The importance of large scale sea ice drift and ice type distribution on ice extent in the Weddell Sea

Sandra Schwegmann¹, R. Timmermann¹, C. Haas², R. Gerdes¹, P. Lemke¹

¹ Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany
² University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada







Contents All month 2000 - 200 7029.6 sq km per year 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 **Introduction** Results Conclusio • Ice drift Summary Motivation - Ice extent Wind field Outlook changes - Temperature Ice classes trend

Used Data

- Ice concentration data from National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC) for ice extent (IE) analysis
- Polar Pathfinder Daily 25 km EASE-Grid Sea Ice Motion Vectors from NSIDC for drift trends
- 2m air temperature and 10m wind data from NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis
- QuikSCAT/ SeaWinds scatterometer data for calculations of first (FYI) and second year ice (SYI) coverage





NCEP Temperature Trends



Observed Ice Drift Trends



NCEP Wind Trends



Comparison of Buoy- and Satellitederived Ice Drift



- Correlation coefficients most often above 0.5.
- Satellite-derived ice drift underestimates buoyderived ice drift by up to 35%.

Distribution of First and Second Year Ice





Summary

- Ice extent is slightly increasing in the Weddell Sea.
- Highest increase of IE in February
- Decreasing temperatures in austral summer.
- Drift acceleration in austral winter.
- NCEP winds also show an acceleration.
- Decreasing FYI area in austral summer and SYI in austral winter, relatively independent on chosen backscatter coefficient.
- Decrease of SYI in winter correlates with drift acceleration.

Outlook

- Comparison of ice drift fields with model results
- Analysis of modeled ice thickness distribution
- Backward calculation of ice type distribution by model results
- ECMWF vs. NCEP
- Shifted seasonal cycle?

Thank you for your attention