31/2005

## C. R. 5 (east)

Traffic counter located 40 ft . west of CR50

| DATE |  | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 / 31 / 2005$ |  | $2: 00 \mathrm{p}$ | 812 | 812 |
| $6 / 1 / 2005$ |  | $3: 30 \mathrm{p}$ | 1713 | 901 |
| $6 / 2 / 2005$ |  | $3: 50 \mathrm{p}$ | 2462 | 749 |
| $6 / 3 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 675 |
| $6 / 4 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 675 |
| $6 / 5 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 676 |
| $6 / 6 / 2005$ |  | $8: 10 \mathrm{a}$ | 5164 | 676 |
| $6 / 7 / 2005$ |  | $8: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 6235 | 1071 |
| $6 / 8 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 9 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 10 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 11 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 12 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 998 |
| $6 / 13 / 2005$ |  | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 12225 | 1000 |
| $6 / 14 / 2005$ |  | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 15374 | 3149 |
| $6 / 15 / 2005$ |  | $10: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 18328 | 2954 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Total 18328

## Traffic Counts

Highway 040A, RefPoint 226.324, Station 101873
for June, 2008

## Primary Direction (East)

Hour
 06/16/08 Mon 18810511802323123664144304594744064345215434683321661659881276060

## Secondary Direction (West)

Hour
Date Day $000102030405 \quad 06$ $06 / 16 / 08$ Mon 196211481193055015545624824714884434434254753582941731456124136422

## Composite Direction (East and West)

Hour
 06/16/08 Mon 371412165919953781392097691293096284987794610188266263393101591054012482

Future Traffic Volumes for Highway 040
From RefPoint 222 To RefPoint 228

| Route | Ref Point | End Ref Point | Start Point Description | AADT | AADTYR | AADT <br> Single Trucks | AADT <br> Comb. <br> Trucks | Design Hour Volume (\% of AADT) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { AADT } \\ 2011 \end{array}$ | AADT <br> Single <br> Trucks <br> 2011 | AADT Comb. Trucks 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 040A | 223.030 | 224.000 | ON SH 40 E/O CR 822 \& CR 526, TABERNASH | 8,100 | 2009 | 250 | 240 | 12 | 8,489 | 262 | 252 |
| 040A | 224.000 | 226.188 | ON SH 40, ZEREX ST N/O CR 8, FRASER | 8,700 | 2009 | 230 | 260 | 12 | 9,109 | 241 | 272 |
| 040A | 226.188 | 226.835 | ON SH 40, ZEREX ST S/O CR 8, FRASER | 9,600 | 2009 | 250 | 290 | 12 | 10,032 | 261 | 303 |

If you notice an error, bug or have any questions, Please E-mail us.





## Grand County Road \& Bridge

## CDOT Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization

County Road 54

Priority \#2

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Traffic \& Safety Section

## Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization

## Intersection Application

## Requesting Agency

| Agency Name | Grand County |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person |  |
|  | Ken Haynes |
| Title |  |
|  | Road \& Bridge Superintendent |
| Email |  |
|  | khaynes@co.grand.co.us |
| Phone Number |  |
|  | 970-887-2123 |
| Mailing Address | 467 E. Topaz Ave P O Box 9 <br> Granby, CO 80446 |

## Intersection Location

| Highway (example, US 50) | US HWY40 and County Road 54 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highway Milepost | MM218 |  |
| Local Cross Street name | Grand County Road 54 |  |
| Is the Cross Street (check one) | Public ROW <br> XXX | Private Drive | Other |  |
| :--- |

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Traffic \& Safety Section

## Intersection Information

| Type of Intersection (check one) | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minor St Stop } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | All Way Stop | Other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearby Driveways | Yes: No <br> Distance between intersections:  <br> 1038 ft east of CR54 (north side)  <br> 555 ft east of CR54 (south side)  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Mix (check all that apply) | Trucks XXX | Pedestrians | Bicycles XXX | Other: |
| Intersection Issues | Please describe the types of safety or operational issues at the intersection. |  |  |  |
| Safety Issues: | The HWY 40 westbound traffic must stop in the traveled lane while waiting for eastbound traffic to open in order to turn left onto CR54. This is a single lane, downhill left hand turn on very dangerous road. Travel speeds along this stretch of HWY 40 is 65 mph . Left hand turning vehicles force following vehicles to stop as well. In many cases, these vehicles attempting to stop lose control due to adverse road conditions leading to the increase of traffic accidents. |  |  |  |
| Operational Issues: |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Deficiencies

Please provide a brief description of the existing intersection deficiencies and associated safety concerns, including time of the concerns (day of the week/hour(s)/seasons/time/weekday/weekend/holiday/etc):

The issues with the intersection of Grand County Road 54 (CR54) and westbound HWY 40 are many, including but not limited to the points listed below:

1) Westbound traffic is forced to stop in the travel lane to wait for any eastbound traffic before turning. This condition forces any other westbound vehicles to slow down or stop for the turning vehicle. This action is very dangerous as the westbound traffic is traveling downhill at 65 mph and into a curve. The weather at this intersection for approximately six (6) months out of the year is hazardous due to being located on the north side of a mountain, and typical weather conditions commonly found in high mountain communities.
2) The Homestead Hills Subdivision's only access is through this intersection. In July of 2005, the average daily traffic (ADT) count was 117 vehicles. Due to the development in this area, these counts have easily increased in the last five (5) years.
3) The Snow Mountain Ranch/YMCA of the Rockies is located one (1) mile east of this intersection and has a steady traffic flow from that location to the town of Granby.
4) The Winter Park Highlands Subdivision is located 1.5 miles east of this intersection and has a steady traffic flow from that location to the town of Granby.
5) Numerous accidents occur on the HWY in this area because of the grade and curves in the road. Guardrail is located on the South side of the HWY

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Traffic \& Safety Section

## Mitigation

Please provide a brief description of possible mitigations, improvements, and/or projects to mitigate the safety concerns at the intersection:

The best mitigation practice for this area could include but is not limited to those listed below. Grand County's preference of which mitigation we would like to see to resolve this dangerous intersection is as follows:

1) Widening of the road in this area to accommodate for a full turn lane.
2) Re-adjustment of lane markings to allow a turn lane for the westbound traffic.
3)Signage indicating a turn lane, lane shift etc...

Please see Google Earth Street View for a more detailed view of the intersection.

Are there any existing plans for improvements for this intersection? Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

Are any additional funding sources available for this project: Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

Does this intersection have impacts to adjacent intersections, roadways, etc? If yes, please explain: No

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Traffic \& Safety Section

## Additional Information

To assist in analyzing the intersection please attach the following information if available/applicable:

- Accident data, including police reports if available
- Traffic Volumes, such as AADT/ADT, peak hour volumes, peak hour turning movement counts
- Traffic Studies
- Pedestrian Counts
- Bicycle Counts
- Existing signal timing or Synchro files
- Existing construction plans
- Survey data
- Aerial photos
- Photographs of the intersection
- Right of Way maps
- Any other data/documentation to assist in analyzing the intersection




## Future Traffic Volumes for Highway 040 <br> From RefPoint 222 To RefPoint 223

| Route | Ref Point | End Ref <br> Point | Start Point Description | AADT | AADTYR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \text { AADT } \\ \text { Single } \\ \text { Trucks } \end{array}$ | AADT Comb. Trucks | Design Hour Volume (\% of AADT) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AADT } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | AADT Single <br> Trucks 2011 | AADT <br> Comb. <br> Trucks <br> 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 040A | 223.030 | 224.000 | ON SH 40 E/O CR 822 \& CR 526, TABERNASH | 8,100 | 2009 | 250 | 240 | 12 | 8,489 | 262 | 252 |

If you notice an error, bug or have any questions, Please E-mail us.

## Traffic Counts

Highway 040A, RefPoint 223.030, Station 101871 for July, 2009

## Primary Direction (East)

Hour
Date Day 000102030405060708 07/08/09 Wed 29137126377819427231532737435936036537042443529816919212882294865 07/09/09 Thu $2310 \begin{array}{llllllllllllllll} & 9 & 12 & 27 & 83 & 207247264324370 & 86 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1665\end{array}$

## Secondary Direction (West)

Hour
Date Day $000102030405 \quad 06$



Composite Direction (East and West)
Hour
 07/08/09 Wed 43201092374220469661647655713724711737742814820584389340211139589813 07/09/09 Thu 3215131223672175026066006457221471010

## Traffic Count

## Date

## C. R. <br> 54

Traffic counter located at 100 feet from Hwy 40

| DATE |  | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 / 14 / 2005$ |  |  | placed counter |  |
| $7 / 15 / 2005$ | $8: 40 \mathrm{a}$ | 104 | 104 |  |
| $7 / 16 / 2005$ |  |  | 159 |  |
| $7 / 17 / 2005$ |  |  | 159 |  |
| $7 / 18 / 2005$ |  | $9: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 582 | 160 |
| $7 / 19 / 2005$ |  | $10: 20 \mathrm{a}$ | 640 | 58 |
| $7 / 20 / 2005$ |  | $11: 40 \mathrm{a}$ | 806 | 166 |
| $7 / 21 / 2005$ |  | $9: 40 \mathrm{a}$ | 911 | 105 |
| $7 / 22 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 106 |
| $7 / 23 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 106 |
| $7 / 24 / 2005$ |  |  |  | 106 |
| $7 / 25 / 2005$ |  | $8: 20 \mathrm{a}$ | 1336 | 107 |
| $7 / 26 / 2005$ |  | $9: 10 \mathrm{a}$ | 1412 | 76 |
| $7 / 27 / 2005$ |  | $10: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 1581 | 169 |
| $7 / 28 / 2005$ |  | $9: 20 \mathrm{a}$ | 1643 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Total 1643
Daily average car count 117.35







## Grand County Road \& Bridge

CDOT Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization

County Road 1

Priority \#3

## Region 3 Intersection Analysis and Prioritization Intersection Application

## Requesting Agency

| Agency Name | Grand County |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person | Ken Haynes |
| Title | Road \& Bridge Superintendent |
| Email | khaynes@co.grand.co.us |
| Phone Number | 970-887-2123 |
| Mailing Address | ```467 E. Topaz Ave P O Box 9 Granby, CO 80446``` |

## Intersection Location

| Highway (example, US 50) | Colorado HWY 9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Milepost | MM137 |  |  |
| Local Cross Street name | County Road 1 (AKA Trough Road) |  |  |
| Is the Cross Street (check one) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Public ROW } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | Private Drive | Other |

## Intersection Information

| Type of Intersection (check one) | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minor St Stop } \\ & \mathrm{XXX} \end{aligned}$ | All Way Stop | Other: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nearby Driveways | Yes: <br> Distance between intersections: |  |  | No |
| Traffic Mix (check all that apply) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trucks } \\ & \text { XXX } \end{aligned}$ | Pedestrians | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Bicycles } \\ \text { XXX } \end{array}$ | Other: |
| Intersection Issues | Please describe the types of safety or operational issues at the intersection. |  |  |  |
| Safety Issues: | When exiting from CR1 to HWY 9, there is a limited site distance to the North and South. Highway speed at this intersection is 55MPH. Logging trucks,rafting and oversized Recreational vehicle traffic are common at this intersection. These types of slow acceleration vehicles pose a safety threat to the oncoming HWY 9 traffic due to the limited site distance. These vehicle types cannot accelerate to highway speeds safely with out the risk of impeding the HWY 9 through traffic. Site distance is |  |  |  |
|  | impaired South of CRI because of a rise and site distance is impaired North of CR1 because of a cut bank and curve. |  |  |  |

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Traffic \& Satety Section

## Intersection Deficiencies

Please provide a brief description of the existing intersection deficiencies and associated safety concerns, including time of the concerns (day of the week/hour(s)/seasons/time/weekday/weekend/holiday/etc):

The issues with the intersection of Grand County Road 1 (CRI / Trough Road) and HWY 9 traffic are many, including but not limited to the points listed below:

1) Traffic entering North or Southbound traffic flow on HWY 9 from CR1 is impaired due to the lack of site distance caused by topography. Southbound traffic on HWY 9 is on top of you before you know it.
2) During adverse weather conditions which are common to our County, acceleration onto HWY 9 can be slow due to the adverse road condition.
3) Highway speeds on HWY 9 are 55 mph at this intersection and with the limited site distance, a safety hazard is present.
4) No acceleration or de-acceleration lanes are present on HWY 9 at this intersection.
5) Traffic Count data collected by Grand County on CR1 at this intersection in July of 2010 was 755ADT. Data collected on CR1 at this intersection in June of 2010 was 329. As indicated by these traffic counts, during the summer months traffic increases due to the recreational opportunities located on CR1.
6) CR1 is used by traffic as a bypass route when Interstate 70 is closed due to adverse weather conditions. Also, it is used as a cut across route for traffic traveling to Glenwood Springs by reducing traveling time by 30 minutes.
7) CRI is used by recreationalist, logging trucks and the general public on a daily basis.

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Traffic \& Safety Section

## Mitigation

Please provide a brief description of possible mitigations, improvements, and/or projects to mitigate the safety concerns at the intersection:

```
The best mitigation practice for this intersection would be to
widen HWY 9 at this intersection and lower the road alignment to allow
for an acceleration lane and a de-acceleration lane and better line of
sight.
This can be achieved by removing dirt from the east side of HWY9 at the intersection of CR1 and widening the highway to accommodate for the accel and decel lanes. Also, by lowering the current elevation of HWY 9 would allow for a better line of sight for southbound traffic on HWY 9.
```

Our records indicate that CDOT possesses an adequate amount of ROW is present to accomplish this.

Grand County is aware of the BLM historical site located on the west side of HWY 9 at CR1 and feel the only option for CDOT would be to expand the roadway to the east.

Please see Google Earth Street View for a more detailed view of the intersection.

Are there any existing plans for improvements for this intersection? Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

Are any additional funding sources available for this project: Yes/No. If yes, please explain: Unknown

Does this intersection have impacts to adjacent intersections, roadways, etc? If yes, please explain: No

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Traffic \& Salety Section

## Additional Information

To assist in analyzing the intersection please attach the following information if available/applicable:

- Accident data, including police reports if available
- Traffic Volumes, such as AADT/ADT, peak hour volumes, peak hour turning movement counts
- Traffic Studies
- Pedestrian Counts
- Bicycle Counts
- Existing signal timing or Synchro files
- Existing construction plans
- Survey data
- Aerial photos
- Photographs of the intersection
- Right of Way maps
- Any other data/documentation to assist in analyzing the intersection




## Future Traffic Volumes for Highway 009D

From RefPoint 120 To RefPoint 140

| Route | Ref Point | End <br> Ref <br> Point | Start Point Description | AADT | AADTYR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { AADT } \\ \text { Single } \\ \text { Trucks } \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { AADT } \\ \text { Comb. } \\ \text { Trucks } \end{array}\right.$ | Design Hour Volume (\% of AADT) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AADT } \\ 2011 \end{array}$ | AADT Single Trucks 2011 | AADT Comb. Trucks 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 009D | 126.042 | 136.608 | ON SH 9 S/O CR 1 | 2,800 | 2009 | 120 | 230 | 14 | 2,971 | 127 | 244 |
| 009D | 136.608 | 138.237 | ON SH 9 N/O CR 1 | 3,500 | 2009 | 160 | 220 | 12 | 3,714 | 170 | 233 |
| 009D | 138.237 | 138.633 | ON SH 9, 6TH ST E/O 13TH ST, CR 396, KREMMLING | 3,500 | 2009 | 130 | 220 | 12 | 3,672 | 136 | 231 |
| 009D | 138.633 | 138.920 | ON SH 9, 6TH ST S/O SH 40, PARK AVE, KREMMLING | 3,900 | 2009 | 170 | 200 | 12 | 4,083 | 178 | 209 |

If you notice an error, bug or have any questions, Please E-mail us.

## Traffic Counts

Highway 009D, RefPoint 126.042, Station 100524
for October, 2005
Primary Direction (North)

## Hour

Date Day 000102030405060708091011121314151617181920212223 Total
 10/05/05 Wed 34505681339816878638176109789711113010966374023131338

## Secondary Direction (South)


#### Abstract

Hour Date Day $0001020304050607080910 \quad 11 \quad 121314$  


## Composite Direction (North and South)

## Hour

Date Day $000402030405 \quad 06$ 10/04/05 Tue 1265516227611618617017020218118720118120225621617480523616112774 10/05/05 Wed $7 \quad 912132861110162163159142199159202173196226222188121706134182735$

## Traffic Count

## Date

7/28/2010

## C.R. 1

Traffic counter located at: CR1 \& Hwy 9

| DATE | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/28/2010 | 9:30a | Set Up | 0 |
| 7/29/2010 | 7:00a | 651 | 651 |
| 7/30/2010 |  |  | 831 |
| 7/31/2010 |  |  | 831 |
| 8/1/2010 |  |  | 831 |
| 8/2/2010 | 7:00a | 3976 | 832 |
| 8/3/2010 | 7:00a | 4674 | 698 |
| 8/4/2010 | 7:00a | 5317 | 643 |
| 8/5/2010 |  |  | 891 |
| 8/6/2010 |  |  | 891 |
| 8/7/2010 |  |  | 892 |
| 8/8/2010 |  |  | 892 |
| 8/9/2010 | 8:45a | 9775 | 892 |
| 8/10/2010 | 8:00a | 10579 | 804 |
| 8/11/2010 | 8:00a | 11339 | 760 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TOTAL | 11339 |

## Traffic Count

## Date 6/3/2010

## C.R. 1

Traffic counter located at: At the intersection of CR1 \& Hwy 9

| DATE | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/3/2010 | 12:30p |  | 0 |
| 6/4/2010 |  |  | 126 |
| 6/5/2010 |  |  | 126 |
| 6/6/2010 |  |  | 126 |
| 6/7/2010 | 9:00a | 504 | 126 |
| 6/8/2010 | 12:00p | 1107 | 603 |
| 6/9/2010 | 9:00a | 1523 | 416 |
| 6/10/2010 | 9:00a | 1967 | 444 |
| 6/11/2010 |  |  | 394 |
| 6/12/2010 |  |  | 395 |
| 6/13/2010 |  |  | 394 |
| 6/14/2010 | 9:00a | 3545 | 395 |
| 6/15/2010 | 7:00a | 3894 | 349 |
| 6/16/2010 | 12:30p | 4597 | 703 |
| 6/17/2010 | 8:30a | 4942 | 345 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

TOTAL $\quad 4942$

## Traffic Count

Date

Date
2/12/2009
C. R.

1
Traffic counter located at CR1 @ Blue River Bridge

| DATE |  | TIME | ROLLING COUNT | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 / 13 / 2009$ |  | $8: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 297 | 297 |
| $2 / 14 / 2009$ |  | $7: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 628 | 331 |
| $2 / 15 / 2009$ |  | $8: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 959 | 331 |
| $2 / 16 / 2009$ |  |  |  | 298 |
| $2 / 17 / 2009$ |  | $8: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 1555 | 298 |
| $2 / 18 / 2009$ |  | $7: 30 \mathrm{a}$ | 2734 | 1179 |
| $2 / 19 / 2009$ |  | $8: 20 \mathrm{a}$ | 3018 | 284 |
| $2 / 20 / 2009$ |  | $7: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 3262 | 244 |
| $2 / 21 / 2009$ |  |  | 300 |  |
| $2 / 22 / 2009$ |  |  |  | 301 |
| $2 / 23 / 2009$ |  | $7: 30 \mathrm{a}$ | 4164 | 301 |
| $2 / 24 / 2009$ |  | $8: 00 \mathrm{a}$ | 4435 | 271 |
| $2 / 25 / 2009$ |  | $7: 30 \mathrm{a}$ | 4664 | 229 |
| $2 / 26 / 2009$ |  | $8: 30 \mathrm{a}$ | 5019 | 355 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## Vehicle General Flow Report - Grand Totals

## Average Daily Traffic (ADT)

| Weekday |  |  | Weekend |  |  | Total ADT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cars : | 455 | (83\%) | Cars : | 363 | (81\%) | Cars : | 429 | (82\%) |
| Trucks : | 91 | (17\%) | Trucks : | 83 | (19\%) | Trucks | 88 | (18\%) |
| Total : | 546 |  | Total : | 446 |  | Total : | 518 |  |

## Speed Totals

| $50 \%:$ | 41.9 mph | Top Speed: 114.7 mph |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $85 \%: 50.7 \mathrm{mph}$ | Low Speed: 2.7 mph | Average Truck Speed: 42.6 mph |
|  | Average Car Speed: 42.3 mph |  |

Avg: 42.4 mph

Peak Hour Totals

| AM Peak Hour (Volume) |
| :--- |
| Weekday : 10:00-11:00 (Avg 35) |
| Weekend : 10:45-11:45 (Avg 35) |
| PM Peak Hour (Volume) |
| Weekday : 12:15-13:15 (Avg 113) |
| Weekend : 14:30-15:30 (Avg 40) |


| AM Peak Hour (Speed) |
| :--- |
| $00: 30-01: 30(44.0 \mathrm{mph})$ |
| $01: 15-02: 15(55.5 \mathrm{mph})$ |

PM Peak Hour (Speed)
12:30-13:30 $(57.9 \mathrm{mph})$
$15: 45-16: 45(43.0 \mathrm{mph})$

Grand Totals

| Total Cars : | 9011 ( | 429 ADT) | Average Length : 16.0 ft | Average Headway : 152.0 sec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trucks : | 1867 ( | 88 ADT) | Average Axles : 5.0 | Average Gap : -700.5 sec |
| Total Volume : | 10878 ( | 518 ADT) |  |  |



CR1 at the intersection of HWY 9 facing east.





[^0]:    Total
    1643

[^1]:    Total
    5019

