

Table a). The effect of the food items' frequency and processing intensity on the migrants' peering behaviour analysed using a GLMM with negative binomial family distribution. Shown are the model estimates, with standard errors (SE), lower and upper confidence intervals (CI), Chi-square of the interaction (χ^2) and degrees of freedom (df), min. and max. of models' stability test, as well as dispersion parameter. Analysis is based on $N = 789$ daily dyadic observations of migrants peering at targets feeding on different food items, on days where peering occurred. The conditional pseudo delta R^2 for this model was 0.36.

| Model | N | Response variable | Factor | Factor type | Estimate | SE | Lower CI | Upper CI | χ^2 | df | P | Min | Max | Disp | Family |
|-------|-----|--|------------------------|------------------|----------|------|----------|----------|----------|------|-------------------|-------|-------|------|-------------------|
| 1a | 789 | Migrant males' peering counts at targets feeding on different food items | Intercept | Intercept | -0.04 | 0.2 | -0.5 | 0.31 | - | - | 0.85 | -0.14 | 0.05 | 0.99 | Negative Binomial |
| | | | Frequency | Predictor | -0.38 | 0.19 | -0.76 | -0.04 | 3.521 | 1 | 0.047 | -0.48 | -0.32 | | |
| | | | Proc. intensity | Predictor | 0.38 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.6 | 10.368 | 1 | < 0.001 | 0.33 | 0.42 | | |
| | | | FAI | Control | 0.13 | 0.2 | -0.29 | 0.51 | 0.063 | 1 | 0.518 | 0.05 | 0.21 | | |
| | | | Site (Tuanan) | Control | -0.72 | 0.35 | -1.53 | -0.06 | 3.574 | 1 | 0.043 | -0.94 | -0.52 | | |

Table b) Results of the Random effects of Model 1a, including variance, standard deviation, sample size, as well as random slopes of Dyad over FAI and Food item processing intensity ('complexity').

| Groups | Name | Variance | Std.Dev. | N |
|-----------|--------------|----------|----------|-----|
| Date | (Intercept) | 3.48 | 0.059 | 111 |
| Food item | (Intercept) | 782.7 | 0.88 | 168 |
| Dyad | (Intercept) | 0.02 | 0.01 | 75 |
| Dyad.1 | z.FAI | 502.2 | 0.71 | 75 |
| Dyad.2 | z.Complexity | <0.001 | <0.001 | 75 |

Table c). Results of the GLMM with a Poisson family distribution of the migrants' interaction rate with the food item before and after the peering event ('condition') for the Suaq population only. Shown are the model estimates, with standard errors (SE), lower and upper confidence intervals (CI), Chi-square of the interaction (χ^2) degrees of freedom (df), min. and max. of models' stability test, as well as dispersion parameter. Analysis is based on N = 126 daily dyadic observations of migrants interacting with the peered-at item, on days where peering occurred. The conditional pseudo delta R^2 for this model was 0.99. For this analysis only data from the Suaq population were available.

| Model | N | Response variable | Factor | Factor type | Estimate | SE | Lower CI | Upper CI | χ^2 | df | P | Min | Max | Disp | Family |
|-------|-----|--|---------------------------|------------------|----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|------|-------------------|--------|--------|------|---------|
| 1b | 126 | Migrant males number of interacting with food item | Intercept | Intercept | -20.18 | 14.63 | -56.51 | 2.59 | - | - | 0.168 | -32.17 | -14.41 | 0.56 | Poisson |
| | | | Condition (Before) | Predictor | -4.39 | 0.11 | 7.34 | 7.79 | 951.4 | 1 | < 0.001 | -3.67 | 8.54 | | |
| | | | FAI | Control | 0.41 | 1.18 | -3.14 | 4.87 | 0.12 | 1 | 0.73 | -0.19 | 0.81 | | |

Table d) Results of the Random effects of Model 1b, including variance, standard deviation and sample size.

| Groups | Name | Variance | Std.Dev. | N |
|--------|-------------|----------|----------|----|
| Dyad | (Intercept) | 25.58 | 5.06 | 15 |
| Date | (Intercept) | 191.50 | 13,84 | 20 |

Table e). The effect of the interaction between the role models' age-sex classes and site, on the migrants peering behaviour analysed using a GLMM with negative binomial family distribution. The age-sex classes of the targets are: adult females, immatures and unflanged males. Shown are the model estimates, with standard errors (SE), lower and upper confidence intervals (CI), Chi-square of the interaction (χ^2) degrees of freedom (df), min. and max. of models' stability test, as well as dispersion parameter. In italics are the results of the main contrasts comparisons of the interaction (for full post hoc comparisons see Table S6). Analysis is based on N = 2426 daily dyadic number of observations of migrants associating with targets of all age-sex classes, on days with and without peering, summed up quarterly per year. The conditional pseudo delta R^2 for this model was 0.12. Full information on post-hoc test (Tukey pair-wise comparisons) listed in Table S6, Supplement A.

| Model | N | Response variable | Factor | Factor type | Estimate | SE | Lower CI | Upper CI | χ^2 | df | P | Min | Max | Disp | Family |
|-------|------|--|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----|----------------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------------|
| 2 | 2426 | Immigrant males' quarterly peering counts at targets of different age sex classes (including peer = 0) | Intercept | Intercept | -6.41 | 0.37 | -7.69 | -6.12 | - | | < 0.001 | 7.21 | -6.62 | 0.64 | |
| | | | <i>ClassTarget Ufm-Adf : SiteTuanan</i> | <i>Predictor</i> | <i>2.33</i> | <i>0.77</i> | <i>0.19</i> | <i>4.51</i> | - | | 0.0023 | <i>1.58</i> | <i>2.22</i> | | |
| | | | <i>ClassTargetImmature-Adf : SiteTuanan</i> | | <i>1.52</i> | <i>0.46</i> | <i>0.79</i> | <i>2.99</i> | - | | 0.0011 | <i>1.46</i> | <i>2.13</i> | | Negative Binomial |
| | | | ClassTarget: Site | Predictor | - | - | - | - | 15.02 | 2 | < 0.001 | - | - | | |
| | | | FAI | Control | 0.21 | 0.16 | -0.14 | 0.5 | 0.58 | 1 | 0.45 | 0.11 | 0.32 | | |

Table f) Results of the Random effects of Model 2, including variance, standard deviation and sample size.

| Groups | Name | Variance | Std.Dev. | N |
|------------|-------------|----------|----------|------|
| Year | (Intercept) | 0.24 | 0.35 | 18 |
| Dyad | (Intercept) | <0.001 | <0.001 | 1350 |
| Individual | (Intercept) | 0.87 | 0.92 | 135 |

Table g). The effect of the time spent in the area on the migrants peering behaviour analysed using a GLMM with Poisson family distribution. Shown are the model estimates, with standard errors (SE), lower and upper confidence intervals (CI), Chi-square of the predictors (χ^2) and degrees of freedom (df). Analysis is based on N = 149 daily dyadic observations of migrants associating with targets of all age-sex classes, on days with peering, summed up quarterly per year. The conditional pseudo delta R^2 for this model was 0.94.

| Model | N | Response variable | Factor | Factor type | Estimate | SE | Lower CI | Upper CI | χ^2 | df | P | Min | Max | Disp | Family |
|-------|-----|---|---------------------------|------------------|----------|------|----------|----------|----------|------|----------------|-------|-------|------|---------|
| 3 | 149 | Immigrant males` quarterly peering counts (> 0) | Intercept | Intercept | -4.9 | 0.12 | -5.1 | -4.65 | - | - | < 0.001 | -5.15 | -4.79 | 1.10 | Poisson |
| | | | PresentMonthInArea | Predictor | -0.51 | 0.15 | -0.8 | -0.24 | 8.246 | 1 | < 0.001 | -0.58 | -0.32 | | |
| | | | FAI | Control | 0.32 | 0.19 | -0.04 | 0.63 | 3.576 | 1 | 0.087. | 0.2 | 0.49 | | |
| | | | Site (Tuanan) | Control | 0.02 | 0.24 | -0.46 | 0.45 | 0.922 | 1 | 0.922 | -0.19 | 0.38 | | |

Table h) Results of the Random effects of Model 3, including variance, standard deviation, sample size, as well as random slopes of ID, dyad and year over FAI and the continuous predictor present month in area.

| Groups | Name | Variance | Std.Dev. | N |
|--------------|------------------|----------|----------|-----|
| Individual | PresentMonthArea | <0.001 | <0.001 | 54 |
| Individual.1 | FAI | <0.001 | <0.001 | 54 |
| Dyad | PresentMonthArea | 0.28 | 0.55 | 136 |
| Dyad.1 | FAI | 0.94 | 0.97 | 136 |
| Year | PresentMonthArea | 0.06 | 0.24 | 18 |
| Year.1 | FAI | <0.001 | <0.001 | 18 |